

# Slide 1: Game Setup - Stag Hunt in Mountain Rescue

---

**Scenario:** *Two explorer drones discover a critically injured person trapped under heavy debris at 2,000m elevation. The debris requires coordinated lifting for safe extraction.*

## Agents:

Explorer Drone 1

Explorer Drone 2

## Actions:

- ▶ **Coordinate (C):** Commit to synchronised heavy debris lifting
- ▶ **Solo (S):** Search for other victims independently

**Key Constraint:** Heavy debris requires **both drones working together** - solo attempts will fail

## Slide 2: Payoffs and Expected Strategies

---

	Drone 2: Coordinate	Drone 2: Solo
Drone 1: Coordinate	<b>(8, 8)</b>	<b>(0, 3)</b>
Drone 1: Solo	<b>(3, 0)</b>	<b>(3, 3)</b>

### Payoff Interpretation:

- ▶ **(8, 8)**: Successful coordinated rescue - highest value outcome
- ▶ **(3, 3)**: Both search independently - moderate success finding others
- ▶ **(0, 3)**: Coordination failure - one drone wastes time and battery

## Slide 3: Nash Equilibrium Analysis

## Pure Strategy Nash Equilibria:

- ▶ **(Coordinate, Coordinate)**: Neither drone benefits from unilateral deviation
- ▶ **(Solo, Solo)**: Safe strategy preventing coordination failure

## Mixed Strategy Equilibrium:

Let  $p$  = probability of coordination

Equilibrium condition:  $8p = 3$

Therefore:  $p^* = 3/8 = 0.375$

Each drone coordinates 37.5% of the time

## Strengths of the Stag Hunt Model:

- ▶ Captures real coordination risks in time-critical rescue operations
- ▶ Reflects trust requirements for high-stakes collaborative tasks
- ▶ Models payoff asymmetry between coordinated and solo actions

## Practical Solutions:

- ▶ **Pre-commitment protocols:** Drones signal coordination intent before approaching
- ▶ **Reputation systems:** Track coordination success across missions
- ▶ **Communication channels:** Real-time status updates reduce uncertainty

## Limitations:

- ▶ Assumes perfect information about payoffs
- ▶ Ignores dynamic environmental factors (weather, battery levels)

## Slide 5: References

---

- Brambilla, M., Ferrante, E., Birattari, M. and Dorigo, M. (2013) 'Swarm robotics: a review from the swarm engineering perspective', *Swarm Intelligence*, 7(1), pp. 1-41.
- Busoniu, L., Babuska, R. and De Schutter, B. (2008) 'A comprehensive survey of multiagent reinforcement learning', *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 38(2), pp. 156-172.
- Queralta, J.P., Taipalmaa, J., Pullinen, B.C., Sarker, V.K., Gia, T.N., Tenhunen, H., Gabbouj, M., Raitoharju, J. and Westerlund, T. (2020) 'Collaborative multi-robot search and rescue: Planning, coordination, perception, and active vision', *IEEE Access*, 8, pp. 191617-191643.
- Shoham, Y. and Leyton-Brown, K. (2009) *Multiagent systems: Algorithmic, game-theoretic, and logical foundations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Skyrms, B. (2004) *The stag hunt and the evolution of social structure*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sun, M., Wang, Y., Huang, Z. and Liu, M. (2024) 'Mixed strategy Nash equilibrium for crowd navigation', *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 9(3), pp. 2489-2496.