

Objective:

$$\min \left(\sum_i C_i G_i + \sum_j (C_j G_j + C_{G_j} E_j) \right)$$

subject to:

$$\text{power balance: } \sum_i (G_i - L_i) + \sum_j (G_j - L_j) = 0$$

$$\text{net export allocation: } \sum_j (G_j - L_j) \leq \sum_j E_j + \max(0, \sum_j (G_j^{(GHG)} - L_j))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{generator limits: } G_{\text{MIN}i} &\leq G_i \leq G_{\text{MAX}i}, \quad \forall i \\ G_{\text{MIN}j} &\leq G_j \leq G_{\text{MAX}j}, \quad \forall j \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{allocation limits: } 0 \leq E_j \leq \min(G_j, E_{\text{MAX}j}, G_{\text{MAX}j} - G_j^{(GHG)}), \quad \forall j$$

Differences from the formulation in the BPM 11.3.3.2.1:

- Remove the transmission constraints, since the assumption is no transmission limits for these examples.
- The added term in the net export allocation constraint, $\max(0, \sum_j (G_j^{(GHG)} - L_j))$, which represents the imports into the GHG area in the counterfactual run. This term is 0 when using the CAISO and Vistra counterfactuals and may be positive when using the No GHG Cost counterfactual.
- The inclusion of the term $G_{\text{MAX}j} - G_j^{(GHG)}$ in the allocation limit constraint to match the attribution limits in the BPM with those in the EDAM technical description (9.2).