

Notes over MOVEit Data Breach

New York Times

<https://www.nytimes.com/2023/06/15/us/politics/russian-ransomware-cyberattack-clop-moveit.html>

- accessed federal agencies (incl energy dept)
- objective to steal and sell back users' data (?)
- described as 'opportunistic'
- **carried out by 'Clop' a Russian ransomware gang**
- exploited a vulnerability in the MOVEit software used by 'an array of local governments, universities, and corporations'
- other victims that came out in June:
 - public officials in Illinois, Nova Scotia, and London
 - British Airways
 - BBC
 - John Hopkins University
 - University System of Georgia
 - Shell (oil)
- anonymous senior CISA official stated about the private sector that 'at least several hundred companies and organizations had been affected'
- GovSpend collected data showing the following government agencies purchased MOVEit software:
 - NASA
 - Treasury Dept
 - Dept of Health and Human Services
 - arms of Defense Dept
- Clop claimed they had "no interest" in data stolen from gov/police and deleted it (LMFAO); only wanted stolen business info
- Progress Software identified the vulnerability **in May and issued a patch with CISA adding it to the known vulnerabilities online catalog**

Mandiant/Google

<https://cloud.google.com/blog/topics/threat-intelligence/zero-day-moveit-data-theft>

- **Progress Software Corporation announced vulnerability on May 31, 2023**
- CVE-2023-34362
- Mandiant's initial response engagements shows **earliest evidence on May 27, 2023**
 - earliest evidence shows vulnerability deploying web shells and engaging in data theft
 - "in some instances, data theft has occurred within minutes of the deployment of web shells"
- **Jun 6, 2023, CLOP^_-LEAKS data leak site post claimed responsibility + threatened to post stolen data if victims did not pay an extortion fee**
- **LEMURLOOT** is tailored to execute on a system using MOVEit
- generate commands to enumerate files and folders
- retrieve configuration information

- create or delete a user with a hard-coded name
- LEMURLOOT analysis
 - authenticates incoming connections via hard-coded password
 - run commands that download files from the MT system
 - extract its Azure system settings
 - retrieve detailed record information
 - create and insert a particular user, or delete the same user
 - data returned to the system interacting with LEMURLOOT is gzip compressed
- How the attack goes:
 - CLOP uses vulnerability to access MOVEit software
 - deploys LEMURLOOT web shell and uses file names found in MOVEit Transfer (MT) software such as `human.aspx`
 - sends several POST requests to the legitimate `guestaccess.aspx` file before interacting with LEMURLOOT; indicates SQL injection attacks were directed towards `guestaccess.aspx`
 - LEMURLOOT checks incoming HTTP requests for a header field containing `X-siLock-Comment` and a corresponding 36-character GUID-formatted value
 - the GUID-formatted value will be the password between the attacker and the web shell
 - this hides the malware from tools, scanners, and users that are not the attackers
 - with the correct header contents, LEMURLOOT responds with `X-siLock-Comment` and value `comment` to indicate success
 - at this point, the backdoor is active and LEMURLOOT is accepting commands and won't generate errors or logs
 - malware then reads the MT server's internal config (incl DB username/pass)
 - malware logs into MT database
 - malware then processes data from attacker via HTTP header files like before: `X-siLock-Step1`, `X-siLock-Step2`, `X-siLock-Step3`
 - `X-siLock-Step1 = -1`
 - LEMURLOOT retrieves and returns AZURE system settings which allows it to then perform SQL queries to retrieve files, file size, folders, file owners, and institution name; this is exported to the attacker in a gzip compressed folder or file (?)
 - `X-siLock-Step1 = -2`
 - LEMURLOOT deletes user account with `LoginName` and `RealName` set to "Health Check Service"
 - `Delete FROM users WHERE RealName='Health Check Service'`
 - `X-siLock-Step1 != -1 || -2`
 - malware parses values from the header fields `X-siLock-Step2` and `X-siLock-Step3` to store in variables named `fileid` and `folderid`
 - if `fileid` and `folderid` != NULL, malware retrieves file within the fields, gzip compresses it, and returns it to attacker
 - if `fileid` and `folderid` = NULL, LEMURLOOT attempts to find an existing account with permission level "30" and `InstID` = [value set from `X-siLock-Step1`]
 - if unsuccessful it creates a new account with a randomly generated username and with `LoginName` and `RealName` as 'Health Check Service'; account is then inserted into an active MT application session

<https://www.huntress.com/blog/moveit-transfer-critical-vulnerability-rapid-response>

- Progress brought down MOVEit Cloud after exploitation attempts were discovered/detected (possibly June 1st of 2nd)
- initial phase is through SQL injection which leads to arbitrary code execution
 - leads to instant deploy of ransomware under MOVEit service account 'moveitsvc' which is a local administrators group and they could then disable antivirus protections
- **CI0p is also called Lace Tempest by Microsoft**
- *omg this is sooo much*

BBC

<https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-65814104>

- Victims:
 - BBC
 - stolen data incl staff ID numbers, DOBs, addresses, national insurance numbers (?)
 - British Airways
 - some may have bank details stolen
 - Boots
 - Aer Lingus
 - Zellis, payroll services provider
 - data from eight of its client firms had been stolen
 - mostly people in the US

CybersecurityDive

<https://www.cybersecuritydive.com/news/moveit-breach-timeline/687417/>

- 2,650+ organization impacted
- five additional vulnerabilities were discovered after
- victims:
 - National Student Clearinghouse
 - PBI Research Services
 - TIAA
 - Zellis
- **timeline**

HackTheBox (for understanding)

<https://www.hackthebox.com/blog/cve-2023-34362-explained>

- CI0p exploited the CVE 36934
- affected approx 130 victims over 10 days
- the web shell, **LEMURLOOT**, allowed attackers to:
 - enumerate (scan) underlying SQL database
 - store and retrieve files from MT system

- create a new administrator privileged account
- vulnerability is caused by `UserGetUsersWithEmailAddress()` not being cleaned
 - within `UserEngine (UserEngine.UserGetUsersWithEmailAddress())` defined in `MOVEit.DMZ.Class.Lib`
- The `SILHttpSessionWrapper.SetAllSessionVarsFromHeaders()` function (completely removed in patched MOVEit Transfer versions) allows the caller to set arbitrary session variables from HTTP request headers starting with `X-siLock-SessVar`.
 - called by `machine2.aspx`'s `SILMachine2`
 - incorrectly parses header with `action=m2` parameter in `moveitisapi.dll` (accessible from outside) allows to forward arbitrary data to `machine2.aspx` which bypasses the localhost restriction
- after session variables have been set, `LoadFromSession()` from `SILGuestAccess` is called by making a request to `guestaccess.aspx`

To trigger SQL injection, the payload is first put into the `MyPkgSelfProvisionedRecips` environment variable through the `moveitisapi.dll?action=m2 > SILMachine2 (machine2.aspx) > SetAllSessionVarsFromHeaders()` path, then copied to this `.SelfProvisionedRecips` via `guestaccess.aspx`.

The `SelfProvisionedRecips` value is then parsed as a comma-separated list of email addresses and passed to `UserGetUsersWithEmailAddress()` unsanitized, *to be then inserted into the constructed SQL query as the AND Email='...' value, resulting in the execution of arbitrary queries.*

CVE Details

<https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2023-36934/>

CWE ids for CVE-2023-36934

CWE-89 Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an SQL Command ('SQL Injection')

The product constructs all or part of an SQL command using externally-influenced input from an upstream component, but it does not neutralize or incorrectly neutralizes special elements that could modify the intended SQL command when it is sent to a downstream component. Without sufficient removal or quoting of SQL syntax in user-controllable inputs, the generated SQL query can cause those inputs to be interpreted as SQL instead of ordinary user data.

Assigned by: nvd@nist.gov (Primary)

CWE (Common Weakness Enumeration)

<https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/89.html>

The product constructs all or part of an SQL command using externally-influenced input from an upstream component, but it does not neutralize or incorrectly neutralizes special elements that could modify the intended SQL command when it is sent to a downstream component. Without sufficient removal or quoting of SQL syntax in user-controllable inputs, the generated SQL query can cause those inputs to be interpreted as SQL instead of ordinary user data.