

---

## TESTING AND DEBUGGING APPLICATIONS FOR THE EMBER® EM2XX AND EM35X PLATFORMS

---

This manual provides an overview of testing and debugging strategies for application developed for Ember platforms, and explores in more detail using the Ember Desktop for debugging. This overview is supplemented by documents AN718, *Manufacturing Test Overview*, and AN700, *Manufacturing Test Guidelines*.

### New in This Revision

Document renumbering.

### Contents

1	About This Document.....	3
1.1	Purpose .....	3
1.2	Audience.....	3
1.3	Getting Help.....	3
2	Strategies for Testing and Debugging ZigBee Applications.....	3
2.1	Introduction.....	3
2.2	Hardware and Application Considerations for Testing and Debug.....	4
2.2.1	Initial Software Application Development using Development Kit Hardware .....	4
2.2.2	Transition to Custom Hardware .....	5
2.3	Initial Development and Lab Testing.....	5
2.3.1	Initial Development Environment and System Testing.....	5
2.4	Moving to Beta and Field Trials .....	10
2.4.1	Hardware and Test System for Larger System Testing .....	10
2.4.2	Reproducing Common Field Conditions or Problems.....	11
2.4.3	Initial Field Deployments .....	12
2.4.4	Release Testing and Criteria for Release .....	12
3	Analyzing Network Analyzer Session Files .....	14
3.1	Ember Desktop Environment.....	15
3.1.1	Adapters View.....	15
3.1.2	Capture Sessions.....	15
3.1.3	Editor Panes .....	15
3.2	Capturing and Saving Data .....	15

# UG104

---

3.2.1	Capturing from a Sniffer Node .....	15
3.2.2	Capturing from Multiple Nodes .....	15
3.2.3	Perfect Trace Session .....	16
3.3	Reviewing Capture Session Events .....	16
3.3.1	Viewing Connectivity .....	17
3.4	Viewing Packet Data .....	18
3.4.1	Filtering Captured Data .....	18
3.4.2	Setting Filters .....	18
3.4.3	Quick Filters .....	19
3.4.4	Filtering Message Types .....	19
3.4.5	Isolating Node Data .....	19
3.5	Enabling Debug Output in Ember AppBuilder .....	21
3.6	Multi-Network Considerations .....	22

## 1 About This Document

### 1.1 Purpose

This manual provides an overview of testing and debugging strategies for applications developed for Ember platforms, and explores in more detail using Ember Desktop for debugging. It is designed for Ember users who are considering test strategies for their products. This overview is supplemented by documents AN718, *Manufacturing Test Overview*, and AN700, *Manufacturing Test Guidelines*.

### 1.2 Audience

This document is intended for project managers, embedded software engineers, and test engineers who are responsible for building a successful embedded mesh networking solution using the Ember radio, the EmberZNet PRO network stack, and tools.

### 1.3 Getting Help

Development kit customers are eligible for training and technical support. You can use the Silicon Labs web site [www.silabs.com/zigbee](http://www.silabs.com/zigbee) to obtain information about all Ember products and services, and to sign up for product support. You can also contact Customer Support at [www.silabs.com/zigbee-support](http://www.silabs.com/zigbee-support).

## 2 Strategies for Testing and Debugging ZigBee Applications

### 2.1 Introduction

A number of shipping ZigBee products are now in the marketplace, and evaluation of their development and testing strategies can assist other OEMs. Development and testing of embedded applications has always relied on specific testing and debug strategies, such as the use of emulators or JTAG, to isolate problems. This has worked well when a problem exists on a single device, or between two devices communicating with each other. Commercial development and deployment of larger distributed embedded network applications has required another level of testing strategies. Without planning of the testing and debug process, development projects can stall in a cycle of bug fixing, field testing, bug fixing, and field testing until hopefully all problems have been resolved. Silicon Labs has participated or assisted in the development and deployment of a number of commercially available wireless products, and recommends a series of specific testing strategies throughout the development process. The development strategy and testing processes required are similar even if the products being developed are aimed at different marketplaces.

This chapter reviews the typical successful testing methodology, and the hardware and software tools required to qualify products. It provides a testing outline and methodology that can be used for software qualification. Consideration of this testing methodology is critical from the beginning of the development efforts to ensure suitable means for qualification are built into the software, including appropriate means for simulating testing and recording test results. Real world examples and test setups are used to illustrate the proposed testing strategies. The key areas of testing to be covered are:

- Hardware and application considerations for testing and debug
- Initial development and lab testing
- Beta criteria and field trials
- Release testing process and criteria for release

## 2.2 Hardware and Application Considerations for Testing and Debug


### 2.2.1 Initial Software Application Development using Development Kit Hardware

Initial development of customer applications typically starts using development kit hardware (see Figure 1) that is available from the chip and software supplier. These kits universally have some level of serial or Ethernet debug capabilities, to allow viewing what is occurring at the application as well as at the ZigBee software stack. It is important for a developer to start with these tools to evaluate what information is available and how it can be used in development, debug and testing.

Some typical choices to be made early include:

- Will the software provide debug information out a debug port or serial port for later use in debug and testing? Such information can be critical to evaluating problems later and can significantly shorten the debug process. However, use of a serial port may slow the application and impact normal operation. A serial port may also not be available during normal system operation. Even if not normally available, a serial or debug port can be populated on prototype hardware for early stages of testing. For example, Ember hardware includes a dedicated debug port that can be used by the application and also includes stack trace and packet trace information.
- How will software be monitored and upgraded during internal testing? Software must be regularly updated during development and initial testing. For these systems it is recommended that a means of rapidly upgrading software on all devices be included even if it is not included on final field hardware. For example, on Ember development kits the dedicated debug and programming port can be accessed over an Ethernet backchannel. During initial development and testing, use of this wired backchannel is recommended for both software upgrading and system monitoring.
- How will software be monitored and upgraded during field testing? Initial field testing will also result in periodic updating of software. However, mechanisms used during internal testing may be impractical during actual field testing. An over-the-air (OTA) bootloader is recommended for software upgrading during field testing. A debug channel is impractical for all devices during field testing. Instead, it is recommended that selected devices such as gateways be monitored with a debug channel and that sniffers be used to monitor the network.
- What mechanisms will be provided for system testing and qualification? It is important to be able to trigger system-level events and normal operations during qualification testing. This can be done either manually by operating the system or it can be done using backchannel or serial port mechanisms, but it must be considered early in the design.

Initial application development and testing efforts on development kit hardware should consider each of these questions. Depending on the particular hardware being designed, it may or not be practical to include all of the recommendation above but they should be considered.



**Figure 1. Typical Desktop Development Kit**

## **2.2.2 Transition to Custom Hardware**

Most customers move to the hardware specifically designed for their application as soon as practical. However, the limitations and constraints of the custom hardware design may make it impractical to include monitoring and debug ports. At a minimum, tests points should be included to allow access when required.

If monitoring and debug ports are not practical on the custom hardware, it is recommended that development continue on both custom hardware and the development kit hardware so full debugging capabilities are available on at least some of the hardware. For Ember chips the debug port is also the programming port. This should be available on initial hardware designs since devices typically will be programmed many times during development and debug.

Many networks have a centralized base station, gateway or controller device. This device plays a critical role in the network and therefore plays a critical role in monitoring and debugging the network. If other devices cannot have a debug and monitoring port, this device should include one.

Questions about debug access and programming must be considered on the customer hardware during the design phase to avoid a hardware respin later to add these capabilities. Software engineers should review schematics to ensure the capabilities provided in hardware match those required for software development and debugging.

## **2.3 Initial Development and Lab Testing**

### **2.3.1 Initial Development Environment and System Testing**

Initial development and testing is typically done on a desktop or benchtop environment to ensure a basic application is operational before expanding to a larger network.

#### *2.3.1.1 Debug Library*

First, link the EmberZNet PRO Debug Library into your compiled application. `ember-debug.h` includes all of the debug functions useful during development. A full description of the library can be found in the online EmberZNet

Stack API Reference. Once the application has met all design goals, the debug library can be excluded from the final build.

## 2.3.1.2 *Single Device Testing and Debug*

One of the early steps in starting a new application is to isolate the network to several devices and focus on the message flow and application logic. This may be as simple as light and a switch or a controller and a single device. This testing validates the simple messaging protocols.

For devices with very specific and time-critical operations, debugging on a single device is necessary to ensure proper operation. This is common on devices doing lighting control or dimming, where precise timing is needed to maintain lighting levels. In these cases it is important to develop and debug the application using specific debug tools connected to the individual device. Because timing may be affected by operation of the network stack, this testing must also be done during network-level testing, to verify proper operation of the individual device.

## 2.3.1.3 *System Test Scenarios*

Once single device testing and debugging is completed, more directed system-level testing is required. This directed testing should reflect the expected network operating environment and conditions, in order to detect problems that otherwise would occur in the field.

For any system-level testing, it is important to define the expected operations under specific network and application scenarios. These include the following:

1. System Start Up – The expected normal system start up and commissioning should be validated and tested.
2. Typical System Operation – The expected normal system operation and data flow through the network should be tested to ensure smooth and consistent operation.
3. Security – Many applications run extensive lab and field testing before turning on security. The use of security can make troubleshooting and debug more difficult, depending on the sniffer tools used. It is important to have a debug system that decrypts the packets prior to display. Use of security impacts the payload size available for the application, and increases system level latency due to more node processing time. The impact of security on system level performance needs to be identified early in the system-level testing.
4. Stressed System Operation – If the system has particular devices that generate messages based on user interaction, these items should be tested well beyond normal operation to understand the behavior when the system is more stressed. The acceptable behavior under these conditions must be defined and then tested. For example, in some systems it may be acceptable to discard a message under high traffic conditions and send another message later. In other systems the application should retry sending the message.
5. Power Failure and Restart – Systems may lose power either partially or totally due to a power outage or building maintenance. The system has to react and recover from power failure and resume operations. Battery-operated sleeping devices must conserve power during this loss of network connectivity and then rejoin the network once it restarts. The expected time of the restart varies based on the application design and use of sleeping devices. The behavior of the application on this restart should be defined and tested.
6. Performance Testing – Many applications have specific requirements for end-to-end latency, delivery reliability or other system criteria. These specific metrics should be defined and a means for clearly measuring the metrics during testing should be determined.
7. Typical Application Failure Cases – Failure mechanisms may vary for different systems. However, typical failure cases should be identified and added to the test plan for each stage of development. Typical scenarios include:
  - low battery on end device
  - loss of end device

- loss of parent (child fail over to new parent)
- loss of routers in network
- loss of gateway or base station

#### *2.3.1.4 System Level Test Networks*

In addition to the test scenarios above, dedicated test setups are necessary to establish the conditions expected in the field. In our experience, ad hoc testing does not uncover all the expected use cases and therefore directed testing to force these network conditions is required.

In each of these test setups, it is not necessary to run directed or specific tests. However, the full range of typical expected operating conditions should be exercised.

##### **Multi-hop Test Network**

Actual field conditions are not always known, and actual radio range under the expected installed environment are not known. A multihop test environment should be established. Sometimes testing is done on an ad-hoc basis with desktop or office networks but more than one hop is not tested. One method we have used to ensure testing a multihop network is to build a wired test network with splitters and attenuators in the RF path. This provides a repeatable test environment for regression testing. Figure 2 shows such a set up. It includes RF cabling, connectors, attenuators and splitters. Each splitter (see Figure 3) can represent three nodes at a particular network depth. The signal is then attenuated to the next splitter that forms the next hop in the network. A network can be built of as many hops as are expected. This test should be built to replicate as many hops as expected in the field installations, or at least five hops.



**Figure 2. 8-Hop Test Network**



**Figure 3. Splitter Used in Wired Test**



### Dense Network

Dense networks often can present a challenge, as the network has to deal with increase in table sizes and message flow. The application also experiences more delays in message flow since all radios within range must share time on the air. It is recommended that at least 18 nodes be included in this testing. Figure 4 shows such a setup.



Figure 4. 36-Node Density Test Setup

## Mobile Device Testing

Many system designs have one or more mobile devices. These may be remote controls, handheld devices or operator indication devices. The mobility of such devices and their ability to reconnect to the network should be tested before field testing. Problems with mobile devices can be difficult to track in the field because the device is moving around the network, and therefore is harder to troubleshoot. Simple mobile node testing was added to the existing wired test installations with a switchable attenuator under control of a java application (see Figure 5). This allows moving the device from one side of the network to another and back again.



**Figure 5. Mobile Test Network**

## Large Network Testing

After the above dedicated tests, software can then be tested in a large scale test network. Silicon Labs operates a wireless test network with full backchannel access to allow system-level testing of over 200 nodes.

## Coexistence and Interference Testing

Testing should also consider other wireless systems that may be operating in the expected environment. Almost any type of typical installation is likely to have to share the 2.4 GHz band with Wifi or 802.11 type traffic, Bluetooth, cordless phones, baby monitors and the many other devices that share the 2.4 GHz band. Depending on the expected field conditions, consider including any of these devices during system-level testing.

## 2.4 Moving to Beta and Field Trials

### 2.4.1 Hardware and Test System for Larger System Testing

Beyond the more dedicated test systems discussed above, it is necessary to move to a wider system level test environment within development and then within initial field testing.

While a stack provider like Silicon Labs can have dedicated setups for various conditions, as well as a larger test network with complete debug connectivity, this is not always practical for the typical application developer. Instead, the developer must focus on realistic tests that can be set up and operated within the budget and space constraints of their project.

First and most importantly, it is usually not practical to have full backchannel analysis capability for a wider scale system on custom hardware. This does not mean the developer should give up on the use and analysis of debug data, just that it has to be acquired in different means. Some techniques are:

1. Full Sniffer-Based Environment - If the custom hardware does not have any access for debug data, dedicated sniffers can be used. To be useful they should be spread throughout the system to capture and send as much data as possible back to one central log file.
2. Partial Sniffer and Debug Data - If some of the custom hardware has accessible debug ports, a hybrid system of some debug ports and additional sniffers to fill out the network picture can be used. This is especially valuable if some central control devices can be monitored using debug while more remote areas of the network are monitored using sniffers.
3. Full Debug Environment - If problems are seen with either of the above setups, ask the stack provider to operate the application in their more dedicated test network with full debug access. While this involves porting the application to development hardware, it does provide full debug capabilities for more difficult problems.

For any of the test environments used for the full system testing, several critical items must be included for proper testing and debugging. These include:

1. Mechanisms to activate typical application-level operations. The full system setup should provide scripting or other means to force application-level actions, so the system can be repeatedly tested. A problem that is seen once but cannot be replicated because of an uncontrolled test environment is difficult to resolve.
2. Centralized logging of all sniffer and debug information. Where possible it is important to have a central computer that is collecting data into a single log file. This is critical for time-stamping events, duplicate detection and removal, and proper analysis of the events leading up to a problem.
3. Means for simple code upgrade in all devices in the network. The best designed system will have bugs and features that require upgrading of software in the test network. A means to upgrade all devices easily offers a faster development and testing process.

### 2.4.2 Reproducing Common Field Conditions or Problems

Typical field problems occur due to several conditions that are not replicated in testing prior to initial field deployments. Evaluation under expected conditions while still in a controlled test setup saves many hours and later trips to the field installations.

Many of these conditions occur under error conditions in the network that were not tested in initial testing.

The most common problems Silicon Labs has experienced in field settings are as follows:

1. Multi-hop Performance - While it sounds unusual, many customers operate on desktop test networks that are generally one hop, and do not test multi-hop performance until an actual field installation occurs. Adding hops in the network obviously adds routing complexity that the network is intended to handle. However, it also increases packet latency and the response time an application can expect. If the application cannot handle this higher latency then message failures or excessive retries occur in the field.
2. Density or Sparsity of Network - Desktop testing of units, even those units that are on the final field hardware design, often does not replicate expected field conditions. Often devices are installed in walls or ceilings, within metal enclosures, with metal panels or shields over the device, or more widely spaced than any lab testing. In addition, use of particular network features such as broadcasts or multicasts is impacted by the density or sparsity of the network.
3. Required Application Interrupt Timing Under Network Loading - Some applications require tight control over interrupt latency to perform critical functions. However, the networking stack also requires interrupt-level processing on receipt of messages. While the network has the ability to buffer messages, this buffering is limited based on the memory of the device. Under actual network conditions, nodes that run

out of buffer space temporarily lose the ability to send and receive messages. Alternatively, servicing network-level interrupts over application level interrupts results in poor application-level performance.

4. **Excessive Network Traffic** - A common complaint is a network that appeared to be operating well has a decrease in throughput or increase in latency. Upon investigation excessive traffic in the network resulted in congestion, lost messages and degraded performance. The most common reason is ongoing broadcasts or multicasts within the network. Failed messages can then lead to more broadcasted route discoveries, leading to further decreases in performance.

### 2.4.3 Initial Field Deployments

Initial field deployments are a critical step in the development process. These tests validate decisions and testing done throughout the development process and uncover any issues prior to wider deployment. These deployments are normally restricted to several sites, and are carefully monitored and controlled.

The following items are critical for initial field deployments:

1. **Monitoring and Analysis Plan in Place** - The initial deployments of a field system require the ability to monitor and analyze the system performance. This can be done at the system level by keeping track of application-level failures, or it can be done at a lower level by including some level of sniffers or debug capability in the field network.
2. **Clear Operational Criteria and Success Criteria** - Before installing a field system, the operating criteria and success or failure criteria should be established so these items can be monitored throughout the deployment.
3. **Ability to Escalate Problems** - Many networks have third party components or systems, or critical subsystems such as the networking stack. A clear process for escalation and resolution of bugs is critical to ensure issues are identified and closed in a timely manner.

### 2.4.4 Release Testing and Criteria for Release

For release of a wireless product, it is important to follow a progressive testing process that starts with desktop testing, and proceeds through laboratory testing, initial field deployments, and release testing. The earlier a problem is identified the simpler it is to identify and resolve. Bugs are estimated to take 10 to 50 times longer to resolve in a field deployment than in laboratory or office testing.

The release process is an accumulation of the testing discussed above. However, it is typical to have some final test process and validation for final release. This criterion varies among different companies but often includes the following elements:


1. **Normal System Operation** - Typically this involves running a normal system in a typical environment for some period of time with no failures.
2. **Power Cycling and Restart** - This testing is done on a repetitive basis to ensure uncontrolled power loss and restarting does not result in failed devices. Typically Silicon Labs sees this done on a small network with automated power cycling. No failures are allowed.

Table 1 shows which testing strategies are employed during the different phases of development.

**Table 1. Testing Strategies During Various Development Phases**

Monitor Mechanism	Single Device Development	Desktop Development	Lab Testing	Initial Field Testing	Release Testing
Full debug access	XX	XX			
Partial debug			XX	XX	XX
Sniffer based					XX
Dedicated Test Types					
High density			XX		XX
MultiHop		XX	XX		XX
Mobile node			XX	XX	XX
Large Network			XX	XX	
Coexistence / Interference			XX	XX	
System Test Cases					
System Start Up		XX		XX	XX
Normal operation		XX		XX	XX
Security			XX	XX	
Stressed Operation			XX		XX
Power failure and restart		XX			XX
Performance		XX		XX	
Typical failure mechanisms		XX			XX

Figure 6 shows how to setup a wired network as described in this document. Table 2 gives a list of required parts needed to build the wired networks described in this document.



**Figure 6. Setting up a Wireless Network**

**Table 2. Parts Used for Wired Testing**

Part	Supplier	Part Number
Mini-Circuits 8-port power divider	Mini-Circuits	ZB8PD-4-S+
Mini-Circuits 4-port power divider	Mini-Circuits	ZN4PD1-50-S+
Mini-Circuits 2-port power divider	Mini-Circuits	ZN2PD2-50-S+
Mini-Circuits 10 dB attenuator	Mini-Circuits	VAT-10
Mini-Circuits 20 dB attenuator	Mini-Circuits	VAT-20
Mini-Circuits 30 dB attenuator	Mini-Circuits	VAT-30
Mini-Circuits terminator Male SMA	Mini-Circuits	ANNE-50L+
Richardson Elec 24" SMA-to-SMA patch cable	Richardson	1-3636-461-5224 (last two digits are the length)

## 3 Analyzing Network Analyzer Session Files

Ember Desktop's Network Analyzer debugging tool provides a rich and flexible interface to Ember embedded networks, which helps you develop and debug new network applications.

This chapter shows how you can use the Ember Desktop Network Analyzer utility to analyze and debug network behavior. For detailed information about using Ember Desktop, refer to the Ember Desktop online help and document UG111, *Ember Desktop User Guide*, found in your installation files.

### 3.1 Ember Desktop Environment

The Ember Desktop Network Analyzer environment contains the following work areas:

- Adapters view - lists all Debug Adapters and their nodes that are accessible to Ember Desktop.
- Capture sessions - contain data captured from a node or set of nodes.
- Editor panes - display the data of a given capture session.

#### 3.1.1 Adapters View

On startup, Ember Desktop automatically discovers and lists all Debug Adapters that are connected to the local subnet. You can also configure Ember Desktop to discover Debug Adapters on other subnets.

#### 3.1.2 Capture Sessions

Ember Desktop can display one or more capture sessions. Each capture session stores data that it receives from one or more nodes, and displays it in the Ember Desktop editor panes.

Ember Desktop supports two types of capture sessions:

- Live sessions display data as it is captured; the display is continuously refreshed as new data arrives. Ember Desktop maintains one live session at a time.
- Saved sessions contain captured data that has been saved to permanent storage in a named .isd file. You can load a saved session and play it back at any time.

#### 3.1.3 Editor Panes

Editor panes provide different views of capture session data. Up to five editor panes can be open at any one time:

- **Map** provides a graphical view of the network, where nodes are displayed with their network identifiers. The map also displays network activity.
- **Transactions** displays high-level node interactions that might comprise multiple events.
- **Events** displays information about all packets transmitted and received during a capture session.
- **Event Detail** displays the decoded contents of the packet that is currently selected in the Events pane.
- **Hex Dump** displays the data of the selected event in raw bytes. Ember Desktop highlights bytes that map to the data currently selected in the Event Detail pane.

### 3.2 Capturing and Saving Data

You can capture data from the nodes of any connected Debug Adapters, either from one node at a time, or from multiple nodes simultaneously. You can also capture all network traffic over the current channel by capturing data from a connected sniffer node.

**Note:** The types of data that are captured from a node depend on the capabilities of its radio chip and Debug Adapter.

#### 3.2.1 Capturing from a Sniffer Node

A sniffer node has a sniffer application loaded on its RCM, which enables it to capture all over-the-air transmissions between nodes over the designated channel. When you start capturing from a sniffer node, it captures all packets that are exchanged by the nodes on the designated channel.

#### 3.2.2 Capturing from Multiple Nodes

You can capture data from multiple nodes simultaneously. This is typically done for nodes that belong to the same network.



With the EM2xx and EM35x platforms, Ember Desktop captures all incoming and outgoing packet data through the selected Debug Adapters, whether or not the host nodes have sniffer applications. The data includes failed transmissions, and debug messages from node applications that are compiled in debug mode.

## 3.2.3 Perfect Trace Session

Capturing from all nodes in a network yields a perfect trace session. The session data compiles all incoming and outgoing data from each node in chronological real time, providing a richly layered view of all activity within a network. This can be especially useful for debugging a network while it undergoes development.

**Note:** A sniffer capture intercepts all over-the-air transmissions among network nodes; however, the sniffer cannot detect any data transmissions that are known only to their senders such as messages that fail to arrive at their destination, and debug data such as API traces. These are captured in a perfect trace session.

## 3.3 Reviewing Capture Session Events

Figure 7 shows part of a capture session, where events 16 through 23 make a single Association transaction (shown in detail in Table 3). The transaction begins with a node's request to a coordinator node to join its network. Each message is paired with an 802.15.4 acknowledgement from the message recipient. The transaction ends when the requesting node acknowledges receipt of the coordinator's Association response:




Figure 7. Association Transaction




**Table 3. Association Transaction**

Event	Summary	Description
16	Association request	Node requests permission to join the network from the network coordinator.
17	802.15.4 Ack	Coordinator acknowledges message receipt.
19	Data request	Node requests network data.
20	802.15.4 Ack	Coordinator acknowledges message receipt.
22	Association response	Coordinator permits joining.
23	802.15.4 Ack	Node acknowledges message receipt.

### 3.3.1 Viewing Connectivity

The toolbar's link connectivity button lets you view connections between network nodes. The connectivity diagram shows cumulative connections up to the current event. Figure 8 shows connections that were established between the network's three-nodes as of Event 52:

**Figure 8. Network Connectivity**

## 3.4 Viewing Packet Data

You can view the contents of an individual packet in the Event Detail and Hex Dump editor panes. These display packet data in decoded format and raw bytes, respectively. For example, Ember Desktop shows the Association Request data for Event 16 in Figure 9.




Figure 9. Decoded and Hexadecimal Content of an Association Request Message Packet

### 3.4.1 Filtering Captured Data

By default, the Events pane displays all session events. You can build and apply filters that constrain Ember Desktop to show only events that are of interest. By filtering events, you can analyze results more efficiently.

### 3.4.2 Setting Filters

Each capture session has its own filter settings. When you change a session's filters, Ember Desktop immediately refreshes the display. When you exit Ember Desktop, all session filters are cleared and must be reapplied when you restart.

Ember Desktop provides two ways to edit filters:

- **Filter Manager:** Maintains a set of saved filters that you can review and edit. You can also add new filters. You specify any of the saved filters for display on the Filters menu, where they are accessible for use in one or more sessions.
- **Filter Bar:** An editor that attaches to a given session, where you can enter one or more filter expressions on the fly. Ember Desktop discards filter bar expressions for all sessions when it exits.

### 3.4.3 Quick Filters

Ember Desktop also provides several preset context-sensitive quick filters that are available from the Transactions and Events editor panes. You access these filters by right clicking on an event or transaction and choosing a context menu option.

The quick filter options that are available depend on the transaction or event you select. You can specify to hide all events/transactions of the selected type, or to show only that type. Further, if you select an event such as a neighbor exchange that has a source and/or destination address, the context menu also contains options that let you filter events according to that address. This lets you isolate data for a given node.

### 3.4.4 Filtering Message Types

It is often useful to hide messages that are not relevant to the current analysis. For example, you typically don't need to view neighbor exchanges-stack-level messages that are exchanged among nodes.

By default, the Filters menu has a single saved filter option, Hide Neighbor Exchange, which the Filter Manager defines as follows:

```
!isPresent(neighborExchange)
```

By choosing this option, Ember Desktop hides all neighbor exchange messages from the Events pane. You can customize the Filters menu to include other saved filters. You can also set filters manually in the filter bar. For more information on both methods, refer to the Ember Desktop online help, or to document UG111, *Ember Desktop User's Guide*.

### 3.4.5 Isolating Node Data

You might want to isolate all messages for a given node, for one of the following reasons:

- Evaluate the amount of traffic between it and other nodes.
- Analyze traffic pattern anomalies.
- Determine whether and when it stopped sending or receiving messages.

To show only traffic for a given node:


1. Right click on a message that specifies a source and destination.
2. From the context menu, choose one of the following options:
  - Show only destination: node-short-ID
  - Show only source: node-short-ID

For example, to view only events from node AE12, as shown in Figure 10:

1. Right-click on transaction 30.
2. From the context menu, choose Show only source AE12.


The filter bar shows a filter expression like this:

```
fifteenFour.source == 44562
```



**Figure 10. Selecting a Transaction in Order to Filter on Messages from a Source Node**

You can further refine the filter by ANDing it with other conditions. -For example, you can specify to hide all neighbor exchange messages from this node by choosing the menu option Filters | Hide Neighbor Exchange, as shown in Figure 11.



**Figure 11. Showing Only Messages from a Single Node, Excluding Neighbor Exchanges**

By doing this, you can determine node-specific data, such as how many non-neighbor exchange events originate from that node and the intervals between them. After analyzing data for that node, you can clear all current filters and set filters on other nodes, in order to compile their data and activity.

**Note:** Filters are helpful for isolating network issues—for example, quickly ascertaining when a node stops sending data. However, to analyze an event in the context of network operations, you must clear all filters.

### 3.5 Enabling Debug Output in Ember AppBuilder

Debug output from your application can be added to your compiled image. Once debug output is included in your compiled application image, it can be turned on and off at runtime in your running application. In order to compile debug output into your application in Ember AppBuilder go to the “Stack Configuration” tab in your application configuration. Scroll down to the “Debug Printing” section. This section lists the sections available to produce debug output from the code. Each cluster includes its own debug output, which can be compiled in and managed at runtime. In addition to this several general purpose sections are listed that exist within the core application framework code and can also be managed at compile and runtime.

Once you have selected the sections of the debug code that you wish to compile into your application, take a look at the generated <application>.h header file. This generated file contains the defines with associated mask values for the debug areas you have chosen to include. You may turn a specific debug area on or off at any time from the command line by passing the associated mask into the “debugprint” command. The debugprint command is documented along with all the other CLI commands in document 120-3023-000, *Application Framework API Reference*.

For example:

If you compile in debug printing for Core, Application, and Attributes you will see a section in the <application>.h header file as shown:

```
// Global switch
#define EMBER_AF_PRINT_ENABLE
// Individual areas
#define EMBER_AF_PRINT_CORE 0x0001
#define EMBER_AF_PRINT_APP 0x0002
#define EMBER_AF_PRINT_ATTRIBUTES 0x0004
#define EMBER_AF_PRINT_BITS { 0x07 }
#define EMBER_AF_PRINT_NAMES { \
    "Core",\
    "Application",\
    "Attributes",\
    NULL\
}
#define EMBER_AF_PRINT_NAME_NUMBER 3
```

You can then use the value 0x0004 to turn attribute printing on and off on the command line using the “debugprint” commands as follows:

```
> debugprint on 0x0004
> debugprint off 0x0004
```

Please note that you may only turn on and off debug printing that has previously been compiled into the application. There is no way to turn debug printing on and off for a specific debug printing area if that area has not been compiled into the application in advance.

## 3.6 Multi-Network Considerations

If your application uses nodes operating on multiple networks, this information can be reflected when reviewing capture sessions. Currently, however, the Ember Desktop Network Analyzer cannot auto-detect multi-network nodes. At the onset, unless all traditional, conceptual nodes constituting the multi-network node are assigned EUI64s, each conceptual node shows up as a separate node in the Map editor pane. Each such node must be assigned the **same** EUI64 by right-clicking on the node in the Map editor pane and selecting “Assign EUI64...” A dialog pops up that facilitates the assignment, and a checkbox indicating “Multinetwork EUI64” must be checked to inform Network Analyzer that the node is indeed a multi-network node. This sequence is illustrated in Figure 12 and Figure 13.




Figure 12. Assigning a Multi-Network EUI64




Figure 13. EUI64 Dialog

Once all the constituent conceptual nodes have been assigned the same EUI64, Network Analyzer coalesces them into one node in the Map editor pane. Alternatively, if all the conceptual nodes know the EUI64 or all have been coalesced but Network Analyzer has not been informed that the node is multi-network, the “Multinetwork node” menu item, seen in Figure 12, can be toggled. Once a node is known to Network Analyzer to be multi-network, it is indicated as such by being colored magenta in the Map editor pane, as seen in Figure 14 and Figure 15. These figures also demonstrate the multi-network capabilities of the Network Analyzer Map editor pane by illustrating events involving the same node on multiple PANs.




Figure 14. Multi-Network Node in the Map Editor Pane, First PAN




Figure 15. Multi-Network Node in the Map Editor Pane, Second PAN

## CONTACT INFORMATION

### Silicon Laboratories Inc.

400 West Cesar Chavez  
Austin, TX 78701  
Tel: 1+(512) 416-8500  
Fax: 1+(512) 416-9669  
Toll Free: 1+(877) 444-3032

Please visit the Silicon Labs Technical Support web page for ZigBee products:  
[www.silabs.com/zigbee-support](http://www.silabs.com/zigbee-support) and register to submit a technical support request

### Patent Notice

Silicon Labs invests in research and development to help our customers differentiate in the market with innovative low-power, small size, analog-intensive mixed-signal solutions. Silicon Labs' extensive patent portfolio is a testament to our unique approach and world-class engineering team.

The information in this document is believed to be accurate in all respects at the time of publication but is subject to change without notice. Silicon Laboratories assumes no responsibility for errors and omissions, and disclaims responsibility for any consequences resulting from the use of information included herein. Additionally, Silicon Laboratories assumes no responsibility for the functioning of undescribed features or parameters. Silicon Laboratories reserves the right to make changes without further notice. Silicon Laboratories makes no warranty, representation or guarantee regarding the suitability of its products for any particular purpose, nor does Silicon Laboratories assume any liability arising out of the application or use of any product or circuit, and specifically disclaims any and all liability, including without limitation consequential or incidental damages. Silicon Laboratories products are not designed, intended, or authorized for use in applications intended to support or sustain life, or for any other application in which the failure of the Silicon Laboratories product could create a situation where personal injury or death may occur. Should Buyer purchase or use Silicon Laboratories products for any such unintended or unauthorized application, Buyer shall indemnify and hold Silicon Laboratories harmless against all claims and damages.

Silicon Laboratories, Silicon Labs, and Ember are registered trademarks of Silicon Laboratories Inc.

Other products or brandnames mentioned herein are trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective holders.