

Some Notes

Brandon Goodell, Rigo Salazar, Freeman Slaughter, Luke Szramowski

Cypher Stack

May 24, 2025

1 Introduction

Feldman's (n, t) Verifiable Secret Sharing Scheme	
Input: public G	
Dealer	Party P_i
Sample $a_0, \dots, a_t \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{F}_p^*$ Form $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_tx^t$ for secret a_0 Share commits $A_k = a_kG$ for $i = 0, \dots, t$	
Share $s_i = f(i)$	$\xrightarrow{(A_0, \dots, A_t)}$ $\xrightarrow{s_i}$ P_i uses (A_0, \dots, A_t) to verify s_i is valid Set $V = s_iG$ and $V' = A_0 + iA_1 + \dots + i^tA_t$ Define $S = V' - V$. Normally, check that $S = 0$ Eagen/Bassa stuff: $\sum_{k=0}^t \frac{i^k}{x - \pi(A_k)} - \frac{s_i}{x - \pi(G)}$

Table 1: Feldman's protocol, with the Sum of Points stuff.

Point is, we can cut down on P_i 's point computations from $t + 1$ to 0, permitting some error. The secret a_0 will eventually be recovered using Lagrange interpolation - can we also use divisor stuff for this??

An Actual Proof of Knowledge	
Public: $G, B_i = 2^iG$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$	
Private: s_i such that $a = s_0 + 2s_1 + \dots + 2^ks_k$	
Prover	Verifier
Sample $r \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{F}_p^*$ Factor $r = r_0 + 2r_1 + \dots + 2^kr_k$ Set $Q = rG$	
Form $c_i = s_i + er_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$	\xrightarrow{Q} \xleftarrow{e} $\xrightarrow{c_0, \dots, c_k}$ Sample $e \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{F}_p^*$ Check $c_0B_0 + \dots + c_kB_k \stackrel{?}{=} P + eQ$ Use divisor nonsense for <i>this</i> check!

Table 2:

This protocol is complete due to the computation:

$$\begin{aligned} & c_0B_0 + \cdots + c_kB_k \\ &= (s_0 + er_0)G + \cdots + (s_k + er_k)(2^kG) \\ &= (s_0 + \cdots + 2^ks_k)G + e(r_0 + \cdots + 2^kr_k)G \\ &= P + eQ \end{aligned}$$