

# 1 Typed $\lambda_{\text{lvar}}$ calculus

Given a set  $D$ , let  $\mathbb{D}$  be a 4-tuple  $(D, \sqcup_D, \perp_D, \top_D)$ , and let there be a function  $\text{incomp}(d) := \forall d' \in D. (d' \neq d \Rightarrow d \sqcup d' = \top_D)$  that models  $\sqcup$ -incompatibility among elements of  $\mathbb{J}$ , i.e  $\mathbb{J} = \{d \in D \mid \text{incomp}(d)\}$ .

## 1.1 Syntax

### Types and environments

$T, U$	$:=$	$\mathbf{1}$	unit
		$T \times U$	product
		$T \rightarrow U$	$\lambda$ abstraction
		$\mathcal{J}$	threshold set, where $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{J}$
		$\mathcal{D}^d$	values $d$ in $D$ indexed by $\bigsqcup d$
		$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}^d$	locations (indexed by $d$ ) of values in $\mathcal{D}^d$
$\Gamma$	$:=$	$\cdot$	empty environment
		$x : T$	environment extension

### Terms and Status bits

$L, M, N$	$:=$	$x$	variables
		$V$	values
		$B$	status bits
		$K$	constants
		$M \ N$	parallel application
		$()$	unit introduction
		$\text{let } () = M \text{ in } N$	unit elimination
		$(M, N)$	product introduction
		$\text{let } (x, y) = M \text{ in } N$	product elimination

$B$	$:=$	$\mathbf{1}$	frozen LVar
		$\mathbf{0}$	unfrozen LVar

### Stores and Configurations

$S$	$:=$	$\cdot$	empty store
		$S, l \mapsto (B, V)$	store extension
		$\top$	top

$C$	$:=$	$\langle M \mid S \rangle$	programs are pairs of terms and store
		<b>error</b>	runtime crashes

### Values

$V, W$	$:=$	$l$	locations
		$J$	threshold set
		$(B, d)$	states, where $D$ is the distinguished set, and $d \in D$
		$\lambda x. M$	$\lambda$ abstraction
		$()$	unit
		$(M, N)$	product

### Constants

$K$	$:=$	<b>new</b>	allocate new LVar
		<b>freeze</b>	freeze LVar
		<b>get</b>	read threshold from LVar
		<b>put</b>	add value to LVar

### Evaluation Context

$E$	$:=$	$\square$
		$V E$
		$E V$
		$(V, E)$
		$(E, V)$
		<b>let</b> $() = E$ <b>in</b> $M$
		<b>let</b> $(x, y) = E$ <b>in</b> $M$

## 1.2 Type System

$\frac{}{x : T \vdash x : T} \text{T-VAR}$	$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : T \vdash M : U} \text{T-LAM}$	$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash M : T \rightarrow U \quad \Gamma \vdash N : T} \text{T-APP}$
$\frac{}{\vdash () : \mathbf{1}} \text{T-UNIT}$	$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } () = M \text{ in } N : T} \text{T-LETUNIT}$	
$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash (M, N) : T \times U} \text{T-PAIR}$	$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } (x, y) = M \text{ in } N : U} \text{T-LETPAIR}$	
$\frac{}{\Gamma, l : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\perp} \vdash \text{new} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\perp}} \text{T-NEW}$	$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{freeze } l : \mathcal{D}^d} \text{T-FREEZE}$	
$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{get } l J : \mathcal{D}^d} \text{T-GET}$	$\frac{}{\Gamma, l : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}^{d \sqcup d'} \vdash \text{put } l M : \mathbf{1}} \text{T-PUT}$	

### 1.3 Operational semantics

E-LAM	$\langle (\lambda x.M) V \mid S \rangle$	$\longrightarrow$	$\langle M\{V/x\} \mid S \rangle$
E-UNIT	$\langle \text{let } () = () \text{ in } M \mid S \rangle$	$\longrightarrow$	$\langle M \mid S \rangle$
E-PAIR	$\langle \text{let } (x, y) = (V, W) \text{ in } M \mid S \rangle$	$\longrightarrow$	$\langle M\{V/x\}\{W/y\} \mid S \rangle$
E-NEW	$\langle \text{new} \mid S \rangle$	$\longrightarrow$	$\langle l \mid S, l \mapsto (0, \perp) \rangle$
E-FREEZE	$\langle \text{freeze} \mid S, l \mapsto (b, d) \rangle$	$\longrightarrow$	$\langle d \mid S, l \mapsto (1, d) \rangle$

$$\text{E-PUT} \quad \frac{s = (b, d) \quad s' = (b, d') \quad s \sqcup s' \neq \top}{\langle \text{put } l \ d' \mid S, l \mapsto s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle s' \mid S, l \mapsto s' \rangle}$$

$$\text{E-PUT-ERR} \quad \frac{s = (b, d) \quad s' = (b, d') \quad s \sqcup s' = \top}{\langle \text{put } l \ d' \mid S, l \mapsto s \rangle \longrightarrow \text{error}}$$

$$\text{E-GET} \quad \frac{J \in \mathcal{J} \quad d' \in J \quad d' \sqsubseteq d}{\langle \text{get } l \ J \mid S, l \mapsto (b, d) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle d' \mid S, l \mapsto (b, d) \rangle}$$

$$\text{E-PAIR}' \quad \frac{\langle M \mid S \rangle \longrightarrow \langle M' \mid S' \rangle \quad \langle N \mid S \rangle \longrightarrow \langle N' \mid S'' \rangle}{\langle (M, N) \mid S \rangle \longrightarrow \langle (M', N') \mid S' \sqcup S'' \rangle}$$

$$\text{E-APP} \quad \frac{\langle M \mid S \rangle \longrightarrow \langle M' \mid S' \rangle \quad \langle N \mid S \rangle \longrightarrow \langle N' \mid S'' \rangle}{\langle M \ N \mid S \rangle \longrightarrow \langle M' \ N' \mid S' \sqcup S'' \rangle}$$

$$\text{E-LIFT} \quad \frac{M \longrightarrow M'}{\langle E[M] \mid S \rangle \longrightarrow_E \langle E[M'] \mid S \rangle}$$

### 1.4 Syntax sugar

$$\text{T-RUNLVAR} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}^d \rightarrow ()}{\Gamma \vdash \text{runLVar } M : \mathcal{D}^d}$$

$$\text{E-RUNLVAR} \quad \text{runLVar } M \longrightarrow (\lambda l. \text{let } () = M \ l \text{ in freeze } l) \text{ new}$$

## 2 Metatheory of Typed $\lambda_{\text{lvar}}$ calculus

### 2.1 Translation to $\lambda_{\text{LVar}}$ from typed $\lambda_{\text{lvar}}$

**Definition 1.** A translation is a function  $\zeta : C \rightarrow \sigma$ , such that:

Add partial-order rules for state  $s$ , where  $s = (b, d)$ .

- it should maintain the same number of steps in  $C$  when translated into  $\sigma$ ;
- it should not introduce sequentialisation.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\zeta(\mathbf{error}) & = & \mathbf{error} \\
\zeta(\langle \mathbf{get} \ l \ J \mid S \rangle) & = & \langle S ; \mathbf{get} \ l \ P \rangle \quad \text{where } p_1 \cong s \text{ and } P \cong J \\
\zeta(\langle \mathbf{put} \ l \ d' \mid S \rangle) & = & \langle S ; \mathbf{put}_i \ l \rangle \quad \text{where } u_{p_i} := \lambda d_i. d \sqcup d_i \\
\zeta(\langle \mathbf{new} \mid S \rangle) & = & \langle S ; \mathbf{new} \rangle \\
\zeta(\langle \mathbf{freeze} \ l \mid S \rangle) & = & \langle S ; \mathbf{freeze} \ l \rangle \\
\zeta(\langle (\lambda x. M) \ V \mid S \rangle) & = & \langle S ; (\lambda x. e) \ v \rangle \\
\zeta(\langle M \ N \mid S \rangle) & = & \langle S ; e \ e' \rangle \\
\zeta(\langle () \mid S \rangle) & = & \langle S ; () \rangle \\
\zeta(\langle \mathbf{let} \ () = M \ \mathbf{in} \ N \mid S \rangle) & = & \langle S ; (\lambda (). e) \ e' \rangle \\
\zeta(\langle (M, N) \mid S \rangle) & = & \langle S ; (\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda f. fxy) \ e \ e' \rangle \\
\zeta(\langle \mathbf{let} \ (x, y) = M \ \mathbf{in} \ N \mid S \rangle) & = & \langle S ; e \ (\lambda x. \lambda y. e') \rangle \\
\zeta(\langle M \mid S, l \mapsto (0, d) \rangle) & = & \langle S[l \mapsto (d, \mathbf{false})] ; e \rangle \\
\zeta(\langle M \mid S, l \mapsto (1, d) \rangle) & = & \langle S[l \mapsto (d, \mathbf{true})] ; e \rangle
\end{array}$$

**Lemma 1** (Translation, Typed  $\lambda_{\text{LVar}} \rightsquigarrow \lambda_{\text{LVar}}$ ).

For any translation  $\zeta$ ,

- if  $C \longrightarrow C'$  and  $\sigma \hookrightarrow \sigma'$  and  $\zeta(C) = \sigma$ , then  $\zeta(C') = \sigma'$ ;
- if  $C \longrightarrow_E C'$  and  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma'$  and  $\zeta(C) = \sigma$ , then  $\zeta(C') = \sigma'$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the structure of  $C$ .

*Case.*  $C = \langle \mathbf{error} \mid S \rangle$ ,  $\sigma = \langle S ; \mathbf{error} \rangle$ .

$C$  and  $\sigma$  cannot step. Hence, the translation is vacuously valid.

*Case.*  $C = \langle \mathbf{get} \ l \ J \mid S \rangle$ ,  $\sigma = \langle S ; \mathbf{get} \ l \ P \rangle$ .

Given the operational semantics,  $C$  steps to  $C' = \langle s' \mid S, l \mapsto (b, d) \rangle$ . And given  $\lambda_{\text{LVar}}$ 's operational semantics,  $\sigma$  steps to  $\sigma' = \langle S ; p_2 \rangle$ . Applying  $\zeta(C')$ , we get  $\langle S ; p_2 \rangle$ . Hence, the translation is valid.

*Case.*  $C = \langle \mathbf{put} \ l \ d' \mid S \rangle$ ,  $\sigma = \langle S ; \mathbf{put}_i \ l \rangle$ .

Given the operational semantics,  $C$  can either error or take a step.

*Sub-case.*  $C' = \langle s' \mid S, l \mapsto s' \rangle$

Given  $\lambda_{\text{LVar}}$ 's operational semantics,  $\sigma$  steps to  $\sigma' = \langle S ; p_2 \rangle$  if  $d \sqcup d_i \neq \top$ , which is exactly the same as applying  $\zeta$  to  $C'$ .

*Sub-case.*  $C' = \mathbf{error}$

Given  $\lambda_{\text{LVar}}$ 's operational semantics,  $\sigma$  steps to  $\sigma' = \mathbf{error}$  if  $d \sqcup d_i = \top$ , which

is exactly the same as applying  $\zeta$  to  $C'$ .

Hence, the translation is valid.

*Case.*  $C = \langle \mathbf{new} \mid S \rangle, \sigma = \langle S ; \mathbf{new} \rangle$

*Case.*  $C = \langle \mathbf{freeze} \mid S \rangle, \sigma = \langle S ; \mathbf{freeze} \rangle$

*Case.*  $C = \langle (M, N) \mid S \rangle, \sigma = \langle S ; (\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda f. fxy) e e' \rangle$

*Case.*  $C = \langle \mathbf{let} (x, y) = M \mathbf{in} N \mid S \rangle, \sigma = \langle S ; e' (\lambda x. \lambda y. e) \rangle$

□

## 2.2 Determinism

Determinism proof as stated in Kuper'15.

**Definition 2.** Permutation

**Definition 3.** Permutation of an expression

**Definition 4.** Permutation of a store

**Definition 5.** Permutation of configurations

**Lemma 2.**  
*Permutability*

**Lemma 3.**  
*Internal Determinism*

**Lemma 4.**  
*Strong Confluence*

**Lemma 5.**  
*Confluence*

**Theorem 1.**  
*Determinism*

## 2.3 Type safety

**Theorem 2.**  
*Progress*

**Theorem 3.**  
*Preservation*

**Corollary 1.**  
*Type Safety*