# **Modularly Composing Typed Language Fragments (Supplemental Material)**

#### Abstract

This document provides the full technical development described in the paper "Modularly Composing Typed Language Fragments" submitted to PLDI 2015.

## 1 Internal Language

We assume the statics of the IL are specified in the standard way by judgements for type formation  $\Delta \vdash \tau$ , typing context formation  $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$  and type assignment  $\Delta \Gamma \vdash \iota : \tau^+$ . The internal dynamics are specified as a structural operational semantics with a stepping judgement  $\iota \mapsto \iota^+$  and a value judgement  $\iota$  val. The multi-step judgement  $\iota \mapsto^* \iota^+$  is the reflexive, transitive closure of the stepping judgement and the evaluation judgement  $\iota \downarrow \iota'$  is defined iff  $\iota \mapsto^* \iota'$  and  $\iota'$  val. Both the static and dynamic semantics of the IL can be found in any standard textbook covering typed lambda calculi (we directly follow [1]), so we assume familiarity and give the lemmas in this section without proof.

We use  $\mathcal{L}\{ \rightharpoonup \forall \mu \ 1 \times + \}$ , the syntax for which is shown in Figure 1, as representative of any intermediate language for a typed functional language.

```
internal types
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \tau & ::= & \tau \rightharpoonup \tau \mid \alpha \mid \forall (\alpha.\tau) \mid t \mid \mu(t.\tau) \mid 1 \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \tau + \tau \\ \textbf{internal terms} \\ \iota & ::= & x \mid \lambda[\tau](x.\iota) \mid \iota(\iota) \mid \mathsf{fix}[\tau](x.\iota) \mid \Lambda(\alpha.\iota) \mid \iota[\tau] \\ & \mid & \mathsf{fold}[t.\tau](\iota) \mid \mathsf{unfold}(\iota) \mid () \mid (\iota,\iota) \mid \mathsf{fst}(\iota) \mid \mathsf{snd}(\iota) \\ & \mid & \mathsf{inl}[\tau](\iota) \mid \mathsf{inr}[\tau](\iota) \mid \mathsf{case}(\iota;x.\iota;x.\iota) \\ \textbf{internal typing contexts } \Gamma ::= \emptyset \mid \Gamma, x : \tau \\ \textbf{internal type formation contexts } \Delta ::= \emptyset \mid \Delta, \alpha \mid \Delta, t \end{array}
```

Figure 1: Syntax of  $\mathcal{L}\{ \rightharpoonup \forall \mu \ 1 \times + \}$ , our internal language (IL). Metavariable x ranges over term variables and  $\alpha$  and t both range over type variables.

In fact, our intention is not to prescribe a particular choice of IL, so we will here only review the key metatheoretic properties that the IL must possess. Each choice of IL is technically a distinct dialect of  $@\lambda$ , but for the broad class of ILs that enjoy these properties, the metatheory in the remainder of the supplement should follow without trouble.

```
Lemma 1 (Internal Type Assignment). If \Delta \vdash \Gamma and \Delta \Gamma \vdash \iota : \tau then \Delta \vdash \tau.
```

Internal type safety follows the standard methodology of proving preservation and progress lemmas.

```
Lemma 2 (Internal Progress). If \emptyset \emptyset \vdash \iota : \tau then either \iota val or \iota \mapsto \iota'.
```

```
Lemma 3 (Internal Preservation). If \emptyset \emptyset \vdash \iota : \tau \text{ and } \iota \mapsto \iota' \text{ then } \emptyset \emptyset \vdash \iota' : \tau.
```

We assume substitution and simultaneous n-ary substitutions,  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ , have the standard semantics, and that typing and type formation contexts,  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$ , obey standard properties of weakening, exchange and contraction. We assume that the names of variables and type variables are unimportant, so that  $\alpha$ -equivalent terms, types and contexts can be identified implicitly throughout this work. In particular, we need the definitions of substitution validity shown in Figures 2 and 3 and the following lemmas.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \boxed{\Delta \vdash \delta : \Delta} & \delta ::= \emptyset \mid \delta, \tau / \alpha \\ \\ & \underbrace{(\mathsf{tysub\text{-}emp})} & & \underbrace{\Delta \vdash \delta : \Delta' & \Delta \vdash \tau} \\ \hline \Delta \vdash \emptyset : \emptyset & & \underbrace{\Delta \vdash \delta : \Delta' & \Delta \vdash \tau} \\ \end{array}$$

Figure 2: Internal Type Substitution Validity

**Lemma 4** (Internal Type Substitution on Types). *If*  $\Delta \vdash \delta : \Delta'$  *and*  $\Delta \Delta' \vdash \tau$  *then*  $\Delta \vdash [\delta]\tau$ .

**Lemma 5** (Internal Type Substitutions on Typing Contexts). *If*  $\Delta \vdash \delta : \Delta'$  *and*  $\Delta\Delta' \vdash \Gamma$  *then*  $\Delta \vdash [\delta]\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 6** (Internal Type Substitutions on Terms). *If*  $\Delta \vdash \delta : \Delta'$  *and*  $\Delta\Delta' \vdash \tau$  *and*  $\Delta\Delta' \vdash \Gamma$  *and*  $\Delta\Delta' \vdash \Gamma$  *then*  $\Delta [\delta]\Gamma \vdash [\delta]\iota : [\delta]\tau$ .

Figure 3: Internal Term Substitution Validity

**Lemma 7** (Internal Term Substitutions). *If*  $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$  *and*  $\Delta \vdash \Gamma'$  *and*  $\Delta \Gamma \vdash \gamma : \Gamma'$  *and*  $\Delta \Gamma \Gamma' \vdash \iota : \tau$  *then*  $\Delta \Gamma \vdash [\gamma]\iota : \tau$ .

## 2 Tycons

Figure 4: Syntax of tycons. Metavariables TC and **op** range over user-defined tycon and opcon names, respectively, and m ranges over natural numbers.

#### 2.1 Tycon Contexts

Tycon contexts are ordered mappings from tycon names TC to tycon definitions. The tycon context well-definedness judgement  $\vdash \Phi$  is specified in Figure 5.

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathsf{tcc\text{-}ext}) \\ (\mathsf{tcc\text{-}emp}) \\ \hline \\ \vdash \cdot \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} (\mathsf{tcc\text{-}ext}) \\ \vdash \Phi \\ \vdash \cdot \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} (\mathsf{tcc\text{-}ext}) \\ \vdash \Phi \\ \vdash \Phi, \mathsf{tycon} \ \mathsf{TC} \ \{\mathsf{trans} = \sigma_{\mathsf{schema}} \ \mathsf{in} \ \omega\} \sim \mathsf{tcsig}[\kappa_{\mathsf{tyidx}}] \ \{\chi\} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} (\mathsf{tcc\text{-}ext}) \\ \vdash \Phi, \mathsf{tycon} \ \mathsf{TC} \ \{\mathsf{trans} = \sigma_{\mathsf{schema}} \ \mathsf{in} \ \omega\} \sim \mathsf{tcsig}[\kappa_{\mathsf{tyidx}}] \ \{\chi\} \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} \vdash \Phi, \mathsf{tycon} \ \mathsf{TC} \ \{\mathsf{trans} = \sigma_{\mathsf{schema}} \ \mathsf{in} \ \omega\} \sim \mathsf{tcsig}[\kappa_{\mathsf{tyidx}}] \ \{\chi\} \end{array} \end{array}$$

Figure 5: Tycon context well-definedness.

Opcon structures are checked against the tycon's signature.

$$[construct-intro) \\ intro[\kappa_{tmidx}] \in \chi \qquad \emptyset \vdash \kappa_{tmidx} \\ \frac{\emptyset \ \emptyset \vdash_{\Phi}^{0} \ \sigma_{def} :: \kappa_{tyidx} \to \kappa_{tmidx} \to List[Arg] \to ITm}{\vdash_{\Phi} \ ana \ intro} = \sigma_{def} \sim tcsig[\kappa_{tyidx}] \ \{\chi\} \\ (ocstruct-targ) \\ \vdash_{\Phi} \omega \sim tcsig[\kappa_{tyidx}] \ \{\chi\} \qquad \mathbf{op} \notin \mathrm{dom}(\chi) \qquad \emptyset \vdash \kappa_{tmidx} \\ \frac{\emptyset \ \emptyset \vdash_{\Phi}^{0} \ \sigma_{def} :: \kappa_{tyidx} \to \kappa_{tmidx} \to List[Arg] \to (Ty \times ITm)}{\vdash_{\Phi} \omega; \mathsf{syn} \ \mathbf{op} = \sigma_{def} \sim tcsig[\kappa_{tyidx}] \ \{\chi; \mathbf{op}[\kappa_{tmidx}]\}$$

Figure 6: Checking opcon structures against tycon signatures.

For the purposes of the SL, only the type signatures are relevant. We thus define judgements  $\vdash \psi$ ,  $\vdash \chi$  and  $\vdash \Phi$  sigsok in Figure 7 for checking this only.

The following lemmas characterize these judgements.

**Lemma 8** (Inversion on Tycon Context Well-Definedness). *If* (1)

$$\vdash \Phi$$
, tycon TC {trans =  $\sigma_{schema}$  in  $\omega$ }  $\sim$  tcsig[ $\kappa_{tyidx}$ ] { $\chi$ }

then (a) 
$$\vdash \Phi$$
 and (b)  $\mathsf{TC} \notin dom(\Phi)$  and (c)  $\emptyset \vdash \kappa_{tyidx} = \mathsf{q}$  and (d)  $\emptyset \emptyset \vdash_{\Phi}^{0} \sigma_{schema} :: \kappa_{tyidx} \to \mathsf{ITy}$  and (e)  $\vdash_{\Phi,\mathsf{tycon}} \mathsf{TC} \{\mathsf{trans} = \sigma_{schema} \text{ in } \omega\} \sim \mathsf{tcsig}[\kappa_{tyidx}] \{\chi\}$ .

*Proof.* Rule induction on assumption (1). Rule (tcc-emp) does not apply. Rule (tcc-ext) applies. The conclusions (a-e) are the five premises of (tcc-ext) and thus follow immediately.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 9** (Tycon Context Composition). *If*  $(i) \vdash \Phi_1$  *and*  $(ii) \vdash \Phi_2$  *and* (iii)  $dom(\Phi_1) \cap dom(\Phi_2) = \emptyset$  *then*  $(a) \vdash \Phi_1\Phi_2$ .

Figure 7: Tycon and Opcon Signature Well-Formedness

*Proof.* By syntactic case analysis on  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$ .

If  $\Phi_1 = \emptyset$  and  $\Phi_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $\Phi_1 \Phi_2 = \emptyset$  and (a) follows by (tcc-emp).

If  $\Phi_2 = \emptyset$  then  $\Phi_1 \Phi_2 = \Phi_1$  and (a) follows by (1).

If  $\Phi_1 = \emptyset$  then  $\Phi_1 \Phi_2 = \Phi_2$  and (a) follows by (2).

If  $\Phi_1 = \Phi_1'$ , tycon  $\operatorname{TC}_1 \{\theta_1\} \sim \psi_1$  and  $\Phi_2 = \Phi_2'$ , tycon  $\operatorname{TC}_2 \{\theta_2\} \sim \psi_2$  then  $\Phi_1\Phi_2 = \Phi_1'\Phi_2'$ , tycon  $\operatorname{TC}_1 \{\theta_1\} \sim \psi_1$ , tycon  $\operatorname{TC}_2 \{\theta_2\} \sim \psi_2$ . Let (1-5) be the result of applying Lemma 8 on (i), and (6-10) be the result of applying Lemma 8 on (ii). By (iii) and the usual properties of domains of finite mappings, we have that (11)  $\operatorname{dom}(\Phi_1') \cap \operatorname{dom}(\Phi_2') = \emptyset$  and further by (2) we have that (12)  $\operatorname{TC} \notin \operatorname{dom}(\Phi_1'\Phi_2')$  and by (8) that (13)  $\operatorname{TC}' \notin \operatorname{dom}(\Phi_1'\Phi_2')$ . By the IH on (1), (6) and (11) we have that  $(14) \vdash \Phi_1'\Phi_2'$ . By (tcc-ext) on (14), (12), (3), (4) and on (5), we have that (15)  $\vdash \Phi_1'\Phi_2'$ , tycon  $\operatorname{TC}_1 \{\theta_1\} \sim \psi_1$ . By (tcc-ext) on (15), (13), (8), (9) and SAME on (10), we have (a).

**Lemma 10** (Intro Opcon Existence and Well-Definedness). *If*  $(1) \vdash_{\Phi} \omega \sim \mathsf{tcsig}[\kappa_{tyidx}] \{\chi\}$  *then* (a) intro $[\kappa_{tmidx}] \in \chi$  *and*  $(b) \emptyset \vdash \kappa_{tmidx}$  *and* (c) and intro $[\kappa_{tmidx}] \in \omega$  *and*  $(d) \emptyset \emptyset \vdash_{\Phi}^{0} \sigma_{def} :: \kappa_{tyidx} \rightarrow \kappa_{tmidx} \rightarrow \mathsf{List}[\mathsf{Arg}] \rightarrow \mathsf{ITm}$ .

*Proof.* Rule induction on assumption (1). If rule (ocstruct-intro) applies then conclusion (c) follows syntactically and (a), (b) and (d) are the three premises and thus follow immediately. If rule (ocstruct-targ) applies, then we apply the IH to the first premise.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 11** (Targeted Opcon Well-Definedness and Unicity). *If*  $(1) \vdash_{\Phi} \omega \sim \mathsf{tcsig}[\kappa_{tyidx}] \{\chi\}$  and (2) syn  $\mathbf{op} = \sigma_{def} \in \omega$  then  $(a) \mathbf{op}[\kappa_{tmidx}] \in \chi$  and  $(b) \emptyset \vdash \kappa_{tmidx}$  and  $(c) \emptyset \emptyset \vdash_{\Phi}^{0} \sigma_{def} :: \kappa_{tyidx} \to \kappa_{tmidx} \to \mathsf{List}[\mathsf{Arg}] \to (\mathsf{Ty} \times \mathsf{ITm})$  and (d) if syn  $\mathbf{op} = \sigma'_{def} \in \omega$  then  $\sigma'_{def} = \sigma_{def}$  and (e) if  $\mathbf{op}[\kappa'_{tmidx}] \in \chi$  then  $\kappa'_{tmidx} = \kappa_{tmidx}$ .

*Proof.* Rule induction on assumption (1). Rule (ocstruct-intro) does not apply by assumption (2). Rule (ocstruct-targ) applies. Conclusion (a) follows syntactically. Conclusions (b) and (c) are premises 3 and 4. Conclusion (d) follows because either  $\sigma'_{\text{def}} = \sigma_{\text{def}}$  (i.e. we considering the

weakening
of
kinding
and
opcon
welldefinedness

current definition) or we can apply the IH to premise 1 and the assumption of (d). Conclusion (e) follows because premise 2 checks the unicity condition directly.

#### 2.2 Full Examples

- 2.2.1 Regular Strings
- 2.2.2 Labeled Products
- 2.2.3 Records

## 3 Static Language

#### 3.1 Kind Formation

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \Delta \vdash \kappa \\ \hline (kf\text{-arrow}) & (kf\text{-alpha}) & (kf\text{-forall}) & (kf\text{-k}) & (kf\text{-ind}) \\ \hline \Delta \vdash \kappa_1 & \Delta \vdash \kappa_2 & \Delta \vdash \alpha & \Delta \vdash \kappa \\ \hline \Delta \vdash \kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2 & \Delta \vdash \alpha & \Delta \vdash \forall (\alpha.\kappa) & \Delta \vdash k & \overline{\Delta} \vdash \mu_{\text{ind}}(\textbf{\textit{k}}.\kappa) & \overline{\Delta} \vdash 1 \\ \hline (kf\text{-prod}) & (kf\text{-sum}) & \overline{\Delta} \vdash \kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2 & \overline{\Delta} \vdash \overline{\lambda} \vdash \overline{\lambda} \\ \hline \Delta \vdash \kappa_1 \times \kappa_2 & \overline{\Delta} \vdash \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 & \overline{\Delta} \vdash \overline{\lambda} & \overline{\Delta} \vdash \overline{\lambda} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (kf\text{-itm}) & (kf\text{-itm}) \\ \hline \Delta \vdash \overline{\lambda} \vdash \overline{\lambda} & \overline{\lambda} \vdash \overline{\lambda} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Figure 8: Kind Formation

Lemma 12 (Kind Variable Substitution - Kinds).

- 1. If  $\Delta$ ,  $\alpha \vdash \kappa$  and  $\Delta \vdash \kappa'$  then  $\Delta \vdash [\kappa'/\alpha]\kappa$ .
- 2. If  $\Delta, \mathbf{k} \vdash \kappa$  and  $\Delta \vdash \kappa'$  then  $\Delta \vdash [\kappa'/\mathbf{k}]\kappa$ .

???

Figure 9: Positive Kinds

## 3.2 Equality Kinds

Need an equational theory for SL to state equality kind property, but not important for other metatheory.

**Lemma 13** (Equality Kind Well-Formedness). *If*  $\emptyset \vdash \kappa$  eq *then*  $\emptyset \vdash \kappa$ .

$$\mathbf{\Delta} \vdash \mathbf{\Gamma}$$

$$\frac{\text{(kctx-emp)}}{\Delta \vdash \emptyset} \qquad \frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma \qquad \Delta \vdash \kappa}{\Delta \vdash \Gamma, x :: \kappa}$$

Figure 10: Kinding Context Formation

#### 3.3 Kinding

#### 3.4 Static Dynamics

Lemma 14 (Static Canonical Forms). ...

Discussion of decidability and weak normalization.

#### 3.5 Type Translations

#### 3.6 Typing Context Translations

#### 3.7 Arguments

**Definition 1** (Argument Interface Kind). The kind abbreviated Arg is defined as

$$\mathsf{Arg} := (\mathsf{Ty} \to \mathsf{ITm}) \times (1 \to \mathsf{Ty} \times \mathsf{ITm})$$

## 3.8 Kind Safety

**Kind Safety** Kind safety ensures that normalization of well-kinded static terms cannot go wrong. We can take a standard progress and preservation based approach.

**Theorem 1** (Static Progress). If  $\emptyset \emptyset \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \sigma :: \kappa \ and \vdash \Phi \ and \ |\overline{e}| = n \ and \vdash_{\Phi} \Upsilon \leadsto \Gamma \ then \ \sigma \ val_{\overline{e};\Upsilon;\Phi}$  or  $\sigma \in \operatorname{err}_{\overline{e};\Upsilon;\Phi} \ or \ \sigma \mapsto_{\overline{e};\Upsilon;\Phi} \sigma'$ .

**Theorem 2** (Static Preservation). *If*  $\emptyset \emptyset \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \sigma :: \kappa \ and \vdash \Phi \ and \ |\overline{e}| = n \ and \vdash_{\Phi} \Upsilon \leadsto \Gamma \ and \sigma \mapsto_{\overline{e}:\Upsilon:\Phi} \sigma' \ then \ \emptyset \emptyset \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \sigma' :: \kappa.$ 

The case in the proof of Theorem 2 for syn[n] requires that the following theorem be mutually defined. The mutual induction is well-founded because the total number of argument lists in the terms being considered decreases.

**Theorem 3** (Type Synthesis). *If*  $\vdash \Phi$  *and*  $\vdash_{\Phi} \Upsilon \leadsto \Gamma$  *and*  $\Upsilon \vdash_{\Phi} e \Rightarrow \sigma \leadsto \iota$  *then*  $\vdash_{\Phi} \sigma \leadsto \tau$  *(and thus*  $\sigma$  *type* $_{\Phi}$ ).

## 4 External Language

### 4.1 Additional Desugarings

## 4.2 Typing

**Unicity** The rules are structured so that if a term is well-typed, both its type and translation are unique.

**Theorem 4** (Unicity). *If*  $\vdash \Phi$  *and*  $\vdash_{\Phi} \Upsilon \leadsto \Gamma$  *and*  $\vdash_{\Phi} \sigma \leadsto \tau$  *and*  $\vdash_{\Phi} \sigma' \leadsto \tau'$  *and*  $\Upsilon \vdash_{\Phi} e \Leftarrow \sigma \leadsto \iota$  *and*  $\Upsilon \vdash_{\Phi} e \Leftarrow \sigma' \leadsto \iota'$  *then*  $\sigma = \sigma'$  *and*  $\tau = \tau'$  *and*  $\iota = \iota'$ .

## 4.3 Proof of Regular String Soundness Tycon Invariant

could move this whole thing to supplement if room needed

# References

[1] R. Harper. *Practical Foundations for Programming Languages*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.

## A Appendix

$$\begin{array}{c} (\text{s-ty-stcp}) \\ \frac{\sigma \mapsto_{A} \sigma'}{c\langle \sigma \rangle \mapsto_{A} c\langle \sigma' \rangle} \\ \hline (s \mapsto_{A} \sigma') \\ \hline (s \mapsto_{A} \sigma') \\ \hline (s \mapsto_{A} \sigma') \\ \hline (tycase \mid_{C} \mid_{C} (\sigma) \times \pi_{1}, \sigma_{2}) \\ \hline (tycase \mid_{C} \mid_{C} (\sigma) \times \pi_{1}; \sigma_{2}) \mapsto_{A} tycase \mid_{C} \mid_{C} (\sigma'; x.\sigma_{1}; \sigma_{2}) \\ \hline (tycase \mid_{C} \mid_{C} (\sigma; x.\sigma_{1}; \sigma_{2}) \mapsto_{A} tycase \mid_{C} \mid_{C} (\sigma'; x.\sigma_{1}; \sigma_{2}) \\ \hline (tycase \mid_{C} \mid_{C} (\sigma; x.\sigma_{1}; \sigma_{2}) \mapsto_{A} tycase \mid_{C} \mid_{C} (\sigma'; x.\sigma_{1}; \sigma_{2}) \\ \hline (tycase \mid_{C} \mid_{C} (\sigma; x.\sigma_{1}; \sigma_{2}) \mapsto_{A} tycase \mid_{C} \mid_{C} (\sigma'; x.\sigma_{1}; \sigma_{2}) \mapsto_{A} tycase \mid_{C} \mid_{C} (\sigma; x.\sigma_{1}; \sigma_{2}) \mapsto_{A} \sigma_{2} \\ \hline (tkeq-k) \\ \frac{k \in \Delta}{\Delta \vdash k \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{(keq-k)}{\Delta \vdash k \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{(keq-ind)}{\Delta \vdash k \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{(keq-ind)}{\Delta \vdash k \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{\Delta \vdash_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} }{\Delta \vdash k \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{(keq-sind)}{\Delta \vdash k \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{\Delta \vdash_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} }{\Delta \vdash k \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{(keq-sind)}{\Delta \vdash k \mid_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{\Delta \vdash_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} }{\Delta \vdash k \mid_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{(keq-sind)}{\Delta \vdash_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{(keq-sind)}{\Delta \vdash_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{(keq-sind)}{\Delta \vdash_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{(keq-sind)}{\Delta \vdash_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E} } \\ \frac{(keq-sind)}{\Delta \vdash_{E} \mid_{E} \mid_{E$$

This judgement is defined by the following straightforward rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(tstore-emp)} \\ \hline \emptyset \leadsto \emptyset : \emptyset \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{(tstore-ext)} \\ \hline \mathcal{D} \leadsto \delta : \Delta \\ \hline (\mathcal{D}, \sigma \leftrightarrow \tau/\alpha) \leadsto (\delta, \tau/\alpha) : (\Delta, \alpha) \end{array}$$

, as specified by the judgement  $\mathcal{G} \rightsquigarrow \gamma : \Gamma$  defined by the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(ttrs-emp)} \\ \hline \emptyset \leadsto \emptyset : \emptyset \end{array} \hspace{1cm} \begin{array}{c} \text{(ttrs-ext)} \\ \hline \mathcal{G} \leadsto \gamma : \Gamma \\ \hline (\mathcal{G}, n : \sigma \leadsto \iota/x : \tau) \leadsto (\gamma, \iota/x) : (\Gamma, x : \tau) \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \blacktriangleright(\hat{\tau}) :: \text{ITy} \qquad \Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \rhd(\hat{\iota}) :: \text{ITm}}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \blacktriangleright(\hat{\tau}) :: \text{ITm}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \blacktriangleright(\hat{\tau}) :: \text{ITm}}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \blacktriangleright(\hat{\iota}) :: \text{ITm}}$$

$$\frac{(\text{k-raise})}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \text{ raise}[\kappa] :: \kappa} \qquad \frac{(\text{s-raise})}{\text{raise}[\kappa] \text{ err}_{\mathcal{A}}}$$

$$\frac{(\text{s-syn-success})}{\text{syn}[n] \vdash_{e;\Upsilon;\Phi} (\sigma, \rhd(\text{syntrans}[n]))} \qquad \frac{(\text{s-syn-fail})}{\text{syn}[n] \text{ err}_{\bar{e};\Upsilon;\Phi}}$$

$$\frac{(\text{k-itm-syntrans})}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \triangleright(\text{syntrans}[n']) :: \text{ITm}}$$

$$\frac{(\text{k-itm-syntrans})}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \triangleright(\text{syntrans}[n']) :: \text{ITm}}$$

$$\frac{(\text{abs-lam})}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \triangleright(\text{syntrans}[n']) :: \text{ITm}}$$

$$\frac{(\text{abs-lam})}{\lambda [\hat{\tau}](x.\hat{\iota}) \parallel \mathcal{D} \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow_{\bar{e};\Upsilon;\Phi}^{\text{TC}} \downarrow \parallel \mathcal{D}'' \mathcal{G}'}{\lambda [\hat{\tau}](x.\hat{\iota}) \parallel \mathcal{D} \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow_{\bar{e};\Upsilon;\Phi}^{\text{TC}} \downarrow \parallel \mathcal{D}'' \mathcal{G}'}}$$

$$\frac{(\text{abs-anatrans-stored})}{\text{anatrans}[n](\sigma) \parallel \mathcal{G} \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{TC}} x \parallel \mathcal{G} \mathcal{D}} \qquad \frac{(\text{abs-syntrans-stored})}{\text{syntrans-stored}} \qquad n : \sigma \leadsto \iota/x : \tau \in \mathcal{G} \\ \text{syntrans}[n] \parallel \mathcal{G} \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{TC}} x \parallel \mathcal{G} \mathcal{D}}$$

$$\frac{n' < n \qquad \Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \sigma :: \text{Ty}}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \triangleright(\text{anatrans}[n'](\sigma)) :: \text{ITm}}$$

(abs-syntrans-new)

$$\frac{n \notin \mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{G}) \qquad \mathrm{nth}[n](\overline{e}) = e \qquad \Upsilon \vdash_{\Phi} e \Rightarrow \sigma \leadsto \iota \qquad \mathrm{trans}(\sigma) \parallel \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow_{\Phi}^{\mathrm{TC}} \tau \parallel \mathcal{D}' \qquad (x \text{ fresh})}{\mathrm{syntrans}[n] \parallel \mathcal{G} \mathrel{\mathcal{D}} \hookrightarrow_{\overline{e};\Upsilon;\Phi}^{\mathrm{TC}} x \parallel \mathcal{G}, n : \sigma \leadsto \iota/x : \tau \mathrel{\mathcal{D}}'}$$

$$(\text{etctx-emp}) \\ \frac{\vdash_{\Phi} \emptyset \leadsto \emptyset}{\vdash_{\Phi} \emptyset \leadsto \emptyset} \\ \frac{\vdash_{\Phi} \Upsilon \leadsto \Gamma \quad \sigma \text{ type}_{\Phi} \quad \vdash_{\Phi} \sigma \leadsto \tau}{\vdash_{\Phi} \Upsilon, x \Rightarrow \sigma \leadsto \Gamma, x : \tau}$$

| Description           | Concrete Form  | Desugared Form  |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| index projection      | $e_{targ}$ # $n$   | $targop[\mathbf{idx}; n](e_{targ}; \cdot)$                    |
| label projection      | $e_{ m targ}$ #1b1   | $targop[\mathbf{prj}; 1b1](e_{targ}; \cdot)$                  |
| explicit invocation   | $e_{targ} \cdot \mathbf{op}[\sigma_{tmidx}](\overline{e})$         | $targop[\mathbf{op}; \sigma_{tmidx}](e_{targ}; \overline{e})$ |
|                       | $e_{targ} \cdot op(\overline{e})$                                  | $targop[\mathbf{op};()](e_{targ};\overline{e})$               |
|                       | $e_{targ} \cdot op(1bl_1 = e_1, \dots, 1bl_n = e_n)$               | $targ[\mathbf{op}; [1bl_1, \dots, 1bl_n]](e_{targ};$          |
|                       |  | $e_1; \ldots; e_n)$   |
| labeled case analysis | $e_{targ} \cdot case  \{$  | $targ[\mathbf{case}; [\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]](e_{targ};$  |
|                       | $  \sigma_1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \Rightarrow e_1$       | $\lambda(x_1\lambda(x_k.e_1));$                               |
|                       |  | ;   |
|                       | $\mid \sigma_n \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \Rightarrow e_n \}$ | $\lambda(x_1\lambda(x_k.e_n)))$                               |
|                       |  |   |

For example,

(abs-prod) 
$$\frac{\hat{\tau}_1 \parallel \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow_{\Phi}^{\mathsf{TC}} \tau_1 \parallel \mathcal{D}' \qquad \hat{\tau}_2 \parallel \mathcal{D}' \hookrightarrow_{\Phi}^{\mathsf{TC}} \tau_2 \parallel \mathcal{D}''}{\hat{\tau}_1 \times \hat{\tau}_2 \parallel \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow_{\Phi}^{\mathsf{TC}} \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \parallel \mathcal{D}''}$$

The argument interfaces that populate the list provided to opcon definitions is derived from the argument list by the judgement  $args(\overline{e}) =_n \sigma_{args}$ , defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} &(\text{args-z}) \\ &\frac{(\text{args-s})}{\text{args}(\cdot) =_0 \textit{nil}[\mathsf{Arg}]} &\frac{\text{args}(\overline{e}) =_n \sigma}{\text{args}(\overline{e}) =_{n+1} \textit{rcons}[\mathsf{Arg}] \; \sigma \; (\lambda \textit{ty}::\mathsf{Ty}.\mathsf{ana}[n](\textit{ty}), \lambda_{-}::1.\mathsf{syn}[n])} \\ &\frac{(\text{s-itm-anatrans-v})}{\sigma \; \mathsf{val}_{\overline{e};\Upsilon;\Phi} \; \quad \mathsf{nth}[n](\overline{e}) = e} \\ &\frac{\sigma \; \mathsf{val}_{\overline{e};\Upsilon;\Phi} \; \quad \mathsf{nth}[n](\overline{e}) = e}{\triangleright (\mathsf{anatrans}[n](\sigma)) \; \mathsf{val}_{\overline{e};\Upsilon;\Phi}} \end{split}$$

We assume that the definitions of the standard helper functions  $nil :: \forall (\alpha. \mathsf{List}[\alpha])$  and  $rcons :: \forall (\alpha. \mathsf{List}[\alpha] \to \alpha \to \mathsf{List}[\alpha])$ , which adds an item to the end of a list, have been substituted into these rules. The result is that the nth element of the argument interface list simply wraps the static terms  $\mathsf{ana}[n](\sigma)$  and  $\mathsf{syn}[n]$ .

**Lemma 15.** If  $\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n'} \sigma :: \kappa$  and n > n' then  $\Delta \Gamma \vdash_{\Phi}^{n} \sigma :: \kappa$ .