

Problem Set 8

Parvesh Adi Lachman

November 2023

1 Problem 1

Claim: Let $G = (V, E)$ be any undirected graph, and let $f : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ be a k -colouring of G , where $k = \chi(G)$. The binary relation \equiv_f on V defined by

$$u \equiv_f v \text{ if and only if } f(u) = f(v)$$

(a)

	Mathematical Reasoning	Reason this Statement is True (From the Approved List)
WTS	$u \equiv_f v$	By def of reflexive
Consider any $u \in V$. WTS		By rephrasing
\Rightarrow		

(b)

	Mathematical Reasoning	Reason this Statement is True (From the Approved List)
WTS		By def of symmetric
Consider any $u, v \in V$. Suppose		By this is the antecedent
WTS		This is the consequent
\Rightarrow		
\Rightarrow		
\Rightarrow		

(c)

	Mathematical Reasoning	Reason this Statement is True (From the Approved List)
WTS		By def of transitive
Consider any $u, v \in V$. Suppose		By this is the antecedent
WTS		This is the consequent
\Rightarrow		
\Rightarrow		
\Rightarrow		

(d)

There are * equivalence classes of \equiv_f .

Informally, the equivalence classes are: the sets of vertices that have the same colour.

In precise mathematical language, the equivalence classes of \equiv_f are:

The proof that these are the equivalence classes is as follows:

	Mathematical Reasoning	Reason this Statement is True (From the Approved List)
		By def of equivalence class
\Rightarrow		
\Rightarrow		

2 Problem 2

Claim: Suppose a graph $G = (V, E)$ is 2-colourable using the colouring $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. Then for any path Q , the length of Q has a parity $|f(u) - f(v)|$, where u and v are the endpoints of Q .

Step 0: For all $\text{len}(Q) \geq 0$, we want to show that $\text{len}(Q)$ has a parity of $|f(u) - f(v)|$, where u and v are the endpoints of Q .

Step 1: For any $n \geq 0$, let $P(n)$ be the property that for all paths of length n , $\text{parity}(n) = |f(u) - f(v)|$, where u and v are the path's endpoints.

Step 2: As a base case, consider when $n = 0$. We will show that $P(0)$ is true: that is, that $\text{parity}(0) = |f(u) - f(v)|$. Consider any path of length 0. We want to show $\text{parity}(0) = |f(u) - f(v)|$. Fortunately, since this path has no edges, the endpoints are the same node. Therefore, $\text{parity}(0) = |f(u) - f(v)|$ is true.

Step 3: Let $k \geq 0$. For the induction hypothesis, suppose $P(k)$ is true. That is, suppose that for all paths of length k , $\text{parity}(k) = |f(u) - f(v)|$, where u and v are the path's endpoints.

Step 4: Now we prove that $P(k+1)$ is true, using the (hypothetical) induction assumption that $P(k)$ is true. That is, we prove for all paths of length $k+1$, $\text{parity}(k+1) = |f(u) - f(v)|$, where u and v are the path's endpoints.

Step 5: The proof that $P(k+1)$ is true (given that $P(k)$ is true) is as follows:

Consider any path of length $k+1$. We want to show that $\text{parity}(k+1) = |f(u) - f(v)|$. This path can be split into two paths: one of length k and one of length 1.

There are two cases:

$|f(u) - f(v)| = 1$:

$\Rightarrow \text{parity}(k+1)$	LHS of $P(k+1)$
$\Rightarrow \text{parity}(k) + 1$	By def of parity
$\Rightarrow f(u) - f(v) + 1$	By IH
$\Rightarrow 1 + 1$	By def of $ f(u) - f(v) $
$= 2$	By algebra
$\Rightarrow \text{even}$	By def of even

$|f(u) - f(v)| = 0$

$\Rightarrow \text{parity}(k+1)$	LHS of $P(k+1)$
$\Rightarrow \text{parity}(k) + 1$	By def of parity
$\Rightarrow f(u) - f(v) + 1$	By IH
$\Rightarrow 0 + 1$	By def of $ f(u) - f(v) $
$= 1$	By algebra
$\Rightarrow \text{odd}$	By def of odd

Therefore we have shown that *if* $P(k)$ is true, *then* $P(k + 1)$ is true, for all $k \geq 0$.

Step 6: The steps above have shown that for any $k \geq 0$, if $P(k)$ is true, then $P(k + 1)$ is also true. Combined with the base case, which shows that $P(0)$ is true, we have shown that for all $n \geq 0$, $P(n)$ is true, as desired.

3 Problem 3

Consider the algorithm below, which takes as input a connected graph $G = (V, E)$ and tries to colour it with two colours T, F (for "true" and "false").

Algorithm 1: two-colour($G = (V, E)$):

```

// Initialization
1 Pick an arbitrary element  $u_0 \in V$ , label it  $T$  (i.e. set  $f(u_0) = T$ );
2 Set  $i \leftarrow 1$ ;

// Colouring Process
3 while there is an unlabeled  $v \in V$  with a labeled neighbor  $w$  do
4   Label  $v$  with  $\neg f(w)$  (i.e. set  $f(v) = \neg f(w)$ ) and set  $u_i = v$ ;
5   Update  $i \leftarrow i + 1$ ;

// Output
6 return  $f$ ;

```

Claim: For any connected graph $G = (V, E)$, if G has no odd-length cycles, then G is bipartite.

Contrapositive: For any connected graph $G = (V, E)$, if G is not bipartite, then G has an odd-length cycle.

	Mathematical Reasoning	Reason this Statement is True (From the Approved List)
We want to show	For any connected graph, if G is not bipartite, the G has an odd-length cycle	Since this is the contrapositive of our claim
Suppose	G is a connected graph that is not bipartite	By assumption
\Rightarrow		