

Some Rubric (1) (1)			
Criteria	Ratings		Pts
Each of the 4 resources mentioned is summarized accurately, and briefly, with the main points well understood and explained using probability notation and referring specifically to the example in each source (10 points each) view longer description	40 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	38 / 40 pts
	Comments \\b need to mention Bayes -2		
Description of criterion view longer description	40 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	35 / 40 pts
	Comments \\d also focuses on representativeness -5		
The file contains the names of the four students in the group at the very beginning of the file (5 pts)	5 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	5 / 5 pts
The file is neatly typed and the parts are separated and sources labeled with (a), (b), ...etc.), no ambiguous language is used that hides lack of understanding and no verbatim copying of the source texts is used (10 pts)	10 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	10 / 10 pts
The file is pdf and name of four students is the filename (5 pts)	5 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	5 / 5 pts
Indication of plagiarism of other groups' work (0 points and a trip to the Dean of students' office)	0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	-- / 0 pts
There is a contribution from each student giving an example from their major that uses conditional probability and the use is described for others to understand, and the source is cited in a way that we can access it view longer description	20 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	20 / 20 pts
Total Points: 113			