

Blue Team: Summary of Operations

Table of Contents


- Network Topology
- Description of Targets
- Monitoring the Targets
- Patterns of Traffic & Behavior
- Suggestions for Going Further

Network Topology

The following machines were identified on the network:

- Kali
 - **Operating System:** Debian Kali 5.4.0
 - **Purpose:** Pen Tester
 - **IP Address:** 192.168.1.90
- Capstone
 - **Operating System:** Ubuntu 20.04.4
 - **Purpose:** Vulnerable Web Server
 - **IP Address:** 192.168.1.105
- Elk
 - **Operating System:** Ubuntu 18.04
 - **Purpose:** Kibana Stack
 - **IP Address:** 192.168.1.100
- Target 1
 - **Operating System:** Debian GNU/Linux 8
 - **Purpose:** Wordpress Host
 - **IP Address:** 192.168.1.100

Network Diagram:



Description of Targets

The target of this attack was: Target 1 **192.168.1.110/24**

Target 1 is an Apache web server and has SSH enabled, so ports 80 and 22 are possible ports of entry for attackers. As such, the following alerts have been implemented:

Monitoring the Targets

Traffic to these services should be carefully monitored. To this end, we have implemented the alerts below:

Name of Alert 1

Excessive HTTP Errors

Alert 1 is implemented as follows:

- **Metric:** *WHEN count() GROUPED OVER top 5 'http.response.status_code'*
- **Threshold:** *IS ABOVE 400*
- **Vulnerability Mitigated:** *Enumeration/Brute Force*

- **Reliability:** There is a high degree of reliability in the alert. Measuring by error codes 400 and above will filter out any normal or successful responses. In addition to 400+ codes, there are also client and server errors that are of greater concern. Especially when taking into account these error codes going off at a high rate.

HTTP Request Size Monitor

Alert 2 is implemented as follows:

- **Metric:** WHEN sum() of http.request.bytes OVER all documents
- **Threshold:** *IS ABOVE 3500*
- **Vulnerability Mitigated:** Code injection in HTTP requests (XSS and CRLF) or DDOS
- **Reliability:** Alert could create false positives. It comes in at a medium reliability. There is a possibility for a large non malicious HTTP request or legitimate HTTP traffic.

CPU Usage Monitor

Alert 3 is implemented as follows:

- **Metric:** *WHEN max() OF system.process.cpu.total.pct OVER all documents*
- **Threshold:** *IS ABOVE 0.5*
- **Vulnerability Mitigated:** *Malicious software, programs (malware or viruses) running taking up resources*
- **Reliability:** *The alert is highly reliable. Regardless of whether a malicious program is running, this can still reveal where CPU usage can be improved.*

Note: Explain at least 3 alerts. Add more if time allows.

Suggestions for Going Further (Optional)

- Each alert above pertains to a specific vulnerability/exploit. Recall that alerts only detect malicious behavior, but do not stop it. For each vulnerability/exploit identified by the alerts above, suggest a patch. E.g., implementing a blocklist is an effective tactic against brute-force attacks. It is not necessary to explain *how* to implement each patch.

The logs and alerts generated during the assessment suggest that this network is susceptible to several active threats, identified by the alerts above. In addition to watching for occurrences of such threats, the network should be hardened against them. The Blue Team suggests that IT implement the fixes below to protect the network:

- Vulnerability 1
 - **Patch:** : E.g., *install special-security-package with apt-get*
 - **Why It Works:** : E.g., *special-security-package scans the system for viruses every day*
- Vulnerability 2
 - **Patch:** : E.g., *install special-security-package with apt-get*
 - **Why It Works:** : E.g., *special-security-package scans the system for viruses every day*
- Vulnerability 3
 - **Patch:** : E.g., *install special-security-package with apt-get*
 - **Why It Works:** : E.g., *special-security-package scans the system for viruses every day*