8. You're doing some stress-testing on various models of glass jars to determine the height from which they can be dropped and still not break. The setup for this experiment, on a particular type of jar, is as follows. You have a ladder with *n* rungs, and you want to find the highest rung from which you can drop a copy of the jar and not have it break. We call this the *highest safe rung*.

It might be natural to try binary search: drop a jar from the middle rung, see if it breaks, and then recursively try from rung n/4 or 3n/4 depending on the outcome. But this has the drawback that you could break a lot of jars in finding the answer.

If your primary goal were to conserve jars, on the other hand, you could try the following strategy. Start by dropping a jar from the first rung, then the second rung, and so forth, climbing one higher each time until the jar breaks. In this way, you only need a single jar—at the moment

it breaks, you have the correct answer—but you may have to drop it n times (rather than $\log n$ as in the binary search solution).

So here is the trade-off: it seems you can perform fewer drops if you're willing to break more jars. To understand better how this trade-off works at a quantitative level, let's consider how to run this experiment given a fixed "budget" of $k \ge 1$ jars. In other words, you have to determine the correct answer—the highest safe rung—and can use at most k jars in doing so.

- (a) Suppose you are given a budget of k=2 jars. Describe a strategy for finding the highest safe rung that requires you to drop a jar at most f(n) times, for some function f(n) that grows slower than linearly. (In other words, it should be the case that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)/n = 0$.)
- (b) Now suppose you have a budget of k > 2 jars, for some given k. Describe a strategy for finding the highest safe rung using at most k jars. If $f_k(n)$ denotes the number of times you need to drop a jar according to your strategy, then the functions f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots should have the property that each grows asymptotically slower than the previous one: $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_k(n)/f_{k-1}(n) = 0$ for each k.

5.	A binary tree is a rooted tree in which each node has at most two children. Show by induction that in any binary tree the number of nodes with two children is exactly one less than the number of leaves.

7.	Some friends of yours work on wireless networks, and they're currently
	studying the properties of a network of n mobile devices. As the devices
	move around (actually, as their human owners move around), they define
	a graph at any point in time as follows: there is a node representing each
	of the n devices, and there is an edge between device i and device j if the
	physical locations of i and j are no more than 500 meters apart. (If so, we
	say that <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> are "in range" of each other.)

They'd like it to be the case that the network of devices is connected at all times, and so they've constrained the motion of the devices to satisfy

the following property: at all times, each device i is within 500 meters of at least n/2 of the other devices. (We'll assume n is an even number.) What they'd like to know is: Does this property by itself guarantee that the network will remain connected?

Here's a concrete way to formulate the question as a claim about graphs.

Claim: Let G be a graph on n nodes, where n is an even number. If every node of G has degree at least n/2, then G is connected.

Decide whether you think the claim is true or false, and give a proof of either the claim or its negation.

10. A number of art museums around the country have been featuring work by an artist named Mark Lombardi (1951–2000), consisting of a set of intricately rendered graphs. Building on a great deal of research, these graphs encode the relationships among people involved in major political scandals over the past several decades: the nodes correspond to participants, and each edge indicates some type of relationship between a pair of participants. And so, if you peer closely enough at the drawings, you can trace out ominous-looking paths from a high-ranking U.S. government official, to a former business partner, to a bank in Switzerland, to a shadowy arms dealer.

Such pictures form striking examples of *social networks*, which, as we discussed in Section 3.1, have nodes representing people and organizations, and edges representing relationships of various kinds. And the short paths that abound in these networks have attracted considerable attention recently, as people ponder what they mean. In the case of Mark Lombardi's graphs, they hint at the short set of steps that can carry you from the reputable to the disreputable.

Of course, a single, spurious short path between nodes v and w in such a network may be more coincidental than anything else; a large number of short paths between v and w can be much more convincing. So in addition to the problem of computing a single shortest v-w path in a graph G, social networks researchers have looked at the problem of determining the *number* of shortest v-w paths.

This turns out to be a problem that can be solved efficiently. Suppose we are given an undirected graph G = (V, E), and we identify two nodes v and w in G. Give an algorithm that computes the number of shortest v-w paths in G. (The algorithm should not list all the paths; just the number suffices.) The running time of your algorithm should be O(m + n) for a graph with n nodes and m edges.