Blockchains

Cryptocurrencies and Consortium Blockchains

Tero Keski-Valkama



2017-02-05

- Blockchain is a collection of cryptotechnologies to achieve a distributed consensus about immutable, additive data in an untrusted environment.
 - Blockchain is a sequence of records, or blocks, so that each block contains a hash digest of the previous block (which recursively contains the hash digest of the previous block and so on).
 - A consensus mechanism with rules to determine which blocks are accepted to be the next block in the blockchain. Many solutions such as proof-of-work, proof-of-stake, proof-of-burn, Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance, and hybrids.
 - A discovery and broadcast infrastructure to relay transactions and blocks to peers and miners.
 - Application-specific public key or other cryptography to prove identities of parties in transactions, wallets and so on.
 - Microcode used to define the transaction semantics or smart contracts, such as Bitcoin Script, Solidity or Chaincode.
- In blockchain, a single valid block can be used to validate the whole chain of blocks to deep history so that anyone can make sure that nothing has been altered in the stored data, by checking that the hashes of the previous block always matches the content of the previous block.
- The consensus mechanism offers guarantees that all parties have a converging view in the blockchain regarding what is valid and what is not. It prevents changing the history and that the whole blockchain integrity is guaranteed.



Figure: Bitcoin Maximalism vs. The Reality

Example of a Consortium Blockchain: Hyperledger Architecture





Figure: Hyperledger Architecture

	Distributed	Consortium	Cryptocurrency
	Database	Blockchain	Blockchain
			Proof-of-Work,
			Proof-of-Stake,
Consensus	Simple	Byzantine	Proof-of-Burn
mechanism	parallel consistency	Fault Tolerance	or a hybrid
Requires			
a cryptocurrency			X
Open ecosystem			
of untrusted peers			X
Peers validate			
each other's actions		X	X
Access			
centrally managed	X	X	




Figure: Consensus Methods

- Consensus is inherently a game theoretic concept in Byzantine systems, because the peers can try to cheat.
- In open ecosystems it must be made economically infeasible to break the
 rules or the goals of the system. This leads to the requirement of having
 a cryptocurrency built into the system. In practice, a validating peer
 gains cryptocurrency for processing transactions, and this cryptocurrency
 only has value if the whole system works.
- The Bitcoin network can process the maximum of 7 transactions per second with the current block size limit of 1 MB.
- Calculating Bitcoin mining hashes requires about 1 W of power for 1 GH/s of computation for the most energy efficient ASIC implementations.
- The current hashrate of the Bitcoin network of 3,051,683,778 GH/s translates to a power of about 3 GW.
- In standard reindeers of 200 kg, this amounts to burning 2.5 reindeers of equivalent coal every second.
- A new Bitcoin data center is under construction in China designed to consume 135 MW of power.
- In closed consortiums, it is feasible to get rid of peers behaving incorrectly, so expensive proof-operations can be avoided.

click

- The point of Proof-of-Work is to prove that you have spent real resources in generating the block.
- Proof-of-work algorithms include for example Bitcoin double SHA256, or Dogecoin/Litecoin Scrypt.
- Bitcoin includes a double SHA-256 hash of the block in the blocks, and the block is only accepted if the hash value is lower than the difficulty limit. Hence, block hashes start with lots of zeros, for example: 0x0000000000000000012fdce7dd73fddb087e66f4f6bb9672667b854282855669 for the block #451676
- Bitcoin Proof-of-Work does not require a lot of memory and can be easily implemented as an ASIC chip → all miners are based on cheap ASICs now, and the hashrate is basically limited by energy costs. These ASICs are special-purpose machines and cannot be used for any other purpose than mining Bitcoins.
- Litecoin and several other coins use Scrypt algoritm as Proof-of-Work. This
 algorithm requires more memory (128 kB to match a typical CPU L2 cache), and
 memory is difficult to implement on an ASIC. Litecoin and Dogecoin can be
 mined with general purpose GPUs and even CPUs, and even in browsers. This
 has an effect of moving the bottleneck towards more expensive, but ubiquitous
 general purpose hardware from simple energy use.




Figure: This Much Coal Bitcoin Network Burns in 1 Hour

Proof of Stake



- Proof-of-Stake is a proposed alternative to energy wasting Proof-of-Work.
 Instead of proving you have done work, you prove you own a number of coins.
- Generally, for each block each coin gets a random chance of determining the next block.
- Game theoretic worries: If the miners have no stake, why would they play fair?

- Byzantine Fault Tolerance refers to a general game theoretic mathematical problem where distributed parties try to achieve consensus in spite of unreliable messaging and hostile actors.
- There are several algorithms and implementations with different characteristics.
- Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance algorithm useful for consortium blockchains, but cannot be used for open blockchains.
- Byzantine Fault Tolerance algorithms do not generally consider an open system
 where new actors can join at will, and therefore they implicitly assume a kind of a
 Proof-of-Stake through association to the group.
- These algorithms generally need a centralized identity management to prevent a Sybil attack. Although it could be said that the centralized identity manager could freely perform a Sybil attack in these systems.




Figure: Inside Blockchain




Figure: Inside Bitcoin Transactions

Comparison of Chaincode Languages



CONSTRAIMED	TURNG COMPLETE	
BITCOIN SCRIPT COMMEX TRANSACTIONS	HYPERLEDGE	
LIMITED EXECUTION TIME	ETHEREUM SMRT CONTRACTS	
	SOLIDITY	
	TRANSACTION GAS OR TRUST OF PARTICIPANTS OR WHITEGISTING OF VALUE COOR PARTERNS	

Figure: Chaincode Languages




Figure: Diamond Supply Chain

- Estonian Guardtime offers a timestamp-hashing service based on Hash Calendar, which is a special type of a Merkle tree.
- Linked timestamping ties specific signing events together with time so that they
 cannot be altered without invalidating the whole chain.
- The service can be used to sign any data hash to irrevocably tie it to a specific time.
- The signature can then be used to prove that the hashed document existed at a claimed time.
- · Applications include for example:
 - tamper-proofing logs, as a tampered log entry would not have a valid timestamp signature, as it did not exist at the claimed time.
 - Providing proof that a specific event happened at a certain point of time (not later than a given time).
- The infrastructure is secured by using periodical hash calendar roots published through widely witnessed media such as Financial Times and Twitter.



Links



- Princeton course book: Bitcoin and Cryptocurrency Technologies
- Guardtime KSI