# Constant Entropy: A Unified Perspective with Lambda Calculus

May 4, 2025

#### **Abstract**

Entropy, a measure of disorder in thermodynamics and uncertainty in information theory, unifies physical and computational systems. The Constant Entropy model proposes that total entropy, comprising system entropy and future potential entropy, remains constant, with shifts from future possibilities to past actualities. This paper updates the model by defining computational entropy using Lambda Calculus, leveraging its functional purity to model Turing Machine operations and measure information content. We map thermodynamic and other entropy formulas to Lambda Calculus equivalents, establishing a consistent framework to relate entropy across domains. Examples from the Weighing Problem, games "63" and "Submarine," zero-knowledge proofs, and cellular metabolism illustrate how Lambda Calculus informs the choice of computational entropy formulas for different scenarios. Formatted for clarity, this comprehensive analysis targets readers with backgrounds in thermodynamics, information theory, and computational theory.

### 1 Introduction

Entropy quantifies disorder in physical systems and uncertainty in informational systems, bridging thermodynamics and computation. In thermodynamics, entropy measures energy unavailability, governed by the second law [?]. In information theory, Shannon Entropy measures the information required to predict a random variable's outcome, defined as  $H(X) = -\sum_i P(x_i) \log_2 P(x_i)$  [?]. The Constant Entropy model posits that total entropy, including a notional "future potential" entropy, is conserved, with system entropy increases balanced by potential decreases, reflecting shifts from possibilities to actualities.

This model, a conceptual lens rather than a new physical law, unifies systems like gas expansion and zero-knowledge proofs. To enhance its computational applicability, we redefine computational entropy using Lambda Calculus, a Turing-complete system for function abstraction and application [?]. Lambda Calculus models computations, including Turing Machine operations, leveraging the Church-Turing thesis [?], and measures information content, particularly for computations where reversing to retrieve variables triggers infinite reduction paths, encoding underivability. We map thermodynamic entropy formulas to

Lambda Calculus equivalents, establishing a consistent framework to relate entropy across domains. Examples from the Weighing Problem, games "63" and "Submarine," zero-knowledge proofs, and cellular metabolism illustrate how these mappings inform the choice of computational entropy formulas. This paper integrates prior research, formatted in LaTeX for rendering, targeting readers with thermodynamics, information theory, and computational theory backgrounds.

## 2 The Constant Entropy Model

#### 2.1 Definition and Core Principles

The Constant Entropy model asserts that total entropy is conserved:

$$H_{\text{total}} = H_{\text{system}} + H_{\text{potential}}$$

with shifts satisfying:

$$\Delta H_{\rm system} = -\Delta H_{\rm potential}$$

- \*\*System Entropy  $(H_{\rm system})$ \*\*: Measurable entropy, reflecting physical disorder (e.g., molecular randomness) or computational uncertainty (e.g., unpredictability of a lambda term's reduction). - \*\*Future Potential Entropy  $(H_{\rm potential})$ \*\*: Latent capacity for order, work, or information, analogous to exergy in thermodynamics or unreduced computational paths in Lambda Calculus, decreasing as system entropy increases. - \*\*Entropy Shift\*\*: Transitions from possibilities to actualities, maintaining constant total entropy, termed "shifts" for consistency with thermodynamic energy shifts.

This contrasts with standard thermodynamics, where system entropy often increases irreversibly, and aligns with computational systems where entropy is conserved, as per Landauer's principle [?].

#### 2.2 Relation to Standard Models

- \*\*Thermodynamics\*\*: Entropy changes are calculated using state variables, with irreversible processes increasing system entropy. The model reinterprets these as shifts within a conserved total, complementing standard calculations. - \*\*Information Theory\*\*: Lambda Calculus defines computational entropy as term complexity or reduction uncertainty, extending Shannon Entropy, with conservation in reversible or cryptographic systems.

### 2.3 Educational Analogy: Library Reorganization

A neatly shelved library (low entropy, high potential) becomes scattered (high entropy, low potential), but total "organizational entropy" remains constant. Reorganizing shifts entropy back to potential, mirroring the model's balance.

### 3 Thermodynamic Entropy in Lambda Calculus

To unify thermodynamic and computational entropy, we map thermodynamic entropy formulas to Lambda Calculus analogs, treating physical states as computational states with associated uncertainties.

Thermodynamic Entropy Formulas

1. \*\*Ideal Gas Entropy Change\*\*:

$$\Delta S = nC_v \ln \left( rac{T_2}{T_1} 
ight) + nR \ln \left( rac{V_2}{V_1} 
ight)$$

where n is moles,  $C_v$  is molar heat capacity, R is the gas constant,  $T_1, T_2$  are temperatures, and  $V_1, V_2$  are volumes.

2. \*\*Maximum Entropy (Free Expansion)\*\*:

$$\Delta S_{\max} = nR \ln \left(\frac{V_2}{V_1}\right)$$

3. \*\*Chemical Reactions\*\*:

$$\Delta S = \int \frac{dQ_{\text{rev}}}{T}$$

or standard entropy change, e.g.,  $\Delta S^{\circ} = -242.8\,\mathrm{J/(mol\cdot K)}$  for methane combustion.

4. \*\*Statistical Mechanics Entropy\*\*:

$$S = k_B \ln W$$

where  $\mathit{k}_{\mathit{B}}$  is Boltzmann's constant, and  $\mathit{W}$  is the number of microstates.

Lambda Calculus Mapping

Thermodynamic entropy reflects the number of microstates or disorder. In Lambda Calculus, we model a physical system's state as a term encoding possible microstates, with entropy related to the logarithm of the number of equivalent terms or reduction paths:

-\*\*System Entropy  $(H_{\rm system})^{**}$ : Analogous to S, defined as  $\log_2 W$ , where W is the number of microstates represented by the term's possible configurations. -\*\*Potential Entropy  $(H_{\rm potential})^{**}$ : Analogous to exergy, defined as the logarithm of the number of unrealized microstates or computational paths, decreasing as system entropy increases. - \*\*Total Entropy\*\*:  $H_{\rm total} = \log_2 W_{\rm total}$ , where  $W_{\rm total}$  is the total number of possible states, constant across transformations.

For example, in free expansion ( $\Delta S_{\max} = nR \ln \left( \frac{V_2}{V_1} \right)$ ), model the gas's initial state as a term with  $W_1$  microstates ( $H_{\text{system}} = \log_2 W_1$ ). After expansion,  $W_2 = W_1 \cdot \frac{V_2}{V_1}$ , so:

$$\Delta H_{\mathsf{system}} = \log_2 W_2 - \log_2 W_1 = \log_2 \left( rac{V_2}{V_1} 
ight)$$

Converting to physical units via Landauer's principle (1 bit =  $k_B \ln 2 \text{ J/K}$ ):

$$\Delta S = k_B \ln 2 \cdot \log_2 \left(\frac{V_2}{V_1}\right) = k_B \ln \left(\frac{V_2}{V_1}\right)$$

Adjusting for moles:

$$\Delta S = nR \ln \left( \frac{V_2}{V_1} \right)$$

Thus,  $H_{\mathrm{potential}}$  decreases by the same amount, maintaining constant total entropy.

Computational Entropy with Lambda Calculus

Definition and Calculation

For a lambda term M, computational entropy is defined as:

- \*\*System Entropy  $(H_{\text{system}})^{**}$ :  $\log_2 |N|$ , where |N| is the AST size of the normal form N, reflecting the output's information content. - \*\*Potential Entropy  $(H_{\text{potential}})^{**}$ :  $\log_2 |M| - \log_2 |N|$ , capturing unreduced complexity or hidden variables. - \*\*Total Entropy\*\*:  $H_{\text{total}} = \log_2 |M|$ , constant across reductions.

For multiple reduction paths, entropy is:

$$H(M) = -\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \log_2 p_i$$

where  $p_i$  is the probability of each normal form or path.

Infinite reduction paths preserve entropy for hidden variables by ensuring computational underivability, as in zero-knowledge proofs, where reversing to retrieve secrets triggers divergence.

**Examples and Method Selection** 

- 1. \*\*Weighing Problem\*\*: \*\*Scenario\*\*: Identify a defective coin among 12 (24 scenarios) in 3 weighings. \*\*Lambda Model\*\*: State as a list term, weighings as filter functions. \*\*Entropy\*\*: Initial  $H_0 = \log_2 24 \approx 4.585$  bits, reducing to 0 over 3 steps. \*\*Method\*\*: Iterative entropy reconstruction (Method 3) is relevant, as each weighing's information gain ( $\approx \log_2 3$ ) informs optimal query strategies, balancing observation vs. prediction.
- 2. \*\*Game 63\*\*: \*\*Scenario\*\*: Guess a number from 1 to 63 in 6 yes/no queries. \*\*Lambda Model\*\*: State as a list, queries as binary partitions. \*\*Entropy\*\*:  $H_0 = \log_2 63 \approx 5.977$  bits, reducing by 1 bit per query. \*\*Method\*\*: Maximum entropy (Method 2) suits simple, uniform distributions, estimating iterations needed for certainty.
- 3. \*\*Submarine\*\*: \*\*Scenario\*\*: Locate a submarine in a 10x10 grid (100 cells) in 7 queries. \*\*Lambda Model\*\*: Grid as a list, queries as spatial partitions. \*\*Entropy\*\*:  $H_0 = \log_2 100 \approx 6.644$  bits, reducing by 1 bit per query. \*\*Method\*\*: Sequence-based entropy (Method 4) evaluates result patterns to assess observational efficiency.
- 4. \*\*Zero-Knowledge Proof\*\*: \*\*Scenario\*\*: Prove a statement without revealing a secret. \*\*Lambda Model\*\*: Term  $M=(\lambda x.P)K$ , with infinite reduction for secret retrieval. \*\*Entropy\*\*:  $H_{\text{system}} \approx \log_2 |P[K/x]|$ ,  $H_{\text{potential}} \approx H(S)$ . \*\*Method\*\*: Bayesian inference (Method 1) models function probabilities, with infinite paths ensuring high  $H_{\text{potential}}$ .
- 5. \*\*Cellular Metabolism\*\*: \*\*Scenario\*\*: ATP hydrolysis increases system entropy, exporting disorder. \*\*Lambda Model\*\*: State as a term encoding molecular configurations, reactions as reductions. \*\*Entropy\*\*:  $\Delta S \approx 20 \, \text{J/(mol-molecular)}$

K), mapped to  $\log_2 W$ . - \*\*Method\*\*: Model selection (Method 5) uses reaction constraints to estimate entropy shifts.

**Consistent Framework** 

The Constant Entropy model unifies domains by defining:

-\*\*Thermodynamic Systems\*\*:  $H_{\rm system}=S$ ,  $H_{\rm potential}\propto$  exergy, using standard formulas. - \*\*Computational Systems\*\*:  $H_{\rm system}=\log_2|N|$ ,  $H_{\rm potential}=\log_2|M|-\log_2|N|$ , or Shannon-like measures for reduction paths.

This framework informs method selection based on scenario characteristics, balancing predictive and observational strategies.

Conclusion

The updated Constant Entropy model, incorporating the Lambda Calculus method, provides a unified framework for entropy across domains. By mapping thermodynamic formulas to computational analogs and applying Lambda Calculus to computational scenarios, we offer a consistent method to calculate entropy, with examples illustrating optimal method selection for diverse applications.

## **Key Citations**

 $- [Second \ Law \ of Thermodynamics] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_{l}aw_{o}f_{t}hermodynamics} [ShannonEntropyinInformationTheory] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Entropy_{information_{t}heory} [LambdaCalculusOverview] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_{c}alculus)-[Church-TuringThesisExplanation] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church-[Zero-KnowledgeProofConet.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zero-knowledge_{p}roof)-[ReversibleComputingPrinciples] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reversible_{c}omputing)-[Landauer'sPrincipleinInformationTheory] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Landauer-[BattleshipGameStrategy] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bayesian_{i}nference)-[MaximumEntropyPrinciple] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Principle_{o}f_{m}aximum_{e}ntropy)-[AkaikeInformationCriterion] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Akaike_{i}nformation_{c}riterion)-[JeopardyGameShowRules] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jeopardy!)-[FamilyFeudGameShowF/en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FamilyFeud)$