

# CS 181 Homework 6

Charles Zhang, 305-413-659

May 8, 2021

## Problem 1

$$\Sigma = \{a, b\}$$
$$\{a^i b^j \mid 0 \leq i \leq j\}$$

**CFG:**

$G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ , with  $V = \{S, A, B\}$  and  $R$  = the rule set below.

$$S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid A$$

$$A \rightarrow aAb \mid B$$

$$B \rightarrow bB \mid \epsilon$$

**Justification:**

The specified language is made up of strings of  $as$  followed by  $bs$ , where the number of  $as$  is less than or equal to the number of  $bs$ . This CFG works by first accepting the empty string. Otherwise, it builds strings with equal numbers of  $as$  and  $bs$  using the rule  $A \rightarrow aAb$ , and then adds extra  $bs$  using the rule  $B \rightarrow bB$ . This allows us to say that there will be at least as many  $bs$  as  $as$ .

I believe this CFG is unambiguous, since there is only one way to generate a given number of  $as$  in the string using  $A \rightarrow aAb$ . Due to this, any extra  $bs$  must be generated using the rule  $B \rightarrow bB$ , which means there is also only one way to generate a given number of  $bs$  in the string. Taken together, this tells us there is only one way to generate each valid string.

## Problem 2

$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$
$$L = \{xx^Rx \mid x \in \Sigma^*\}$$

**Proof (by contradiction):**

- Assume  $L$  is context-free.
- Let  $p$  be the pumping length given by the pumping lemma for CFLs.
- Let  $s = 0^p 1^p 1^p 0^p 0^p 1^p$ , noting that  $s \in L$ , as we can say that the substring  $x = 0^p 1^p$  and, by observation,  $x^R = 1^p 0^p$ , therefore,  $s = xx^Rx$ .
- With  $s$  being a member of  $L$  and having a length greater than  $p$ , the pumping lemma for CFLs guarantees that  $s$  can be split into five substrings of the form  $s = uvxyz$  such that
  - <sup>(1)</sup>for each  $i \geq 0$ ,  $uv^i xy^i z \in L$ ,
  - <sup>(2)</sup> $|vy| > 0$ , and
  - <sup>(3)</sup> $|vxy| \leq p$ .
- Note that  $s$  can be split into 3 substrings of length  $2p$ ,  $A = x$ ,  $B = x^R$ , and  $C = x$ .
- Note that each substring can be split into 2 substrings such that one substring contains  $p$  0s and the other contains  $p$  1s.
  - We will call these substrings  $A_0, A_1, B_0, B_1, C_0$ , and  $C_1$ , such that  $s = A_0 A_1 B_1 B_0 C_0 C_1$ .
- By condition (3) of the pumping lemma for CFLs, we know that  $|vxy|$  has length of at most  $p$ .
- This tells us that there are 2 general cases: one where  $|vxy|$  falls entirely within one of the substrings  $A, B$ , or  $C$ , and one where it doesn't.
- Create the string  $s'$  by pumping  $s$  using  $i = 2$ .
- Case 1:
  - Since  $A = C = x$  and  $B = x^R$ , we can say that, by the definition of reversal, it must be true that a member of  $L$  satisfies the condition that  $|A| = |B| = |C|$ .
  - In the case where  $vxy$  falls entirely within one of the substrings, we know that the other 2 substrings will remain unchanged after pumping.
  - Since  $i = 2$ , we know that the substring that is pumped will increase in length due to the pumping.
  - As a result we know that one of  $|A| \neq |B| = |C|$ ,  $|B| \neq |A| = |C|$ , or  $|C| \neq |A| = |B|$  must be true for the pumped string  $s'$ .
  - This tells us that the pumped string  $s'$  must no longer be a member of  $L$ .
  - This tells us that pumped strings of this case fail the pumping lemma for CFLs.
- Case 2:
  - In the case that  $vxy$  lies between 2 of the 3 substrings  $A, B$ , and  $C$ , there are 2 subcases:  $vxy$  lies between  $A$  and  $B$ , and  $vxy$  lies between  $B$  and  $C$ .
  - In both of the subcases, the substring disjoint from  $vxy$  is equal to  $x$ .
  - We know this substring remains the same between  $s$  and  $s'$ .

- Note that  $x$  has an equal number of 0s and 1s, and, since strings in  $L$  have the form  $w = xx^R x$ , we know that both  $s$  and  $s'$  must also have an equal number of 0s and 1s.
- Since the substrings of the form  $A_0, A_1$ , etc. are each of length  $p$ , we know that, at most,  $vxy$  overlaps 2 such substrings.
- Case 2a:
  - \*  $vxy$  crosses from  $A$  to  $B$ .
  - \* By the constraint that  $vxy$  only overlaps 2 of  $A_0, A_1$ , etc., we know that  $vxy$  must cross from  $A_1$  to  $B_1$ .
    - More explicitly, it is impossible for  $vxy$  to overlap with  $A_0$  or  $B_0$ .
  - \* This tells us that  $vxy$  is made up entirely of 1s
  - \* As a result, pumping  $vxy$  increases the number of 1s in the string, but keeps the number of 0s constant.
  - \* This violates the condition that the string  $s'$  has an equal number of 0s and 1s, telling us that  $s' \notin L$ .
- Case 2b:
  - \*  $vxy$  crosses from  $B$  to  $C$ .
  - \* By the constraint that  $vxy$  only overlaps 2 of  $A_0, A_1$ , etc., we know that  $vxy$  must cross from  $B_0$  to  $C_0$ .
    - More explicitly, it is impossible for  $vxy$  to overlap with  $B_1$  or  $C_1$ .
  - \* This tells us that  $vxy$  is made up entirely of 0s
  - \* As a result, pumping  $vxy$  increases the number of 0s in the string, but keeps the number of 1s constant.
  - \* This violates the condition that the string  $s'$  has an equal number of 0s and 1s, telling us that  $s' \notin L$ .
- Both possible subcases fail to create a string  $s' \in L$  after pumping, telling us that pumped strings of this case fail the pumping lemma for CFLs.
- Both possible cases fail the pumping lemma for CFLs, which contradicts the assumption that  $L$  is context-free  $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

## Problem 3

$R$  and  $S$  are FSLs

$C$  is a language which can be represented by a PDA

$G$  is a language which can be represented by an unambiguous CFG

$A$  is a language which can be represented by an ambiguous CFG

$I$  is an inherently ambiguous CFL

$L$  is a language which *cannot* be represented by a CFG

$X$ , given that  $X \cup S = L$

1 FSL | 2 CFL and not FSL | 3 Inherently Ambiguous CFL | 4 Non-CFL

**a)**  $R \cap L$ : It could be a non-CFL; so it could be 1, 2, 3, or 4. Since  $L$  is a non-CFL language, we can't apply any closure properties to narrow it down any further.

**b)**  $A$ : It could be 1, 2, or 3. Languages that can be represented by CFGs are CFLs by definition. If all we know is that  $A$  can be represented by an ambiguous CFG, we have no indication of if  $A$  is inherently ambiguous or not. In addition, we have no indication of if  $A$  is strictly a CFL.

**c)**  $\bar{C}$ : It could be 1, 2, 3, or 4. As discussed in lecture, languages that can be represented by a PDA are CFLs, which are not closed under complementation, so we have no indication of what the resulting language may be.

**d)**  $G$ : It could be 1 or 2. If  $G$  can be represented by an unambiguous CFG, then it's a CFL, meaning it's obviously not inherently ambiguous or non-CFL. However, FSLs are a subset of CFLs, so it may be an FSL.

**e)**  $\bar{L}$ : It could be 2, 3, or 4. Since FSLs are closed under complementation, and  $L$  cannot be represented by a CFG (meaning it's definitely not an FSL),  $\bar{L}$  can't be an FSL. CFLs and non-FSLs don't have the same restrictions, so it is possible for  $\bar{L}$  to be one of them.

**f)**  $X$ : It must be 4. Since CFLs and FSLs are closed under union, if  $X$  was a CFL or FSL, the result of unioning it with  $S$ , an FSL, would be a CFL or FSL. Instead, it's a non-CFL, so  $X$  must be a non-CFL too.