

Errata

Handbook of Marine Craft Hydrodynamics and Motion Control 2nd edition

Most recently revised on August 17, 2024

These are errata for the 2nd edition of the Wiley textbook “*Handbook of Marine Craft Hydrodynamics and Motion Control*” by Thor I. Fossen.

Section 2.4 The transformations for longitude, latitude, and height to flat Earth should be

$$\Delta l = \frac{y^n}{(R_N + h_{\text{ref}}) \cos(\mu_0)} \quad (2.113)$$

$$\Delta \mu = \frac{x^n}{R_M + h_{\text{ref}}} \quad (2.114)$$

and

$$x^n = \Delta \mu (R_M + h_{\text{ref}}) \quad (2.118)$$

$$y^n = \Delta l (R_N + h_{\text{ref}}) \cos(\mu_0) \quad (2.119)$$

This follows from Equation (2.39) in Farrell (2008).

Equation (5.73)–(5.75), (5.77), (5.82) The negative complex damping term $-j\omega \mathbf{B}_{\text{total}}(\omega)$ should be positive $+j\omega \mathbf{B}_{\text{total}}(\omega)$.

Equation (5.81) The negative term $-j\omega$ should be $+j\omega$.

Equation (9.123) The rudder’s angle of attack should be from FLOW to BODY axes to be consistent with (2.150). Hence, the rotation matrix should use $-\delta_R$ and not δ_R such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_R \\ Y_R \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(-\delta_R) & -\sin(-\delta_R) \\ \sin(-\delta_R) & \cos(-\delta_R) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -F_{\text{drag}} \\ -F_{\text{lift}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (9.123)$$

Equation (10.85)–(10.86) The encounter frequency should be defined in terms of the relative encounter angle to be valid for all heading angles

$$\omega_e(U, \omega_0, \beta_{\text{rel}}) = \left| \omega_0 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{g} U \cos(\beta_{\text{rel}}) \right| \quad (10.85)$$

where $\beta_{\text{rel}} = \beta - \psi$.

The relative encounter angle and spreading angle μ affect the wave elevation accordingly

$$\xi = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{2S_M(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) \Delta\omega \Delta\mu} \cos \left(\omega_k t - \frac{\omega_k^2}{g} U \cos(\beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) t + \epsilon_k \right) \quad (10.86)$$

Equation (10.93)–(10.95) For the no spreading case, the relative encounter angle $\beta_{\text{rel}} = \beta - \psi$ is used such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \rho g \left| F_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) \right| A_k \cos \left(\omega_e(U, \omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) t + \angle F_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) + \epsilon_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \rho g \left| F_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) \right| \sqrt{2S(\omega_k) \Delta\omega} \\ &\quad \cos \left(\omega_e(U, \omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) t + \angle F_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) + \epsilon_k \right) \end{aligned} \quad (10.93)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{wave2}}^{\{\text{dof}\}} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \rho g \left| F_{\text{wave2}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) \right| A_k^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \rho g \left| F_{\text{wave2}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) \right| 2S(\omega_k) \Delta\omega \end{aligned} \quad (10.94)$$

where

$$\omega_e(U, \omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) = \omega_k - \frac{\omega_k^2}{g} U \cos(\beta_{\text{rel}}) \quad (10.95)$$

Equation (10.96)–(10.97) The more general case, where the spreading function (10.80) is included, can be simulated by using varying wave directions μ_i ($i = 1, \dots, M$) and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^M \rho g \left| F_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) \right| \sqrt{2S_M(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) \Delta\omega \Delta\mu} \\ &\quad \cos \left(\omega_e(U, \omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) t + \angle F_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) + \epsilon_k \right) \end{aligned} \quad (10.96)$$

$$\tau_{\text{wave2}}^{\{\text{dof}\}} = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^M \rho g \left| F_{\text{wave2}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) \right| 2S_M(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) \Delta\omega \Delta\mu \quad (10.97)$$

Equation (10.105)

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) \right| A_k \cos \left(\omega_e(U, \omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) t + \angle \eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) + \epsilon_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) \right| \sqrt{2S(\omega_k) \Delta\omega} \cos \left(\omega_e(U, \omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) t + \angle \eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}}) + \epsilon_k \right) \end{aligned} \quad (10.105)$$

Equation (10.106)

$$\eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}} = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^M \left| \eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) \right| \sqrt{2S_M(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) \Delta\omega \Delta\mu} \cos \left(\omega_e(U, \omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i)t + \angle \eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \beta_{\text{rel}} + \mu_i) + \epsilon_k \right) \quad (10.106)$$

Equation (14.18) The rotation matrix is missing. The correct expression is

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{imu}}^b = -\mathbf{R}^\top(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{nb})\mathbf{g}^n \quad (14.18)$$

Equations (14.27) and (14.28) The negative sign and the subscript m should be removed such that

$$\psi = \text{atan2}(h_y, h_x) \quad (14.27)$$

$$\psi = \text{atan2}(m_y, m_x) \quad (14.28)$$

Equation (16.447) The correct expression is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\tilde{x}} \\ \dot{\sigma}_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & -\lambda \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \sigma_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (16.447)$$

Section 16.4.6 (Case study) The adaptive-gain super twisting algorithm (STA) should use

$$\beta = \beta_1\alpha + \beta_0 \quad (16.509)$$

where β_0 and β_1 are constants instead of $\beta = \beta_0 = \text{constant}$. This is also corrected in the MSS toolbox file, ExSTA.m.

Corrected by John Wiley & Sons in prints after August 2022

Page 45 The square root should be removed such that

$$R_M = R_N \frac{1 - e^2}{1 - e^2 \sin^2(\mu_0)} \quad (2.112)$$

Page 58 Replace the sentence below Equation (3.13) with “Further, Equation(3.11) can be rewritten as”

Page 228 The term T_m should be replaced by T_ms in Equation (9.35) such that

$$H(s) = \frac{Q_m}{Y}(s) \approx \frac{K_m}{T_ms + 1} e^{-\tau s} \quad (9.35)$$

Example 13.1 The noise terms w_1 , w_2 and w_3 in (13.45), (13.46) and (13.48), respectively should be renumbered according to w_2 , w_3 and w_1 in order to match the \mathbf{E} matrix in (13.53). More specific

$$\dot{\psi} = r \quad (14.44)$$

$$\dot{r} = -\frac{1}{T}r + \frac{K}{T}(\delta - b) + w_2 \quad (14.46)$$

$$\dot{b} = w_3 \quad (14.46)$$

$$\dot{\xi}_w = \psi_w \quad (14.47)$$

$$\dot{\psi}_w = -\omega_0^2 \xi_w - 2\lambda\omega_0 \psi_w + K_w w_1 \quad (14.48)$$

Page 240 Equations (9.105)–(9.106) should be premultiplied by 1/2 and not 1/4 according to

$$Y_\delta = \frac{1}{2}(1 + a_H)\rho U_R^2 A_R C_N > 0 \quad (9.105)$$

$$N_\delta = \frac{1}{2}(x_R + a_H x_H)\rho U_R^2 A_R C_N < 0 \quad (9.106)$$

Pages 290-295 Remove the double subscript for $\epsilon_{i,k}$ in (10.86), (10.96) and (10.106) such that

$$\xi = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{2S_M(\omega_k, \mu_i - \beta)\Delta\omega\Delta\mu} \cos\left(\omega_k t - \frac{\omega_k^2}{g} U \cos(\mu_i - \beta) t + \epsilon_k\right) \quad (10.86)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}} = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^M \rho g \left| F_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \mu_i - \beta) \right| \sqrt{2S_M(\omega_k, \mu_i - \beta)\Delta\omega\Delta\mu} \\ \cos\left(\omega_e(U, \omega_k, \mu_i - \beta)t + \angle F_{\text{wave1}}^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \mu_i - \beta) + \epsilon_k\right) \end{aligned} \quad (10.96)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}} = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^M \left| \eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \mu_i - \beta) \right| \sqrt{2S_M(\omega_k, \mu_i - \beta)\Delta\omega\Delta\mu} \\ \cos\left(\omega_e(U, \omega_k, \mu_i - \beta)t + \angle \eta_w^{\{\text{dof}\}}(\omega_k, \mu_i - \beta) + \epsilon_k\right) \end{aligned} \quad (10.106)$$

Page 336 The sentence below (12.6) should be

Note that a step in the command \mathbf{r}^b will give a step in $\dot{\mathbf{r}}^b$ while ...

Page 554 The matrix \mathbf{P}_{22} should be replaced by \mathbf{P}_{21} in the last line of (16.19)

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \\ = - \underbrace{\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{P}_{21}}_{\mathbf{K}_i} \mathbf{z} - \underbrace{\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{P}_{22}}_{\mathbf{K}_p} \mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (16.19)$$

Page 582 The left-hand side of (16.143) should be replaced by

$$\mathbf{M}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{a}^b) = \dots \quad (16.143)$$

Corrected by John Wiley & Sons in prints after June 2021

Page 29 Replace “*by Definition 2.1*” with “*by Property 2.1*”.

Page 44 Replace “*h is the vertical distance from the sea level to the coordinate origin of $\{b\}$* ” with “*h is the vertical distance from the surface of the ellipsoid to the coordinate origin of $\{b\}$* ”.

Page 48 Replace “*From (2.36) it follows that*” with “*From (2.34) and (2.35) it follows that*”.

Page 50 Delete “ *β is small and*” such that the sentence read “*Time differentiation of β under the assumption that $Ur > 0$ is constant gives*”.