CS180-Fall20 HW1

CHARLES XIAN ZHANG

TOTAL POINTS

50 / 60

QUESTION 1

1 Q1 10 / 10

- √ 0 pts Correct example, reasonable explanation
 - 4 pts Correct example, incomplete explanation
- **5 pts** Correct intuition but wrong example, the ratings are distinct.
 - 6 pts Correct example, no explanation
- 10 pts Incorrect, there may not exist a stable matching always.

QUESTION 2

2 Q2 10 / 10

- √ 0 pts correct
- 2 pts Part a: didn't give a detailed algorithm to find the perfect matching
 - 0.5 pts minor mistake in part a
- 2 pts Part a: did not mention explicitly how to break the tie.
 - 4 pts Part b missing
 - 2 pts Part b no example or wrong example
 - 10 pts completely wrong
 - 6 pts part a missing

QUESTION 3

3 Q3 0 / 10

- **0 pts** Correct Algorithm, reasonable proof of correctness
 - **5 pts** Incorrect/incomplete proof of correctness
 - 5 pts Incorrect/Incomplete algorithm
- √ 10 pts Incorrect/Incomplete algorithm and proof
 - 10 pts No answer

QUESTION 4

4 Q4 10 / 10

√ - 0 pts Correct

- 1 pts part c or d answer wrong
- 1 pts please use calculator to solve for n
- **0.5 pts** Failure in rounding.
- 1 pts part f wrong number
- 10 pts completely wrong
- 2 pts unit conversion wrong
- 1 pts part a or b wrong
- **5 pts** partially correct
- 2 pts did not show work

QUESTION 5

Q5 10 pts

5.1 a 5 / 5

- √ 0 pts Correct
 - 0.5 pts Minor miscalculation
- 1 pts Base case needs to be 1 or 0 for the induction to hold for all n.
 - 1.5 pts Missing base case
 - 3 pts Induction step should go forward, not

backwards

- 4 pts Missing induction step
- 5 pts No answer

5.2 b 5/5

√ - 0 pts Correct

- **0.5 pts** Minor miscalculation
- 0.5 pts Small step missing
- 0.5 pts Base case need to be 1 or 0 for induction

to hold for all n

- 1 pts Missing base case
- 2 pts Miscalculation in induction step
- 2 pts Induction step goes forwards, not backwards
- 3 pts Missing induction step
- 5 pts Wrong sum formula
- 5 pts No answer

QUESTION 6

6 Q6 10 / 10

- 2 pts wrong number for 200 steps
- 2 pts wrong generalization
- 2 pts minor mistake
- 1 pts take the ceiling or round to integer
- 10 pts completely wrong

Charles Zhang Disc. 1D Fri. 10am - 1pm

Song

1)

Counterexample:

- Denote each set *S* and *T* with each network's show's ratings
- Given $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $T = \{1.5, 2.5, 3.5\}$ competing for 3 network spots
- If either network knows the other network's schedule, it is always possible for them to schedule their shows so that they acquire at least 2/3 network spots
 - This is because each station has at least 2 shows that are higher rated than 2 of the other network's shows
- Since both networks are able to do this, the network with less network spots will always have a unilateral move that will win it more time slots
- As a result, a stable solution is impossible, since the "losing" network will always have an option to improve their situation

1 Q1 10 / 10

- √ 0 pts Correct example, reasonable explanation
 - 4 pts Correct example, incomplete explanation
 - **5 pts** Correct intuition but wrong example, the ratings are distinct.
 - 6 pts Correct example, no explanation
 - 10 pts Incorrect, there may not exist a stable matching always.

Assumptions:

- Use some arbitrary standard to break every preference tie (lexicographic order of name, subscript number, etc.)
- By breaking the ties, the Stable Matching Problem is now back to its original form, as each man and woman have an "ordered" list

• Algorithm:

- While there is an unpaired man
 - Match a man m with the highest ranked woman that he hasn't proposed to yet
 - If the woman is free, the man and woman are now engaged
 - Otherwise
 - If the woman prefers her current match, the current man remains unpaired
 - If the woman prefers the new man, they become engaged and the old match becomes unpaired
- Return the final result

Proof:

- By artificifically ordering each person's preference list, we arrive at the same initial conditions as the Stable Matching Problem
- When a woman is engaged, they will be engaged for the rest of the algorithm's runtime
- As a result, if n women are engaged, n men are also engaged
- Therefore, a given man cannot reach beyond the end of his preference list, since
 if the man is unpaired, there must be a woman that is also unpaired
- By this logic, the GS algorithm always returns a perfect match for the given initial conditions
- o If this perfect match was not a stable match, then a system with 2 pairs, (m, w) and (m', w'), must meet the conditions that m "prefers" w' and w' "prefers" m
- Assuming m prefers w', he must have proposed to w' already before matching with w, meaning that w' rejected him for another m"
- This means that w' must prefer her current pairing, m', over m", which implies m'
 m" > m, which contradicts our condition for an unstable match
- The final result must be a stable match.

2b)

Counterexample:

- Given a set of 2 men, m and m', and 2 women, w and w'
- o m and m' both prefer w over w'
- o w has no preference between m and m'
- After perfect matching is implemented, one of the men will be matched with w and the other with w'
- Since the man matched with w' must prefer w and w has no preference, a weak instability is inevitable

2 Q2 10 / 10

- 2 pts Part a: didn't give a detailed algorithm to find the perfect matching
- **0.5 pts** minor mistake in part a
- 2 pts Part a: did not mention explicitly how to break the tie.
- 4 pts Part b missing
- 2 pts Part b no example or wrong example
- 10 pts completely wrong
- 6 pts part a missing

Algorithm:

- Given a set of n input wires and n output wires
- Create a "preference list" for each wire, listing the opposite wires in the order you encounter them as you move from the source downstream
- While there is an input wire with no matched output wire
 - Switch the input wire to the most preferred output wire that it has not yet attempted to switch to
 - If the output wire has not been switched to, switch the input wire to the chosen output wire
 - If the output wire has been switched to:
 - If the current input wire is more preferred by the selected output wire than its previous match, switch the current input wire to the selected output wire
 - Make the previous input wire unswitched
 - If the current input wire is not more preferred than the selected output wire, leave the current input wire unswitched
- Return the final set of switches between input and output wires

Proof:

- Each output wire can only be switched to by a single input wire, since multiple input wires switched onto a single output wire guarantees a crossing of data streams
- When an output wire is switched onto, they are never unswitched from, so they will be switched onto for the remainder of the algorithm's runtime
- As a result of the previous 2 statements, when n output wires are switched to, exactly n input wires have been switched
- Due to this, it's impossible to exit the algorithm without every single input and output wire having a unique pair
- If this solution were to create a result where 2 data streams passed through the same box, then there would have to be pairings (i, o) and (i', o') where i would prefer to switch to o' and o' would prefer if it was switched to by i
- In order for this to be true, i must have attempted to switch to o' first since o' would be further upstream than o
- Since i is not paired with o', it means o' must've found an input wire i" that is further upstream than i
- Since o' 's final pairing is with i', this implies i' is further upstream than i", and i" is further upstream than i
- Therefore, the conditions for the solution being invalid cannot be met after this algorithm's execution
- This has been proved by contradiction, as we have shown that the final pairing is guaranteed to create a valid solution

3 Q3 0 / 10

- **0 pts** Correct Algorithm, reasonable proof of correctness
- **5 pts** Incorrect/incomplete proof of correctness
- **5 pts** Incorrect/Incomplete algorithm
- \checkmark 10 pts Incorrect/Incomplete algorithm and proof
 - 10 pts No answer

4a) n²

- There are 3600 seconds in an hour, therefore 3.6 x 10¹³ operations can be performed per hour
- For an n² algorithm, an input size of n requires n² operations to complete computation
- The maximum size of n can then be derived by solving $n^2 = 3.6 \times 10^{13}$
- $n = (3.6 \times 10^{13})^{1/2}$
- $n = 6 \times 10^6$

4b) n³

- There are 3600 seconds in an hour, therefore 3.6 x 10¹³ operations can be performed per hour
- For an n³ algorithm, an input size of n requires n³ operations to complete computation
- The maximum size of n can then be derived by solving $n^3 = 3.6 \times 10^{13}$
- $n = (3.6 \times 10^{13})^{1/3}$
- n = 33019.27
- n must be an integer, so we floor the result
- n = 33019

4c) 100n²

- There are 3600 seconds in an hour, therefore 3.6 x 10¹³ operations can be performed per hour
- For a 100n² algorithm, an input size of n requires 100n² operations to complete computation
- The maximum size of n can then be derived by solving $100n^2 = 3.6 \times 10^{13}$
- $n = (3.6 \times 10^{11})^{1/2}$
- n = 600000

4d) n log n

- There are 3600 seconds in an hour, therefore 3.6 x 10¹³ operations can be performed per hour
- For an n log n algorithm, an input size of n requires n log n operations to complete computation
- The maximum size of n can then be derived by solving n log n = 3.6×10^{13}
- $n \log n = n (\ln n / \ln 2)$
- In $n \sim n^{1/2} 1/n^{1/2}$
- $n^{3/2} n^{1/2} = (\ln 2) \times 3.6 \times 10^{13}$
- $n^{1/2}(n-1) = 2.495 \times 10^{13}$
- $n = 9.06 \times 10^{11}$

4e) 2ⁿ

- There are 3600 seconds in an hour, therefore 3.6 x 10¹³ operations can be performed per hour
- For a 2ⁿ algorithm, an input size of n requires 2ⁿ operations to complete computation
- The maximum size of n can then be derived by solving $2^n = 3.6 \times 10^{13}$

- $\log_2(2^n) = \log_2(3.6 \times 10^{13})$
- $n = \log_2(3.6 \times 10^{13})$
- $n = \ln(3.6 \times 10^{13}) / \ln 2$
- n = 45.03
- n must be an integer, so we floor the result
- n = 45

4f) 2^{2ⁿ}

- There are 3600 seconds in an hour, therefore 3.6 x 10¹³ operations can be performed per hour
- For a 2²n algorithm, an input size of n requires 2²n operations to complete computation
- The maximum size of n can then be derived by solving $2^{2^{n}} = 3.6 \times 10^{13}$
- $\log_2(2^{2^{n}}) = 3.6 \times 10^{13}$
- $2^n = \log_2(3.6 \times 10^{13})$
- $2^n = \ln(3.6 \times 10^{13}) / \ln 2$
- $\log_2(2^n) = \log_2(45.03)$
- n = ln 45.03 / ln 2
- n = 5.49
- n must be an integer, so we floor the result
- n = 5

4 Q4 10 / 10

- 1 pts part c or d answer wrong
- 1 pts please use calculator to solve for n
- **0.5 pts** Failure in rounding.
- 1 pts part f wrong number
- 10 pts completely wrong
- 2 pts unit conversion wrong
- 1 pts part a or b wrong
- **5 pts** partially correct
- 2 pts did not show work

5a)

Proof:

- Base case: 1 = 1(1 + 1) / 2 is true
- \circ Assume: (1 + 2 + ... + n) = n(n + 1) / 2
- o Prove: (1 + 2 + ... + n + [n + 1]) = (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2
- \circ (1+2+...+n)+(n+1)=(n+1)(n+2)/2
- \circ n(n + 1) / 2 + (n + 1) = (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2
- \circ n(n + 1) / 2 + 2(n + 1) / 2 = (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2
- \circ [n(n + 1) + 2(n + 1)] / 2 = (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2
- \circ (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2 = (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2
- Assuming P(k) is a valid solution, we proved that P(k + 1) is as well
- \circ (1 + 2 + ... + n) = n(n + 1) / 2 has been proved by induction

5b)

Assumption:

- $0 1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + ... + n^2 = ??$
- o First step: find ??
 - $a^3 b^3 = (a b)(a^2 + ab + b^2)$
 - Let a = n and b = n 1

 - $n^3 (n-1)^3 = 3n^2 3n + 1$
 - Repeat this process until n 1 = 0 and sum each equation, and all terms on the left will cancel out except for n³
 - $n^3 = 3\sum_{n} n^2 3\sum_{n} n + n$
 - $= \sum n = n(n+1)/2$
 - $n^3 = 3\sum_{n} n^2 3n(n+1)/2 + 1$

Proof:

- Base case: $1^2 = 1(2)(3) / 6$ is true
- O Assume: $1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + ... + n^2 = n(n + 1)(2n + 1) / 6$
- o Prove: $1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + ... + n^2 + (n + 1)^2 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- $(1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + ... + n^2) + (n + 1)^2 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- o $n(n + 1)(2n + 1) / 6 + (n + 1)^2 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- o $n(n + 1)(2n + 1) / 6 + n^2 + 2n + 1 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- \circ $(2n^3 + 3n^2 + n) / 6 + (6n^2 + 12n + 6) / 6 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- \circ $(2n^3 + 9n^2 + 13n + 6) / 6 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- \circ $(2n^3 + 9n^2 + 13n + 6) / 6 = <math>(2n^3 + 9n^2 + 13n + 6) / 6$
- Assuming P(k) is a valid solution, we proved that P(k + 1) is as well
- $1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + ... + n^2 = n(n + 1)(2n + 1) / 6$ has been proved by induction

5.1 a 5 / 5

- **0.5 pts** Minor miscalculation
- 1 pts Base case needs to be 1 or 0 for the induction to hold for all n.
- 1.5 pts Missing base case
- 3 pts Induction step should go forward, not backwards
- 4 pts Missing induction step
- **5 pts** No answer

5a)

Proof:

- \circ Base case: 1 = 1(1 + 1) / 2 is true
- Assume: (1 + 2 + ... + n) = n(n + 1) / 2
- o Prove: (1 + 2 + ... + n + [n + 1]) = (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2
- \circ (1+2+...+n)+(n+1)=(n+1)(n+2)/2
- \circ n(n + 1) / 2 + (n + 1) = (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2
- \circ n(n + 1) / 2 + 2(n + 1) / 2 = (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2
- \circ [n(n + 1) + 2(n + 1)] / 2 = (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2
- \circ (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2 = (n + 1)(n + 2) / 2
- Assuming P(k) is a valid solution, we proved that P(k + 1) is as well
- \circ (1 + 2 + ... + n) = n(n + 1) / 2 has been proved by induction

5b)

Assumption:

- $0 1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + ... + n^2 = ??$
- o First step: find ??
 - $a^3 b^3 = (a b)(a^2 + ab + b^2)$
 - Let a = n and b = n 1

 - $n^3 (n-1)^3 = 3n^2 3n + 1$
 - Repeat this process until n 1 = 0 and sum each equation, and all terms on the left will cancel out except for n³
 - $n^3 = 3\sum_{n} n^2 3\sum_{n} n + n$
 - $= \sum n = n(n+1)/2$
 - $n^3 = 3\sum_{n} n^2 3n(n+1)/2 + 1$

Proof:

- \circ Base case: $1^2 = 1(2)(3) / 6$ is true
- Assume: $1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + ... + n^2 = n(n + 1)(2n + 1) / 6$
- o Prove: $1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + ... + n^2 + (n + 1)^2 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- $(1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + ... + n^2) + (n + 1)^2 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- o $n(n + 1)(2n + 1) / 6 + (n + 1)^2 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- o $n(n + 1)(2n + 1) / 6 + n^2 + 2n + 1 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- \circ $(2n^3 + 3n^2 + n) / 6 + (6n^2 + 12n + 6) / 6 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- \circ $(2n^3 + 9n^2 + 13n + 6) / 6 = (n + 1)(n + 2)(2n + 3) / 6$
- \circ $(2n^3 + 9n^2 + 13n + 6) / 6 = <math>(2n^3 + 9n^2 + 13n + 6) / 6$
- Assuming P(k) is a valid solution, we proved that P(k + 1) is as well
- $1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + ... + n^2 = n(n + 1)(2n + 1) / 6$ has been proved by induction

5.2 b 5/5

- **0.5 pts** Minor miscalculation
- 0.5 pts Small step missing
- **0.5 pts** Base case need to be 1 or 0 for induction to hold for all n
- 1 pts Missing base case
- 2 pts Miscalculation in induction step
- **2 pts** Induction step goes forwards, not backwards
- 3 pts Missing induction step
- **5 pts** Wrong sum formula
- **5 pts** No answer

200 Step Assumptions:

- In order to solve the problem, the first step is to decide on a partition size for your egg drops
- o Since the problem is strictly about the worst case, focus on that
- Everytime you go up 1 partition, 1 extra step is added to the problem
- If the partitions are each the same size, then the bulk of the problem's efficiency is located in the early partitions, while the upper partitions are disproportionately inefficient
- To minimize the worst-case scenario, the most optimal partition size must be dynamic as the ladder is ascended
- Since each partition ascended is +1 step, we decrement the size of each partition by 1
- Assuming the initial partition size is m, the obvious distribution using this method satisfies m + (m 1) + (m 2) + ... + 1 = 200
- This can be solved for m using m(m + 1) / 2 = 200
- o This results in m = 19.51
- Since the partition size must be an integer, and we need to make sure we at least make it to the 200th step, we must ceiling this value
- Therefore, our initial partition size would be 20
- Since we've designed this method to balance the partition size and number of partitions, our worst case is also 20 steps

• 200 Step Algorithm:

- \circ Take h = 20 as the initial height and x = 20 as the initial partition size
- While the first egg remains intact
 - Drop the egg from the current height h
 - If the egg breaks or the height surpasses 200, break out of the loop
 - If the egg remains intact, increment h by x 1 and decrement x by 1
- Decrement current height h by current size of partition x
- While the second egg remains intact
 - Drop the egg from the current height h
 - If the egg breaks, break out of the loop
 - If the egg remains intact, increment h by 1
- Return the value h 1, since h is the lowest point at which the egg breaks

N Step Assumptions:

- The same assumptions apply here as in the 200 step variation
- The partitions should satisfy m + (m 1) + (m 2) + ... + 1 = N
- We can then use m(m + 1)/2 = N to solve for m in terms of N
- Like in the 200 step variation, this value of m must then be ceilinged
- Our worst case is ceil(m) steps

N Step Algorithm:

- \circ Take h = ceil(m) as the initial height and x = ceil(m) as the initial partition size
- While the first egg remains intact
 - Drop the egg from the current height h

- If the egg breaks or the height surpasses N, break out of the loop
- If the egg remains intact, increment h by x 1 and decrement x by 1
- o Decrement current height h by current size of partition x
- o While the second egg remains intact
 - Drop the egg from the current height h
 - If the egg breaks, break out of the loop
 - If the egg remains intact, increment h by 1
- Return the value h 1, since h is the lowest point at which the egg breaks

6 Q6 10 / 10

- 2 pts wrong number for 200 steps
- **2 pts** wrong generalization
- 2 pts minor mistake
- 1 pts take the ceiling or round to integer
- 10 pts completely wrong