Verification of Formal Languages

Bence Czipó

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne bence.czipo@epfl.ch

January 25, 2018

1 / 42

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

Introduction

- Formal Languages
- Regular Expressions
- Deterministic Finite Automaton

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 2 / 42

Overview

- Formal Languages
 - Definitions
 - Implementation
 - Theorems
- 2 Regular Expressions
 - Definition
 - Implementation
 - Theorems

Formal Languages

Definitions

Definition (Alphabet)

An alphabet A is a finite set of symbols $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots a_n\}$

Definition (Words)

A *string* (or *word*) is a sequence of symbols. The length of a word is the number of symbols in the sequence.

Definition (Formal language)

Let A^i denote the set of the words created from the symbols of A that has length i.

Let A^* denote $A^0 \cup A^1 \cup \ldots$, which in other words is the set of finite sequences created by the symbols of the alphabet.

We call the set L a formal language if $L \subseteq A^*$

4 11 1 4 12 1 4 12 1 1 2 1 9 9 0

- union $(L_1 \cup L_2)$
- subtraction $(L_1 \setminus L_2)$
- inclusion $(L_1 \subseteq L_2)$

Bence Czipó Semester project

- union $(L_1 \cup L_2)$
- subtraction $(L_1 \setminus L_2)$
- inclusion $(L_1 \subseteq L_2)$
- concatenation $(L_1 \cdot L_2)$

Definition (Concatenation)

Let $L_1\subseteq A^*$ and $L_2\subseteq A^*$ be two languages. The concatenation of two languages is $L_1\cdot L_2=\{u_1u_2\mid u_1\in L_1,u_2\in L_2\}$

January 25, 2018

6 / 42

- union $(L_1 \cup L_2)$
- subtraction $(L_1 \setminus L_2)$
- inclusion $(L_1 \subseteq L_2)$
- concatenation $(L_1 \cdot L_2)$
- power (*L*^{*i*})

Definition (Power of languages)

let
$$L^0 = \{\epsilon\}$$
 and $L^{i+1} = L^i \cdot L$



Bence Czipó

- union $(L_1 \cup L_2)$
- subtraction $(L_1 \setminus L_2)$
- inclusion $(L_1 \subseteq L_2)$
- concatenation $(L_1 \cdot L_2)$
- power (*L*^{*i*})
- Kleene star (L*)

Definition (Kleene star)

$$L^* = \{w_1 \dots w_n \mid n \geq 0, \forall i \in [1, n]. w_i \in L\}$$

Lemma

$$L^* = \bigcup_n L^n$$

- union $(L_1 \cup L_2)$
- subtraction $(L_1 \setminus L_2)$
- inclusion $(L_1 \subseteq L_2)$
- concatenation $(L_1 \cdot L_2)$
- power (Li)
- Kleene star (L*)
- complement (with respect to A*)
- intersection $(L_1 \cap L_2)$

Last two are not part of the implementation



Bence Czipó

Distinguished Languages

Definition (Empty language)

Empty language is a language that does not contain any word, so $L_0 = \emptyset$

Definition (Unit language)

Unit language is a language, that contains only one word, ϵ . So in other words, $L_{\epsilon} = \{\epsilon\}$

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 7 / 42

- ullet Symbols: o Any (T generic type)
- Words \rightarrow List[T]

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 8 / 42

- Symbols: \rightarrow Any (T generic type)
- Words →List[T]
 - \bullet can be represented as Nil[T],
 - len(w) can be represented as w.size
 - indexing can be represented using the indexing operator of lists,
 - range indexing can be implemented combining take and drop
 - concatenation of words w_1 and w_2 can be expressed as w1 ++ w2

Bence Czipó Semester project

- Symbols: → Any (T generic type)
- Words → List [T]
 - \bullet can be represented as Nil[T],
 - len(w) can be represented as w.size
 - indexing can be represented using the indexing operator of lists,
 - range indexing can be implemented combining take and drop
 - concatenation of words w_1 and w_2 can be expressed as $w_1 ++ w_2$
- Languages \rightarrow ???

8 / 42

- Symbols: \rightarrow Any (T generic type)
- Words →List[T]
 - \bullet can be represented as Nil[T],
 - len(w) can be represented as w.size
 - indexing can be represented using the indexing operator of lists,
 - range indexing can be implemented combining take and drop
 - concatenation of words w_1 and w_2 can be expressed as w1 ++ w2
- Languages →
 - Set[Words]
 - Words -> Boolean
 - Unique (and ordered) List [Words]
 - List [Words]



Languages as Set

```
case class Lang[T](set: Set[List[T]]) {
def concat(that: Lang[T]): Lang[T] = ???
def ++(that: Lang[T]):Lang[T] =
                    Lang[T](this.set ++ that.set)
 def contains(word: List[T]): Boolean =
                                set.contains(word)
 [...]
```

9 / 42

Languages as Function

```
case class Lang[T](f: List[T] => Boolean) {
 def concat(that: Lang[T]): Lang[T] =
   Lang[T](1 => !forall( (i: BigInt) => !(
     i \le 1. size kk i >= 0 kk
     this.f(l.take(i)) && that.f(l.drop(i))
   )))
 def ++(that: Lang[T]): Lang[T] =
         Lang[T](w \Rightarrow this.f(w) \mid | that.f(w))
 def == (that: Lang[T]): Boolean =
   forall((x:List[T]) \Rightarrow this.f(x) == that.f(x))
 def contains(word: List[T]): Boolean = f(word)
```

Languages as List (non-unique)

```
case class Lang[T](list: List[List[T]]) {
  def concat(that: Lang[T]): Lang[T] =
        Lang[T](concatLists(this.list, that.list))
  def ++(that: Lang[T]): Lang[T] =
        Lang[T](this.list ++ that.list)
  def == (that: Lang[T]): Boolean =
        (this.list.content == that.list.content)
  def contains(word: List[T]): Boolean =
        list.contains(word)
 [...]
```

Languages as List (non-unique)

Comparison

	Sets	Lists - 1	Lists - 2	Functions
Unique	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Iterable	No	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No
Infinity	No	No	No	<u>Yes</u>
Equality	Trivial	Content =	Content =	∀ expr
Concat	???	<u>S.I. 2x</u>	<u>S.I. 2x</u>	Complex
Contain	Trivial	Trivial	Trivial	Trivial
	Trivial	Uniqueness	Trivial	Trivial
Lemmas	Trivial	Trivial	About cont	Trivial

イロト イ部ト イミト イミト

How to implement Kleene star?

14 / 42

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

How to implement Kleene star?

Theorem

 L^* is only finite if $L = \emptyset$ or $L = \{\epsilon\}$.

We can not express it using finite language representations.

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

How to implement Kleene star?

Theorem

 L^* is only finite if $L = \emptyset$ or $L = {\epsilon}$.

We can not express it using finite language representations.

Definition (Close)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The n'th close of the language can be defined as $L^{(n)} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} L^{i}$.

How to implement Kleene star?

Theorem

 L^* is only finite if $L = \emptyset$ or $L = {\epsilon}$.

We can not express it using finite language representations.

Definition (Close)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The n'th close of the language can be defined as $L^{(n)} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} L^{i}$.

Lemma

If $w \in L^*$ then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$. such that $w \in L^{(n)}$

Bence Czipó Semester project

Theorems and Lemmas About Languages

Distributivity

Theorem (Left Distributivity)

For any languages L_1, L_2, L_3 we have $(L_1 \cup L_2) \cdot L_3 == L_1 \cdot L_3 \cup L_2 \cdot L_3$.

Theorem (Right Distributivity)

For any languages L_1, L_2, L_3 we have $(L_1 \cup L_2) \cdot L_3 == L_1 \cdot L_3 \cup L_2 \cdot L_3$.

16 / 42

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

Concatenation special cases

Theorem (Null Concatenation - Right)

$$\forall L \subseteq A^*. \ L \cdot \emptyset = \emptyset$$

Theorem (Null Concatenation - Left)

$$\forall L \subseteq A^*. \ \emptyset \cdot L = \emptyset$$

Theorem (Unit Concatenation - Right)

$$\forall L \subseteq A^*$$
. we have $L \cdot \{\epsilon\} = L$

Theorem (Unit Concatenation - Left)

$$\forall L \subseteq A^*$$
. we have $\{\epsilon\} \cdot L = L$

Equivalence Lemmas

Same operation performed on the same languages.

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 18 / 42

Equivalence Lemmas

Same operation performed on the same languages.

Due to the selected implementation, equivalence is not always trivial.

18 / 42

Equivalence Lemmas

Same operation performed on the same languages.

Due to the selected implementation, equivalence is not always trivial.

Lemma

Let L_1, L_2, L_3 be three languages over the same alphabet, and let $L_1 = L_2$. Then $L_1 \cdot L_3 = L_2 \cdot L_3$

We can also state the lemma for the other case, where the left hand side operator is fixed.

Lemma

Bence Czipó

Let L_1, L_2, L_3 be three languages over the same alphabet, and let $L_2 = L_3$. Then $L_1 \cdot L_2 = L_1 \cdot L_3$

Semester project

January 25, 2018

18 / 42

Associativity

- $\forall L \in A^*$. $L \cdot \{\epsilon\} = \{\epsilon\} \cdot L = L$.
- Is $(A^*; \cdot)$ a monoid?



Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 19 / 42

Associativity

- $\forall L \in A^*$. $L \cdot \{\epsilon\} = \{\epsilon\} \cdot L = L$.
- Is $(A^*; \cdot)$ a monoid?
- Yes, associativity holds.



Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 19 / 42

Associativity

- $\bullet \ \forall L \in A^*. \ L \cdot \{\epsilon\} = \{\epsilon\} \cdot L = L.$
- Is $(A^*; \cdot)$ a monoid?
- Yes, associativity holds.

Theorem (Associativity)

For every $L_1, L_2, L_3 \subseteq A^*$ we have $(L_1 \cdot L_2) \cdot L_3 = L_1 \cdot (L_2 \cdot L_3)$

Proof.

- **1** $((\{hd\} \cup tl) \cdot L_2) \cdot L_3 = \text{applying the distributive law}$
- ② $((\{hd\} \cdot L_2) \cup (tl \cdot L_2)) \cdot L_3 = \text{applying the distributive law}$
- $((\{hd\} \cdot L_2) \cdot L_3) \cup ((tl \cdot L_2) \cdot L_3) = applying induction$
- **⑤** $(\{hd\} \cdot (L_2 \cdot L_3)) \cup (tl \cdot (L_2 \cdot L_3)) = \text{applying the distributive law}$
- **6** $(\{hd\} \cup tl) \cdot (L_2 \cdot L_3)$



Cheating?

Lemma

For any word w and languages L_1 and L_2 we have $(\{w\} \cdot L_1) \cdot L_2 = \{w\} \cdot (L_1 \cdot L_2)$

Proof.

Applying induction once more...



Theorems About Power of Languages

Definition of the power operation only states $L^0 = \{\epsilon\}$ explicitly.

This is similar to the case in real numbers where $a^0 = 1$.

Can we have a rule like $a^1 = a$

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 21 / 42

Theorems About Power of Languages

Definition of the power operation only states $L^0 = \{\epsilon\}$ explicitly.

This is similar to the case in real numbers where $a^0 = 1$.

Can we have a rule like $a^1 = a$

Lemma (First power of languages)

For any language L we have $L^1 = L$.

21 / 42

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

Definition of the power operation only states $L^0 = \{\epsilon\}$ explicitly.

This is similar to the case in real numbers where $a^0 = 1$.

Can we have a rule like $a^1 = a$

Lemma (First power of languages)

For any language L we have $L^1 = L$.

Proof.

```
L^1 = (by definition)
```

$$L \cdot (L^0) =$$
(by definition)

$$L \cdot \{\epsilon\} = \text{(by unit concatenation lemma)}$$

ı



We also know that $1^n = 1$, but what about languages?

Lemma (Power of unit language)

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\{\epsilon\}^i = \{\epsilon\}$

Proof.

Applying the definition, induction on i and after the unit combination lemma, we get: $\{\epsilon\}^i = \{\epsilon\} \cdot \{\epsilon\}^{i-1} = \{\epsilon\} \cdot \{\epsilon\} = \{\epsilon\}$



The decision that power unfolds to the left was ad-hoc

It could have been defined the other way

Theorem (Power definition equality)

For all language L and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $L^i = L \cdot L^{i-1} = L^{i-1} \cdot L$

Proof.

- case i = 0: trivial
- case i = 1: trivial (applying first power lemma)
- case i > 1: apply induction on i, or simply unfold and apply associativity i 2 times



Lemma (Language to the sum)

For any language L and numbers $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$ we have $L^{a+b}=(L^b)\cdot(L^b)$.

Proof.

Apply induction on a (or b)



Lemma (Close of Empty Language)

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\emptyset^{(i)} = \{\epsilon\}$

25 / 42

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

Lemma (Close of Empty Language)

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\emptyset^{(i)} = \{\epsilon\}$

Lemma (Close of Unit Language)

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\{\epsilon\}^{(i)} = \{\epsilon\}$

Lemma (Close of Empty Language)

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\emptyset^{(i)} = \{\epsilon\}$

Lemma (Close of Unit Language)

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\{\epsilon\}^{(i)} = \{\epsilon\}$

Lemma (Close Order)

 $\forall L. \ L^{(i)} \subseteq L^{(j)} \ iff. \ i \leq j.$

And so on...

We would also like to define something similar to "Language to the sum"

Lemma

For every language L and $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$ we have $L^{(a+b)}=L^{(a)}\cdot L^{(b)}$

26 / 42

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

We would also like to define something similar to "Language to the sum"

Lemma

For every language L and $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$ we have $L^{(a+b)}=L^{(a)}\cdot L^{(b)}$

Does it even hold?

Bence Czipó Semester project Jar

We would also like to define something similar to "Language to the sum"

Lemma

For every language L and a, $b \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $L^{(a+b)} = L^{(a)} \cdot L^{(b)}$

Does it even hold?

Yes, but it would be hard to prove, and something weaker will be enough

Lemma

For every language L and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $L^{(a)} \cdot L^{(b)} \subseteq L^{(a+b)}$

26 / 42

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

Regular Expressions

"Official" definition

A regular expression can contain the following constants:

- The empty language \emptyset .
- The unit language $\{\epsilon\}$ (denoted by simply ϵ)
- A language of one word $\{w\}$ (denoted by w)

It defines the following operations.

- Concatenation of sets of words (denoted by · or sequentiality)
- Union of sets of words (denoted by |)
- Kleene star (repetition) of a set of words (denoted by *)

Example

(abc)*d(e|f), matches for example "abcde", "abcabcdf" and "de"

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 28 / 42

Implementation

Instead of these constant use any language as building block, but limit their number to 2

```
Have a function
eval[T](11: Lang[T], 12: Lang[T]): Lang[T] to
evaluate the value
```

Use case classes

```
sealed abstract class RegEx {
  //evaluate the regular expression to a language
  def eval[T](11: Lang[T], 12: Lang[T]): Lang[T]
```

```
case class L1() extends RegEx {
  override def eval[T](...): Lang[T] = 11
case class L2() extends RegEx {
  override def eval[T](...): Lang[T] = 12
case class Union(1:RegEx, r:RegEx) extends RegEx {
  override def eval[T](...): Lang[T] =
                    1.eval(...) ++ r.eval(...)
case class Conc(1:RegEx, r:RegEx) extends RegEx {
  override def eval[T](...): Lang[T] =
                   1.eval(...) concat r.eval(...)
```

Dealing with Star

- Still can not represent infinite languages
- We could apply the close-trick
- Only a syntactic sugar for finite union of pows

```
case class Pow(r:RegEx, n:BigInt) extends RegEx {
  override def eval[T](...): Lang[T] =
            r.eval(...) ^ n
}
```

Theorems and Lemmas

Theorems

Theorem 1

For every regular expression r defined over the languages L_1, L_2 , if r evaluates to L, then $L \subseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)^*$

Still can not handle * properly

33 / 42

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

Theorems

Theorem

For every regular expression r defined over the languages L_1, L_2 , if r evaluates to L, then $L \subseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)^*$

Still can not handle * properly

Lemma

For every regular expression r defined over the languages L_1, L_2 , if r evaluates to L, then $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}$. $L \subseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)^{(i)}$

Bence Czipó Semester project Jan

Theorems

Theorem

For every regular expression r defined over the languages L_1, L_2 , if r evaluates to L, then $L \subseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)^*$

Still can not handle * properly

Lemma

For every regular expression r defined over the languages L_1, L_2 , if r evaluates to L, then $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}$. $L \subseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)^{(i)}$

Try to construct such i manually

Lets define a function evalExp(): BigInt such that

• for L1 and L2 it is 1

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 34 / 42

Lets define a function evalExp(): BigInt such that

- for L1 and L2 it is 1
- for Union(1,r) it is max(1.evalExp(), r.evalExp())

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 34 / 42

Lets define a function evalExp(): BigInt such that

- for L1 and L2 it is 1
- for Union(1,r) it is max(1.evalExp(), r.evalExp())
- for Conc(1,r) it is 1.evalExp() + r.evalExp()

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 34 / 42

Lets define a function evalExp(): BigInt such that

- for L1 and L2 it is 1
- o for Union(1,r) it is max(1.evalExp(), r.evalExp())
- for Conc(1,r) it is 1.evalExp() + r.evalExp()
- o for Pow(r,n) it is r.evalExp() * n

Lets define a function evalExp(): BigInt such that

- for L1 and L2 it is 1
- for Union(1,r) it is max(1.evalExp(), r.evalExp())
- for Conc(1,r) it is 1.evalExp() + r.evalExp()
- for Pow(r,n) it is r.evalExp() * n

Lemma

For every regular expression r defined over the languages L_1, L_2 , if L is defined by r, if i = r. evalExp () then $L \subseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)^{(i)}$

Bence Czipó Semester project

Proving suitability - Constants

Case L1 and L2 it is trivial because of the following lemma.

Lemma

For all languages L_1, L_2 we have $L_1 \subseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)$ and $L_2 \subseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)$

35 / 42

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

Proving suitability - Union

Case Union we can say that $(L_1 \cup L_2)^{(a)} \subseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)^{(max(a,b))}$ and $(L_1 \cup L_2)^{(b)} \subseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)^{(max(a,b))}$ because of the "Close order lemma" $(a \le max(a,b))$ and $b \le max(a,b)$)

a,b are r1.evalExp() and r2.evalExp()

Lemma (Distributivity of subset)

Let L_1, L_2, L_3 be three languages. If $L_1 \subseteq L_3$ and $L_2 \subseteq L_3$ then $(L_1 \cup L_2) \subseteq L_3$

Lemma (Transitivity of subset)

Let L_1, L_2, L_3 be three languages. If $L_1 \subseteq L_2$ and $L_2 \subseteq L_3$ then $L_1 \subseteq L_3$.

Applying this two we can prove the statement



Proving suitability - Concatenation

Recall the following lemma:

Lemma

For every language L and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $L^{(a)} \cdot L^{(b)} \subseteq L^{(a+b)}$

Case Conc the proof is similar to the previous case, but now we use the lemma above. Since we only want to prove inclusion, the weaker (and proved) form of the lemma is sufficient.

37 / 42

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018

Proving suitability - Power

Lemma

For each regular expression r we have r.evalExp() > 0.

Proof.

ullet In case of the constants this is trivial as 1>0

Lemma

For each regular expression r we have r. evalExp() > 0.

Proof.

- In case of the constants this is trivial as 1>0
- In case of Union(1,r), we can apply induction. We know that 1.evalExp() ≤ 0 and r.evalExp() ≤ 0. The maximum of two non-negative numbers will be non-negative.

Lemma

For each regular expression r we have r. evalExp() > 0.

Proof.

- In case of the constants this is trivial as 1 > 0
- In case of Union(1,r), we can apply induction. We know that 1.evalExp() ≤ 0 and r.evalExp() ≤ 0. The maximum of two non-negative numbers will be non-negative.
- In case of Cons (1,r), we can apply induction. We know that 1.evalExp() ≤ 0 and r.evalExp() ≤ 0. The sum of two non-negative numbers will be non-negative.

Lemma

For each regular expression r we have r.evalExp() > 0.

Proof.

- ullet In case of the constants this is trivial as 1>0
- In case of Union(1,r), we can apply induction. We know that 1.evalExp() ≤ 0 and r.evalExp() ≤ 0. The maximum of two non-negative numbers will be non-negative.
- In case of Cons(1,r), we can apply induction. We know that 1.evalExp() \leq 0 and r.evalExp() \leq 0. The sum of two non-negative numbers will be non-negative.
- In case of Pow(r,n), we can apply induction. We know that $n \le 0$ and r.evalExp() ≤ 0 . The product of two non-negative numbers will be also non-negative.

January 25, 2018

Every theorem about words and languages has been verified by Stainless.

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 40 / 42

Every theorem about words and languages has been verified by Stainless.

About regular expressions, only the exponent positiveness lemma has been verified, the other has been proven for the constant and union cases.

Every theorem about words and languages has been verified by Stainless.

About regular expressions, only the exponent positiveness lemma has been verified, the other has been proven for the constant and union cases.

Every verifying theorem and lemma was cleared to be terminating.

Every theorem about words and languages has been verified by Stainless.

About regular expressions, only the exponent positiveness lemma has been verified, the other has been proven for the constant and union cases.

Every verifying theorem and lemma was cleared to be terminating.

Metrics (excluding lemmas about regular expressions):

- 95 functions (methods and lemmas)
- 651 verified conditions
- 1670.178s of total time for verification (longest: 241.744s)
- 1936.337s of total time for checking termination

CPU: Dual-Core Intel® CoreTM i5-4210U CPU @ 1.70GHz processor RAM: 8GB

Conclusion and Future Work

Summary:

- analyzed different language representations
- presented a formal language implementation using lists
- based on that implementations proved properties of languages
- applied implemented abstractions to represent regular expressions and prove theorems about them

Conclusion and Future Work

Summary:

- analyzed different language representations
- presented a formal language implementation using lists
- based on that implementations proved properties of languages
- applied implemented abstractions to represent regular expressions and prove theorems about them

Possible future work:

- Experiment with other language representation
- Finish incomplete lemmas about regular expressions
- Try to prove further statements about regular expressions
- Examine other abstractions using formal languages

Bence Czipó Semester project January 25, 2018 41 / 42

Questions?