ling 20: Introduction to Linguistic Analysis

Due: 8:00am, 7 March 2022

1 16 points

The sentences in (1) are from Swedish, a Germanic language spoken in Sweden and Finland.

- (1) a. En svensk kvinna måste köpa en bokhylla med fyra hyllor a Swedish woman must buy a bookshelf with four shelves 'A Swedish woman must buy a bookshelf with four shelves'
 - b. Kvinna-n heter Lena woman-the is.named Lena 'The woman is named Lena'
 - c. Lena gick till IKEA på fredagskväll-en Lena went to IKEA on Friday.evening-the 'Lena went to IKEA on Friday evening'
 - d. Hon köpte den svarta bokhylla-n och gick hem she bought the black bookshelf-the and went home 'She bought the black bookshelf and went home'
 - e. Hon kan fatta de instruktioner she can understand the instructions 'She can understand the instructions'

Please develop a complete set of phrase-structure rules that produces these sentences. Treat every morpheme indicated in the data as a separate lexical item, i.e. separate terminal nodes. For example, in (1b), kvinna-n should be treated as being made up of two nodes, but heter only one. Your phrase-structure rules should be as

similar as possible to the ones we have for English.
Using your phrase-structure rules for Swedish, please provide the syntactic tree for the sentence in (1d).

The sentences in (2) are from Finnish, a Uralic language spoken in Finland and Sweden. Note that Finnish does not have equivalents of English *the* and a(n).

- (2) a. Lapsi söi voileivän eilen child ate sandwich yesterday 'The child ate a sandwich yesterday'
 - b. Anna luki kiehtovan kirjan kauniin puun alla Anna read intriguing book pretty tree under 'Anna read an intriguing book under the pretty tree'
 - c. Liisa on nähnyt elokuvan Liisa has watched movie 'Liisa has watched the movie'
 - d. Jukka meni vajan takaa talon eteen Jukka went shed from.behind house to.in.front.of 'Jukka went from behind the shed to in front of the house'

Please develop a complete set of phrase-structure rules that produces these sentences. Your phrase-structure rules should be as similar as possible to the ones we have for English.

What is unexpected about Finnish word order given the word-order correlations from Greenberg that we saw in class?

Consider the following sentence:

(3) Alex is sometimes late.

The sentence in (3) seems to lead to the inference that "it is not the case that Alex is always late". That is, *sometimes* seems to imply *not always*.

a) Please show that this inference is an **implicature** by using *both* of the diagnostics for implicatures: Cancellability and Reinforceability.

b) Please give a step-by-step walk-through of the Gricean reasoning that gives rise to the inference in (3).