PML-Course-predition-assignment

c zingler

07/08/2020

Background

Using devices such as Jawbone Up, Nike FuelBand, and Fitbit it is now possible to collect a large amount of data about personal activity relatively inexpensively.

These type of devices are part of the quantified self movement - a group of enthusiasts who take measurements about themselves regularly to improve their health, to find patterns in their behavior, or because they are tech geeks.

One thing that people regularly do is quantify how much of a particular activity they do, but they rarely quantify how well they do it.

In this project, your goal will be to use data from accelerometers on the belt, forearm, arm, and dumbell of 6 participants. They were asked to perform barbell lifts correctly and incorrectly in 5 different ways. More information is available from the website here: http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har (see the section on the Weight Lifting Exercise Dataset).

Data The training data for this project are available here:

https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv

The test data are available here:

https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-testing.csv

The data for this project come from this source: http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har. If you use the document you create for this class for any purpose please cite them as they have been very generous in allowing their data to be used for this kind of assignment. (so cited).

Introduction

Our data is already available for download. So lets begin first steps to set a local working directory for download of files. Then download them for further processing.

Read more: http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har#dataset#ixzz4TjwlLtt3

However lets note we are going to predict the classe variable in the data, classe is defined as follows:

- (Class A) task is done exactly according to the specification
- (Class B) task is done by throwing the elbows to the front
- (Class C) task is done by lifting the dumbbell only halfway
- (Class D) task is done by lowering the dumbbell only halfway
- (Class E) task is done by throwing the hips to the front

Class A corresponds to the specified execution of the exercise, while the other 4 classes correspond to common mistakes.

Read more: http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har#dataset#ixzz4Tk05eLHD

Executive Summary

Our model building methodology is Question Input Features Algorithm Prediction Evaluation.

The resultant model is a highly accurate fit with greater than 99% accuracy and less than 1% out of sample error.

Our chosen model is Random Forest, as it best models the data's outcomes.

```
## setup environment
## qetwd() ## *necessary to know where the resultant files are stored.
## the project files will be created and stored here.
file.create("pml-training.csv")
## [1] TRUE
file.create("pml-testing.csv")
## [1] TRUE
## this R command will create new blank files - even if they already exist
download.file("https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv", "pml-training.csv"
download.file("https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-testing.csv","pml-testing.csv")
## this R command will populate the local file from the current internet files, even if they are
## not initialized
library(caret)
## Warning: package 'caret' was built under R version 4.0.2
## Loading required package: lattice
## Loading required package: ggplot2
## Warning: package 'ggplot2' was built under R version 4.0.2
## load data
train_data <- read.csv("pml-training.csv")</pre>
test_data <- read.csv("pml-testing.csv")</pre>
## lets clean up the data starting with train_data
## str(train data)
## Fix data that is empty("") or Div/O! or NA to zero(0)
train_data[train_data==""]<- NA</pre>
train_data[train_data=="#DIV/0!"]<- NA</pre>
train_data[is.na(train_data)]<- 0</pre>
## let us now remove the first 7 variables to make the data more anonymous
## and it adds
## little value to prediction.
train_data<-train_data[,-c(1:7)]</pre>
## str(train_data)
## now lets do it foe test_data
## str(test_data)
## Fix data that is empty("") or Div/0! or NA to zero(0)
test_data[test_data==""]<- NA</pre>
```

```
test_data[test_data=="#DIV/0!"]<- NA

test_data[is.na(test_data)]<- 0

## let us now remove the first 7 variables to make the data more anonymous and it adds

## little value to prediction.

test_data<-test_data[,-c(1:7)]

## str(test_data)

## lets set and check out the levels of the factor variable

train_data$classe <- as.factor(train_data$classe)

levels(train_data$classe)

## [1] "A" "B" "C" "D" "E"

## this is unnecessary for the test_data as it does not have

## this factor variable - that is what we

## will use this data set to predict this factor.
```

Prepare for the prediction phase, but first some more cleaning

```
## lets set up the sets by getting of the near zero variables
## that do not add value to the predictors.
dim(train_data)
## [1] 19622
                153
dim(test_data)
## [1] 20 153
nzv <- nearZeroVar(train data,saveMetrics = FALSE)</pre>
train_data <- train_data[,-nzv]</pre>
test_data <- test_data[,-nzv]</pre>
dim(train_data)
## [1] 19622
                 53
dim(test_data)
## [1] 20 53
## so lets do the model building, but first lets set a seed
set.seed(54321)
```

Prediction modeling

I have decide to try both Random Forests (rf), and CART classification and regression trees (rpart) in a cross-validation control setting (cv).

```
## partition the training data in to a training and validation
##set
inTrain <- createDataPartition(y=train_data$classe,p=0.6,list = FALSE)
train_data1 <- train_data[inTrain, ]
valid_data1 <- train_data[-inTrain, ]
dim(train_data1)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 11776
dim(valid_data1)
## [1] 7846
             53
## so first lets set the cross validation control
control <- trainControl(method = "cv",number = 3, verboseIter = FALSE)</pre>
## Random forest first
fit_rf <- train(classe~.,method="rf",dat=train_data1, trControl=control)</pre>
fit_rf$finalModel
##
## Call:
## randomForest(x = x, y = y, mtry = param$mtry)
##
                 Type of random forest: classification
                       Number of trees: 500
## No. of variables tried at each split: 27
##
          OOB estimate of error rate: 0.79%
## Confusion matrix:
##
            В
               C
                      D
                           E class.error
       Α
## A 3347
                     0
                        0 0.0002986858
            0
                 1
      18 2246 14
                          0 0.0144800351
## B
                      1
          11 2033
                    10
## C
       0
                           0 0.0102239533
## D
       0
                26 1901
                           3 0.0150259067
          0
## E
       0
            0
               3
                      6 2156 0.0041570439
## now lets look at CART Model
fit_rpart <- train(classe~.,method="rpart",dat=train_data1,trControl=control)</pre>
fit_rpart$finalModel
## n= 11776
##
## node), split, n, loss, yval, (yprob)
        * denotes terminal node
##
##
  1) root 11776 8428 A (0.28 0.19 0.17 0.16 0.18)
##
     2) roll belt< 130.5 10789 7453 A (0.31 0.21 0.19 0.18 0.11)
##
       4) pitch_forearm< -34.55 950
                                      3 A (1 0.0032 0 0 0) *
##
       5) pitch_forearm>=-34.55 9839 7450 A (0.24 0.23 0.21 0.2 0.12)
##
        10) magnet_dumbbell_y< 439.5 8305 5964 A (0.28 0.18 0.24 0.19 0.11)
##
          20) roll_forearm< 124.5 5155 3051 A (0.41 0.18 0.18 0.17 0.06) *
##
          21) roll_forearm>=124.5 3150 2113 C (0.075 0.18 0.33 0.23 0.19) *
##
        11) magnet_dumbbell_y>=439.5 1534 749 B (0.031 0.51 0.048 0.22 0.19) *
##
      ## now lets find out how accurate they are
```

Evalute models

```
predrf <- predict(fit_rf,newdata = valid_data1)</pre>
predrpart <- predict(fit_rpart, newdata= valid_data1)</pre>
## so lets look at the confusion matrix of models
confusionMatrix(valid_data1$classe,predrf)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction
                           С
            A 2223
##
                      6
                                0
                           2
                                     1
                15 1501
##
            В
                           2
                                0
##
            C
                 0
                      9 1351
                                8
                                     0
##
            D
                      0
                          28 1257
##
            Ε
                 0
                      1
                           1
                                1 1439
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.9904
##
                    95% CI: (0.988, 0.9925)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.2852
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.9879
##
## Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                        Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                          0.9933 0.9895
                                           0.9762
                                                      0.9929
                                                               0.9986
                                                               0.9995
## Specificity
                          0.9984
                                   0.9973
                                            0.9974
                                                      0.9956
## Pos Pred Value
                          0.9960
                                   0.9888
                                            0.9876
                                                      0.9774
                                                               0.9979
## Neg Pred Value
                                   0.9975
                                            0.9949
                                                      0.9986
                                                               0.9997
                          0.9973
## Prevalence
                          0.2852
                                   0.1933
                                            0.1764
                                                      0.1614
                                                               0.1837
## Detection Rate
                          0.2833 0.1913
                                             0.1722
                                                      0.1602
                                                               0.1834
## Detection Prevalence
                          0.2845
                                   0.1935
                                             0.1744
                                                      0.1639
                                                               0.1838
                                                      0.9942
## Balanced Accuracy
                          0.9958
                                   0.9934
                                             0.9868
                                                               0.9991
confusionMatrix(valid_data1$classe,predrpart)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction
                Α
                      В
                           С
                                D
                                     Ε
            A 2030
                     33 167
                                     2
##
                                0
##
            B 668
                    501
                         349
                                0
##
            C
               650
                     34
                         684
                                0
                                     0
##
            D
               573
                    235
                         478
                                0
                                     0
##
            E 216
                   192 378
                                0 656
```

Overall Statistics

```
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.4934
                    95% CI: (0.4823, 0.5045)
##
##
      No Information Rate: 0.5273
##
      P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 1
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.3372
##
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
                        Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
##
## Sensitivity
                         0.4907 0.50352 0.33268
                                                        NA 0.99696
## Specificity
                         0.9455 0.85155 0.88187
                                                     0.8361 0.89065
## Pos Pred Value
                         0.9095 0.33004 0.50000
                                                        NA
                                                            0.45492
## Neg Pred Value
                         0.6247 0.92193 0.78821
                                                         NA 0.99969
## Prevalence
                         0.5273 0.12682 0.26204
                                                     0.0000 0.08386
## Detection Rate
                         0.2587 0.06385 0.08718
                                                     0.0000
                                                             0.08361
## Detection Prevalence
                         0.2845 0.19347 0.17436
                                                     0.1639
                                                             0.18379
## Balanced Accuracy
                         0.7181 0.67754 0.60728
                                                         NA 0.94381
## we see the Random forest model is far more accurate
## with an out of sample error < 1% which is quite low,
## and the Accuracy is quite high at > 99%
## the most important variables for this prediction are
varImp(fit_rf)
## rf variable importance
##
##
     only 20 most important variables shown (out of 52)
##
##
                        Overall
## roll belt
                         100.00
## pitch forearm
                          60.56
## yaw_belt
                         53.60
## magnet_dumbbell_z
                          46.18
## magnet_dumbbell_y
                          45.71
## roll_forearm
                         42.80
## pitch belt
                         41.22
## accel_dumbbell_y
                         22.34
## accel forearm x
                          18.57
## roll_dumbbell
                         17.14
## magnet_dumbbell_x
                         16.97
## magnet_belt_z
                         15.40
## total_accel_dumbbell
                         14.62
## magnet_forearm_z
                          13.95
## accel_dumbbell_z
                         13.87
## magnet_belt_y
                         13.67
## accel_belt_z
                         13.44
## gyros_belt_z
                         11.26
## magnet_belt_x
                         10.48
## roll_arm
                          10.02
```

lastly we have to do a prediction on the supplied Test data
print(predict(fit_rf,newdata = test_data))

[1] B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B ## Levels: A B C D E