

# Active Type-Checking and Translation

Cyrus Omar    Jonathan Aldrich

School of Computer Science  
Carnegie Mellon University  
{comar, jonathan.aldrich}@cs.cmu.edu

## Abstract

Researchers and domain experts propose novel language primitives regularly. Unfortunately, today's statically-typed languages are monolithic: they do not give users the ability to specify the semantics of new primitive types and operators directly. This has led to a proliferation of standalone languages built around a few situationally useful constructs. An alternative to this language-oriented approach is to work in an extensible programming language. Designing an extensibility mechanism that is both expressive and safe has been a challenge—extensions must not weaken the global safety properties of the language, compromise the integrity of the compilation process, or interfere with one other, while still admitting a range of useful constructs.

This paper introduces a mechanism called active type-checking and translation (AT&T) that aims to address these issues. By repurposing type-level computation in a novel way, AT&T supports a wide range of user-defined semantics over a fixed grammar in a safe and modular manner. In a language supporting AT&T, primitive types/families are represented uniformly at the type level. Each type is equipped with operators, defined using type-level functions, that are invoked specifically during the type-checking and translation of operations relevant to that type. We discuss three points in the design space: (1) a simple judgmental construction of AT&T designed to distill the essential concepts and admit formal safety theorems, (2) a "real" language called Ace that we use to demonstrate the expressive power of AT&T in practice, and (3) a language design called Birdie that lifts and extends Coq's rich system of dependent types into the type-level, allowing extension developers to fully prove the correctness of extensions statically.

**Categories and Subject Descriptors** D.3.2 [Programming Languages]: Language Classifications—Extensible Languages; D.3.4 [Programming Languages]: Processors—Compilers; F.3.1 [Logics & Meanings of Programs]: Specifying and Verifying and Reasoning about Programs—Specification Techniques

**Keywords** extensible languages, type-level computation, typed compilation, specification languages

## 1. Introduction

Programming languages have historically been specified and implemented monolithically. To introduce new primitive constructs,

researchers or domain experts have developed a new language or a dialect of an existing language, with the help of tools like domain-specific language frameworks and compiler generators [?]. Unfortunately, taking a so-called *language-oriented approach* [?], where different languages are used for different components of an application, can lead to problems at language boundaries: a library's external interface must only rely on constructs that can be expressed in all possible calling languages. This means that specialized invariants cannot be checked statically, decreasing reliability and performance. It also often requires that developers generate verbose and unnatural "glue" code, defeating a primary purpose of specialized languages: hiding these low-level details from end-user developers.

Extensible programming languages promise to decrease the need for new standalone languages by providing more granular, language-based support for introducing new primitive constructs (that is, constructs that cannot be adequately expressed in terms of existing syntactic forms and primitive operations.) Developers would gain the freedom to choose those constructs that are most appropriate for their application domain and development discipline. Researchers would gain the ability to distribute new constructs for evaluation by a broader development community without requiring the approval of maintainers of mainstream languages, who are naturally risk-averse and uninterested in niche domains.

A significant challenge that faces language extensibility mechanisms is in maintaining the overall safety properties of the language and compilation process in the presence of arbitrary combinations of user extensions. The mechanism must ensure that basic metatheoretic and global safety guarantees of the language cannot be weakened, that extensions are safely composable, and that type checking and compilation remains decidable. The correctness of an extension itself should be modularly verifiable, so that its users can rely on it for verifying and compiling their own code. These are the issues that we seek to address in this work.

The approach we describe, *active type-checking and compilation* (AT&T), makes use of type-level computation in a novel way. To review, in languages supporting type-level computation, the syntactic class of types is not simply declarative. Instead, it forms a programming language itself (the *type-level language*). Types themselves are one kind of value in this language, but there can be many others. To ensure the safety of type-level computations, *kinds* classify type-level terms, just as types classify expression-level terms. The simplest example of a language featuring type-level computation is Girard's System  $F_\omega$  [?]. In  $F_\omega$ , types have kind  $\star$  and type-level functions have kinds like  $\star \rightarrow \star$ . A growing number of implemented languages now feature more sophisticated type-level languages (see Section 5). We emphasize that type-level computation occurs during compilation, rather than at run-time, because type-level terms that are used where types would normally be expected must be reduced to normal form before type-checking can proceed.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

In this work, we wish to allow extensions to strengthen the static semantics of our language. Naturally, extension specifications will also need to be evaluated during compilation and manipulate representations of types. This observation suggests that the type-level language may be able to serve directly as a specification language. In this paper, we show that this is indeed the case. By introducing some new constructs at the type-level, developers can specify the semantics of operators associated with newly-introduced families of primitive types with type-level functions. The compiler front-end invokes these functions to synthesize types for and assign meanings to expressions, by translation into a *typed internal language*. Unlike conventional metaprogramming systems, these *type-level specifications* do not directly manipulate or rewrite expressions. Instead, they examine and manipulate the types of these expressions. By using a sufficiently constraining kind system and incorporating techniques from typed compilation into the type-level language directly, the global safety properties of the language and compilation process can be guaranteed. In other words, users can only *increase* the safety of the language.

- In Section 2, we develop a core calculus,  $\lambda_A$ , and give simple examples of language features that can be expressed with it. We formalize the compiler front-end and state several lemmas that lead to useful safety theorems for the compiler and language as a whole. We show how AT&T requires that the language provide a solution to a type-level variant of Wadler’s expression problem ??.
- In Section 3, we briefly introduce the Ace programming language, which is based fundamentally on an elaboration of AT&T that supports a richer set of syntactic forms and a variant of type inference. It uses object-oriented inheritance to solve the type-level expression problem. A number of practical extensions have been written using Ace, including a complete implementation of the OpenCL type system (based on C99) as a library.
- In Section 4, we briefly describe another point in the design space, a language design we call Birdie. Birdie lifts an extension of the Gallina language, used by the Coq proof assistant, into the type level (leading to a language with dependent kinds). This additional complexity allows for full proofs of correctness for type-level specifications, and can allow proofs soundness of functional specifications against conventional inductive specifications. The expression problem is solved using a constrained formulation of open data types, rather than using object-oriented inheritance.
- In Section 5 we compare AT&T to previous work on extensible languages and compilers, metaprogramming systems and formal specification languages and conclude in Section 6 with a discussion of future work.

<b>expressions</b>	$e ::=$	$x \mid \lambda x:\psi.e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \psi_{\text{type}}.\text{op}\langle\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m\rangle() \mid \psi_{\text{type}}.\text{op}\langle\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m\rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n)$
<b>type-level specifications</b>	$\psi ::=$	$\mathbf{t} \mid \lambda \mathbf{t}_1:\kappa_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n:\kappa_n.\psi \mid \psi(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \mid \text{if } \psi_0 \equiv \psi_1 \text{ then } \psi_2 \text{ else } \psi_3$
standard terms		$() \mid (\psi_1, \psi_2) \mid \mathbf{fst} \psi \mid \mathbf{snd} \psi$
type families		$\text{family FAM}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi_{\text{rep}} \{ \theta : \Theta \} \text{ in } \psi \mid \text{famcase } \psi \text{ of } \phi \text{ then } \psi_0 \text{ else } \psi_1$
types		$\text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi \mid \text{idxof } \psi_{\text{type}} \mid \text{repop } \psi_{\text{type}}$
operator definitions		$\text{const}(\psi) \mid \text{op}(\psi)$
denotations		$\llbracket \psi_{\text{iterm}} \sim \psi_{\text{type}} \rrbracket \mid \text{valof } \psi_{\text{den}} \mid \text{typeof } \psi_{\text{den}} \mid \text{err}$
internal language		$\text{iterm}(\mu) \mid \text{itype}(\delta)$
programs		$\text{program}(e)$
family specifications	$\phi ::=$	$\text{FAM} \mid \text{family } [\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi_{\text{rep}} \{ \theta : \Theta \}$
operator lists	$\theta ::=$	$\cdot \mid \theta; \mathbf{op} = \psi$
<b>kinds</b>	$\kappa ::=$	$\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n \rightarrow \kappa \mid \mathbf{Unit} \mid \kappa_1 \times \kappa_2 \mid \text{Type} \in \eta \mid \text{Type} \mid \text{Op}_n \mid \text{Den}[\eta] \mid \text{Den} \mid \text{IType} \mid \text{ITerm} \mid \text{Program}$
family signatures	$\eta ::=$	$\text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\}$
operator list signatures	$\Theta ::=$	$\cdot \mid \Theta; \mathbf{op} : \kappa$
<b>internal terms</b>	$\mu ::=$	$u \mid \lambda u :: \delta.\mu \mid \text{fix } f :: \delta.\mu \mid \mu_1 \mu_2 \mid \text{if } \mu_0 \equiv \mu_1 \text{ then } \mu_2 \text{ else } \mu_3$ $\mid n \mid \mu_1 + \mu_2 \mid (\mu_1, \mu_2) \mid \mathbf{fst} \mu \mid \mathbf{snd} \mu \mid \uparrow(\psi)$
<b>internal types</b>	$\delta ::=$	$\delta_1 \rightarrow \delta_2 \mid \mathbf{int} \mid \delta_1 \times \delta_2 \mid \uparrow(\psi)$

**Figure 1.** Syntax of  $\lambda_A$ .  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes integer literals and LABEL denotes any label.

$$\frac{\overbrace{\cdot \vdash \psi_{\text{prog}} : \mathbf{Program}}^{\text{kind checking}} \quad \overbrace{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{prog}} \Downarrow_{\text{ok}} \mathbf{program}(e)}^{\text{specification normalization}} \quad \overbrace{\cdot \vdash e \longrightarrow \llbracket \mu \sim \text{type}[\psi_{\text{id}}] \in \phi \rrbracket}^{\text{verification \& translation}}}{\psi_{\text{prog}} \Longrightarrow \llbracket \mu \sim \text{type}[\psi_{\text{id}}] \in \phi \rrbracket}$$

**Figure 2.** Central compilation judgement of  $\lambda_A$ .

dfdsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-  
dfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-  
dfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-  
dfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf

[illegible][illegible]

afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-  
dfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-  
dfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-  
dfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

$$\boxed{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \kappa} \quad \Sigma ::= \cdot \mid \Sigma, \text{FAM} : \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\} \quad \Delta ::= \cdot \mid \Delta, \mathbf{t} : \kappa$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{t} : \kappa \in \Delta}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \mathbf{t} : \kappa} (\text{var}_{\psi}) \quad \frac{}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash () : \text{Unit}} (\text{unit}_{\psi}) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_1 : \kappa_1 \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_2 : \kappa_2}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash (\psi_1, \psi_2) : \kappa_1 \times \kappa_2} (\times_{\psi}) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \kappa_1 \times \kappa_2}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{fst } \psi : \kappa_1} (\text{fst}_{\psi})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \kappa_1 \times \kappa_2}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{snd } \psi : \kappa_2} (\text{snd}_{\psi}) \quad \frac{\Sigma \vdash \kappa_1 \quad \dots \quad \Sigma \vdash \kappa_n \quad \Sigma \Delta, \mathbf{t}_1 : \kappa_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n : \kappa_n \vdash \psi : \kappa}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \lambda \mathbf{t}_1 : \kappa_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n : \kappa_n. \psi : \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n \rightarrow \kappa} (\lambda_{\psi})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n \rightarrow \kappa \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_1 : \kappa_1 \quad \dots \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_n : \kappa_n}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) : \kappa} (\text{app}_{\psi})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_0 : \kappa_1 \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_1 : \kappa_1 \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_2 : \kappa_2 \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_3 : \kappa_2}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{if } \psi_0 \equiv \psi_1 \text{ then } \psi_2 \text{ else } \psi_3 : \kappa_2} (\text{cond}_{\psi})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma, \text{FAM} : \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\} \Delta \vdash \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi_{\text{rep}} \{\theta : \Theta\} : \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\} \quad \Sigma, \text{FAM} : \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\} \Delta \vdash \psi : \kappa}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{family FAM}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi_{\text{rep}} \{\theta : \Theta\} \text{ in } \psi : \kappa} (\text{fambind})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} : \text{Type} \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \phi : \eta \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_0 : \text{Type} \in \eta \rightarrow \kappa \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_1 : \kappa}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{famcase } \psi_{\text{type}} \text{ of } \phi \text{ then } \psi_0 \text{ else } \psi_1 : \kappa} (\text{famcase-T})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_{\text{den}} : \text{Den} \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \phi : \eta \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_0 : \text{Den}[\eta] \rightarrow \kappa \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_1 : \kappa}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{famcase } \psi_{\text{den}} \text{ of } \phi \text{ then } \psi_0 \text{ else } \psi_1 : \kappa} (\text{famcase-D})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \phi : \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\} \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_{\text{idx}} : \kappa_{\text{idx}}}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi : \text{Type} \in \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\}} (\text{Type}_I) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Type} \in \eta}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Type}} (\text{Type-}\subseteq) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Type} \in \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\}}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{idxof } \psi : \kappa_{\text{idx}}} (\text{idxof})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Type}}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{repof } \psi : \text{IType}} (\text{repof}) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Den}}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{const}(\psi) : \text{Op}_0} (\text{const}) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Den}, \dots, \text{Den} \rightarrow \text{Den}}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{op}(\psi) : \text{Op}_n} (n\text{-op})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_{\text{item}} : \text{ITerm} \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} : \text{Type} \in \eta}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \llbracket \psi_{\text{item}} \sim \psi_{\text{type}} \rrbracket : \text{Den}[\eta]} (\text{Den}_I) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_{\text{item}} : \text{ITerm} \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} : \text{Type}}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \llbracket \psi_{\text{item}} \sim \psi_{\text{type}} \rrbracket : \text{Den}} (\text{Den}_I\text{-}\subseteq)$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Den}[\eta]}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Den}} (\text{Den-}\subseteq) \quad \frac{}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{err} : \text{Den}} (\text{err}) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Den}[\eta]}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{typeof } \psi : \text{Type} \in \eta} (\text{typeof}) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Den}[\eta]}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{valof } \psi : \text{ITerm}} (\text{valof})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \mu}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{item}(\mu) : \text{ITerm}} (\text{item}) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \delta}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{itype}(\delta) : \text{IType}} (\text{itype}) \quad \frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash e}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{program}(e) : \text{Program}} (\text{program})$$

$$\boxed{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \phi : \eta}$$

$$\frac{\text{FAM} : \eta \in \Sigma}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{FAM} : \eta} (\text{var}_{\phi}) \quad \frac{\Sigma \vdash \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\} \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_{\text{rep}} : \kappa_{\text{idx}} \rightarrow \text{IType} \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \theta : \Theta}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi_{\text{rep}} \{\theta : \Theta\} : \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\}} (\text{family}_I)$$

$$\boxed{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \theta : \Theta}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \dots} (\text{no-ops}) \quad \frac{\Sigma, \text{FAM} : \eta \Delta \vdash \theta : \Theta \quad \Sigma, \text{FAM} : \eta \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Type} \in \eta \rightarrow \kappa}{\Sigma, \text{FAM} : \eta \Delta \vdash \theta; \mathbf{id} = \psi : \Theta; \mathbf{id} : \kappa} (\text{op-seq})$$

**Figure 3.** Kind checking rules for  $\lambda_A$ . All contexts have standard substructural properties (not shown).

$\Sigma \vdash \kappa$	$\Sigma \vdash \kappa \text{ simple}$		
$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \kappa_1 \quad \cdots \quad \Sigma \vdash \kappa_n \quad \Sigma \vdash \kappa}{\Sigma \vdash \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n \rightarrow \kappa} (\rightarrow_\kappa)$	$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \kappa_1 \quad \Sigma \vdash \kappa_2}{\Sigma \vdash \kappa_1 \times \kappa_2} (\times_\kappa)$	$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \kappa \text{ simple}}{\Sigma \vdash \kappa} (\text{simple})$	
$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \kappa_1 \text{ simple} \quad \Sigma \vdash \kappa_2 \text{ simple}}{\Sigma \vdash \kappa_1 \times \kappa_2 \text{ simple}} (\times_\kappa\text{-simple})$	$\frac{}{\Sigma \vdash \text{Unit simple}} (\text{Unit}_\kappa)$	$\frac{}{\Sigma \vdash \text{Type simple}} (\text{Type-}\subseteq_\kappa)$	
$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \eta}{\Sigma \vdash \text{Type} \in \eta \text{ simple}} (\text{Type}_\kappa)$	$\frac{}{\Sigma \vdash \text{Den simple}} (\text{Den-}\subseteq_\kappa)$	$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \eta}{\Sigma \vdash \text{Den}[\eta] \text{ simple}} (\text{Den}_\kappa)$	$\frac{}{\Sigma \vdash \text{IType simple}} (\text{IType}_\kappa)$
$\frac{}{\Sigma \vdash \text{ITerm simple}} (\text{ITerm}_\kappa)$	$\frac{}{\Sigma \vdash \text{Program simple}} (\text{Program}_\kappa)$	$\frac{}{\Sigma \vdash \text{Op}_n \text{ simple}} (n\text{-Op}_\kappa)$	
$\Sigma \vdash \eta$			
	$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \kappa_{\text{idx}} \text{ simple} \quad \Sigma \vdash \Theta}{\Sigma \vdash \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\}} (\text{family}_\eta)$		
$\Sigma \vdash \Theta$			
	$\frac{}{\Sigma \vdash \cdot} (\text{No-Ops})$	$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \Theta \quad \Sigma \vdash \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m \rightarrow \text{Op}_n}{\Sigma \vdash \Theta; \text{id} : \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m \rightarrow \text{Op}_n} ((m, n)\text{-Op-Seq})$	
$\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash e$	$\Omega ::= \cdot \mid \Omega, x$		
$\frac{x \in \Omega}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash x} (\text{var-form}_e)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{Type} \quad \Sigma \Delta \Omega, x \vdash e}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \lambda x : \psi. e} (\lambda\text{-form}_e)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash e_1 \quad \Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash e_2}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash e_1 e_2} (\text{app-form}_e)$	
$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} : \text{Type} \in \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\} \quad \text{id} : \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m \rightarrow \text{Op}_0 \in \Theta \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_1 : \kappa_1 \quad \cdots \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_m : \kappa_m}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \psi_{\text{type}}.\text{id}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m)()} ((m, 0)\text{-op-app-form}_e)$			
$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} : \text{Type} \in \text{family}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}]\{\Theta\} \quad \text{id} : \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m \rightarrow \text{Op}_n \in \Theta \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_1 : \kappa_1 \quad \cdots \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi_m : \kappa_m}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \psi_{\text{type}}.\text{id}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m)(e_1, \dots, e_n)} ((m, n)\text{-op-app-form}_e)$			
$\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu$	$\Omega ::= \cdot \mid \Omega, u$		
$\frac{u \in \Omega}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash u} (\text{var-form}_\mu)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \delta \quad \Sigma \Delta \Omega, u \vdash \mu}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \lambda u : \delta. \mu} (\lambda\text{-form}_\mu)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \delta \quad \Sigma \Delta \Omega, f \vdash \mu}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \text{fix } f : \delta. \mu} (\text{fix-form}_\mu)$	
$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_1 \quad \Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_2}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_1 \mu_2} (\text{app-form}_\mu)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_1 \quad \Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_2 \quad \Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_3 \quad \Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_4}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \text{if } \mu_1 \equiv \mu_2 \text{ then } \mu_3 \text{ else } \mu_4} (\text{cond-form}_\mu)$		
$\frac{}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash n} (\text{int-form}_\mu)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_1 \quad \Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_2}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_1 + \mu_2} (+\text{-form}_\mu)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_1 \quad \Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu_2}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash (\mu_1, \mu_2)} (\times\text{-form}_\mu)$	
$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \text{fst } \mu} (\text{fst-form}_\mu)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \mu}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \text{snd } \mu} (\text{snd-form}_\mu)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{ITerm}}{\Sigma \Delta \Omega \vdash \uparrow(\psi)} (\uparrow\text{-form}_\mu)$	
$\Sigma \Delta \vdash \delta$			
$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \delta_1 \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \delta_2}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \delta_1 \rightarrow \delta_2} (\rightarrow\text{-form}_\delta)$	$\frac{}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \text{int}} (\text{int-form}_\delta)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \delta_1 \quad \Sigma \Delta \vdash \delta_2}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \delta_1 \times \delta_2} (\times\text{-form}_\delta)$	$\frac{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \psi : \text{IType}}{\Sigma \Delta \vdash \uparrow(\psi)} (\uparrow\text{-form}_\delta)$

Figure 4. Kind and term formation rules

$$\boxed{\Xi \vdash \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi'} \quad \boxed{\Xi \vdash \theta \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \theta'} \quad \boxed{\Xi \vdash \phi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \phi'} \quad \Xi ::= \cdot \mid \Xi, \text{family} [\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi_{\text{rep}} \{\theta : \Theta\} \quad \mathcal{E} ::= \text{ok} \mid ! \mid \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}$$

$$\frac{}{\Xi \vdash () \forall_{\text{ok}} ()} (\text{unit}_{\beta}) \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \psi'_1 \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_2}{\Xi \vdash (\psi_1, \psi_2) \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} (\psi'_1, \psi'_2)} (\text{pair}_{\beta}) \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} (\psi_1, \psi_2)}{\Xi \vdash \text{fst } \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi_1} (\text{fst}_{\beta}) \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} (\psi_1, \psi_2)}{\Xi \vdash \text{snd } \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi_2} (\text{snd}_{\beta})$$

$$\frac{}{\Xi \vdash \lambda \mathbf{t}_1 : \kappa_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n : \kappa_n. \psi \forall_{\text{ok}} \lambda \mathbf{t}_1 : \kappa_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n : \kappa_n. \psi} (\lambda_{\beta})$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_0 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \lambda \mathbf{t}_1 : \kappa_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n : \kappa_n. \psi \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \psi'_1 \quad \dots \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_n \forall_{\mathcal{E}_n} \psi'_n \quad \Xi \vdash [\psi'_1/t_1, \dots, \psi'_n/t_n] \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi'}{\Xi \vdash \psi_0(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}} \psi'} (\text{app}_{\beta})$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_0 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \psi'_0 \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \psi'_0 \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_2}{\Xi \vdash \text{if } \psi_0 \equiv \psi_1 \text{ then } \psi_2 \text{ else } \psi_3 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_2} (\text{if}_{\beta}^1) \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_0 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \psi'_0 \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \psi'_1 \quad \psi'_0 \neq \psi'_1 \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_3 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_3} \psi'_3}{\Xi \vdash \text{if } \psi_0 \equiv \psi_1 \text{ then } \psi_2 \text{ else } \psi_3 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_3} \psi'_3} (\text{if}_{\beta}^2)$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \text{family} [\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi_{\text{rep}} \{\theta : \Theta\} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \phi \quad \Xi \vdash [\phi/\text{FAM}] \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \psi'}{\Xi \vdash \text{family FAM}[\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi_{\text{rep}} \{\theta : \Theta\} \text{ in } \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1} \psi'} (\text{fambind}_{\beta})$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{rep}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \psi'_{\text{rep}} \quad \Xi \vdash \theta \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \theta'}{\Xi \vdash \text{family} [\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi_{\text{rep}} \{\theta : \Theta\} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1} \text{family} [\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi'_{\text{rep}} \{\theta' : \Theta\}} (\text{family}_{\beta})$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi' \quad \Xi \vdash \phi \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \phi' \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_0(\text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi') \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_0}{\Xi \vdash \text{famcase } \psi_{\text{type}} \text{ of } \phi \text{ then } \psi_0 \text{ else } \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_0} (\text{famcase-T}_{\beta}^1)$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi' \quad \Xi \vdash \phi \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \phi'' \quad \phi' \neq \phi'' \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_1}{\Xi \vdash \text{famcase } \psi_{\text{type}} \text{ of } \phi \text{ then } \psi_0 \text{ else } \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_1} (\text{famcase-T}_{\beta}^2)$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{den}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} [\psi_{\text{item}} \sim \text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi'] \quad \Xi \vdash \phi \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \phi' \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_0([\psi_{\text{item}} \sim \text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi']) \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_0}{\Xi \vdash \text{famcase } \psi_{\text{den}} \text{ of } \phi \text{ then } \psi_0 \text{ else } \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_0} (\text{famcase-D}_{\beta}^1)$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{den}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} [\psi_{\text{item}} \sim \text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi'] \quad \Xi \vdash \phi \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \phi'' \quad \phi' \neq \phi'' \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_1}{\Xi \vdash \text{famcase } \psi_{\text{den}} \text{ of } \phi \text{ then } \psi_0 \text{ else } \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \psi'_1} (\text{famcase-D}_{\beta}^2)$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{idx}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi'_{\text{idx}}}{\Xi \vdash \text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}'] \in \text{fam}} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi}{\Xi \vdash \text{idxof } \psi_{\text{type}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi_{\text{idx}}}$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \text{type}[\psi_{\text{idx}}] \in \phi \quad \text{family} [\kappa_{\text{idx}}] :: \psi_{\text{rep}} \{\theta : \Theta\} \in \Xi \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{rep}}(\psi_{\text{idx}}) \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \psi'}{\Xi \vdash \text{repof } \psi_{\text{type}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1} \psi'} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi'}{\Xi \vdash \text{const}(\psi) \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{const}(\psi')}$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi'}{\Xi \vdash \text{op}(\psi) \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{op}(\psi')} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{item}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \psi'_{\text{item}} \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \psi'_{\text{type}}}{\Xi \vdash [\psi_{\text{item}} \sim \psi_{\text{type}}] \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1} [\psi'_{\text{item}}' \sim \psi'_{\text{type}}']} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{den}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}} [\psi_{\text{item}} \sim \psi_{\text{type}}]}{\Xi \vdash \text{valof } \psi_{\text{den}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi_{\text{item}}} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{den}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}} [\psi_{\text{item}} \sim \psi_{\text{type}}]}{\Xi \vdash \text{typeof } \psi_{\text{den}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi_{\text{type}}}$$

$$\frac{}{\Xi \vdash \text{err } \forall_{\text{ok}} \text{err}} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \mu \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \mu'}{\Xi \vdash \text{item}(\mu) \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{item}(\mu')} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \delta \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \delta'}{\Xi \vdash \text{itype}(\delta) \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{itype}(\delta')} \quad \frac{e \forall_{\mathcal{E}} e'}{\Xi \vdash \text{program}(e) \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{program}(e')} \quad \frac{}{\Xi \vdash \cdot \forall_{\text{ok}} \cdot}$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \theta \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \theta' \quad \Xi \vdash \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \psi'}{\Xi \vdash \theta; \mathbf{op} = \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1} \theta'; \mathbf{op} = \psi'}$$

$$\boxed{e \forall_{\mathcal{E}} e'}$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi'}{\Xi \vdash \lambda x : \psi. e \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \lambda x : \psi'. e} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash e_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} e'_1 \quad \Xi \vdash e_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} e'_2}{\Xi \vdash e_1 e_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} e'_1 e'_2}$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{type}} \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \psi'_{\text{type}} \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1^1} \psi'_1 \quad \dots \quad \Xi \vdash \psi_m \forall_{\mathcal{E}_m^1} \psi'_m \quad \Xi \vdash e_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1^2} e'_1 \quad \dots \quad \Xi \vdash e_n \forall_{\mathcal{E}_n^2} e'_n}{\Xi \vdash \psi_{\text{type}}. \mathbf{op}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m)(e_1, \dots, e_n) \forall_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_1^1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_m^1, \mathcal{E}_1^2, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n^2} \psi'_{\text{type}}. \mathbf{op}(\psi'_1, \dots, \psi'_m)(e'_1, \dots, e'_n)}$$

$$\boxed{\mu \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \mu'}$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \delta \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \delta'}{\Xi \vdash \lambda u :: \delta. \mu \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \lambda u :: \delta'. \mu} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \delta \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \delta'}{\Xi \vdash \text{fix } f :: \delta. \mu \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{fix } f :: \delta'. \mu} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \mu_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \mu'_1 \quad \Xi \vdash \mu_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \mu'_2}{\Xi \vdash \mu_1 \mu_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \mu'_1 \mu'_2}$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \mu_0 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0} \mu'_0 \quad \Xi \vdash \mu_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \mu'_1 \quad \Xi \vdash \mu_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \mu'_2 \quad \Xi \vdash \mu_3 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_3} \mu'_3}{\Xi \vdash \text{if } \mu_0 \equiv \mu_1 \text{ then } \mu_2 \text{ else } \mu_3 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_3} \text{if } \mu'_0 \equiv \mu'_1 \text{ then } \mu'_2 \text{ else } \mu'_3} \quad \frac{}{\Xi \vdash n \forall_{\text{ok}} n} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \mu_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \mu'_1 \quad \Xi \vdash \mu_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \mu'_2}{\Xi \vdash \mu_1 + \mu_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \mu'_1 + \mu'_2}$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \mu_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \mu'_1 \quad \Xi \vdash \mu_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \mu'_2}{\Xi \vdash (\mu_1, \mu_2) \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} (\mu'_1, \mu'_2)} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \mu \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \mu'}{\Xi \vdash \text{fst } \mu \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{fst } \mu'} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \mu \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \mu'}{\Xi \vdash \text{snd } \mu \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{snd } \mu'} \quad \frac{\Xi \vdash \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{item}(\mu)}{\Xi \vdash \uparrow(\psi) \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \mu}$$

$$\boxed{\delta \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \delta'}$$

$$\Xi \vdash \delta_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \delta'_1 \quad \Xi \vdash \delta_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \delta'_2 \quad \Xi \vdash \delta_1 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_1} \delta'_1 \quad \Xi \vdash \delta_2 \forall_{\mathcal{E}_2} \delta'_2 \quad \Xi \vdash \psi \forall_{\mathcal{E}} \text{itype}(\delta)$$

afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-  
dfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-  
dfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-  
dfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-  
dfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdasdfsdf afdas-

[illegible]

