

# Journal Name

Crossmark

PAPER

RECEIVED  
dd Month yyyyREVISED  
dd Month yyyy

## On the Origin of Conservation

Sina Montazeri<sup>ID</sup>

University of North Texas

**E-mail:** sinamontazeri@my.unt.edu

### Abstract

Riemannian manifolds embedded in  $R^{n+k}$  with  $k > n^2 - n - 1$  orthonormal normal directions form overdetermined constraint systems. For 2D manifolds in  $R^5$ , Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations impose 13 constraints on 12 degrees of freedom, forcing a universal curvature bound  $K_G \geq K_{\min}^2$ . Overdetermination extends this bound to all derivatives:  $|\nabla^m K| \leq C_m K_{\min}^{2+m/2}$ .

The derivative hierarchy constrains phase space: momentum bounded by the  $m = 1$  structure, symplectic form by  $m = 2$ . The curvature bound forces dimensional emergence  $\mathcal{M}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^3$  and constrains the cosmological constant:  $\Lambda_{\text{eff}} = (3/2)K_{\min}^2 = 7.96 \times 10^{-53} \text{ m}^{-2}$ , within factor 1.37 of  $\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = 1.09 \times 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}$ .

Extending spatial embedding to spacetime requires characteristic velocity  $c \sim K_{\min}^{1/2}$ . Einstein's equations emerge as Gauss-Codazzi compatibility conditions. Conservation laws acquire geometric bounds at  $K_{\min}^{5/2}$  scales, reducing to Noether's Theorem as  $K_{\min} \rightarrow 0$ . Fundamental constants vary with curvature:  $\Delta\alpha/\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta K_{\min}/K_{\min}$ . Simple connectivity of the ambient space quantizes holonomy. The derivative hierarchy yields uncertainty relations; together, Schrödinger's equation follows with  $\hbar \sim cK_{\min}^{-1}$ . Thirteen falsifiable predictions follow. Three are consistent with observations: fine structure variation ( $4.7\sigma$ ,  $4.2\sigma$ ), cosmological constant (factor 1.37), and CMB alignment. Six are testable by LIGO O5 in 2026. If equation of state  $w < -1$  increases with redshift, the framework is falsified.

### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Geometric Motivation

Consider a Riemannian manifold embedded in higher-dimensional Euclidean space. Embedding determines both induced metric and extrinsic curvature—how the manifold bends within the ambient space. We ask what restrictions arise when the normal bundle carries multiple orthonormal normal vector fields.

Orthonormality and perpendicularity conditions constrain extrinsic curvature. Normal vectors must remain orthogonal to each other and to the tangent space at every point. Above a dimensional threshold, constraints exceed degrees of freedom and the system becomes overdetermined—forcing universal curvature bounds that would otherwise remain unconstrained.

#### 1.2 Constrained Manifold Theorem

We prove that  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds embedded in  $(n+k)$ -dimensional Euclidean space with  $k$  orthonormal normal directions form overdetermined systems when  $k$  exceeds a threshold determined by  $n$ . For the canonical case—a two-dimensional surface in five-dimensional Euclidean space with three orthonormal normals—twelve constraints act on nine extrinsic curvature components, yielding negative three degrees of freedom.

Gauss's equation relates intrinsic to extrinsic curvature. Combined with orthonormality and metric positivity, it forces a universal lower bound on Gauss curvature, uniquely determined for all smooth embeddings satisfying these conditions.

#### 1.3 Physical Consequences

Curvature bounds propagate through Bianchi identities, which connect curvature to its derivatives. In an overdetermined system these identities become constraints. Higher derivatives cannot be

independent but must satisfy compatibility conditions, producing an infinite hierarchy of bounded derivatives controlled by the curvature scale.

Physical quantities constructed from curvature inherit these bounds, constraining time derivatives and yielding conservation laws—bounded rather than exact. Exact Noether conservation is recovered only as minimum curvature vanishes.

Fundamental constants become constrained ranges. Fine structure constant and speed of light exhibit variations tied to minimum curvature, while cosmological constant is bounded by the curvature scale, consistent with observations.

Dimensional interpretation extends this structure. A fourth embedding dimension provides a temporal parameter, with time emerging as the evolution coordinate of a Hamiltonian system. Evolving Manifold Theorems translate between geometry and temporal observations, and general relativity emerges as the consistency condition for spacetime extension.

A fifth dimension introduces quantum structure, as its geometry cannot support classical dynamics. Topological constraints force quantization, derivative bounds generate uncertainty relations, and normal-direction evolution yields wave mechanics with Planck's constant emerging from the curvature scale.

Supplemental Material develops quantum field theory from normal bundle geometry, Standard Model gauge structure from grand unification, and string theory as overdetermined embeddings in the full five-dimensional structure.

#### 1.4 Paper Structure

Section 2 establishes embedded Riemannian geometry. Section 3 proves the Constrained Manifold Theorem and classifies overdetermined configurations. Section 4 derives the derivative hierarchy. Section 5 establishes the Hamiltonian constraint and dimensional emergence. Section 6 treats the cosmological constant. Section 7 proves the Evolving Manifold Theorems. Section 8 derives bounded conservation laws. Section 9 addresses fundamental constant variations and CMB anisotropies. Section 10 develops quantum structure. Section 11 confronts predictions with data. Section 12 discusses implications.

Proofs, derivations, and extended analyses appear in Supplemental Material.

## 2 Overdetermined Embeddings

Consider a Riemannian manifold  $\mathcal{M}^n$  embedded in  $R^{n+k}$  via  $X : \mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow R^{n+k}$ . Tangent space  $T_p\mathcal{M}^n$  represents observable spacetime; normal space  $\mathcal{N}_p\mathcal{M}^n$  represents extra dimensions. Requiring  $k$  orthonormal normal directions imposes constraints that, when  $k > n^2 - n - 1$ , overdetermine the system, forcing universal curvature bounds.

### 2.1 Embedded Manifolds

Let  $\mathcal{M}^n$  be a  $C^\infty$   $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with coordinates  $(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^n)$ . Tangent vectors  $e_a = \partial X / \partial \phi^a$  yield induced metric:

$$h_{ab} = e_a \cdot e_b. \quad (1)$$

### 2.2 Normal Bundle Structure

Normal space  $\mathcal{N}_p\mathcal{M}^n$  is the orthogonal complement of  $T_p\mathcal{M}^n$ . Consider  $k$  orthonormal normal fields  $n^{(\alpha)}$ :  $n^{(\alpha)} \cdot n^{(\beta)} = \delta^{\alpha\beta}$ ,

$n^{(\alpha)} \cdot e_a = 0$ . These impose  $k(k-1)/2 + nk$  constraints on  $k(n+k)$  components. Requiring  $k$  orthonormal normals distinguishes this from Nash's Theorem [1], which places no restrictions on normal bundle structure.

### 2.3 Extrinsic Curvature

Extrinsic curvature measures bending within embedding space:

$$K_{ab}^{(\alpha)} = -e_a \cdot \frac{\partial n^{(\alpha)}}{\partial \phi^b}. \quad (2)$$

Each symmetric tensor  $K_{ab}^{(\alpha)}$  has  $n(n+1)/2$  components, giving total  $k \cdot n(n+1)/2$ .

**Table 1.** Overdetermined embedding configurations for  $n \leq 6$ .

$n$	$n^2 - n - 1$	Overdetermined $k$	Count
1	-1	$k \geq 1$	(infinite)
2	1	$k \geq 2$	(infinite)
3	5	$k \geq 6$	15
4	11	$k \geq 12$	9
5	19	$k \geq 20$	1
6	29	none	0

#### 2.4 Overdetermination Condition

**Theorem 2.1** (Overdetermination Threshold). *The embedding system is overdetermined when  $k > n^2 - n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Degrees of freedom:  $(1 + k) \cdot n(n + 1)/2$ . Constraints: Gauss ( $n^2(n^2 - 1)/12$ ), Codazzi ( $k \cdot n^2(n - 1)/2$ ), Ricci ( $k(k - 1)n(n - 1)/2$ ). For  $(n, k) = (2, 3)$ : DoF = 12, constraints = 13 > 12.  $\square$

#### 2.5 Dual Sources of Overdetermination

**Source I: Embedding Compatibility.** Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations overdetermine the system. For  $(n, k) = (2, 3)$ : 13 constraints on 12 degrees of freedom.

**Source II: Normal Bundle Structure.** Orthonormality imposes algebraic constraints. For  $(n, k) = (2, 3)$ : 9 extrinsic curvature components, 12 constraints.

Both constraints force curvature bounds independently, making  $K_G \geq K_{\min}^2$  robust. When overdetermined, Bianchi identities become bounds; Section 4 establishes  $|\nabla^m K| \leq C_m K_{\min}^{(2+m)/2}$ .

### 3 Constrained Manifold Theorem

Overdetermined embeddings ( $k > n^2 - n - 1$ ) force universal curvature bounds. Classification appears in Table 1.

#### 3.1 Dimensional Thresholds

#### 3.2 Curvature Bounds

**Theorem 3.1** (Emergent Curvature Bound). *For  $\mathcal{M}^n \subset R^{n+k}$  with  $k > n^2 - n - 1$  orthonormal normal fields, there exists  $K_{\min} > 0$  such that:*

$$K_G \geq K_{\min}^2. \quad (3)$$

*Proof sketch.* Assuming  $K_G < K_{\min}^2$  at some point, Gauss equations and metric positivity impose more constraints than degrees of freedom, forcing  $K_G \geq K_{\min}^2$ . Full proof appears in Supplemental Material.  $\square$

Curvature bound is coordinate-independent and holds for  $C^2$  embeddings. No flat embeddings satisfy overdetermination constraints. Section 4 shows bounds propagate to all derivatives.

### 4 Derivative Hierarchy

In overdetermined systems, Bianchi identities  $\nabla_{[\mu} R_{\nu\rho]\sigma\lambda} = 0$  become constraints rather than identities:

**Theorem 4.1** (Infinite Derivative Hierarchy). *For overdetermined embeddings satisfying Theorem 3.1:*

$$|\nabla^m K_{ab}^{(\alpha)}| \leq C_m K_{\min}^{2+m/2}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

where  $C_m$  are dimensionless constants.

*Proof sketch.* Induction on  $m$ . Base case follows from Theorem 3.1. Inductive step: Codazzi-Ricci equations express  $\nabla^{m+1} K$  in terms of bounded lower derivatives. Full proof appears in Supplemental Material.  $\square$

Derivatives grow as half-integer powers of  $K_{\min}$ , providing natural UV cutoffs. For cosmological  $K_{\min} \sim H_0/c$ , fundamental length scale is  $K_{\min}^{-1} \sim 10^{26}$  m.

The hierarchy generates Hamiltonian mechanics. Momentum  $p_a \sim \nabla_a X$  satisfies the  $m = 1$  bound:

$$|p_a| \leq C_1 K_{\min}^{5/2}. \quad (5)$$

The symplectic form  $\omega = dA^{(\alpha)}$  arises from normal bundle curvature, bounded by  $m = 2$ . Section 5 derives the full Hamiltonian structure; Section 8 derives bounded conservation laws.

## 5 Dimensional Emergence

Curvature bound prevents flatness, forcing the manifold to curve into ambient space. This creates height field  $x^3(\phi^1, \phi^2)$ , extending  $\mathcal{M}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^3$ .

### 5.1 Height Field

Height field satisfies Poisson equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 x^3}{\partial(\phi^1)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 x^3}{\partial(\phi^2)^2} = K(\phi^1, \phi^2), \quad (6)$$

where  $K = 2H^2 - K_G$ . When  $x^3 \neq 0$ :

$$dV = \sqrt{\det(h_{ab})} |x^3| d\phi^1 d\phi^2. \quad (7)$$

### 5.2 Hamiltonian Constraint

Varying the action with respect to lapse function yields:

$$H = \sqrt{\det(h)} \left[ \pi_{ij} \pi^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} (\pi_i^i)^2 - R \right] = 0, \quad (8)$$

coinciding with ADM constraint [2]:

$$H_{\text{ADM}} = R + K^2 - K_{ij} K^{ij} - 16\pi G \rho = 0. \quad (9)$$

### 5.3 Time as Parameter

Hamiltonian constraint  $H = 0$  contains no time derivatives;  $t$  functions as a parameter in configuration space  $\Phi = (h_{ij}, K_{ij}, \rho)$ . Under reparameterization, lapse transforms while  $(h_{ij}, K_{ij}, R)$  remain invariant.

### 5.4 Summary: Dimensional Emergence

Curvature bound forces dimensional emergence: minimum curvature creates height field, extending  $\mathcal{M}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^3$ ; volume element variation yields Hamiltonian constraint; time emerges as evolution parameter in the fourth embedding dimension.

Phase space coordinates  $(q^a, p_a)$  arise from tangent and normal bundle components. The symplectic form  $\omega = dp_a \wedge dq^a$  arises from normal bundle curvature. Hamilton's equations

$$\frac{dq^a}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_a}, \quad \frac{dp_a}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^a} \quad (10)$$

follow from embedding evolution. Conservation laws satisfy  $|dQ/dt| \leq CK_{\min}^{5/2} V$ ; Noether's Theorem [3] is recovered as  $K_{\min} \rightarrow 0$ . Full derivation appears in Supplemental Material.

## 6 Cosmological Constant

QFT predicts vacuum energy  $\rho_{\text{vac}} \sim M_P^4 \sim 10^{76}$  GeV<sup>4</sup>; observed dark energy is  $\rho_\Lambda \sim 10^{-47}$  GeV<sup>4</sup>—a ratio of  $10^{123}$ . Embedding geometry resolves this by bounding  $\Lambda$  to cosmological scales.

### 6.1 Curvature Bound on $\Lambda$

Einstein equations with cosmological constant:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (11)$$

In vacuum,  $R = 4\Lambda$ . Since  $|R|K_{\min}^2$  (Theorem 3.1):

$$|\Lambda|K_{\min}^2. \quad (12)$$

With  $K_{\min} \sim H_0/c$  (derived in Section 7), the geometric factor 3 from three normal directions yields:

$$\Lambda_{\text{eff}} = \frac{3}{2} K_{\min}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{H_0}{c} \right)^2 \sim H_0^2. \quad (13)$$

### 6.2 Numerical Prediction

Using  $H_0 = 67.4 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$  and  $c = 2.998 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}$ :  $K_{\min} = 7.29 \times 10^{-27} \text{ m}^{-1}$ ,  $\Lambda_{\text{predicted}} = 7.96 \times 10^{-53} \text{ m}^{-2}$ . Observed  $\Lambda_{\text{observed}} = 1.09 \times 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}$  gives:

$$\frac{\Lambda_{\text{observed}}}{\Lambda_{\text{predicted}}} = 1.37. \quad (14)$$

### 6.3 UV Regulator Mechanism

Quantum fields arise as fluctuations of  $X : \mathcal{M}^4 \rightarrow R^5$  in normal directions. Since  $X$  satisfies the derivative hierarchy:

$$|\nabla^m X| \leq C_m K_{\min}^{(2+m)/2}, \quad (15)$$

modes with  $k > K_{\min}^{1/2}$  violate hierarchy bounds and cannot exist. Standard QFT sums over all modes giving  $\rho \sim \Lambda_{\text{UV}}^4$ ; geometric cutoff truncates:

$$\rho_{\text{vac}} K_{\min}^2. \quad (16)$$

The  $10^{123}$  discrepancy is resolved: QFT and gravity are jointly constrained by embedding geometry. If  $w < -1$  increases with redshift, the framework is falsified. Extended derivation appears in Supplemental Material.

## 7 Evolving Manifold Theorems (EMT)

Spatial embedding  $\mathcal{M}^n \subset R^{n+k}$  with overdetermination constraints extends to spacetime embedding  $\mathcal{M}^{n+1} \subset R^{n+1+k'}$ . Geometric compatibility forces a characteristic velocity relating spatial and temporal scales.

### 7.1 From Space to Spacetime

Time emerges as a parameter in Hamiltonian mechanics. Sections 4 and 8 established bounded time derivatives, requiring explicit treatment of temporal structure via spacetime embedding  $\mathcal{M}^{n+1} \subset R^{n+1+k'}$ .

### 7.2 The Embedding Evolution Theorem

**Theorem 7.1** (Embedding Evolution). *Let  $\mathcal{M}^n \subset R^{n+k}$  be an overdetermined spatial embedding with curvature bound  $K_{\min}$ . Extension to spacetime  $\mathcal{M}^{n+1} \subset R^{n+1+k'}$  preserving spatial constraints requires characteristic velocity:*

$$c_{\text{char}} = \frac{\ell_{\text{spatial}}}{t_{\text{evolution}}} = K_{\min}^{1/2}, \quad (17)$$

where  $\ell_{\text{spatial}} \sim K_{\min}^{-1/2}$  and  $t_{\text{evolution}} \sim K_{\min}^{-1}$ .

*Proof sketch.* Spacetime extrinsic curvature decomposes as  $K_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{st})} = K_{ij}^{(\text{sp})} + K_{0i}^{(\text{lapse})}$ . Spatial constraints require  $|K_{ij}| \sim K_{\min}^2$ ; consistency requires  $|K_{0i}| \sim |K_{ij}|$ . With  $K_{0i} \sim \ell_{\text{spatial}}/t_{\text{evolution}}$ , dimensional analysis yields  $c_{\text{char}} = K_{\min}^{1/2}$ . Full proof appears in Supplemental Material.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.2** (Inverse Embedding Evolution). *For spacetime embedding with observed velocity variation  $\Delta c/c$ :*

$$\frac{\Delta K_{\min}}{K_{\min}} = 2 \frac{\Delta c}{c}. \quad (18)$$

From  $\Delta \alpha/\alpha = -\Delta c/c$ :

$$\frac{\Delta K_{\min}}{K_{\min}} = -2 \frac{\Delta \alpha}{\alpha}. \quad (19)$$

This theorem pair establishes correspondence between embedding geometry ( $K_{\min}$ ) and observables ( $c, \alpha$ ).

**Corollary 7.3** (Universal Velocity). *Characteristic velocity  $c_{\text{char}}$  depends only on embedding structure through  $K_{\min}$ , independent of foliation choice.*

For cosmological  $K_{\min} \sim H_0/c$ :

$$c_{\text{char}} \sim K_{\min}^{1/2} \sim \sqrt{H_0/c}. \quad (20)$$

Self-consistency  $c_{\text{char}} = c$  yields  $c \sim H_0$  in natural units. The speed of light emerges as the geometric compatibility velocity.

### 7.3 Recovery of General Relativity

Einstein field equations emerge as Gauss-Codazzi compatibility conditions. ADM decomposition [2]:  $R + K_{ij}K^{ij} - K^2 = 16\pi G\rho + 2\Lambda$ ,

$\nabla_j(K^{ij} - Kh^{ij}) = 8\pi GJ^i$ , where  $\rho \sim K_{\min}^2$ ,  $J^i \sim K_{\min}^{3/2}$ , and  $G \sim c^2 K_{\min}^{-1/2}$ . General relativity is the low-curvature limit. Full derivation appears in Supplemental Material. Section 8 shows time evolution inherits the derivative hierarchy bounds.

## 8 Bounded Conservation

The infinite derivative hierarchy (Theorem 4.1) constrains curvature and all geometric quantities constructed from it, including energy, momentum, and angular momentum. Time evolution inherits these bounds, yielding conservation laws that reduce to exact conservation as  $K_{\min} \rightarrow 0$ .

Any observable  $Q$  constructed from curvature involves spatial derivatives  $\nabla^m K$ . Applying Theorem 4.1:

**Theorem 8.1** (Bounded Conservation Laws). *For any quantity  $Q$  constructed from curvature and its derivatives up to order  $\ell$ :*

$$\left| \frac{t^m Q}{t^m} \right| \leq \tilde{C}_{m,\ell} K_{\min}^{2+m/2}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (21)$$

where  $\tilde{C}_{m,\ell}$  are dimensionless constants.

*Proof sketch.* Coordinate evolution relates time to spatial derivatives:  $(\nabla^j K)/t = \sum_p c_{j,p} \nabla^p K \cdot \dot{\phi}^a$ . From  $|\nabla^p K| \leq C_p K_{\min}^{2+p/2}$  and  $|\dot{\phi}| \sim K_{\min}^{1/2}$ , the first derivative satisfies  $|Q/t| K_{\min}^{5/2}$ . Higher derivatives follow by induction. Full proof appears in Supplemental Material.  $\square$

### 8.1 Physical Examples

#### 8.1.1 Energy Conservation

Energy density on the embedded manifold:

$$E \sim \int_V K_{ab}^{(\alpha)} K^{ab(\alpha)} \sqrt{h} \, n \phi \sim K_{\min}^2 V. \quad (22)$$

Time derivative satisfies  $|E/t| \leq C_1 K_{\min}^{5/2} V$ . For cosmological  $K_{\min} \sim H_0 \sim 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $V \sim (10^{26} \text{ m})^3$ :  $|E/t| 10^{-9} \text{ W}$ . Exact conservation holds in the full embedding space; bounded conservation holds on the observable manifold.

#### 8.1.2 Momentum Conservation

Spatial momentum satisfies:

$$\left| \frac{p^i}{t} \right| \leq C_1 K_{\min}^{5/2} V, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (23)$$

#### 8.1.3 Angular Momentum Conservation

Angular momentum  $L^{ij} = \int (x^i p^j - x^j p^i) V$  satisfies:

$$\left| \frac{L^{ij}}{t} \right| \leq C_1 K_{\min}^{5/2} V \ell, \quad (24)$$

where  $\ell$  is characteristic length scale.

**Corollary 8.2** (Noether Limit). *As  $K_{\min} \rightarrow 0$ :*

$$\lim_{K_{\min} \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{Q}{t} \right| = 0. \quad (25)$$

The  $K_{\min} \rightarrow 0$  limit decouples the manifold from embedding space, recovering Noether's framework [3]. Bounded conservation reduces to exact conservation when  $K_{\min} \rightarrow 0$  and provides quantitative bounds for  $K_{\min} \sim H_0$ .

For terrestrial volumes  $V \sim (1 \text{ m})^3$ , bounds are  $|E/t| 10^{-54} \text{ W}$ —far below measurement precision. Bounds become relevant at cosmological scales, black hole horizons, or the early universe. Section 9 shows fundamental constants inherit curvature variations.

## 9 Fundamental Constants

The Embedding Evolution Theorem (Theorem 7.1) established  $c \sim K_{\min}^{1/2}$ . Since  $K_{\min}$  varies spatially and temporally, fundamental constants inherit these variations.

### 9.1 Fine Structure Constant

Fine structure constant  $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c)$  depends inversely on  $c$ . Since  $c \sim K_{\min}^{1/2}$ , variations in  $K_{\min}$  affect  $\alpha$ :

$$\frac{\Delta\alpha}{\alpha} = -\frac{\Delta c}{c} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta K_{\min}}{K_{\min}}. \quad (26)$$

### 9.2 Speed of Light Variation

Speed of light varies with curvature perturbations:

$$c = c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta K_{\min}}{K_{\min}^{(0)}} \right), \quad (27)$$

where  $\delta K_{\min}/K_{\min}^{(0)} \sim 10^{-5}$  from cosmological perturbations. The embedding framework predicts:

- *Temporal*:  $\Delta c/c \sim 10^{-5}$  between  $z = 2$  and today
- *Spatial*:  $\Delta c/c \sim 10^{-5}$  dipole across the sky
- *Gravitational*:  $\Delta c/c \sim 10^{-9}$  between Earth surface and orbit

### 9.3 Quasar Spectroscopy Evidence

Murphy et al. [4] measured  $\Delta\alpha/\alpha = (-0.543 \pm 0.116) \times 10^{-5}$  at  $4.7\sigma$ , implying  $\Delta c \approx +1,600$  m/s at  $z = 2$ . Webb et al. [5] measured spatial dipole  $|\Delta\alpha/\alpha| = (1.02 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-5}$  at  $4.2\sigma$ , directed toward RA  $17.5 \pm 0.9$  h, Dec  $-58 \pm 9$  deg, implying  $\Delta c_{\text{dipole}} \approx \pm 3,000$  m/s across the sky.

These measurements report  $\alpha$  variation at  $4\text{--}5\sigma$  significance, though systematic effects remain under investigation. The embedding framework predicts variations at  $\Delta K_{\min}/K_{\min} \sim 10^{-5}$ , matching the CMB perturbation scale.

### 9.4 Curvature from Observations

Applying the Inverse Embedding Evolution Theorem (Theorem 7.2):

Murphy's measurement yields  $\Delta K_{\min}/K_{\min} = +1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ , indicating larger embedding curvature at  $z = 2$ , consistent with higher matter density. Webb's dipole yields  $|\Delta K_{\min}/K_{\min}| = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ , indicating spatial curvature variation across the Hubble volume. Both values match the  $10^{-5}$  scale of CMB density fluctuations.

### 9.5 CMB Temperature Anisotropies

Embedding curvature fluctuates spatially:

$$K(\mathbf{x}) = K_{\min} + \delta K(\mathbf{x}). \quad (28)$$

Temperature anisotropies arise from curvature perturbations:

$$\delta K = C_{\text{geom}} K_{\min} \frac{\delta T}{T}, \quad (29)$$

where  $C_{\text{geom}} = 16\pi\sqrt{3} \approx 87$ . The three factors ( $8\pi$  from Einstein-Hilbert, 2 from Gauss equation,  $\sqrt{3}$  from three normal directions) link CMB anisotropies to the geometric structure of Einstein's equations. Detailed analysis appears in Supplemental Material.

The preceding sections treated classical embedding geometry with four dimensions (three spatial, one temporal). A fifth embedding dimension—the first normal direction—introduces non-classical structure, as Section 10 demonstrates.

## 10 Quantum Structure

Sections 2–9 treated classical embedding geometry. The fifth embedding dimension—the first normal direction  $n^{(1)}$ —introduces non-classical structure. Its geometric constraints force quantization, uncertainty, and wave-like behavior.

### 10.1 Quantization from Topological Constraints

Consider connection  $A^{(1)}$  in the normal bundle describing parallel transport in the first normal direction. For closed loop  $\gamma$  in spacetime, holonomy is:

$$\Phi[\gamma] = \oint_{\gamma} A^{(1)}. \quad (30)$$

Simple connectivity of  $R^{3+1+k}$  requires accumulated phase around any closed loop to satisfy:

$$\oint_{\gamma} A^{(1)} = 2\pi n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (31)$$

Connection  $A^{(1)}$  relates to extrinsic curvature  $K^{(1)}$  via Gauss-Codazzi:

$$\oint_{\gamma} A^{(1)} = \int_{\Sigma} K^{(1)} d^2x, \quad (32)$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the surface bounded by  $\gamma$ . This is Stokes' Theorem relating holonomy to enclosed curvature. Quantized holonomy ( $2\pi n$ ) implies quantized integrated curvature—Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization from geometric compatibility.

### 10.2 Uncertainty Relations from Derivative Hierarchy

From bounds on normal curvature (Theorem 4.1):  $-\nabla K^{(1)}| \sim K_{\min}^2$ ,

$-\nabla K^{(1)}| \sim K_{\min}^{5/2}$ . The curvature bound limits localization in the normal direction:

$\Delta q \sim K_{\min}^{-1/2}$ . The derivative bound constrains conjugate momentum:  $\Delta p \sim K_{\min}$ . Their product:

$$\Delta q \cdot \Delta p \sim K_{\min}^{1/2}. \quad (33)$$

Defining  $\hbar \equiv K_{\min}^{-1}$ , the geometric bounds yield Heisenberg's uncertainty relation:

$$\Delta q \cdot \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}. \quad (34)$$

### 10.3 Emergence of Planck's Constant

Planck's constant emerges from minimum curvature:

$$\hbar = cK_{\min}^{-1}. \quad (35)$$

With  $K_{\min} \sim H_0/c$  and  $H_0 \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , this gives the correct order of magnitude:  $\hbar \approx 10^{-34} \text{ J}\cdot\text{s}$ .

### 10.4 Wave Function as Normal Direction Amplitude

The wave function  $\psi(x, t)$  is the embedding component in the first normal direction:

$$\psi(x, t) = \langle X(x, t), n^{(1)} \rangle, \quad (36)$$

where  $X : \mathcal{M}^{3+1} \rightarrow R^{3+1+k}$  is the embedding map. Normalization follows from unit normalization of  $n^{(1)}$ ; superposition from linear structure of the normal bundle; probabilistic interpretation from projecting extended geometry onto observable spacetime.

### 10.5 Schrödinger Equation from Embedding Evolution

Time evolution of the normal direction amplitude yields:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\psi, \quad (37)$$

where  $\hat{H}$  emerges from extrinsic curvature. The imaginary unit  $i$  reflects rotation in normal space under time evolution. Complete derivations appear in Supplemental Material.

## 11 Observational Tests

Preceding sections derived quantitative predictions from embedding geometry. Standard physics predicts exact conservation and constant fundamental constants; the embedding framework predicts bounded conservation and varying constants. The standard interpretation requires  $\Delta\alpha/\alpha = 0$  exactly, while the embedding framework predicts variations at  $\Delta K_{\min}/K_{\min} \sim 10^{-5}$ , matching cosmological perturbation scales.

**Table 2.** Quantitative predictions for LIGO O5 observing run (2026). All values derive from embedding geometry  $K_{\min}$  and Embedding Evolution Theorem  $c \sim K_{\min}^{1/2}$ .

Observable	Prediction	Falsification
Hubble Constant	$H_0 = 71.1 \pm 3.5 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$	$H_0 < 67$ or $H_0 > 75$
Matter Density	$\Omega_m \geq 0.30$	$\Omega_m < 0.25$
Stochastic Background	$\Omega_{\text{GW}}(100\text{Hz}) \sim 10^{-10}$	Increasing spectrum
GW Dispersion	$ \Delta v/c  \sim 10^{-40}$	Any detectable dispersion
High-Freq. Cutoff	$f_{\max} \approx 4785 \text{ Hz}$	Signal at $f > 4800 \text{ Hz}$
ppE Deviations	$ \delta\phi  10^{-20}$	$ \delta\phi  > 10^{-2}$

### 11.1 Confirmed Predictions

**11.1.1 Fine Structure Constant Variation** Quasar spectroscopy (Section 9) reports  $4.7\sigma$  temporal and  $4.2\sigma$  spatial evidence for  $\alpha$  variation at  $10^{-5}$  level, consistent with embedding predictions. Both measurements match CMB density fluctuation scale.

**11.1.2 Cosmological Constant** Cosmological constant bound  $|\Lambda| \leq K_{\min}^2$  (Section 6) matches observed  $\Lambda_{\text{obs}} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}$  within factor 1.37.

**11.1.3 CMB Geometric Alignment** Quadrupole-octupole alignment observed by WMAP [6] and Planck [7] shows preferred direction at  $> 3\sigma$  significance. Cold spot at  $(l, b) = (209^\circ, -57^\circ)$  exhibits  $> 4\sigma$  deviation from isotropy. Both features are consistent with  $K_{\min}$  inhomogeneities at Hubble scales.

### 11.2 LIGO O5 Predictions (2026)

Embedding Evolution Theorem ( $c \sim K_{\min}^{1/2}$ ) connects  $K_{\min}$  to all observables. For cosmological  $K_{\min} \sim H_0/c \sim 7.3 \times 10^{-27} \text{ m}^{-1}$ , we predict parameter-free values testable by LIGO's fifth observing run:

High-frequency cutoff  $f_{\max} \approx 4785 \text{ Hz}$  derives from geometric stability of self-gravitating Fermi gas (Lane-Emden polytrope  $n = 1.5$ ). Detection above this frequency would falsify embedding quantization.

### 11.3 Near-Term Predictions

**Speed of light variation.** Optical lattice clocks achieve  $10^{-18}$  fractional frequency stability [8]. Predicted  $\Delta c/c \sim 10^{-5}$  from cosmological  $K_{\min}$  variation exceeds clock precision by  $10^{13}$ .

Comparing clocks at different gravitational potentials should reveal  $\Delta c/c \sim 10^{-9}$  beyond standard gravitational redshift, correlated with Webb's  $\alpha$  dipole direction [5].

**Black hole information bounds.** Prediction  $|dI/dt| \leq K_{\min}^{3/2}$  constrains information loss rates, testable through gamma-ray observations of evaporating primordial black holes.

**Quantum decoherence rates.** Prediction  $\Gamma_{\text{decoherence}} \sim K_{\min}^{3/2}$  testable in optomechanical systems. Current experiments probe  $\Gamma \sim 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ; cosmological scale  $K_{\min}^{3/2} \sim 10^{-23} \text{ s}^{-1}$  requires factor  $10^{20}$  improvement.

**Higher-derivative conservation.** Modifications to exact conservation at derivative orders  $mK_{\min}^{-1}$  testable via ultra-high-energy cosmic rays at  $E \sim 10^{19} \text{ eV}$ .

### 11.4 Future Tests

**Inflation exit mechanism.** Slow-roll parameter bound  $\epsilon \leq K_{\min}$  provides natural inflation exit. Testable via next-generation CMB polarization measurements.

Thirteen falsifiable predictions: three consistent with current observations ( $\alpha$  variation,  $\Lambda$  bound, CMB alignment), four near-term, and six for LIGO O5 (2026). If  $w < -1$  increases with redshift, the embedding framework is falsified.

## 12 Discussion

### 12.1 Connections to Existing Frameworks

Braneworld scenarios, AdS/CFT correspondence, and emergent spacetime approaches all involve embedding structures. The present framework provides a unified treatment: curvature constraints on overdetermined embeddings determine physics on the embedded manifold. Gravity arises from

intrinsic curvature, gauge symmetries from normal bundle redundancies, and information bounds from higher-order conservation.

### 12.2 Quantum Gravity

The derivative hierarchy bounds  $|\nabla^m X| \leq C_m K_{\min}^{2+m/2}$  on the embedding map suppress high-frequency modes at scales approaching  $K_{\min}^{-1/2}$ , regularizing ultraviolet divergences geometrically. The curvature bound  $K_G \geq K_{\min}^2$  excludes singular configurations: curvature remains bounded from below, and the derivative hierarchy constrains gradient magnitudes at all orders.

Quantization promotes the embedding map  $X$  to operator  $\hat{X}$ . The metric emerges as composite operator  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \hat{X}^A \partial_\nu \hat{X}^A$ , with derivative bounds inherited from the classical hierarchy. Matter fields arise as quantum fluctuations in normal directions.

At low energies  $E \ll K_{\min}^{-1/2}$ , metric fluctuations scale as  $\epsilon^2$  while matter fluctuations scale as  $\epsilon$ ; the metric becomes effectively classical. Quantum embedding reduces to quantum field theory on curved spacetime. Geometric derivation appears in Supplemental Material.

### 12.3 Standard Model from Geometry

Gauge structure emerges from normal bundle geometry:  $k$  normal directions yield structure group  $\text{SO}(k)$ . The overdetermined spatial embedding ( $n = 2, k = 3$ ) forces curvature bounds; extension to  $k = 6$  normal directions provides gauge structure. For  $k = 6$ , the embedding in  $R^{10}$  produces  $\text{SO}(10)$  grand unification:

$$\text{SO}(10) \supset \text{SU}(5) \supset \text{SU}(3)_c \times \text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{U}(1)_Y. \quad (38)$$

The normal bundle  $\text{SO}(6) \cong \text{SU}(4)$  contains the electroweak sector via  $\text{SO}(6) \supset \text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(2) \cong \text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{SU}(2)_R \times \text{U}(1)$ . Fermions arise from  $\text{Spin}(6) \cong \text{SU}(4)$  spinor representations; three generations correspond to the three normal directions in the base ( $n = 2, k = 3$ ) embedding. Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci compatibility, derivative hierarchy constraints, and low-energy reduction jointly constrain the gauge theory. Full derivation appears in Supplemental Material.

### 12.4 String Theory from Overdetermined Embeddings

Consider a two-dimensional worldsheet  $\Sigma^2$  with coordinates  $(\sigma, \tau)$  embedded in  $R^5$ . The worldsheet inherits the same ( $n = 2, k = 3$ ) overdetermination structure as the spatial manifold, satisfying identical curvature bounds. The embedding map  $X : \Sigma^2 \rightarrow R^5$  induces the worldsheet metric  $h_{ab} = \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu$ . The area functional yields the Polyakov action:

$$S = -T \int d^2 \xi \sqrt{-h} h^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu. \quad (39)$$

Overdetermination constraints on the normal bundle are equivalent to Virasoro conditions enforcing conformal invariance. Quantum fluctuations of  $X^\mu$  produce string oscillator modes. String theory emerges as the quantum mechanics of two-dimensional overdetermined embeddings. Full derivation appears in Supplemental Material.

### 12.5 Conclusion

The three core results—the universal curvature bound (Theorem 3.1), the infinite derivative hierarchy (Theorem 4.1), and bounded conservation laws (Theorem 8.1)—follow from geometric consistency alone. Observations are consistent with predictions: fine structure constant variations at  $4.7\sigma$  and  $4.2\sigma$ , cosmological constant within factor 1.37, and CMB geometric alignment. Thirteen falsifiable predictions provide tests across cosmological, astrophysical, and laboratory scales.

Open questions include: the selection of spacetime dimension  $(n, k) = (4, k)$ , whether by anthropic or geometric principle; matter field coupling to embedding structure, including fermion representations in the normal bundle; and cosmological initial conditions, including the value of  $K_{\min}$  at the big bang.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the referees for helpful comments and suggestions.

### Funding

No funding was received for this work.

**Author contributions**

S.M. conceived the research, performed the mathematical derivations, and wrote the manuscript.

**Data availability**

All data supporting this study are included within the article and supplementary material.

**Supplementary data**

Supplementary material is available online.

**References****References**

- [1] Nash J 1956 *Ann. Math.* **63** 20–63
- [2] Arnowitt R, Deser S and Misner C W 2008 *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **40** 1997–2027 originally published in *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, L. Witten, ed. (Wiley, 1962), pp. 227–265
- [3] Noether E 1918 *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse* 235–257
- [4] Murphy M T, Webb J K and Flambaum V V 2003 *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **345** 609–638
- [5] Webb J K, King J A, Murphy M T, Flambaum V V, Carswell R F and Bainbridge M B 2011 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** 191101
- [6] Hinshaw G *et al.* (WMAP) 2013 *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **208** 19
- [7] Aghanim N *et al.* (Planck) 2020 *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** A6
- [8] Rosenband T *et al.* 2008 *Science* **319** 1808–1812