# CS143: E/R model

## **Book Chapters**

- (5th) Chapters 6.1-6.10
- (6th) Chapters 7.1-7.10
- (7th) Chapters 6.1-6.10

## E/R model

### Why E/R model?

- The first step of database construction is to figure out what data needs to be stored
  - Relations are not given
  - Talk to domain experts to learn the information that needs to be handled by the database
- E/R model: graphical, intuitive and informal representation of data
  - E/R model is often used to "document" what we learned about the domain
  - Entities, relations, attributes, ...
- Start with E/R model, convert it into table
  - E/R model is not directly implemented by DBMS
  - Some E/R tools performs this conversion semi-automatically
- Unfortunately, many variations of E/R models exist
  - We learn the model described in the textbook.
  - Due to Oracle's adoption, crow's foot notation is also popular

#### Entity-Relationship (E/R) Model

- Entity: "thing" or "object" in real world
  - eg) I, this book, UCLA,
- Entity set: a set of entities (object). Like a class is OOPL.
  - Rectangle in ER
     eg) Students, Schools, Classes

_	Consists of "name" and "attributes" (ex: Students, Classes, Faculty)
_	Can informally think of entities as records (tuples) $\langle {\rm show\ records\ for\ example} \rangle$
	a set of attributes that uniquely identifies an entity in an entity set, underline in E/R Important: all entity sets need a key $\langle$ add keys to example $\rangle$
_	No good way to notate multiple keys
• Rela	tionship: connection between entities.
• Rela	tionship set: a set of relations of the same kind
_	Diamond in ER $\langle \text{ex: add Take, Teach} \rangle$
_	Think of relationships as connections between entities (or as records) $\langle {\rm examples~of~each} \rangle$
	* Not all entities need to participate in relationships.  * Relationships can also have ATTRIBUTES  (add grade to Take, quarter to Teach)

### **CARDINALITY** of relationships

versa

1. ONE-TO-ONE: Each entity in E1 is related to at most one entity in E2 and vice-versa
$\langle {\rm abstract~dot~diagram~for~entity~sets~E1~and~E2} \rangle$
• Notation: arrow at the "one" end
• Q: Meaning of one-to-one in Teach?
2. MANY-TO-ONE: Each entity in E1 is related to at most one entity in E2 (converse is ONE-TO-MANY) (abstract picture)
• Notation: arrow at the "one" end
• Q: Meaning of many-to-one in Teach?
3. MANY-TO-MANY: Each entity in E1 may be related to 0 or more entities in E2 and vice-

$\langle abstract\ picture \rangle$
• Notation: no arrow.
• Notation. no arrow.
• Q: Meaning in Teach? Take?
TOTAL PARTICIPATION: an entity participates in the relationship AT LEAST ONCE
- double lines in E/R
$\langle eg:$ double line between Class and Teach. meaning? $\rangle$
$\langle \mathrm{eg} \colon \mathrm{double} \ \mathrm{line} \ \mathrm{between} \ \mathrm{Teach} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathrm{Faculty.} \ \mathrm{meaning?} \rangle$
$\langle \mathrm{eg} \colon \mathrm{double} \ \mathrm{line} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathrm{arrow} \ \mathrm{between} \ \mathrm{Teach} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathrm{Faculty}.$ meaning? $\rangle$
$\langle {\rm eg:}$ double lines at both sides of Teach vs one-to-one of Teach. The same? $\rangle$

- GENERAL CARDINALITY NOTATION: l..h on an edge.
  - The object participate in a relationship l to h times
  - "\*" means unlimited  $\langle {\rm abstract~diagram} \rangle$

⟨eg: 1..1 on Class and Teach. 1..1 on Teach and Faculty. meaning?⟩

\* **Q:** For this example, is it one-to-one, many-to-many? What is the equivalent notation using arrows?

 $\langle \text{eg: } 0..^* \text{ on Class and Teach. } 0..1 \text{ on Teach and Faculty. } \text{meaning?} \rangle$ 

- \* again, "\*" means unlimited
- \* **Q:** For this example, equivalent notation?
- $\ast$  Comments: don't get confused. It is one-to-many. "0..\*" corresponds to one, and "0..1" to many

#### N-ARY RELATIONSHIPS

• Sometimes we need more than binary relationship

(ex: Students, TA, Class)

- ⟨All TAs for all students⟩

- ⟨Each student assigned to a particular TA⟩ \* Arrow in a N-ary relationship: pick one entity from every other set without arrow. Together, these entities must be related to at most one entity with arrow · (eg: Arrow to TA. Meaning?) · Do not put multiple arrows for non-binary relationships. Very confusing. No standard interpretation. (Case tools do not allow anyway) ROLES • useful if an entity set participates more than once in a relationship - labels on the edge in E/R (eg: Partner relation between students. Coder and Tester) **SUBCLASSES** • Similar to class inheritance in OOPL. ISA relationship in E/R (eg: Student, ForeignStudent, DomesticStudent)

- Generalization: Subclass  $\rightarrow$  Superclass
- Specialization: Superclass  $\rightarrow$  Subclass
- Subclass inherits all attributes of its superclass

- Subclass participates in the relationships of its superclass
- Subclass may participate in its own relationship (eg: Student, HonorStudent, HonorClass)
- Disjoint specialization vs overlapping specialization
  - Either-or vs multiple specialization
  - single hollow arrow vs multiple hallow arrows

#### WEAK ENTITY SET

- Entity sets without unique keys
  - Notation: Double rectangle and double diamond in E/R
     \(\delta \text{eg: ProjectReport}\)\)
  - A part of its key comes from one or more entity set it is linked to.
- Discriminator: a set of attributes in W.E.S. that are part of the key.
  - Dashed underline in E/R
- OWNER ENTITY SET: entity set providing a part of the key
- IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIP: relationship between a weak entity set and owner entity set
- Q: Can a weak entity not participate in the identifying relationship?
  - Always double edge between a weak entity and identifying relationship


#### Drawing ER Example

(eg. Draw ER diagram for the following example)

- Inventory management for chain stores (like Costco, Target, Wallmart, etc.)
- Products are either
  - a "store-brand product" (like Kirkland shoes at Costco) or
  - a "manufacturer-brand product" (like Kleenex Tissue, etc)
- Each product is manufactured by exactly one manufacturer
  - like Sprite by Coke company, etc.
- Each store-brand product is carried by exactly one chain store
  - eg, Kirkland shoes by Costco
- Some manufacturer-brand product product may not be carried by any store

#### **Crow's Foot Notation**

• Relationship set is represented just as a line, not a diamond.



- Relationship set cannot have its own attribute
- Notation for participation and cardinality constraints



- Use a pair of the above symbols to represent the cardinality constraints
- Q: What will be the equivalent ER diagram under the Chen's notation?



#### **Design Principles**

- Often it is not clear what choices to make.
- A general rule of thumb for good design: avoid redundancy
  - Saying the same thing more than once
  - Space waste and potential inconsistency


(eg: Faculty (id, name, address), and class (dept, cnum, title))

- $-\langle eg1: All as attributes of class ES \rangle$ 
  - 1. repeats the faculty name and address for every class. potential inconsistency
  - 2. What if a faculty does not teach any class?
- ⟨eg2: Faculty ES, Class ES, Teach RS⟩
- Things to consider for Entity set vs. Attribute
  - Do we need more attributes than keys? eg, Faculty name, address
  - Is it "one-to-one" relationship?
     Separate entities for many-to-one or many-to-many relationship

# E/R to Relation

- translation from ER diagram to tables is mostly straightforward
- Database design tools do this automatically from ER diagram

(ER example slide)



• (STRONG) ENTITY SET: one table with all attributes

⟨eg: Faculty, Class, Student⟩

- RELATIONSHIP SET: one table with keys from the linked ES and its own attributes \( \text{eg: Teach} \)
  - **Q:** What is the key for the relations?

 $\langle eg: Take \rangle$ 

- Rename attributes when names conflict, like TA.name and Student.name

	(eg: Partner)
•	– Use role label as attribute names WEAK ENTITY SET: one table with its own attributes and keys from owner ES $\langle {\rm eg, ProjectReport} \rangle$
	- <b>Q:</b> What is the key?
	— Q: Need to convert Submit to a relation?
	* Separate submit is redundant (already captured by ProjectReport)
	* No need to translate identifying relationship set SUBCLASS: two approaches
	1. one table for each subclass with all its attributes plus key from its superclass 2. one big relation with all attributes with null values for missing attributes
	$\langle$ eg, Student, Foreign Student, Honor Student $\rangle$
	1. Student, ForeignStudent, HonorStudent
	2. Student