9. There's a natural intuition that two nodes that are far apart in a communication network—separated by many hops—have a more tenuous connection than two nodes that are close together. There are a number of algorithmic results that are based to some extent on different ways of making this notion precise. Here's one that involves the susceptibility of paths to the deletion of nodes.

Suppose that an n-node undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ contains two nodes s and t such that the distance between s and t is strictly greater than $n/2$. Show that there must exist some node v, not equal to either s or t, such that deleting v from G destroys all s-t paths. (In other words, the graph obtained from G by deleting v contains no path from s to t.) Give an algorithm with running time $O(m + n)$ to find such a node v.

6. We have a connected graph $G = (V, E)$, and a specific vertex $u \in V$. Suppose we compute a depth-first search tree rooted at u, and obtain a tree T that includes all nodes of G. Suppose we then compute a breadth-first search tree rooted at u, and obtain the same tree T. Prove that $G = T$. (In other words, if T is both a depth-first search tree and a breadth-first search tree rooted at u, then G cannot contain any edges that do not belong to T.)

11. You're helping some security analysts monitor a collection of networked computers, tracking the spread of an online virus. There are n computers in the system, labeled $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n$, and as input you're given a collection of *trace data* indicating the times at which pairs of computers communicated. Thus the data is a sequence of ordered triples (C_i, C_j, t_k); such a triple indicates that C_i and C_j exchanged bits at time t_k. There are m triples total.

We'll assume that the triples are presented to you in sorted order of time. For purposes of simplicity, we'll assume that each pair of computers communicates at most once during the interval you're observing.

The security analysts you're working with would like to be able to answer questions of the following form: If the virus was inserted into computer C_a at time x, could it possibly have infected computer C_b by time y? The mechanics of infection are simple: if an infected computer C_i communicates with an uninfected computer C_j at time t_k (in other words, if one of the triples (C_i, C_j, t_k) or (C_j, C_i, t_k) appears in the trace data), then computer C_j becomes infected as well, starting at time t_k. Infection can thus spread from one machine to another across a *sequence* of communications, provided that no step in this sequence involves a move backward in time. Thus, for example, if C_i is infected by time t_k, and the trace data contains triples (C_i, C_j, t_k) and (C_j, C_q, t_r), where $t_k \leq t_r$, then C_q will become infected via C_j. (Note that it is okay for t_k to be equal to t_r; this would mean that C_j had open connections to both C_i and C_q at the same time, and so a virus could move from C_i to C_q.)

For example, suppose $n = 4$, the trace data consists of the triples

$$(C_1, C_2, 4), \quad (C_2, C_4, 8), \quad (C_3, C_4, 8), \quad (C_1, C_4, 12),$$

and the virus was inserted into computer C_1 at time 2. Then C_3 would be infected at time 8 by a sequence of three steps: first C_2 becomes infected at time 4, then C_4 gets the virus from C_2 at time 8, and then C_3 gets the virus from C_4 at time 8. On the other hand, if the trace data were

$$(C_2, C_3, 8), \quad (C_1, C_4, 12), \quad (C_1, C_2, 14),$$

and again the virus was inserted into computer C_1 at time 2, then C_3 would not become infected during the period of observation: although C_2 becomes infected at time 14, we see that C_3 only communicates with C_2 *before* C_2 was infected. There is no sequence of communications moving forward in time by which the virus could get from C_1 to C_3 in this second example.

Design an algorithm that answers questions of this type: given a collection of trace data, the algorithm should decide whether a virus introduced at computer C_a at time x could have infected computer C_b by time y. The algorithm should run in time $O(m + n)$.

2. For each of the following two statements, decide whether it is true or false. If it is true, give a short explanation. If it is false, give a counterexample.

(a) Suppose we are given an instance of the Minimum Spanning Tree Problem on a graph G, with edge costs that are all positive and distinct. Let T be a minimum spanning tree for this instance. Now suppose we replace each edge cost c_e by its square, c_e^2, thereby creating a new instance of the problem with the same graph but different costs.

True or false? T must still be a minimum spanning tree for this new instance.

(b) Suppose we are given an instance of the Shortest s-t Path Problem on a directed graph G. We assume that all edge costs are positive and distinct. Let P be a minimum-cost s-t path for this instance. Now suppose we replace each edge cost c_e by its square, c_e^2, thereby creating a new instance of the problem with the same graph but different costs.

True or false? P must still be a minimum-cost s-t path for this new instance.