

Q. 6.6.5.

given : $n=2$

$$p=2$$

$$x_{11} = x_{12}$$

$$x_{21} = x_{22}$$

$$y_1 + y_2 = 0$$

$$x_{11} + x_{21} = 0$$

$$x_{12} + x_{22} = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = 0$$

a). Ridge regression, to minimize :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{ij} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^2 \beta_j x_{ij} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^2 \beta_j^2$$

$$\Rightarrow (y_1 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{11} - \hat{\beta}_2 x_{12})^2 + (y_2 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{21} - \hat{\beta}_2 x_{22})^2 + \lambda (\hat{\beta}_1^2 + \hat{\beta}_2^2)$$

\Rightarrow as given $x_{11} = x_{12}$ & $x_{22} = x_{21}$

we get :-

$$\begin{aligned} & [y_1 - \beta_0 - x_{11}(\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)]^2 + [y_2 - \hat{\beta}_0 - x_{22}(\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)]^2 \\ & + \lambda (\hat{\beta}_1^2 + \hat{\beta}_2^2) \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\hat{\beta}_0 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow & [y_1 - x_{11}(\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)]^2 + [y_2 - x_{22}(\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)]^2 \\ & + \lambda (\hat{\beta}_1^2 + \hat{\beta}_2^2) \end{aligned} \longrightarrow \text{equation (1)}$$

b) . Prove $\hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_2$

By taking derivatives of the equation
① above with respect to $\hat{\beta}_1$ &
 $\hat{\beta}_2$ and setting them equal to
0. \therefore -

Taking derivative wrt $\hat{\beta}_1$

$$\frac{\partial(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)}{\partial(\hat{\beta}_1)} = 0 \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{let equation 1} \\ \text{be } f(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2) \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2[y_1 - x_{11}(\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)] \cdot [0 - x_{11} - 0] + \\ & 2[y_2 - x_{22}(\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)] \cdot [0 - x_{22} - 0] + \\ & 2 \cdot \lambda \cdot \hat{\beta}_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = [2y_1 - 2\hat{\beta}_1 x_{11} - 2\hat{\beta}_2 x_{11}] \cdot (-x_{11}) + \\ & [2y_2 - 2\hat{\beta}_1 x_{22} - 2\hat{\beta}_2 x_{22}] \cdot (-x_{22}) + 2\lambda \hat{\beta}_1 \\ & \quad \quad \quad = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$= -2y_1x_{11} + 2\hat{\beta}_1x_{11}^2 + 2\hat{\beta}_2x_{11}^2 - 2y_2x_{22} + 2\hat{\beta}_1x_{22}^2 + 2\hat{\beta}_2x_{22}^2 + 2\lambda\hat{\beta}_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [\because y_1 + y_2 = 0 \Rightarrow y_1 = -y_2]$$

$$x_{11} = -x_{21}$$

$$x_{12} = -x_{22}]$$

$$\Rightarrow 4\hat{\beta}_1x_{11}^2 + 4\hat{\beta}_2x_{11}^2 - 4y_1x_{11} + 2\lambda\hat{\beta}_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_1(4x_{11}^2 + 2\lambda) - 4y_1x_{11} + 4x_{11}^2\hat{\beta}_2 = 0$$

we get

$$\boxed{\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{2y_1x_{11} - 2x_{11}^2\hat{\beta}_2}{\lambda + 2x_{11}^2}}$$

— equation (2)

Similarly,

$$\text{by doing } \frac{\partial(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)}{\partial \hat{\beta}_2} = 0$$

we get

$$\boxed{\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{2y_1x_{11} - 2x_{11}^2\beta_1^2}{2\lambda + 2x_{11}^2}}$$

- equation (3)

$$\text{Now let } c = \frac{2y_1x_{11}}{\lambda + 2x_{11}^2}$$

$$\& \quad k = \frac{-2x_{11}^2}{\lambda + 2x_{11}^2}$$

So from equation (2) & (3) we get:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = c + k \hat{\beta}_2 \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = c + k \hat{\beta}_1 \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

Now, substituting value of $\hat{\beta}_1$ in (5)

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = c + k\hat{\beta}_1$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = c + k(c + k\hat{\beta}_2)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = c + kc + k^2\hat{\beta}_2$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 - k^2\hat{\beta}_2 = c + kc$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2(1 - k^2) = c(k+1)$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{c(k+1)}{(1-k)(1+k)} = \frac{c}{1-k}} \quad \text{--- eq (6)}$$

Now substituting value of $\hat{\beta}_2$ in (4).

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = c + k \cdot \left(\frac{c}{1-k} \right)$$

$$= \frac{c(1-k) + kc}{1-k}$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{c - c/k + kc}{1-k} = \frac{c}{1-k}} \quad \text{--- eq (7)}$$

we see that eq (6) & (7) are same.

$$\therefore \boxed{\hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_2}$$

hence, proved.

c) .

The lasso optimization problem seeks to minimize :

$$(y_1 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{11} - \hat{\beta}_2 x_{12})^2 + (y_2 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{21} - \hat{\beta}_2 x_{22})^2 + \lambda (|\hat{\beta}_1| + |\hat{\beta}_2|)$$

$[\because \hat{\beta}_0 = 0]$

Also, further simplifying we get

$$2(y_1 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{11} - \hat{\beta}_2 x_{11})^2 + \lambda (|\hat{\beta}_1| + |\hat{\beta}_2|)$$

\equiv equation (A) .

d).

Taking partial derivatives with respect to $\hat{\beta}_1$ & $\hat{\beta}_2$ & setting them to 0, we get:

$$4x_{11} [y_1 - x_{11}(\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)] = \pm \lambda$$

The sign of RHS depends on the sign of $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$.

If $\hat{\beta}_1$ & $\hat{\beta}_2$ are +ve, the sign is +ve.

If they are -ve, sign is -ve.

This equation represents the boundary of the lasso constraint and hence the lasso optimization problem has many possible solutions.