

CS 181 Homework 6

Charles Zhang, 305-413-659

May 9, 2021

Problem 1

$$\Sigma = \{a, b\}$$

$$\{a^i b^j \mid 0 \leq i \leq j\}$$

CFG:

$G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$, with $V = \{S, A, B\}$ and R = the rule set below.

$$S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid aAb$$

$$A \rightarrow aAb \mid B \mid \epsilon$$

$$B \rightarrow bB \mid b$$

Justification:

The specified language is made up of strings of as followed by bs , where the number of as is less than or equal to the number of bs . This CFG works by first accepting the empty string. Otherwise, it builds strings with equal numbers of as and bs using the rule $A \rightarrow aAb$, and then adds extra bs using the rule $B \rightarrow bB \mid b$. This allows us to say that there will be at least as many bs as as .

I believe this CFG is unambiguous, since there is only one way to generate a given number of as in the string using $A \rightarrow aAb$. Due to this, any extra bs must be generated using the rule $B \rightarrow bB \mid b$, which means there is also only one way to generate a given number of bs in the string. Finally, the empty string can only be generated by the rule $S \rightarrow \epsilon$. Taken together, this tells us there is only one way to generate each valid string.

Problem 2

$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$
$$L = \{xx^Rx \mid x \in \Sigma^*\}$$

Proof (by contradiction):

- Assume L is context-free.
- Let p be the pumping length given by the pumping lemma for CFLs.
- Let $s = 0^p 1^p 1^p 0^p 1^p$, noting that $s \in L$, as we can say that the substring $x = 0^p 1^p$ and, as proved in HW1, $x^R = 1^p 0^p$, therefore, $s = xx^Rx$.
- With s being a member of L and having a length greater than p , the pumping lemma for CFLs guarantees that s can be split into five substrings of the form $s = uvxyz$ such that
 - ⁽¹⁾for each $i \geq 0$, $uv^i xy^i z \in L$,
 - ⁽²⁾ $|vy| > 0$, and
 - ⁽³⁾ $|vxy| \leq p$.
- Note that s can be split into 3 substrings of length $2p$, $A = x$, $B = x^R$, and $C = x$.
- Note that each substring can be split into 2 substrings such that one substring contains p 0s and the other contains p 1s.
 - We will call these substrings A_0, A_1, B_0, B_1, C_0 , and C_1 , such that $s = A_0 A_1 B_1 B_0 C_0 C_1$.
- By condition (3) of the pumping lemma for CFLs, we know that $|vxy|$ has length of at most p .
- This tells us that there are 2 general cases: one where $|vxy|$ falls entirely within one of the substrings A, B , or C , and one where it doesn't.
- Create the string s' by pumping s using $i = 2$.
- Case 1:
 - In the case that vxy lies within 1 of the 3 substrings A, B , and C , there are 2 subcases: vxy is made up entirely of 1 symbol or vxy contains both symbols.
 - Case 1a:
 - * In the first subcase, we know that one of A_0, A_1, B_0, B_1, C_0 , or C_1 will be pumped.
 - * This means the total number of 0s or 1s will increase, while the other stays constant.
 - * Note that all runs of 0s and 1s are separated by a run of the other symbol.
 - * If the number of 0s or 1s increases, we know that the length of exactly 1 such run will increase.
 - * However, in order to satisfy the condition that $w = xx^Rx$, this increase in length must be reflected in the other 2 runs of that symbol as well.
 - * Therefore, the resulting string s' will not be in L .
 - Case 1b:
 - * In the second subcase, we know a string containing 1 or more 0s and 1 or more 1s will be pumped.

- * In this case, a pattern of alternating runs of 0s and 1s will emerge within the substring.
- * However, this pattern will not occur in either of the other substrings.
- * This clearly breaks the condition that $w = xx^R x$.
- This tells us that the pumped string s' must no longer be a member of L .
- This tells us that pumped strings of this case fail the pumping lemma for CFLs.
- Case 2:
 - In the case that vxy lies between 2 of the 3 substrings A , B , and C , there are 2 subcases: vxy lies between A and B , and vxy lies between B and C .
 - In both of the subcases, the substring disjoint from vxy is equal to x .
 - We know this substring remains the same between s and s' .
 - Note that x has an equal number of 0s and 1s, and, since strings in L have the form $w = xx^R x$, we know that both s and s' must also have an equal number of 0s and 1s.
 - Since the substrings of the form A_0, A_1 , etc. are each of length p , we know that, at most, vxy overlaps 2 such substrings.
 - Case 2a:
 - * vxy crosses from A to B .
 - * By the constraint that vxy only overlaps 2 of A_0, A_1 , etc., we know that vxy must cross from A_1 to B_1 .
 - More explicitly, it is impossible for vxy to overlap with A_0 or B_0 .
 - * This tells us that vxy is made up entirely of 1s
 - * As a result, pumping vxy increases the number of 1s in the string, but keeps the number of 0s constant.
 - * This violates the condition that the string s' has an equal number of 0s and 1s, telling us that $s' \notin L$.
 - Case 2b:
 - * vxy crosses from B to C .
 - * By the constraint that vxy only overlaps 2 of A_0, A_1 , etc., we know that vxy must cross from B_0 to C_0 .
 - More explicitly, it is impossible for vxy to overlap with B_1 or C_1 .
 - * This tells us that vxy is made up entirely of 0s
 - * As a result, pumping vxy increases the number of 0s in the string, but keeps the number of 1s constant.
 - * This violates the condition that the string s' has an equal number of 0s and 1s, telling us that $s' \notin L$.

- Both possible subcases fail to create a string $s' \in L$ after pumping, telling us that pumped strings of this case fail the pumping lemma for CFLs.
- Both possible cases fail the pumping lemma for CFLs, which contradicts the assumption that L is context-free $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

Problem 3

R and S are FSLs

C is a language which can be represented by a PDA

G is a language which can be represented by an unambiguous CFG

A is a language which can be represented by an ambiguous CFG

I is an inherently ambiguous CFL

L is a language which *cannot* be represented by a CFG

X , given that $X \cup S = L$

1 FSL | 2 CFL and not FSL | 3 Inherently Ambiguous CFL | 4 Non-CFL

a) $R \cap L$: It could be a non-CFL; so it could be 1, 2, 3, or 4. Since L is a non-CFL language, we can't apply any closure properties to narrow it down any further.

b) A : It could be 1, 2, or 3. Languages that can be represented by CFGs are CFLs by definition. If all we know is that A can be represented by an ambiguous CFG, we have no indication of if A is inherently ambiguous or not. In addition, we have no indication of if A is strictly a CFL.

c) \bar{C} : It could be 1, 2, 3, or 4. As discussed in lecture, languages that can be represented by a PDA are CFLs, which are not closed under complementation, so we have no indication of what the resulting language may be.

d) G : It could be 1 or 2. If G can be represented by an unambiguous CFG, then it's a CFL, and it's obviously not inherently ambiguous or non-CFL. However, FSLs are a subset of CFLs, so it may be an FSL.

e) \bar{L} : It could be 2, 3, or 4. Since FSLs are closed under complementation, and L cannot be represented by a CFG (meaning it's definitely not an FSL), \bar{L} can't be an FSL. CFLs and non-FSLs don't have the same restrictions, so it is possible for \bar{L} to be one of them.

f) X : It must be 4. Since CFLs and FSLs are closed under union, if X was a CFL or FSL, the result of unioning it with S , an FSL, would be a CFL or FSL. Instead, it's a non-CFL, so X must be a non-CFL too.