- c) Directly from Table, P(V=1 | A=0, K=1, L=0) = P(V=0 | A=0, K=1, L=0) = 1/2, not the same value as in B. Because in the table the observed data points are too few.
- d) No. We must satisfy p(v=0) + p(v=1) =1. in the prior(U) (olumn. The vest of entries we can till in number from on1.
- e) Prv=1 | A=0, K=1, L=0) PCV=1)P(A=0|V=1)P(K=1|V=1)P(L=0|V=1) P(V=0 | A=0, |C=1, L=0) - P(V=0) P(A=0 | V=0) P(K=1 | V=0) P(L=0 | V=0)

 $= \frac{5}{6} \cdot (1 - \frac{2}{6}) \cdot \frac{P(A = o|V=1)}{P(A = o|V=0)} = \frac{5}{3} \cdot \frac{P(A = o|V=1)}{P(A = o|V=0)}$ 

as long as we make  $\frac{P(A=o|V=1)}{P(A=o|V=0)} > \frac{3}{5}$  by change the

tag of A, we make P(A=o|V=1) > 1 = >(P.g. make A=o for V=1)

The email has Vivus = > (harged conclusion of b)