

## 2D Morse

We are interested in restricting our target distribution  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})$  within a cutoff contour defined by  $E_{cut}$ , we therefore define the distribution to be 0 if the Potential Energy ( $\mathbf{V}$ ) is beyond the cutoff contour, and positive if the Potential Energy is within the contour.

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 & \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) > E_{cut} \\ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) > 0 & \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) < E_{cut} \end{cases}$$

Following Garaschuk the 2D Morse  $E_{cut}=11.5$ , and the 3D is  $E_{cut}=7.5$

To avoid numerical issues generated at 0 we introduce a finite parameter  $\Delta$  such that

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{E_{cut} + \Delta - \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})}{\int d\mathbf{x} E_{cut} + \Delta - \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})} \quad (1)$$

(where we make sure to normalize the target distribution). Again following Garaschuk we take  $\Delta$  to be 1% of  $E_{cut}$ .

Consider the two-dimensional Morse Potential.

$$\mathbf{V}(x, y) := D \left[ \left( e^{-w_x x} - 1 \right)^2 + \left( e^{-w_y y} - 1 \right)^2 \right] \quad (2)$$

We are interested in  $\mathbf{P}$  having regular spacing between gridpoints, we therefore introduce a quasi Lennard-Jones pairwise interaction ( $\mathbf{U}_{ij}$ ) of the form.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) &:= \left[ \frac{\sigma_i(\mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x}_{ij}|} \right]^{12} - \left[ \frac{\sigma_i(\mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x}_{ij}|} \right]^6 \\ |\mathbf{x}_{ij}| &= \sum (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$\sigma$  represents the distance between nearest neighbors for our regularly distributed  $\mathbf{P}$  gridpoints.

$$\sigma_i = \sigma(\mathbf{x}_i) := c \cdot [N \cdot P(\mathbf{x}_i)]^{-1/d} \quad (4)$$

The constant  $c$  should be on the order of 1. Our mmc algorithm uses the Pair-Potential to optimize the gridpoints

$$\mathcal{U} := \sum_{i,j} \mathbf{U}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) \quad (5)$$

## I. BASIS CONSTRUCTION

### Symmetric Gaussian Basis

Using Symmetric Gaussians as the basis we can reuse the derivations from DGB, removing the omega dependencies. Each quasi Lennard Jones grid point is associated with a basis function in the form of a symmetric multivariate Gaussian: A natural choice for  $\alpha_i$  is

$$\alpha_i := \frac{\alpha_0}{\sigma_i^2} \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha_0 \sim 1$  is a constant to be specified later.

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{ij} := \frac{\alpha_i \mathbf{x}^i + \alpha_j \mathbf{x}^j}{\alpha_i + \alpha_j} \quad (7)$$

$$A := \exp \left[ -\frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j}{2(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)} \sum_k (\mathbf{x}_k^i - \mathbf{x}_k^j)^2 \right] \quad (8)$$

$$S_k := \left[ \frac{2\pi}{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)} \right]^{1/2} \exp \left[ -\frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j}{2(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)} (\mathbf{x}_k^i - \mathbf{x}_k^j)^2 \right] \quad (9)$$

Note that the  $i, j$ -independent factor in Eq. 9 can be dropped as it does not affect the final generalized eigenvalue problem (*cf.* Eq. 21), i.e., we can safely use

$$S_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_i + \alpha_j}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j}{2(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)} (\mathbf{x}_k^i - \mathbf{x}_k^j)^2 \right] \quad (10)$$

For convenience we will normalize our overlap matrix elements

$$S_k = \frac{(\alpha_i \alpha_j)^{1/4}}{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)^{1/2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j}{2(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)} (\mathbf{x}_k^i - \mathbf{x}_k^j)^2 \right] \quad (11)$$

for the product of two Gaussians we have

$$\Phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \Phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{S}_{ij} P_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (12)$$

where

$$P_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{k=1}^d P_{ij}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k) \quad (13)$$

$$P_{ij}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k) := \left[ \frac{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)}{2\pi} \right]^{1/2} \exp \left( -\frac{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)}{2} (\mathbf{x}_k - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k^{ij})^2 \right)$$

is a normalized Gaussian distribution ( $\int d\mathbf{x}_k P_{ij}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k) = 1$ )

In seperable coordinates the overlap matrix can be computed as

$$\mathbf{S}_{ij} = \prod_{k=1}^d S_k = \left( \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_i \alpha_j}}{\alpha_i + \alpha_j} \right)^{d/2} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{-\alpha_i \alpha_j (\mathbf{x}_k^i - \mathbf{x}_k^j)^2}{2(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)} \right] \quad (14)$$

**Kinetic Energy**

$$\mathbf{T}_{ij} = \mathbf{S}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j}{2(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)} \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j (\mathbf{x}_k^i - \mathbf{x}_k^j)^2}{\alpha_i + \alpha_j} \right] \quad (15)$$

**Potential Energy**

$$\mathbf{V}_{ij} = \mathbf{S}_{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\mathbf{x} P_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) V(\mathbf{q}_0 + \mathbf{M}^{-1/2} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{x}) \quad (16)$$

The integral in Eq. 16 can be computed by the quasi-Monte Carlo method as following. First, generate a quasi-random sequesnce  $\mathbf{z}^{(l)}$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$  sampled from the standard normal distribution  $(2\pi)^{-d/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z})$ . Then use

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\mathbf{r} P_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) V(\mathbf{q}_0 + \mathbf{M}^{-1/2} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L V(\mathbf{q}^{(l)}) \quad (17)$$

with

$$\mathbf{x}_k^{(l)} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k^{ij} + [(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)]^{-1/2} \mathbf{z}_k^{(l)} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}^{(l)} &= \mathbf{q}_0 + \mathbf{M}^{-1/2} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{x}^{(l)}, \\ \mathbf{q}^{(l)} &= \mathbf{q}_0 + \mathbf{M}^{-1/2} \sum_k \mathbf{U}_{k,:} \mathbf{x}_k^{(l)}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

**Generalized Eigenvalue Problem**

Finally, the vibrational eigenenergies  $E$  and eigenfunctions

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_j c_j \Phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \quad (20)$$

can be obtained from solving the generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$\sum_j (\mathbf{V}_{ij} + \mathbf{T}_{ij} - E \mathbf{S}_{ij}) c_j = 0 \quad (21)$$

## II. QUADRATURE

To directly compare accuracy with Garashchuk we are going to modify the general approach presented about.

First we will consider a uniform grid generated within the contour defined by Ecut. Because the grid is completely uniform we can define our Gaussian Basis Functions to have a constant alpha (the same for every basis function).

### Analytic Results

To test the code consider our 2D morse potential with a single atom (x,y cartesian coordinates) and a mass of 1 (Assume atomic units), D defines the morse parameter.

$$V := D (\exp [-c_x x] - 1)^2 + D (\exp [-c_y y] - 1)^2 \quad (22)$$

Evaluating the standard terms we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)_y &= 2Dc_x e^{-2c_x x} (e^{c_x x} - 1) \big|_{x=0} = 0 \\ \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right)_x &= 2Dc_y e^{-2c_y y} (e^{c_y y} - 1) \big|_{y=0} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial x} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The forces are given by

$$F(x, y) = -\nabla V(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} \left( -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)_y \\ \left( -\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right)_x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2Dc_x e^{-2c_x x} (e^{c_x x} - 1) \\ -2Dc_y e^{-2c_y y} (e^{c_y y} - 1) \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

For reference we can compute the Hessian Analytically,

$$\text{Hessian} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2c_x^2 D e^{-2c_x x} (e^{c_x x} - 2) & 0 \\ 0 & -2c_y^2 D e^{-2c_y y} (e^{c_y y} - 2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (25)$$