Charles Zhang

Group 2: Brendan Rossmango, Ryan Rossmango, Claire Chung, Neil Vaishampayan

Thoughts on presentation

Provide a brief description of your thoughts on each groups presentation (ignoring your own). Describe what you thought was done well and what you would recommend be improved.

Group 1: I think that this group did have a unique project and had a clear goal they were trying to prove. I believe their project was complex enough, containing intricate calculations and the use of data collection tools from this class. I thought the group did well in including a lot of detail, but the density of their analysis made it hard to stay completely tuned in.

Cont.

Group 3: The project seems a little similar to labs from in class. They do have a clear analysis and approach and thoroughly explain what we need to know to understand their experiment. I think as a whole, they were very prepared and understood what they were doing. In the future, some of their slides were a little content heavy, they could've shifted some of that into verbal explanations.

Group 4: Their project definitely seems unique and has a really solid methodology. Their data collection was thorough and they definitely seemed to understand the implications of the data. I think their understanding stood out, as well as their humor at the beginning, although some parts felt too informal.

Cont.

Group 5: This group has a great project with really good motivations, and a clean tie in to physics. Their methodology was really thorough, and they covered a really wide base of subjects while staying in line with their main focus. Some of their conclusions were drawn from data that didn't seem to agree with their conclusions, however, so that could be worked on.

Group 6: I think they did a good job putting a complex spin on experiments that we've worked with so far. They had clear goals and laid out their methodology well. They occasionally seemed to stumble, but they also did the presentation live.

Group Grading

Rank the groups holistically, but take the following into account:

- Did the group have a unique project?
- Did they have a theoretical prediction that they measured?
- Was their experiment complex enough?
- Was their analysis well formulated and were their conclusions accurate?
- Did they present their project well?

Wednesday/Thursday Section

I thought the best presentation was by Group 5.

I thought that it was the best because they were clearly presenting on something they were interested in, and it showed in the level of detail and creativity they put into their project. They also managed to stay away from being overly formal so it was easier to follow.

I thought the next best presentation was by Group 3.

I thought this because it really stood out how prepared they were and how well they were able to present their findings reasonably.