# Problem Set 7

#### Parvesh Adi Lachman

### November 2023

## 1 Problem 1

Consider the algorithm below, which takes an  $n \geq 0$  and finds it remainder when divided by  $c \geq 1$ 

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{function} \ \operatorname{REMAINDER}(n) : \\ & \textbf{if} \ n \leq c - 1 \ \textbf{then} \\ & \textbf{return} \ n \\ & \textbf{else} \\ & \textbf{return} \ \operatorname{Remainder}(n - c) \\ & \textbf{end if} \\ & \textbf{end function} \end{aligned}
```

Claim: Let  $c \ge 1$ . For any  $n \ge 0$ , remainder $(n) = n \mod c$ .

**Step 0:** For  $n \ge 0$ , we want to show that **remainder** $(n) = n \mod c$ .

**Step 1:** For any  $n \ge 0$ , Let P(n) be the property that **remainder** $(n) = n \mod c$ .

We want to show that P(n) is true for all  $n \geq 0$ .

**Step 2:** As base cases, consider when

n=0. We will show that P(0) is true: that is **remainder**(0) = 0 mod c. Fortunately, this is true since  $c \geq 1$  and in the algorithm, if  $n \leq c-1$ , and in this case n=0,  $c \geq 1$ . This implies  $0 \leq 1-1=0 \leq 0$  which is true, then **remainder**(n) = n. Thus, **remainder**(0) = n, so RHS = n0. Also, 0 mod n0 so LHS = n0. Thus, LHS = RHS, so n0 is true.

Additionally, for any  $n \leq c - 1$ , **remainder** $(n) = n = (n \mod c)$ , this is true since due to the definition of the algorithm.

**Step 3:** Let  $k \ge 1$ . For the induction hypothesis, suppose that  $P(0), \ldots, P(k)$  are true, or equivalently, that for all  $0 \le k' \le k : P(k')$ . That is, suppose that **remainder** $(k') = k' \mod c$ .

**Step 4:** Now we prove that P(k+1) is true, using our induction assumptions that  $P(0), \ldots, P(k)$  are true. That is, we prove that **remainder** $(k+1) = (k+1) \mod c$ .

**Step 5:** If k + 1 < c, then **remainder** $(k + 1) = k + 1 = k + 1 \mod c$  by definition of algorithm. Otherwise the proof that P(k + 1) is true (given that  $P(0), \ldots, P(k)$  are true) is as follows:

```
Left hand side of P(k+1) = remainder(k+1)

= remainder((k+1)-c) By def of algorithm, since k+1 \ge c-1

= ((k+1)-c) \mod c By IH, since 0 \le (k+1)-c \le k

= (k+1) \mod c - c \mod c By def of mod

= (k+1) \mod c Since c \ge 1, c \mod c = 0

= Right hand side of P(k+1)
```

**Step 6:** The steps above have shown that for any  $k \geq 1$ , if  $P(0), \ldots, P(k)$  are true, then P(k+1) is also true. Combined with the base cases, which show that P(0) are true, we have shown that for all  $n \geq 0$ , P(n) is true, as desired.

### 2 Problem 2

**Claim:** Let  $n, c \ge 1$  and  $c \le n$ . The number of simple paths of length c in the complete graph on n nodes is  $\frac{n!}{(n-c-1)!}$  which is equal to  $n(n-1)\cdots(n-c)$ .

**complete graph**  $K_n$ : an undirected graph on n nodes with an edge between every pair of nodes.

**simple path:** a sequence of distinct nodes with edges between consecutive nodes in the sequence.

**length of a path:** the number of *edges* in the path (**not** number of nodes).

**Step 0:** For all  $c \geq 1$ , we want to show that the number of simple paths of length c in the complete graph on n nodes is  $\frac{n!}{(n-c-1)!}$  which is equal to  $n(n-1)\cdots(n-c)$ .

**Step 1:** For any  $c \ge 1$ , Let P(c) be the property that the number of simple paths of length c in the complete graph on n nodes is  $\frac{n!}{(n-c-1)!}$  which is equal to  $n(n-1)\cdots(n-c)$ .

**Step 2:** As base cases, consider when c=1. We will show that P(1) is true: that is the number of simple paths of length 1 in the complete graph on n nodes is  $\frac{n!}{(n-1-1)!}$  which is equal to n(n-1). Fortunately, this is true since the number of simple paths of length 1 in the complete graph on n nodes is n(n-1), and  $\frac{n!}{(n-1-1)!} = n!$ , and n! = n(n-1). Thus, the number of simple paths of length 1 in the complete graph on n nodes is  $\frac{n!}{(n-1-1)!}$  which is equal to n(n-1), so LHS = RHS. Thus, P(1) is true.

**Step 3:** For the induction hypothesis, suppose (hypothetically) that P(k) is true for some fixed  $k \geq 1$ . That is, suppose that the number of simple paths of length k in the complete graph on n nodes is  $\frac{n!}{(n-k-1)!}$  which is equal to  $n(n-1)\cdots(n-k)$ .

**Step 4:** Now we prove that P(k+1) is true, using our induction assumptions that P(k) is true. That is, we prove that the number of simple paths of length k+1 in the complete graph on n nodes is  $\frac{n!}{(n-(k+1)-1)!}$  which is equal to  $n(n-1)\cdots(n-(k+1))$ .

**Step 5:** The proof that P(k+1) is true (given that P(k) is true) is as follows:

Left hand side of 
$$P(k+1)$$
 = The number of simple paths of length  $k+1$  in  $K_n$  = The number of simple paths of length  $k$  in  $K_n$  \*  $(n-(k+1))$  Since there are  $k+1$  nodes in length  $k$  path 
$$= \frac{n!}{(n-k-1)!} \cdot (n-(k+1))$$
 By IH 
$$= \frac{n!}{(n-k-1)!} \cdot \frac{(n-k-1)!}{(n-(k+1)-1)!}$$
 By algebra 
$$= \frac{n!}{(n-(k+1)-1)!}$$
 By algebra By algebra 
$$= \text{Right hand side of } P(k+1)$$

**Step 6:** The steps above have shown that if P(k) is true, then P(k+1) is also true. Combined with the base case, which shows that P(1) is true, we have shown that for all  $c \geq 1$ , P(c) is true, as desired.

### 3 Problem 3

Recall the Fibonacci numbers, as defined by:

$$f_1 = 1$$
  
 $f_2 = 1$   
 $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$  for  $n \ge 3$ 

Recall the Sharp numbers from PS6, as defined by:

$$s_1 = 2$$
  
 $s_2 = 4$   
 $s_n = s_{n-1} + s_{n-2}$  for  $n \ge 3$ 

**Claim:** For all  $n \ge 3$ ,  $s_n = 4 \cdot f_{n-1} + 2 \cdot f_{n-2}$ .

**Step 0:** For  $n \geq 3$ , we want to show that  $s_n = 4 \cdot f_{n-1} + 2 \cdot f_{n-2}$ .

**Step 1:** For any  $n \ge 3$ , Let P(n) be the property that  $s_n = 4 \cdot f_{n-1} + 2 \cdot f_{n-2}$ . We want to show that P(n) is true for all  $n \ge 3$ .

Step 2: As base cases, consider when

n=3. We will show that P(3) is true: that is  $s_3=4\cdot f_{3-1}+2\cdot f_{3-2}$ . Fortunately, this is true since  $s_3=s_2+s_1=4+2=6$  and  $4\cdot f_{3-1}+2\cdot f_{3-2}=4\cdot f_2+2\cdot f_1=4\cdot 1+2\cdot 1=6$ . Thus,  $s_3=4\cdot f_{3-1}+2\cdot f_{3-2}$ , so LHS = RHS. Thus, P(3) is true.

n=4. We will show that P(4) is true: that is  $s_4=4\cdot f_{4-1}+2\cdot f_{4-2}$ . Fortunately, this is true since  $s_4=s_3+s_2=6+4=10$  and  $4\cdot f_{4-1}+2\cdot f_{4-2}=4\cdot f_3+2\cdot f_2=4\cdot 2+2\cdot 1=10$ . Thus,  $s_4=4\cdot f_{4-1}+2\cdot f_{4-2}$ , so LHS = RHS. Thus, P(4) is true.

**Step 3:** Let  $k \geq 4$ . For the induction hypothesis, suppose that  $P(3), P(4), \ldots, P(k)$  are true, or equivalently, that for all  $3 \leq k' \leq k : P(k')$ . That is, suppose that  $s_{k'} = 4 \cdot f_{k'-1} + 2 \cdot f_{k'-2}$ .

**Step 4:** Now we prove that P(k+1) is true, using our induction assumptions that  $P(3), P(4), \ldots, P(k)$  are true. That is, we prove that  $s_{k+1} = 4 \cdot f_{k+1-1} + 2 \cdot f_{k+1-2}$ .

**Step 5:** The proof that P(k+1) is true (given that  $P(3), P(4), \dots, P(k)$  are true) is as follows:

```
Left hand side of P(k+1) = s_{k+1} = s_k + s_{k-1} By def of sequence (Sharp numbers)

= (4 \cdot f_{k-1} + 2 \cdot f_{k-2}) + (4 \cdot f_{k-2} + 2 \cdot f_{k-3}) By IH

= 4 \cdot f_{k-1} + 2 \cdot f_{k-2} + 4 \cdot f_{k-2} + 2 \cdot f_{k-3} By algebra

= 4 \cdot f_{k-1} + 4 \cdot f_{k-2} + 2 \cdot f_{k-2} + 2 \cdot f_{k-3} By rewriting

= 4(f_{k-1} + f_{k-2}) + 2(f_{k-2} + f_{k-3}) By factoring and algebra

= 4 \cdot f_k + 2 \cdot f_{k-1} By def of sequence (Fibonacci numbers)

= Right hand side of P(k+1)
```

**Step 6:** The steps above have shown that for any  $k \geq 4$ , if  $P(3), P(4), \ldots, P(k)$  are true, then P(k+1) is also true. Combined with the base cases, which show that P(3), P(4) are true, we have shown that for all  $n \geq 3$ , P(n) is true, as desired.