United States Department of Agriculture

**Forest Service** 

Forest Management Service Center

Fort Collins, CO

2008

Revised:

June 2021





# Blue Mountains (BM) Variant Overview

Forest Vegetation Simulator



Ponderosa pine stand, Umatilla National Forest (David Powell, FS-R6)

# Blue Mountains (BM) Variant Overview

# Forest Vegetation Simulator

#### **Authors and Contributors:**

The FVS staff has maintained model documentation for this variant in the form of a variant overview since its release in 1986. The original author was Ralph Johnson. In 2008, the previous document was replaced with this updated variant overview. Gary Dixon, Christopher Dixon, Robert Havis, Chad Keyser, Stephanie Rebain, Erin Smith-Mateja, and Don Vandendriesche were involved with this major update. Chad Keyser cross-checked information contained in this variant overview with the FVS source code. In 2009, Gary Dixon expanded the species list and made significant updates to this variant overview.

FVS Staff. 2008 (revised June 28, 2021). Blue Mountains (BM) Variant Overview – Forest Vegetation Simulator. Internal Rep. Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Management Service Center. 59p.

# Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Geographic Range	2
3.0 Control Variables	3
3.1 Location Codes	3
3.2 Species Codes	3
3.3 Habitat Type, Plant Association, and Ecological Unit Codes	4
3.4 Site Index	4
3.5 Maximum Density	5
4.0 Growth Relationships	7
4.1 Height-Diameter Relationships	7
4.2 Bark Ratio Relationships	9
4.3 Crown Ratio Relationships	11
4.3.1 Crown Ratio Dubbing	11
4.3.2 Crown Ratio Change	14
4.3.3 Crown Ratio for Newly Established Trees	14
4.4 Crown Width Relationships	14
4.5 Crown Competition Factor	17
4.6 Small Tree Growth Relationships	18
4.6.1 Small Tree Height Growth	18
4.6.2 Small Tree Diameter Growth	22
4.7 Large Tree Growth Relationships	23
4.7.1 Large Tree Diameter Growth	23
4.7.2 Large Tree Height Growth	29
5.0 Mortality Model	36
6.0 Regeneration	39
7.0 Volume	42
8.0 Fire and Fuels Extension (FFE-FVS)	44
9.0 Insect and Disease Extensions	45
10.0 Literature Cited	46
11.0 Appendices	49
11.1 Appendix A. Distribution of Data Samples	49
11.2 Annendix B. Plant Association Codes	51

# Quick Guide to Default Settings

Parameter or Attribute	Default Setting		
Number of Projection Cycles	1 (10 if using FVS GUI)		
Projection Cycle Length	10 years		
Location Code (National Forest)	616 – Wallowa-Whitn	nan	
Plant Association Code	79 (CWG113 ABGR/CA	ARU-BLUE)	
Slope	5 percent		
Aspect	0 (no meaningful aspe	ect)	
Elevation	45 (4500 feet)		
Latitude / Longitude	Latitude	Longitude	
All location codes	45	118	
Site Species	Plant Association Code specific		
Site Index	Plant Association Code specific		
Maximum Stand Density Index	Plant Association Code specific		
Maximum Basal Area	Based on maximum stand density index		
Volume Equations	National Volume Estimator Library		
Merchantable Cubic Foot Volume Specification	ns:		
Minimum DBH / Top Diameter	LP	All Other Species	
All location codes	6.0 / 4.5 inches	7.0 / 4.5 inches	
Stump Height	1.0 foot	1.0 foot	
Merchantable Board Foot Volume Specification	ons:		
Minimum DBH / Top Diameter	LP	All Other Species	
All location codes	6.0 / 4.5 inches 7.0 / 4.5 inches		
Stump Height	1.0 foot 1.0 foot		
Sampling Design:			
Large Trees (variable radius plot)	40 BAF		
Small Trees (fixed radius plot)	1/300 <sup>th</sup> Acre		
Breakpoint DBH	5.0 inches		

## 1.0 Introduction

The Forest Vegetation Simulator (FVS) is an individual tree, distance independent growth and yield model with linkable modules called extensions, which simulate various insect and pathogen impacts, fire effects, fuel loading, snag dynamics, and development of understory tree vegetation. FVS can simulate a wide variety of forest types, stand structures, and pure or mixed species stands.

New "variants" of the FVS model are created by imbedding new tree growth, mortality, and volume equations for a particular geographic area into the FVS framework. Geographic variants of FVS have been developed for most of the forested lands in the United States.

The Blue Mountains (BM) variant was developed in 1986. It covers the northeast quarter of Oregon, roughly bounded on the west by U.S. Highway 97 from Bend to Biggs and on the south by U.S. Highway 20 from Bend to Ontario, and includes a small portion of southeast Washington, roughly surrounded by U.S. Highway 12 from Walla Walla to Lewiston, Idaho. Data used in the BM variant came from forest inventories gathered by the Forest Service, and tree nutrition studies. Equations for western white pine came from those developed for the South Central Oregon and Northeastern California (SO) variant; equations used for mountain hemlock are from the North Idaho (NI) variant.

Since the variant's development in 1986, many of the functions have been adjusted and improved as more data has become available, and as model technology has advanced. In 2009 this variant was expanded from its 10 original species to 18 species. Surrogate equations from other variants were used for these additional 8 species. Equations for western juniper, limber pine, and quaking aspen came from the Utah variant; whitebark pine from the Tetons variant; and Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwoods from the West Cascades variant. In addition, the other softwoods category was modified to use the same equations as ponderosa pine.

To fully understand how to use this variant, users should also consult the following publication:

• Essential FVS: A User's Guide to the Forest Vegetation Simulator (Dixon 2002)

This publication can be downloaded from the Forest Management Service Center (FMSC), Forest Service website. Other FVS publications may be needed if one is using an extension that simulates the effects of fire, insects, or diseases.

# 2.0 Geographic Range

The BM variant was fit to data representing forest types in northeastern Oregon and southeastern Washington. Data used in initial model development came from forest inventories on the Malheur, Ochoco, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests and tree nutrition studies. Distribution of data samples for species fit from this data are shown in Appendix A.

The BM variant covers forest types in northeastern Oregon and the southeastern corner of Washington. The suggested geographic range of use for the BM variant is shown in figure 2.0.1.




Figure 2.0.1 Suggested geographic range of use for the BM variant.

## 3.0 Control Variables

FVS users need to specify certain variables used by the BM variant to control a simulation. These are entered in parameter fields on various FVS keywords available in the FVS interface or they are read from an FVS input database using the Database Extension.

#### 3.1 Location Codes

The location code is a 3- or 4-digit code where, in general, the first digit of the code represents the Forest Service Region Number, and the last two digits represent the Forest Number within that region. In some cases, a location code beginning with a "7" or "8" is used to indicate an administrative boundary that doesn't use a Forest Service Region number (for example, other federal agencies, state agencies, or other lands).

If the location code is missing or incorrect in the BM variant, a default forest code of 616 (Wallowa – Whitman) will be used. Location codes recognized in the BM variant are shown in table 3.1.1.

<b>Location Code</b>	Location
604	Malheur National Forest
607	Ochoco National Forest
614	Umatilla National Forest
616	Wallowa – Whitman National Forest
619	Whitman National Forest (mapped to 616)
8117	Umatilla Reservation (mapped to 614)

# 3.2 Species Codes

The BM variant recognizes 18 species. You may use FVS species codes, Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) species codes, or USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service PLANTS symbols to represent these species in FVS input data. Any valid western species codes identifying species not recognized by the variant will be mapped to the most similar species in the variant. The species mapping crosswalk is available on the variant documentation webpage of the FVS website. Any non-valid species code will default to the "other hardwoods" category.

Either the FVS sequence number or FVS species code must be used to specify a species in FVS keywords and Event Monitor functions. FIA codes or PLANTS symbols are only recognized during data input and may not be used in FVS keywords. Table 3.2.1 shows the complete list of species codes recognized by the BM variant.

Table 3.2.1 Species codes recognized by the BM variant.

Species Number	Species Code	Common Name	FIA Code	PLANTS Symbol	Scientific Name
1	WP	western white pine	119	PIMO3	Pinus monticola
2	WL	western larch	073	LAOC	Larix occidentalis

Species	Species		FIA	PLANTS	
Number	Code	Common Name	Code	Symbol	Scientific Name
3	DF	Douglas-fir	202	PSME	Pseudotsuga menziesii
4	GF	grand fir	017	ABGR	Abies grandis
5	MH	mountain hemlock	264	TSME	Tsuga mertensiana
6	WJ	western juniper	064	JUOC	Juniperus occidentalis
7	LP	lodgepole pine	108	PICO	Pinus contorta
8	ES	Engelmann spruce	093	PIEN	Picea engelmannii
9	AF	subalpine fir	019	ABLA	Abies lasiocarpa
10	PP	ponderosa pine	122	PIPO	Pinus ponderosa
11	WB	whitebark pine	101	PIAL	Pinus albicaulis
12	LM	limber pine	113	PIFL2	Pinus flexilis
13	PY	Pacific yew	231	TABR2	Taxus brevifolia
14	YC	Alaska cedar	042	CANO9	Callitropsis nootkatensis
15	AS	quaking aspen	746	POTR5	Populus tremuloides
16	CW	black cottonwood	747	POBAT	Populus balsamifera
17	OS	other softwoods	298	2TE	
18	ОН	other hardwoods	998	2TD	

# 3.3 Habitat Type, Plant Association, and Ecological Unit Codes

Plant association codes recognized in the BM variant are shown in Appendix B. If an incorrect plant association code is entered or no code is entered FVS will use the default plant association code, which is 79 (CWG113 ABGR/CARU-BLUE). Plant association codes are used to set default site information such as site species, site indices, and maximum stand density indices as well as modeling snag dynamics in FFE-FVS. The site species, site index and maximum stand density indices can be reset via FVS keywords. Users may enter the plant association code or the plant association FVS sequence number on the STDINFO keyword, when entering stand information from a database, or when using the SETSITE keyword without the PARMS option. If using the PARMS option with the SETSITE keyword, users must use the FVS sequence number for the plant association.

#### 3.4 Site Index

Site index is used in some of the growth equations for the BM variant. Users should always use the same site curves that FVS uses, which are shown in table 3.4.1. If site index is available, a single site index for the whole stand can be entered, a site index for each individual species in the stand can be entered, or a combination of these can be entered.

Table 3.4.1 Site index reference curves for species in the BM variant.

<b>Species Code</b>	Reference	BHA or TTA1	Base Age
WP	Brickell, J.E. (1970)	TTA	50
WL	Cochran, P.H. (1985)	ВНА	50
DF	Cochran, P.H. (1979a)	ВНА	50
GF	Cochran, P.H. (1979b)	ВНА	50

MH	Means unpublished (1986) <sup>2</sup>	ВНА	100
LP	Dahms, Walter (1964)	TTA	50
ES	Alexander (1967)	ВНА	100
AF	Demars, D.J. et. al. (1970)	ВНА	100
PP, OS	Barrett, J.W. (1978)	ВНА	100
WJ, WB, LM	Alexander, Tackle, and Dahms (1967)	TTA	100
PY, YC, CW, OH	Curtis, R.O., et. al. (1974)	ВНА	100
AS	Edminster, Mowrer, and Shepperd (1985)	ВНА	80

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Equation is based on total tree age (TTA) or breast height age (BHA)

If site index is missing or incorrect, the default site species and site index are determined by plant association codes found in Appendix B. If the plant association code is missing or incorrect, the site species is set to grand fir with a default site index set to 63.

Site indices for species not assigned a site index are determined based on the site index of the site species (height at base age) with an adjustment for the reference age differences between the site species and the target species.

# 3.5 Maximum Density

Maximum stand density index (SDI) and maximum basal area (BA) are important variables in determining density related mortality and crown ratio change. Maximum basal area is a stand level metric that can be set using the BAMAX or SETSITE keywords. If not set by the user, a default value is calculated from maximum stand SDI each projection cycle. Maximum stand density index can be set for each species using the SDIMAX or SETSITE keywords. If not set by the user, a default value is assigned as discussed below.

The default maximum SDI is set based on a user-specified, or default, plant association code or a user specified basal area maximum. If a user specified basal area maximum is present, the maximum SDI for all species is computed using equation {3.5.1}; otherwise, the SDI maximum for a species is assigned from the SDI maximum for that species associated with the plant association code shown in Appendix B. If a species SDI maximum is unknown for a given plant association code, then it is assigned the SDI maximum for the site species associated with the plant association code. SDI maximums were set based on growth basal area (GBA) analysis developed by Hall (1983), an analysis of Current Vegetation Survey (CVS) plots in USFS Region 6 by Crookston (2008) or an analysis performed by Powell (2009). Some SDI maximums associated with plant associations are unreasonably large, so SDI maximums are capped at 850. Maximum stand density index at the stand level is a weighted average, by basal area, of the individual species SDI maximums.

 $\{3.5.1\}$  *SDIMAX*<sub>i</sub> = *BAMAX* / (0.5454154 \* SDIU)

where:

*SDIMAX*<sub>i</sub> is the species-specific SDI maximum

BAMAX is the user-specified stand basal area maximum

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The source equation is in metric units; site index values for MH are assumed to be in meters.

is the proportion of theoretical maximum density at which the stand reaches actual maximum density (default 0.85, changed with the SDIMAX keyword)

# 4.0 Growth Relationships

This chapter describes the functional relationships used to fill in missing tree data and calculate incremental growth. In FVS, trees are grown in either the small tree sub-model or the large tree sub-model depending on the diameter.

# 4.1 Height-Diameter Relationships

Height-diameter relationships in FVS are primarily used to estimate tree heights missing in the input data, and occasionally to estimate diameter growth on trees smaller than a given threshold diameter. In the BM variant, FVS will dub in heights by one of two methods. By default, for all species except western juniper, whitebark pine, limber pine, and quaking aspen, the BM variant will use the Curtis-Arney functional form as shown in equation {4.1.1} (Curtis 1967, Arney 1985). For western juniper, whitebark pine, limber pine, and quaking aspen a logistic height-diameter equation {4.1.2} (Wykoff, et.al 1982) is used.

If the input data contains at least three measured heights for a species, then FVS can calibrated the logistic height-diameter equation to the input data. This calibration is done automatically for western juniper, whitebark pine, limber pine and quaking aspen. However, it must be invoked using the NOHTDREG keyword for all other species. Coefficients for equation  $\{4.1.1\}$  are given in table 4.1.1 sorted by species and location code. Coefficients for equation  $\{4.1.3\}$  are given in table 4.1.2.

## {4.1.1} Curtis-Arney functional form

```
DBH \ge 3.0": HT = 4.5 + P_2 * exp[-P_3 * DBH ^ P_4]
DBH < 3.0": HT = [(4.5 + P_2 * exp[-P_3 * 3.0 ^ P_4] - 4.51) * (DBH - 0.3) / 2.7] + 4.51
\{4.1.2\} HT = 4.5 + exp(B_1 + B_2 / (DBH + 1.0))
```

#### where:

HT is tree height

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

 $B_1$ -  $B_2$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.1.2  $P_1$ -  $P_4$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.1.1

Table 4.1.1 Coefficients for Curtis-Arney equation {4.1.1} in the BM variant.

Species				
Code	Location Code	$P_2$	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>
	604 - Malheur	140.8498	4.9436	-0.6048
WP	607 - Ochoco	140.8498	4.9436	-0.6048
VVP	614 - Umatilla	140.8498	4.9436	-0.6048
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	140.8498	4.9436	-0.6048
	604 - Malheur	188.1500	5.6420	-0.7348
WL	607 - Ochoco	255.4638	5.5577	-0.6054
	614 - Umatilla	186.6625	5.3006	-0.7604
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	326.9389	4.6684	-0.4657

Species Code	Location Code	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>
	604 - Malheur	476.1213	5.0963	-0.3461
DE	607 - Ochoco	318.7441	5.6666	-0.4666
DF	614 - Umatilla	219.4816	5.3103	-0.5643
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	260.1577	5.2245	-0.5013
	604 - Malheur	846.4856	6.1757	-0.3210
GF	607 - Ochoco	686.4831	6.5393	-0.3740
Gr	614 - Umatilla	297.7143	5.9520	-0.5290
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	360.9231	5.7382	-0.4544
	604 - Malheur	150.5836	5.5158	-0.6435
N 41 1	607 - Ochoco	150.5836	5.5158	-0.6435
MH	614 - Umatilla	150.5836	5.5158	-0.6435
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	150.5836	5.5158	-0.6435
	604 - Malheur	1901.4963	5.9791	-0.2300
LD	607 - Ochoco	228.0877	4.2939	-0.4277
LP	614 - Umatilla	89.0137	7.7404	-1.3530
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	117.1495	4.8451	-0.8613
	604 - Malheur	211.5595	7.310	-0.7176
ГC	607 - Ochoco	738.6208	5.5866	-0.3193
ES	614 - Umatilla	221.5298	6.1879	-0.6629
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	219.4529	6.1539	-0.6558
	604 - Malheur	437.3897	5.6600	-0.3975
ΔΕ	607 - Ochoco	128.7188	6.9094	-0.9039
AF	614 - Umatilla	164.6321	6.9476	-0.7650
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	128.7188	6.9094	-0.9039
	604 - Malheur	1818.1733	6.8482	-0.2535
DD	607 - Ochoco	1526.6312	6.9207	-0.2774
PP	614 - Umatilla	313.4270	6.4808	-0.5194
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	649.6683	6.1279	-0.3511
	604 - Malheur	77.2207	3.5181	-0.5894
DV	607 - Ochoco	77.2207	3.5181	-0.5894
PY	614 - Umatilla	77.2207	3.5181	-0.5894
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	77.2207	3.5181	-0.5894
	604 - Malheur	97.7769	8.8202	-1.0534
V.C	607 - Ochoco	97.7769	8.8202	-1.0534
YC	614 - Umatilla	97.7769	8.8202	-1.0534
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	97.7769	8.8202	-1.0534
	604 - Malheur	178.6441	4.5852	-0.6746
CVA	607 - Ochoco	178.6441	4.5852	-0.6746
CW	614 - Umatilla	178.6441	4.5852	-0.6746
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	178.6441	4.5852	-0.6746

Species				
Code	<b>Location Code</b>	P <sub>2</sub>	$P_3$	P <sub>4</sub>
	604 - Malheur	1818.1733	6.8482	-0.2535
20	607 - Ochoco	1526.6312	6.9207	-0.2774
OS	614 - Umatilla	313.4270	6.4808	-0.5194
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	649.6683	6.1279	-0.3511
ОН	604 - Malheur	1709.7229	5.8887	-0.2286
	607 - Ochoco	1709.7229	5.8887	-0.2286
	614 - Umatilla	1709.7229	5.8887	-0.2286
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	1709.7229	5.8887	-0.2286

Table 4.1.2 Coefficients for the logistic Wykoff equation {4.1.2} in the BM variant.

Species	Default	
Code	B <sub>1</sub>	B <sub>2</sub>
WP	5.035	-10.674
WL	5.043	-9.123
DF	4.929	-10.744
GF	4.874	-10.405
MH	4.874	-10.405
WJ	3.200	-5.000
LP	4.954	-9.177
ES	5.035	-10.674
AF	4.875	-9.568
PP	4.993	-12.430
WB	4.1920	-5.1651
LM	4.1920	-5.1651
PY	5.1880	-13.8010
YC	5.143	-13.497
AS	4.4421	-6.5405
CW	5.1520	-13.5760
OS	4.993	-12.430
ОН	5.1520	-13.5760

# 4.2 Bark Ratio Relationships

Bark ratio estimates are used to convert between diameter outside bark and diameter inside bark in various parts of the model. The equation used for western white pine, western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, mountain hemlock, lodgepole pine, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, ponderosa pine, Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, other softwoods, and other hardwoods is shown in equation  $\{4.2.1\}$  and coefficients (b<sub>1</sub> and b<sub>2</sub>) for this equation by species are shown in table 4.2.1.

 $\{4.2.1\}$  DIB =  $b_1 * DBH^b_2$  where BRATIO = DIB / DBH where:

BRATIO is species-specific bark ratio. Bounded to 0 < BRATIO < 0.999 for WP, WL, DF, GF, MH,

LP, ES, AF, PP, OS and bounded to 0.80< BRATIO < 0.99 for PY, YC, OH

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

DIB is tree diameter inside bark at breast height

 $b_1 - b_2$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.2.1

The equation used for western juniper and limber pine is shown in  $\{4.2.2\}$  with coefficients ( $b_1$  and  $b_2$ ) shown in table 4.2.1.

 $\{4.2.2\}$  BRATIO =  $b_1 + b_2*(1/DBH)$ 

where:

BRATIO is species-specific bark ratio (bounded to 0.80 < BRATIO < 0.99)

DBH is tree diameter at breast height. Bounded to  $1.0 \le DBH \le 19.0$ ) for WJ and bounded to

1.0< *DBH* for LM

 $b_1 - b_2$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.2.1

The equation used for whitebark pine and quaking aspen is shown in  $\{4.2.3\}$  with coefficient (b<sub>1</sub>) shown in table 4.2.1.

 $\{4.2.3\}$  BRATIO =  $b_1$ 

where:

BRATIO is species-specific bark ratio (bounded to  $0.80 \le BRATIO \le 0.99$ )

b<sub>1</sub> is the species-specific coefficient shown in table 4.2.1

Black cottonwood uses equation  $\{4.2.4\}$  with coefficients ( $b_1$  and  $b_2$ ) shown in table 4.2.1.

 $\{4.2.4\}$  DIB =  $b_1 + b_2*DBH$  BRATIO = DIB / DBH

where:

BRATIO is species-specific bark ratio (bounded to  $0.80 \le BRATIO \le 0.99$ )

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

DIB is tree diameter inside bark at breast height

 $b_1 - b_2$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.2.1

Table 4.2.1 Coefficients for the bark ratio equation {4.2.1} in the BM variant.

Species		
Code	b <sub>1</sub>	b <sub>2</sub>
WP	0.859045	1.0
WL	0.859045	1.0
DF	0.903563	0.989388
GF	0.904973	1.0
MH	0.903563	0.989388
WJ	0.9002	-0.3089
LP	0.9	1.0
ES	0.9	1.0
AF	0.904973	1.0

Species		
Code	b <sub>1</sub>	b <sub>2</sub>
PP	0.809427	1.016866
WB	0.969	0.0
LM	0.9625	-0.1141
PY	0.933290	1.0
YC	0.837291	1.0
AS	0.950	0.0
CW	0.075256	0.949670
OS	0.809427	1.016866
ОН	0.9000	1.0

# 4.3 Crown Ratio Relationships

Crown ratio equations are used for three purposes in FVS: (1) to estimate tree crown ratios missing from the input data for both live and dead trees; (2) to estimate change in crown ratio from cycle to cycle for live trees; and (3) to estimate initial crown ratios for regenerating trees established during a simulation.

## 4.3.1 Crown Ratio Dubbing

In the BM variant, crown ratios missing in the input data are predicted using different equations depending on tree species and size. For all species, live trees less than 1.0" in diameter and dead trees of all sizes use equation {4.3.1.1} and one of the equations listed below, {4.3.1.2} - {4.3.1.3}, to compute crown ratio. Species group assignment and equation number used by species is found in table 4.3.1.1. Equation coefficients are found in table 4.3.1.2.

$$\{4.3.1.1\} X = R_1 + R_2 * DBH + R_3 * HT + R_4 * BA + R_5 * PCCF + R_6 * AVH/HT + R_7 * AVH + R_8 * BA * PCCF + R_9 * MAI$$

$$\{4.3.1.2\}$$
 CR = 1 /  $\{1 + \exp(X + N(0,SD))\}$  where absolute value of  $\{X + N(0,SD)\} < 86$ 

$$\{4.3.1.3\}$$
 CR =  $(((X + N(0,SD)) - 1) * 10 + 1) / 100$ 

where:

CR is crown ratio expressed as a proportion (bounded to  $0.05 \le CR \le 0.95$ )

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

HT is tree height

BA is total stand basal area

PCCF is crown competition factor on the inventory point where the tree is established

HT<sub>Ava</sub> is average height of the 40 largest diameter trees in the stand

MAI is stand mean annual increment

N(0,SD) is a random increment from a normal distribution with a mean of 0 and a standard

deviation of SD

 $R_1 - R_9$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.3.1.1

Table 4.3.1.1 Species group and equation assignment by species in the BM variant.

Species Code	Species Group	Equation Number	Species Code	Species Group	Equation Number
WP	1	{4.3.1.1}	PP	3	{4.3.1.1}
WL	1	{4.3.1.1}	WB	3	{4.3.1.1}
DF	2	{4.3.1.1}	LM	3	{4.3.1.1}
GF	2	{4.3.1.1}	PY	5	{4.3.1.2}
MH	2	{4.3.1.1}	YC	6	{4.3.1.2}
WJ	4	{4.3.1.1}	AS	2	{4.3.1.1}
LP	3	{4.3.1.1}	CW	7	{4.3.1.2}
ES	2	{4.3.1.1}	OS	3	{4.3.1.1}
AF	2	{4.3.1.1}	ОН	7	{4.3.1.2}

Table 4.3.1.2 Coefficients for the crown ratio equation {4.3.1.1} in the BM variant.

	Species Group								
Coefficient	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
R <sub>1</sub>	-1.66949	-0.426688	-1.66949	- 2.19723	6.489813	7.558538	5.0		
R <sub>2</sub>	-0.209765	-0.093105	-0.209765	0	0	0	0		
					-				
R <sub>3</sub>	0	0.022409	0	0	0.029815	-0.015637	0		
					-				
R <sub>4</sub>	0.003359	0.002633	0.003359	0	0.009276	-0.009064	0		
R <sub>5</sub>	0.011032	0	0.011032	0	0	0	0		
R <sub>6</sub>	0	-0.045532	0	0	0	0	0		
R <sub>7</sub>	0.017727	0	0.017727	0	0	0	0		
R <sub>8</sub>	-0.000053	0.000022	-0.000053	0	0	0	0		
R <sub>9</sub>	0.014098	-0.013115	0.014098	0	0	0	0		
SD	0.5	0.6957**	*	0.2	2.0426	1.9658	0.5		

<sup>\*</sup> SD = 0.6124 for LP; 0.4942 for PP and OS; 0.5 for WB and LM

A Weibull-based crown model developed by Dixon (1985) as described in Dixon (2002) is used to predict crown ratio for all live trees 1.0" in diameter or larger. To estimate crown ratio using this methodology, the average stand crown ratio is estimated from stand density index using equation {4.3.1.4}. Weibull parameters are then estimated from the average stand crown ratio using equations in equation set {4.3.1.5}. Individual tree crown ratio is then set from the Weibull distribution, equation {4.3.1.6} based on a tree's relative position in the diameter distribution and multiplied by a scale factor, shown in equation {4.3.1.7}, which accounts for stand density. Crowns estimated from the

<sup>\*\*</sup> SD = 0.9310 for AS

Weibull distribution are bounded to be between the 5 and 95 percentile points of the specified Weibull distribution. Equation coefficients for each species are shown in table 4.3.1.3.

$$\{4.3.1.4\}$$
 ACR =  $d_0 + d_1 * RELSDI * 100.0$  where: RELSDI =  $SDI_{stand} / SDI_{max}$ 

{4.3.1.5} Weibull parameters A, B, and C are estimated from average crown ratio

 $A = a_0$ 

 $B = b_0 + b_1 * ACR (B \ge 3)$ 

 $C = c_0 + c_1 * ACR \quad (C \ge 2)$ 

 $\{4.3.1.6\} Y = 1-\exp(-((X-A)/B)^C)$ 

 $\{4.3.1.7\}$  SCALE = 1 - 0.00167 \* (CCF - 100)

where:

ACR is predicted average stand crown ratio for the species

SDI<sub>stand</sub>is stand density index of the standSDI<sub>max</sub>is maximum stand density index

A, B, C are parameters of the Weibull crown ratio distribution
X is a tree's crown ratio expressed as a percent / 10

Y is a trees rank in the diameter distribution (1 = smallest; ITRN = largest)

divided by the total number of trees (ITRN) multiplied by SCALE

SCALE is a density dependent scaling factor (bounded to  $0.3 \le SCALE \le 1.0$ )

*CCF* is stand crown competition factor

 $a_0$ ,  $b_{0-1}$ ,  $c_{0-1}$ , and  $d_{0-1}$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.3.1.3

Table 4.3.1.3 Coefficients for the Weibull parameter equations {4.3.1.4} and {4.3.1.5} in the BM variant.

Species			М	odel Coefficie	nts		
Code	$a_0$	b <sub>0</sub>	$b_1$	C <sub>0</sub>	<b>c</b> <sub>1</sub>	d <sub>0</sub>	d <sub>1</sub>
WP	0	0.74338	0.97850	-3.98461	1.34802	6.94062	-0.01927
WL	0	-0.00114	1.11300	3.40943	0	5.30390	-0.02049
DF	0	0.35559	1.04220	-0.68418	0.80153	6.69836	-0.02594
GF	0	0.46010	1.02563	-1.74681	0.98317	7.07172	-0.03044
MH	0	0.46010	1.02563	-1.74681	0.98317	7.07172	-0.03044
WJ	0	0.07609	1.10184	3.01	0	7.23800	0
LP	0	-0.04970	1.14250	2.49474	0	4.82367	-0.02373
ES	0	0.74338	0.97850	-3.98461	1.34802	6.94062	-0.01927
AF	0	0.40743	1.02954	4.06366	0	7.97175	-0.03545
PP	0	0.22542	1.06011	0.58615	0.64158	6.23850	-0.03064
WB	1	-0.82631	1.06217	3.31429	0	6.19911	-0.02216
LM	1	-0.82631	1.06217	3.31429	0	6.19911	-0.02216
PY	0	0.196054	1.073909	0.345647	0.620145	5.417431	-0.011608
YC	1	-0.811424	1.056190	-3.831124	1.401938	5.200550	-0.014890
AS	0	-0.08414	1.14765	2.77500	0	4.01678	-0.01516

Species	Model Coefficients							
Code	a <sub>0</sub>	b <sub>0</sub>	b <sub>1</sub>	<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	d <sub>o</sub>	d <sub>1</sub>		
CW	0	-0.238295	1.180163	3.044134	0	4.625125	-0.016042	
OS	0	0.22542	1.06011	0.58615	0.64158	6.23850	-0.03064	
ОН	0	-0.238295	1.180163	3.044134	0	4.625125	-0.016042	

#### 4.3.2 Crown Ratio Change

Crown ratio change is estimated after growth, mortality and regeneration are estimated during a projection cycle. Crown ratio change is the difference between the crown ratio at the beginning of the cycle and the predicted crown ratio at the end of the cycle. Crown ratio predicted at the end of the projection cycle is estimated for live tree records using the Weibull distribution, equations  $\{4.3.1.4\}$ - $\{4.3.1.7\}$ , for all species. Crown change is checked to make sure it doesn't exceed the change possible if all height growth produces new crown. Crown change is further bounded to 1% per year for the length of the cycle to avoid drastic changes in crown ratio. Equations  $\{4.3.1.1\}$ - $\{4.3.1.3\}$  are not used when estimating crown ratio change.

## 4.3.3 Crown Ratio for Newly Established Trees

Crown ratios for newly established trees during regeneration are estimated using equation {4.3.3.1}. A random component is added in equation {4.3.3.1} to ensure that not all newly established trees are assigned exactly the same crown ratio.

$$\{4.3.3.1\}$$
 CR =  $0.89722 - 0.0000461 * PCCF + RAN$ 

where:

CR is crown ratio expressed as a proportion (bounded to  $0.2 \le CR \le 0.9$ )

*PCCF* is crown competition factor on the inventory point where the tree is established

*RAN* is a small random component

# 4.4 Crown Width Relationships

The BM variant calculates the maximum crown width for each individual tree, based on individual tree and stand attributes. Crown width for each tree is reported in the tree list output table and used for percent canopy cover (*PCC*) calculations in the model.

Crown width is calculated using equations  $\{4.4.1\} - \{4.4.6\}$ , and coefficients for these equations are shown in table 4.4.1. The minimum diameter and bounds for certain data values are given in table 4.4.2. Equation numbers in Table 4.4.1 are given with the first three digits representing the FIA species code, and the last two digits representing the equation source.

{4.4.1} Bechtold (2004); Equation 01

```
DBH \ge MinD: CW = a_1 + (a_2 * DBH) + (a_3 * DBH^2)

DBH < MinD: CW = [a_1 + (a_2 * MinD) * (a_3 * MinD^2)] * (DBH / MinD)
```

{4.4.2} Crookston (2003); Equation 03 (used only for Mountain Hemlock)

$$HT < 5.0$$
:  $CW = [0.8 * HT * MAX(0.5, CR * 0.01)] * [1 - (HT - 5) * 0.1] * a1 *  $DBH^a_2 * HT^a_3 * CL^a_4 * (HT - 5) * 0.1$$ 

```
5.0 \le HT < 15.0: CW = 0.8 * HT * MAX(0.5, CR * 0.01)

HT \ge 15.0: CW = a_1 * (DBH^a_2) * (HT^a_3) * (CL^a_4)
```

{4.4.3} Crookston (2003); Equation 03 (western larch and grand fir)

$$DBH \ge MinD: CW = [a_1 * \exp[a_2 + (a_3 * \ln(CL)) + (a_4 * \ln(DBH)) + (a_5 * \ln(HT)) + (a_6 * \ln(BA))]]$$

$$DBH < MinD: CW = [a_1 * \exp[a_2 + (a_3 * \ln(CL)) + (a_4 * \ln(MinD)) + (a_5 * \ln(HT)) + (a_6 * \ln(BA))]] * (DBH + (MinD))$$

{4.4.4 Crookston (2005); Equation 04

 $DBH \ge MinD$ :  $CW = a_1 * DBH^a_2$ 

DBH < MinD:  $CW = [a_1 * MinD^a_2] * (DBH / MinD)$ 

{4.4.5} Crookston (2005); Equation 05

 $DBH \ge MinD$ :  $CW = (a_1 * BF) * DBH^a_2 * HT^a_3 * CL^a_4 * (BA + 1.0)^a_5 * exp(EL)^a_6$ DBH < MinD:  $CW = (a_1 * BF) * MinD^a_2 * HT^a_3 * CL^a_4 * (BA + 1.0)^a_5 * exp(EL)^a_6] * (DBH / MinD)$ 

{4.4.6} Donnelly (1996); Equation 06

 $DBH > MinD CW = a_1 * DBH^a_2$ 

 $DBH < MinD CW = [a_1 * MinD^a_2] * (DBH / MinD)$ 

#### where:

BF is a species-specific coefficient based on forest code (BF = 1.0 in the AK variant)

CW is tree maximum crown width

*CL* is tree crown length

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

HT is tree height

BA is total stand basal area

EL is stand elevation in hundreds of feet

MinD is the minimum diameter

 $a_1 - a_6$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.4.1

Table 4.4.1 Coefficients for crown width equations {4.4.1}-{4.4.6} in the BM variant.

Species	Equation						
Code	Number*	$a_1$	$a_2$	a <sub>3</sub>	a <sub>4</sub>	<b>a</b> <sub>5</sub>	<b>a</b> <sub>6</sub>
WP	11905	5.3822	0.57896	-0.19579	0.14875	0	-0.00685
WL	07303	1.02478	0.99889	0.19422	0.59423	-0.09078	-0.02341
DF	20205	6.0227	0.54361	-0.20669	0.20395	-0.00644	-0.00378
GF	01703	1.0303	1.14079	0.20904	0.38787	0	0
MH	26403	6.90396	0.55645	-0.28509	0.20430	0	0
WJ	06405	5.1486	0.73636	-0.46927	0.39114	-0.05429	0
LP	10805	6.6941	0.81980	-0.36992	0.17722	-0.01202	-0.00882
ES	09305	6.7575	0.55048	-0.25204	0.19002	0	-0.00313
AF	01905	5.8827	0.51479	-0.21501	0.17916	0.03277	-0.00828
PP	12205	4.7762	0.74126	-0.28734	0.17137	-0.00602	-0.00209

Species	Equation						
Code	Number*	$a_\mathtt{1}$	a <sub>2</sub>	a <sub>3</sub>	<b>a</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>a</b> <sub>5</sub>	<b>a</b> <sub>6</sub>
WB	10105	2.2354	0.66680	-0.11658	0.16927	0	0
LM	11301	4.0181	0.8528	0	0	0	0
PY	23104	6.1297	0.45424	0	0	0	0
YC	04205	3.3756	0.45445	-0.11523	0.22547	0.08756	-0.00894
AS	74605	4.7961	0.64167	-0.18695	0.18581	0	0
CW	74705	4.4327	0.41505	-0.23264	0.41477	0	0
OS	12205	4.7762	0.74126	-0.28734	0.17137	-0.00602	-0.00209
ОН	31206	7.5183	0.4461	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Equation number is a combination of the species FIA code (###) and equation source (##).

Table 4.4.2  $\it MinD$  values and data bounds for equations  $\{4.4.1\}-\{4.4.6\}$  in the BM variant.

Species	Equation						
Code	Number*	MinD	EL min	EL max	<i>HI</i> min	HI max	CW max
WP	11905	1.0	10	75	n/a	n/a	35
WL	07303	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40
DF	20205	1.0	1	75	n/a	n/a	80
GF	01703	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40
MH	26403	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45
WJ	06405	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	36
LP	10805	1.0	1	79	n/a	n/a	40
ES	09305	1.0	1	85	n/a	n/a	40
AF	01905	1.0	10	85	n/a	n/a	30
PP	12205	1.0	13	75	n/a	n/a	50
WB	10105	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40
LM	11301	5.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25
PY	23104	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30
YC	04205	1.0	16	62	n/a	n/a	59
AS	74605	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45
CW	74705	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	56
OS	12205	1.0	13	75	n/a	n/a	50
ОН	31206	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30

Table 4.4.3 BF values for equation {4.4.5} in the BM variant.

Species	Location Code							
Code	604	607	614	616	619			
WP	1.081	1	1.128	1	1			
WL	0.818	0.879	0.907	0.818	0.818			
DF	1.058	1.055	1.055	1	1			
GF	1	1	1.076	1	1			
МН	1	1	1	1.077	1.077			
WJ	1	1	1	1	1			

Species		Location Code						
Code	604	607	614	616	619			
LP	1.196	1.196	1.244	1.114	1.114			
ES	1.121	1.169	1.137	1.070	1.070			
AF	1.110	1.110	1.110	1	1			
PP	1	1	1.035	1	1			
WB	1	1	1	1	1			
LM	1	1	1	1	1			
PY	1	1	1	1	1			
YC	1	1	1	1	1			
AS	1	1	1	1	1			
CW	1	1	1	1	1			
OS	1	1	1.035	1	1			
ОН	1	1	1	1	1			

# 4.5 Crown Competition Factor

The BM variant uses crown competition factor (CCF) as a predictor variable in some growth relationships. Crown competition factor (Krajicek and others 1961) is a relative measurement of stand density that is based on tree diameters. Individual tree  $CCF_t$  values estimate the percentage of an acre that would be covered by the tree's crown if the tree were open-grown. Stand CCF is the summation of individual tree ( $CCF_t$ ) values. A stand CCF value of 100 theoretically indicates that tree crowns will just touch in an unthinned, evenly spaced stand.

For all species except Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwoods, crown competition factor for an individual tree is calculated using equation {4.5.1}. Coefficients are either from Paine and Hann (1982) or the Inland Empire variant coefficients (Wykoff, et.al 1982).

{4.5.1} All species except Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwoods

 $DBH \ge 1.0$ ":  $CCF_t = R_1 + (R_2 * DBH) + (R_3 * DBH^2)$ 

0.1'' < DBH < 1.0'':  $CCF_t = R_4 * DBH^R_5$ 

 $DBH < 0.1":CCF_t = 0.001$ 

where:

CCFt is crown competition factor for an individual tree

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

 $R_1 - R_5$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.5.1

For Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwoods, equation {4.5.2} is used. All species coefficients are shown in table 4.5.1.

{4.5.2} CCF equation for Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwoods

 $DBH \ge 1.0"$ :  $CCF_t = R_1 + (R_2 * DBH) + (R_3 * DBH^2)$ 

DBH < 1.0":  $CCF_t = (R_1 + R_2 + R_2)^* DBH$ 

where:

*CCF*<sub>t</sub> is crown competition factor for an individual tree

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

 $R_1 - R_5$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.5.1

Table 4.5.1 Coefficients for the CCF equations in the BM variant.

Species		Mo	del Coeffici	ents	
Code	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>4</sub>	$R_5$
WP	0.0186	0.0146	0.00288	0.009884	1.6667
WL	0.0392	0.0180	0.00207	0.007244	1.8182
DF	0.0388	0.0269	0.00466	0.017299	1.5571
GF	0.0690	0.0225	0.00183	0.015248	1.7333
MH	0.03	0.018	0.00281	0.011109	1.7250
WJ	0.01925	0.01676	0.00365	0.009187	1.7600
LP	0.01925	0.01676	0.00365	0.009187	1.7600
ES	0.03	0.0173	0.00259	0.007875	1.7360
AF	0.0172	0.00876	0.00112	0.011402	1.7560
PP	0.0219	0.0169	0.00325	0.007813	1.7780
WB	0.01925	0.01676	0.00365	0.009187	1.7600
LM	0.01925	0.01676	0.00365	0.009187	1.7600
PY	0.0204	0.0246	0.0074	0	0
YC	0.0194	0.0142	0.00261	0	0
AS	0.03	0.0238	0.00490	0.008915	1.7800
CW	0.0204	0.0246	0.0074	0	0
OS	0.0219	0.0169	0.00325	0.007813	1.7780
ОН	0.0204	0.0246	0.0074	0	0

# 4.6 Small Tree Growth Relationships

Trees are considered "small trees" for FVS modeling purposes when they are smaller than some threshold diameter. The threshold diameter is set to 3.0" for all species in the BM variant except western juniper. Western juniper uses the small-tree relationships to predict height and diameter growth for trees of all sizes.

The small tree model is height-growth driven, meaning height growth is estimated first, then diameter growth is estimated from height growth. These relationships are discussed in the following sections.

#### 4.6.1 Small Tree Height Growth

The small-tree height increment model predicts 10-year height growth (HTG) for small trees, based on site index. Potential height growth is estimated using equations  $\{4.6.1.1\} - \{4.6.1.3\}$ , depending on species, and coefficients shown in table 4.6.1.1.

Potential height growth for western white pine is calculated using equation {4.6.1.1}.

$$\{4.6.1.1\} POTHTG = (SI/c_1) * (1.0 - c_2 * exp(c_3 * X_2))^c c_4 - (SI/c_1) * (1.0 - c_2 * exp(c_3 * X_1))^c c_4$$

$$X_1 = ALOG [(1.0 - (c_1/SI * HT)^c(1/c_4)) / c_2] / c_3$$

$$X_2 = X_1 + A$$

Potential height growth for western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, lodgepole pine, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, ponderosa pine, whitebark pine, Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, other softwoods, and other hardwoods is calculated using equation {4.6.1.2}.

$$\{4.6.1.2\}$$
 POTHTG =  $[(c_1 + c_2 * SI) / (c_3 - c_4 * SI)] * A$ 

Potential height growth for mountain hemlock is calculated using equation {4.6.1.3}.

$$\{4.6.1.3\}$$
 POTHTG =  $[(c_1 + c_2 * SI) / (c_3 - c_4 * SI)] * A * 3.280833$ 

Potential height growth for western juniper is calculated using equation {4.6.1.4}.

$$\{4.6.1.4\}$$
 *POTHTG* =  $[(SI/5)*(1.5*SI-HT)]/(SI*1.5)$  (SI bounded  $5.5 \le SI \le 75$ )

Potential height growth for limber pine is calculated using equation {4.6.1.5}.

$$\{4.6.1.5\}$$
 *POTHTG* = *SI* / 5

#### where:

*POTHTG* is potential height growth

SI is species site index

A is tree age
HT is tree height

 $c_1 - c_4$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.6.1.1

Table 4.6.1.1 Coefficients and equation reference by species in the BM variant.

Species	POTHTG		Model Co	efficients	
Code	Equation	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	<b>C</b> 4
WP	{4.6.1.1}	0.375045	0.92503	020796	2.48811
WL	{4.6.1.2}	-3.9725	0.50995	28.1168	0.05661
DF	{4.6.1.2}	2.0	0.420	28.5	0.05
GF	{4.6.1.2}	4.2435	0.1510	19.0184	0.0570
MH	{4.6.1.3}	0.965758	0.082969	55.249612	1.288852
WJ	{4.6.1.4}	0	0	0	0
LP	{4.6.1.2}	0	0.0200805	1.0	0
ES	{4.6.1.2}	0.09211	0.208517	43.358	0.168166
AF	{4.6.1.2}	6.0	0.14	33.882	0.06588
PP	{4.6.1.2}	-1.0	0.32857	28.0	0.042857
WB	{4.6.1.2}	0	0.0321409	1.0	0
LM	{4.6.1.5}	0	0.2	0	0
PY	{4.6.1.2}	1.47043	0.23317	31.56252	0.05586
YC	{4.6.1.2}	1.47043	0.23317	31.56252	0.05586
AS	{4.6.1.10}	0	0	0	0
CW	{4.6.1.2}	1.47043	0.23317	31.56252	0.05586
OS	{4.6.1.2}	-1.0	0.32857	28.0	0.042857
ОН	{4.6.1.2}	1.47043	0.23317	31.56252	0.05586

Potential height growth for all species except quaking aspen is then adjusted based on stand density (PCTRED) and crown ratio (VIGOR) as shown in equations  $\{4.6.1.6\}$  -  $\{4.6.1.8\}$  to determine an estimated height growth as shown in equation  $\{4.6.1.9\}$ .

$$\{4.6.1.6\}$$
 PCTRED =  $1.11436 - 0.011493*Z + 0.43012E-04*Z^2 - 0.72221E-07*Z^3 + 0.5607E-10*Z^4 - 0.1641E-13*Z^5$ 

$$Z = HT_{Avq} * (CCF / 100)$$

{4.6.1.7} Used for all species except quaking aspen and western juniper

$$VIGOR = (150 * CR^3 * exp(-6 * CR)) + 0.3$$

Note, for western juniper the VIGOR adjustment is reduced by two-thirds as shown in equation {4.6.1.8}.

{4.6.1.8} Used for western juniper

$$VIGOR = 1 - ((1 - VIGOR)/3)$$

{4.6.1.9} HTG = POTHTG \* PCTRED \* VIGOR

where:

PCTRED is reduction in height growth due to stand density (bounded to 0.01 < PCTRED < 1)

HT<sub>Ava</sub> is average height of the 40 largest diameter trees in the stand

*CCF* is stand crown competition factor

VIGOR is reduction in height growth due to tree vigor (bounded to VIGOR < 1.0)

CR is a tree's live crown ratio (compacted) expressed as a proportion

HTG is estimated height growth for the cycle

*POTHTG* is potential height growth

Height growth for quaking aspen is obtained from an aspen height-age curve, equation {4.6.1.10} (Shepperd 1995). Because Shepperd's original curve seemed to overestimate height growth, the BM variant reduces the estimated height growth by 25 percent. A height is estimated from the trees' current age, and then its current age plus 10 years. Height growth is the difference between these two height estimates adjusted to account for cycle length and any user defined small-tree height growth adjustments for aspen. This equation estimates height growth in centimeters so FVS also converts the estimate from centimeters to feet. An estimate of the tree's current age is obtained at the start of a projection using the tree's height and solving equation {4.6.1.10} for age.

$$\{4.6.1.10\}$$
 HT =  $(26.9825 * A^1.1752) * (1 + [(SI - SITELO) / (SITEHI - SITELO)]) * 1.8$ 

where:

HT is total tree heightA is total tree age

SI is quaking aspen site index (bounded SITELO + 0.5 < SI)

SITEHI is upper end of the site index range for quaking aspen (66 in the BM variant)

For all species, a small random error is then added to the height growth estimate. The estimated height growth (*HTG*) is then adjusted to account for cycle length, user defined small-tree height growth adjustments, and adjustments due to small tree height model calibration from the input data.

Height growth estimates from the small-tree model are weighted with the height growth estimates from the large tree model over a range of diameters ( $X_{min}$  and  $X_{max}$ ) in order to smooth the transition between the two models. The closer a tree's DBH value is to the minimum diameter ( $X_{min}$ ), the more the growth estimate will be weighted towards the small-tree growth model. The closer a tree's DBH value is to the maximum diameter ( $X_{max}$ ), the more the growth estimate will be weighted towards the large-tree growth model. If a tree's DBH value falls outside of the range given by  $X_{min}$  and  $X_{max}$ , then the model will use only the small-tree or large-tree growth model in the growth estimate. The weight applied to the growth estimate is calculated using equation {4.6.1.11}, and applied as shown in equation {4.6.1.12}. The range of diameters for each species is shown in table 4.6.1.2.

{4.6.1.11}

 $DBH < X_{min}$ : XWT = 0

 $X_{\min} < DBH < X_{\max}$ :  $XWT = (DBH - X_{\min}) / (X_{\max} - X_{\min})$ 

 $DBH \ge X_{max}$ : XWT = 1

 $\{4.6.1.12\}$  Estimated growth = [(1 - XWT) \* STGE] + [XWT \* LTGE]

where:

XWT is the weight applied to the growth estimates

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

 $X_{max}$  is the maximum *DBH* is the diameter range is the minimum *DBH* in the diameter range

STGE is the growth estimate obtained using the small-tree growth model LTGE is the growth estimate obtained using the large-tree growth model

Table 4.6.1.2 Diameter bounds by species in the BM variant.

Species		
Code	$\mathbf{X}_{min}$	X <sub>max</sub>
WP	2.0	3.0
WL	1.0	2.0
DF	2.0	4.0
GF	2.0	4.0
MH	1.0	2.0
WJ	90.0	99.0
LP	2.0	4.0
ES	2.0	4.0
AF	2.0	4.0
PP	1.0	5.0
WB	1.5	3.0
LM	2.0	4.0
PY	2.0	4.0
YC	2.0	4.0
AS	2.0	4.0
CW	2.0	4.0

Species Code	X <sub>min</sub>	X <sub>max</sub>
OS	1.0	5.0
ОН	2.0	4.0

#### 4.6.2 Small Tree Diameter Growth

As stated previously, for trees being projected with the small tree equations, height growth is predicted first, and then diameter growth. So both height at the beginning of the cycle and height at the end of the cycle are known when predicting diameter growth. Small tree diameter growth for trees over 4.5 feet tall is calculated as the difference of predicted diameter at the start of the projection period and the predicted diameter at the end of the projection period, adjusted for bark ratio. These two predicted diameters are estimated using the species-specific height-diameter relationships. By definition, diameter growth is zero for trees less than 4.5 feet tall.

For western white pine, western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, mountain hemlock, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, limber pine, and quaking aspen, diameters are predicted using the height-diameter equations discussed in section 4.1; equation {4.6.2.1} is used for lodgepole pine; equation {4.6.2.2} is used for ponderosa pine and other softwoods; equation {4.6.2.3} is used for western juniper; equation {4.6.2.4} is used for whitebark pine; equation {4.6.2.5} is used for Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwoods with coefficients shown in table 4.6.2.1.

$$\{4.6.2.1\} \ DBH = [-9.8752 \ / \ (\ln(HT - 4.5) - 4.8656)] - 1.0$$
 
$$\{4.6.2.2\} \ DBH = (HT - 4.17085) \ / \ 3.03659$$
 
$$\{4.6.2.3\} \ DBH = [(HT - 4.5) * 10] \ / \ (SI - 4.5)$$
 
$$\{4.6.2.4\} \ DBH = 0.3 + 0.000231 * (HT - 4.5) * CR - 0.00005 * (HT - 4.5) * PCCF + 0.001711 * CR + 0.17023 * (HT - 4.5)$$
 
$$\{4.6.2.5\} \ DBH = c_1 + c_2 * CR \ / \ 10 + c_3 * \ln(HT) + c_4 * HT + c_5 * MGD$$

where:

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

HT is tree height

SI is the species-specific site index

CR is the tree's live crown ratio (compacted) expressed as a percent

PCCF is crown competition factor on the inventory point where the tree is established

(bounded 25 < *PCCF* < 300)

MGD is 1 if the stand is a managed stand; 0 otherwise

 $c_1 - c_5$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.6.2.1

Table 4.6.2.1 Coefficients by species for equation {4.6.2.5} in the BM variant.

Species		el Coefficients					
Code	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	<b>C</b> 5		
PY	-2.089	0	1.980	0	0		
YC	-0.532	0	1.531	0	0		
CW	3.102	0	0	0.021	0		
ОН	3.102	0	0	0.021	0		

# 4.7 Large Tree Growth Relationships

Trees are considered "large trees" for FVS modeling purposes when they are equal to, or larger than, some threshold diameter. This threshold diameter is set to 3.0" for all species, except western juniper, in the BM variant. Western juniper uses the small-tree relationships to predict height and diameter growth for trees of all sizes.

The large-tree model is driven by diameter growth meaning diameter growth is estimated first, and then height growth is estimated from diameter growth and other variables. These relationships are discussed in the following sections.

#### 4.7.1 Large Tree Diameter Growth

The large tree diameter growth model used in most FVS variants is described in section 7.2.1 in Dixon (2002). For most variants, instead of predicting diameter increment directly, the natural log of the periodic change in squared inside-bark diameter ( $\ln(DDS)$ ) is predicted (Dixon 2002; Wykoff 1990; Stage 1973; and Cole and Stage 1972). For variants predicting diameter increment directly, diameter increment is converted to the DDS scale to keep the FVS system consistent across all variants.

The BM variant uses different equation forms to predict large-tree diameter growth based on species. Equation  $\{4.7.1.1\}$  is used to predict diameter growth for all trees. Coefficients for this equation are shown in tables 4.7.1.1 - 4.7.1.2.

Equation {4.7.1.2} predicts diameter growth in large trees with a *DBH* value less than 10.0" for western white pine, western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, mountain hemlock, lodgepole pine, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, ponderosa pine and other softwoods. Coefficients for this equation are given in tables 4.7.1.3 – 4.7.1.7. For these 10 species, results from equation {4.7.1.2} are weighted with results from equation {4.7.1.1} over the diameter range 3.0" to 10" using equation {4.7.1.3}. However, equation {4.7.1.2} yields a 5-year estimate which must be expanded to a 10-year basis before the weighting using equation {4.7.1.3}. Expansion, which is not shown here, is in terms of squared diameter in real scale and then converted back to a natural logarithm scale for weighting.

$$\{4.7.1.1\} \ln(DDSL) = b_1 + (b_2 * EL) + (b_3 * EL^2) + (b_4 * \ln(TSI)) + (b_5 * \sin(ASP)) + (b_6 * \cos(ASP)) + (b_7 * SL) \\ + (b_8 * SL^2) + (b_9 * \ln(DBH)) + (b_{10} * \ln(BA)) + (b_{11} * CR) + (b_{12} * CR^2) + (b_{13} * DBH^2) + (b_{14} * BAL / (\ln(DBH + 1.0))) + (b_{15} * PCCF) + (b_{16} * BAL) + (b_{17} * BA) + (b_{18} * MAI * CCF) + (b_{19} * CCF) + (b_{20} * TSI)$$

Table 4.7.1.1 Coefficients ( $b_2$ -  $b_{20}$ ) for equation 4.7.1.1 in the BM variant.

	Species Code									
Coefficient	WP	WL	DF	GF	МН	LP	ES	AF	PP	
$b_2$	0.00279	0	0.00371	-0.00633	0.08520	-0.06908	0	-0.01423	-0.05796	
b <sub>3</sub>	-0.00001	0	0	0	-0.00094	0.00062	0	0	0.00060	
b <sub>4</sub>	0	0.47469	0.76217	0.58666	0	0.34450	0.34406	0.51754	0.73067	
<b>b</b> <sub>5</sub>	-0.19278	0	-0.11862	-0.19627	0.13360	0.09760	0.35781	-0.27729	-0.12480	
b <sub>6</sub>	0.12915	0	-0.15167	-0.16504	0.17940	-0.37870	-0.11989	-0.44759	-0.02280	
b <sub>7</sub>	0.77922	0	-0.28123	-0.67496	0.07630	0.03990	0	0.35402	-0.16402	
b <sub>8</sub>	-0.93813	0	0	0.76704	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>b</b> <sub>9</sub>	0.77889	0.41802	0.57990	1.01031	0.89780	0.70429	1.12805	0.83642	0.44675	

				S	pecies Co	ode			
Coefficient	WP	WL	DF	GF	МН	LP	ES	AF	PP
b <sub>10</sub>	0	0	-0.06574	-0.15658	0	-0.17037	0	-0.18969	-0.10675
b <sub>11</sub>	3.36606	2.15440	2.13121	2.56530	1.28400	3.00236	3.22770	1.60755	1.70901
b <sub>12</sub>	-1.80146	-1.03088	-0.40173	-0.91846	0	-1.24947	-1.13951	0	0
b <sub>13</sub>	-0.00009	0	-0.00038	-0.00054	-0.00048	0	-0.00029	0.00009	-0.00021
b <sub>14</sub>	-0.00897	-0.00801	-0.00886	-0.00557	-0.00661	-0.00251	-0.00156	-0.00091	-0.01184
<b>b</b> <sub>15</sub>	0	0	-0.00034	0	-0.00107	-0.00032	-0.00014	-0.00038	-0.00057
b <sub>16</sub>	0.00121	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b <sub>17</sub>	0	-0.00070	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b <sub>18</sub>	-1.00E-07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b <sub>19</sub>	-1.60E-06	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b <sub>20</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4.7.1.1 (Continued) Coefficients ( $b_2$ -  $b_{20}$ ) for equation 4.7.1.1 in the BM variant.

			S	pecies Cod	е		
Coefficient	WB	LM	PY	YC	cw	os	ОН
b <sub>2</sub>	0	0	0	0	-0.075986	-0.05796	-0.075986
b <sub>3</sub>	0	0	0	0	0.001193	0.00060	0.001193
b <sub>4</sub>	0	0	0.252853	0.244694	0.227307	0.73067	0.227307
<b>b</b> <sub>5</sub>	-0.01752	-0.01752	0	0.679903	-0.86398	-0.12480	-0.86398
$b_6$	-0.609774	-0.609774	0	-0.023186	0.085958	-0.02280	0.085958
<b>b</b> <sub>7</sub>	-2.057060	-2.057060	0	0	0	-0.16402	0
b <sub>8</sub>	2.11326	2.11326	0	0	0	0	0
<b>b</b> <sub>9</sub>	0.213947	0.213947	0.879338	0.816880	0.889596	0.44675	0.889596
b <sub>10</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	-0.10675	0
b <sub>11</sub>	1.523464	1.523464	1.970052	2.471226	1.732535	1.70901	1.732535
b <sub>12</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b <sub>13</sub>	-0.000654	-0.000654	-0.000132	-0.000254	0	-0.00021	0
b <sub>14</sub>	0	0	-0.004215	-0.005950	-0.001265	-0.01184	-0.001265
b <sub>15</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	-0.00057	0
b <sub>16</sub>	-0.358634	-0.358634	0	0	0	0	0
b <sub>17</sub>	0	0	-0.000173	-0.000147	-0.000981	0	-0.000981
b <sub>18</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b <sub>19</sub>	-0.001996	-0.001996	0	0	0	0	0
b <sub>20</sub>	0.001766	0.001766	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4.7.1.2  $b_1$  values by location code for equation  $\{4.7.1.1\}$  in the BM variant.

Forest	Species Code								
Code	WP	WL	DF	GF	МН	LP	ES	AF	PP
604, 614	-0.23185	-0.56061	-1.69223	-1.16884	-1.6803	1.59448	-2.38952	-0.48027	0.05217
607	-0.23185	-0.56061	-1.69223	-1.16884	-1.6803	1.59448	-2.38952	-0.48027	-0.04456
616, 619	-0.23185	-0.56061	-1.78978	-1.16884	-1.6803	1.49879	-2.38952	-0.48027	0.11197

Table 4.7.1.2 (Continued)  $b_1$  values by location code for equation  $\{4.7.1.1\}$  in the BM variant.

Forest	Species Code									
Code	WB	LM	PY	YC	cw	os	ОН			
604, 614	1.91188	1.91188	-1.31007	-1.17804	-0.10765	0.05217	-0.10765			
607	1.91188	1.91188	-1.31007	-1.17804	-0.10765	-0.04456	-0.10765			
616, 619	1.91188	1.91188	-1.31007	-1.17804	-0.10765	0.11197	-0.10765			

Table 4.7.1.3 Classification of species 1-5, 7-10, and 18 (Location Class) for the diameter increment model, equation {4.7.1.2}, in the BM variant; equation {4.7.1.2} does not pertain to species 6 or 11-16.

	Species Code									
WP	WP WL DF GF MH LP ES AF PP OS									
1	1 1 2 3 4 4 3 3 5 5									

Table 4.7.1.4 Coefficients ( $b_2$ -  $b_{13}$ ) for equation 4.7.1.2 in the BM variant.

			<b>Location Class</b>		
Coefficient	1	2	3	4	5
b <sub>2</sub>	0	-0.00823	-0.09472	0.00912	-0.07547
b <sub>3</sub>	0	0	0.00092	0	0.00087
<b>b</b> <sub>4</sub>	0.12754	0.05022	-0.11202	0.35696	-0.13976
<b>b</b> <sub>5</sub>	-0.06358	-0.11174	-0.18548	-0.46361	-0.08695
b <sub>6</sub>	-0.41366	-0.36252	-0.16110	0.45733	-0.24248
b <sub>7</sub>	1.20856	1.12948	1.52803	1.00488	1.04225
b <sub>8</sub>	-0.24782	-0.15369	-0.13405	-0.24135	-0.24965
<b>b</b> <sub>9</sub>	1.73596	1.54957	0.66664	2.47118	2.31970
b <sub>10</sub>	0	0	1.20070	-0.99894	-0.43073
b <sub>11</sub>	-0.000571	-0.000023	-0.000951	-0.000643	-0.000157
b <sub>12</sub>	-0.00066	-0.00223	-0.00199	-0.00358	-0.00105
b <sub>13</sub>	0	-0.00003	-0.00167	0	0

Table 4.7.1.5  $b_1$  values by location class for equation  $\{4.7.1.2\}$  in the BM variant.

	Location Class								
Forest Code	1	2	3	4	5				
604	-0.00991	0.12927	1.31341	-0.21988	1.61313				
607	-0.00991	0.12927	1.53206	-0.21988	1.75654				
614	0.24298	0.42841	1.78409	0.22239	1.90894				
616, 619	-0.00991	0.31221	1.73754	-0.47388	1.75744				

Table 4.7.1.6 *HAB* values by habitat class and location class for equation {4.7.1.2} in the BM variant.

	Location Class							
Habitat Class	1	2	3	4	5			
0	0	0	0	0	0			
1	-0.131277	-0.336855	-0.137259	0.119324	0.482619			
2	-0.328134	-1.004248	0.282528	0.425094	0.173487			
3	0	-0.195972	0	0	-0.087731			
4	0	-0.092403	0	0	0			

Table 4.7.1.7 Classification of habitat class by plant association code and location class in the BM variant.

PA	<b>Location Class</b>						
Code	1	2	3	4	5		
1	0	1	0	0	0		
2	0	1	0	0	0		
3	0	3	0	2	0		
4	0	3	0	2	0		
5	0	3	0	2	0		
6	0	3	0	2	0		
7	0	3	0	2	0		
8	0	3	0	2	0		
9	0	3	0	2	0		
10	0	3	0	2	0		
11	0	4	0	0	0		
12	0	4	0	0	0		
13	0	3	0	2	0		
14	0	0	0	0	0		
15	0	0	0	0	0		
16	0	0	0	0	0		
17	0	0	0	0	0		
18	0	0	0	0	0		
19	0	0	0	0	0		
20	0	0	0	0	0		
21	0	1	0	0	0		
22	0	1	0	0	0		
23	0	0	0	0	0		
24	0	1	0	0	0		
25	0	1	0	0	0		
26	0	0	0	0	0		
27	0	1	0	0	0		
28	2	2	0	0	0		

PA	Location Class						
Code	1	2	3	4	5		
47	0	0	0	0	0		
48	0	0	0	0	0		
49	0	3	0	0	3		
50	0	3	0	0	3		
51	0	3	0	0	3		
52	0	3	0	0	3		
53	0	3	0	2	3		
54	0	3	0	2	3		
55	0	3	0	0	3		
56	0	3	0	0	3		
57	0	0	0	0	0		
58	0	0	0	0	0		
59	0	3	0	0	3		
60	0	0	0	0	0		
61	0	3	0	0	3		
62	2	2	0	0	0		
63	0	0	0	0	0		
64	0	0	0	0	0		
65	0	0	0	0	0		
66	0	0	0	0	0		
67	0	0	0	0	0		
68	0	0	0	0	1		
69	0	0	0	0	1		
70	1	0	0	1	2		
71	1	0	0	1	2		
72	0	0	0	0	1		
73	0	0	0	0	1		
74	0	0	0	0	1		

PA	Location Class								
Code	1	2	3	4	5				
29	0	0	0	0	0				
30	2	2	0	0	0				
31	0	3	0	2	3				
32	0	3	0	2	3				
33	0	3	0	2	3				
34	0	3	0	2	3				
35	0	3	0	2	3				
36	0	3	0	2	3				
37	0	3	0	2	3				
38	0	3	0	2	3				
39	0	3	0	2	3				
40	0	3	0	2	3				
41	0	3	0	2	3				
42	0	3	0	2	3				
43	0	3	0	2	3				
44	0	3	0	2	3				
45	0	3	0	2	3				
46	0	3	0	2	3				
*^ 0 1/2	*A O value means that no habitat cod								

PA	Location Class						
Code	1	2	3	4	5		
75	0	0	0	0	1		
76	0	0	0	0	1		
77	1	0	0	1	0		
78	1	0	0	1	0		
79	1	0	0	1	0		
80	1	0	0	1	0		
81	1	0	0	1	2		
82	1	0	0	0	1		
83	1	0	0	1	0		
84	1	0	0	1	0		
85	1	0	0	1	2		
86	1	0	2	0	0		
87	1	3	1	0	0		
88	1	3	1	0	0		
89	1	0	2	0	0		
90	0	3	0	2	3		
91	0	3	0	2	3		
92	0	3	0	2	3		

<sup>\*</sup>A 0 value means that no habitat code is used.

Large-tree diameter growth for quaking aspen is predicted using the aspen equation from the UT variant identified in equation set {4.7.1.4}. Diameter growth is predicted from a potential diameter growth equation that is modified by stand density, average tree size and site. While not shown here, this diameter growth estimate is eventually converted to the *DDS* scale.

#### {4.7.1.4} Quaking aspen

```
POTGR = (0.4755 - 0.0000038336 * DBH^ 4.1488) + (0.0451 * CR * DBH ^ .67266)
MOD = 1.0 - \exp(-FOFR * GOFAD * ((310-BA)/310)^0.5)
FOFR = 1.07528 * (1.0 - \exp(-1.89022 * DBH / QMD))
GOFAD = 0.21963 * (QMD + 1.0) ^0.73355
PREDGR = POTGR * MOD * (.48630 + 0.01258 * SI)
```

#### where:

POTGR is potential diameter growth
DBH is tree diameter at breast height

CR is crown ratio expressed as a percent divided by 10MOD is a modifier based on tree diameter and stand density

FOFR is the relative density modifier
GOFAD is the average diameter modifier

BA is total stand basal area

QMD is stand quadratic mean diameter PREDGR is predicted diameter growth

SI is species site index

#### 4.7.2 Large Tree Height Growth

Height growth equations in the BM variant for all species except western juniper, whitebark pine, limber pine, and quaking aspen are based on the site index curves shown in section 3.4. Equations for whitebark pine, limber pine, and quaking aspen are shown later in this section. Height growth for western juniper of all sizes is estimated as described in section 4.6.1 for all sizes of trees.

Using a species site index and tree height at the beginning of the projection cycle, an estimated tree age is computed using the site index curves. Also, a maximum species height is computed using equation {4.7.2.1}. If tree height at the beginning of the projection cycle is greater than the maximum species height, then height growth is computed using equation {4.7.2.2}.

 $\{4.7.2.1\}$  HTMAX =  $a_0 + a_1 * SI$ 

 $\{4.7.2.2\}$  HTG =  $b_0 + b_1 * RELSI$ 

RELSI = (SIB - SITELO) / (SITEHI - SITELO)

where:

HTMAX is maximum expected tree height in feet for the species in this variant (for mountain

hemlock HTMAX is multiplied by 3.281 to convert maximum height from meters to feet)

HTG is estimated 10-year tree height growth (bounded 0.1 < HTG)

SI is species site index

SIB is species site index [bounded (SITELO + 0.5) < SIB < SITEHI]

RELSI is relative site index

is minimum expected site index for this species in this variant shown in table 4.7.2.1 is maximum expected site index for this species in this variant shown in table 4.7.2.1

 $a_0 - a_1$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.7.2.1  $b_0 - b_1$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.7.2.1

Table 4.7.2.3 SITELO, SITEHI, Maximum Age, and coefficients a<sub>0</sub>, a<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>0</sub>, b<sub>1</sub> in the BM variant.

Species			Max				
Code	SITELO	SITEHI	Age	a <sub>0</sub>	a <sub>1</sub>	$b_0$	<b>b</b> <sub>1</sub>
WP	20	80	200	2.3	2.39	1.5	0.003
WL	50	110	110	12.86	1.32	2.0	0.0026
DF	50	110	180	-2.86	1.54	0.4	0.0080
GF	50	110	130	21.29	1.24	2.1	0
MH	15	30	250	52.27	1.14	0	0
WJ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LP	30	70	140	2.3	1.75	1.5	0
ES	40	120	150	20.0	1.1	1.5	0
AF	50	150	150	45.27	1.24	1.5	0
PP	70	140	200	-5.0	1.3	1.3	0.002

Species			Max				
Code	SITELO	SITEHI	Age	a <sub>0</sub>	$a_1$	$b_0$	b <sub>1</sub>
WB	20	65	400	85.0	0	0	0
LM	20	50	400	85.0	0	0	0
PY	5	75	200	50.0	0	0	0
YC	50	110	200	100.0	0	0	0
AS	30	66	100	75.0	0	0	0
CW	10	191	100	125.0	0	0	0
OS	70	140	200	-5.0	1.3	1.3	0.002
ОН	5	125	100	100.0	0	0	0

If tree height at the beginning of the projection cycle is less than the maximum species height, then for western white pine, western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, mountain hemlock, lodgepole pine, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, ponderosa pine, Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, other softwoods, and other hardwoods, height increment is obtained by subtracting estimated current height from estimated future height, then adjusting the difference according to tree's crown ratio and height relative to other trees in the stand. Estimated current height (ECH) and estimated future height (H10) are both obtained using the equations shown below. Estimated current height is obtained using estimated tree age at the start of the projection cycle and site index. Estimated future height is obtained using estimated tree age at the start of the projection cycle plus 10-years and site index.

#### {4.7.2.3} Used for white pine

$$H = SI / [c_0 * (1.0 - c_1 * (exp(c_2 * A)))^c_3]$$

{4.7.2.4} Used for western larch

$$H = 4.5 + (c_1 * A) + (c_2 * A^2) + (c_3 * A^3) + (c_4 * A^4) + (SI - 4.5) * [c_5 + (c_6 * A) + (c_7 * A^2) + (c_8 * A^3)] - c_9 * [c_{10} + (c_{11} * A) + (c_{12} * A^2) + (c_{13} * A^3)]$$

{4.7.2.5} Used for Douglas-fir and other species

$$H = 4.5 + \exp[c_1 + (c_2 * \ln(A)) + (c_3 * \ln(A))^4] + c_4 * [c_5 + (c_6 * (1 - \exp(c_7 * A))^c_8)] + (SI - 4.5) * [c_5 + c_6 * (1 - \exp(c_7 * A)^c_8)]$$

{4.7.2.6} Used for grand fir

$$H = \exp[c_0 + c_1^* \ln(A) + c_2^* (\ln(A))^4 + c_3^* (\ln(A))^9 + c_4^* (\ln(A))^11 + c_5^* (\ln(A))^18] + c_{12}^* \exp[c_6 + c_7^* \ln(A) + c_8^* (\ln(A))^2 + c_9^* (\ln(A))^7 + c_{10}^* (\ln(A))^16 + c_{11}^* (\ln(A))^24] + (SI - 4.5)^* \exp[c_6 + c_7^* \ln(A) + c_8^* (\ln(A))^2 + c_9^* (\ln(A))^7 + c_{10}^* (\ln(A))^16 + c_{11}^* (\ln(A))^24] + 4.5$$

{4.7.2.7} Used for mountain hemlock

$$H = [(c_0 + (c_1 * SI)) * (1 - \exp(c_2 * SQRT(SI) * A))^c_4 + (c_5 / SI)) + 1.37] * 3.281$$

{4.7.2.8} Used for lodgepole pine

$$H = SI * [c_0 + (c_1 * A) + (c_2 * A^2)]$$

{4.7.2.9} Used for Engelmann spruce

$$H = 4.5 + [(c_0 * SI^{\circ}c_1) * (1 - exp(-c_2 * A)) ^ (c_3 * SI^{\circ}c_4)]$$

{4.7.2.10} Used for subalpine fir

$$H = SI * [c_0 + (c_1 * A) + (c_2 * A^2)]$$

{4.7.2.11} Used for ponderosa pine and other softwoods

$$H = [c_0 * (1 - \exp(c_1 * A))^c_2] - [(c_3 + c_4 * (1 - \exp(c_5 * A))^c_6) * c_7] + [(c_3 + c_4 * (1 - \exp(c_5 * A))^c_6) * (SI - 4.5)] + 4.5$$

{4.7.2.12} Used for Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood and other hardwoods

$$H = (SI - 4.5) / (c_0 + [c_1 / (SI - 4.5)] + [c_2 * (A)^-1.4] + [(c_3 / (SI - 4.5)) * (A)^-1.4) + 4.5$$

where:

*H* is estimated height of the tree

*SI* is species site index

A is estimated age of the tree

 $c_0 - c_{13}$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.7.2.2

Table 4.7.2.2 Coefficients ( $c_0$ - $c_{13}$ ) for height-growth equations in the BM variant.

			Species Code		
Coefficient	WP	WL	DF	GF	МН
C <sub>0</sub>	0.37504453	0	0	-0.30935	22.8741
C <sub>1</sub>	0.92503	1.46897	-0.37496	1.2383	0.950234
C <sub>2</sub>	-0.0207959	0.0092466	1.36164	0.001762	-0.00206465
<b>C</b> <sub>3</sub>	-2.4881068	-0.00023957	-0.00243434	-0.0000054	0
C <sub>4</sub>	0	1.1122E-06	-79.97	2.046E-07	1.365566
<b>C</b> 5	0	-0.12528	-0.2828	-4.04E-13	2.045963
<b>C</b> 6	0	0.039636	1.87947	-6.2056	0
<b>C</b> <sub>7</sub>	0	-0.0004278	-0.022399	2.097	0
C <sub>8</sub>	0	1.7039E-06	0.966998	-0.09411	0
<b>C</b> 9	0	73.57	0	-0.00004382	0
C <sub>10</sub>	0	-0.12528	0	2.007E-11	0
C <sub>11</sub>	0	0.039636	0	-2.054E-17	0
C <sub>12</sub>	0	-0.0004278	0	-84.93	0
C <sub>13</sub>	0	1.7039E-06	0	0	0
			<b>Species Code</b>		
					PY, YC, CW,
Coefficient	LP	ES	AF	PP, OS	ОН
<b>C</b> <sub>0</sub>	-0.0968	2.75780	-0.07831	128.8952205	0.6192
<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	0.02679	0.83312	0.0149	-0.016959	-5.3394
C <sub>2</sub>	-0.00009309	0.015701	-0.000040818	1.23114	240.29
C <sub>3</sub>	0	22.71944	0	-0.7864	3368.9
<b>C</b> 4	0	-0.63557	0	2.49717	0
<b>C</b> <sub>5</sub>	0	0	0	-0.0045042	0
<b>C</b> <sub>6</sub>	0	0	0	0.33022	0
<b>C</b> <sub>7</sub>	0	0	0	100.43	0
C <sub>8</sub>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>C</b> 9	0	0	0	0	0
C <sub>10</sub>	0	0	0	0	0
C <sub>11</sub>	0	0	0	0	0
C <sub>12</sub>	0	0	0	0	0
C <sub>13</sub>	0	0	0	0	0

Potential 10-year height growth (*POTHTG*) is calculated by using equation {4.7.2.13}. Then, modifiers are applied to the height growth based upon a tree's crown ratio (using equation {4.7.2.14}), and relative height and shade tolerance (using equation {4.7.2.15}). Equation {4.7.2.16} uses the Generalized Chapman – Richard's function (Donnelly et. al, 1992) to calculate a height-growth modifier. Final height growth is calculated using equation {4.7.2.17} as a product of the modifier and potential height growth. The final height growth is then adjusted to the length of the cycle.

$$\{4.7.2.13\}$$
 POTHTG = H10 - ECH

$$\{4.7.2.14\}$$
 HGMDCR =  $(100 * (CR / 100)^3) * \exp(-5 * (CR / 100))$  bounded HGMDCR  $\leq 1.0$ 

$$\{4.7.2.15\}$$
 HGMDRH =  $[1 + ((1 / d_1)^d_2 - 1) - 1) * exp((-1 * (d_3 / (1 - d_4)) * RELHT^1 (1 - d_4))^{-1} / (d_2 - 1))$ 

$$\{4.7.2.16\}$$
 HTGMOD =  $\{0.25 * HGMDCR\} + \{0.75 * HGMDRH\}$  bounded  $0.1 \le HTGMOD \le 2.0$ 

#### where:

POTHTG is potential height growth

H10 is estimated height of the tree in ten years

*ECH* is estimated height of the tree at the beginning of the cycle

HGMDCR is a height growth modifier based on crown ratio

HGMDRH is a height growth modifier based on relative height and shade tolerance

HTGMOD is a weighted height growth modifier CR is crown ratio expressed as a percent

RELHT is tree height divided by average height of the 40 largest diameter trees in the stand;

bounded *RELHT* ≤ 1.5

 $d_1 - d_4$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.7.2.3

Table 4.7.2.3 Coefficients  $(d_1 - d_4)$  for equation 4.7.2.15 in the BM variant.

	Species Code									
Coefficient	WP	WL	DF	GF	МН	LP	ES	AF	PP,OS	PY
d <sub>1</sub>	0.10	0.01	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.01	0.15	0.15	0.05	0.20
$d_2$	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10
d <sub>3</sub>	15.0	12.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	12.0	16.0	16.0	13.0	20.0
$d_4$	-1.45	-1.60	-1.45	-1.10	-1.10	-1.60	-1.20	-1.20	-1.60	-1.10

	Species Code		
Coefficient	YC	CW	ОН
d <sub>1</sub>	0.15	0.01	0.10
$d_2$	1.10	1.10	1.10
d₃	16.0	12.0	15.0
d <sub>4</sub>	-1.20	-1.60	-1.45

Whitebark pine, limber pine, and quaking aspen use Johnson's SBB (1949) method (Schreuder and Hafley, 1977) for predicting height growth. Height increment is obtained by subtracting current height from the estimated future height. If tree diameter is greater than  $(C_1 + 0.1)$ , or tree height is greater than  $(C_2 + 4.5)$ , where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are shown in table 4.7.2.4, parameters of the SBB distribution cannot be calculated and height growth is set to 0.1. Otherwise, the SBB distribution "Z" parameter is estimated using equation  $\{4.7.2.18\}$ .

$$\{4.7.2.18\} Z = [C_4 + C_6 * FBY2 - C_7 * (C_3 + C_5 * FBY1)] * (1 - C_7^2)^-0.5$$
  
 $FBY1 = In[Y1/(1 - Y1)]$ 

```
FBY2 = ln[Y2/(1 - Y2)]
Y1 = (DBH - 0.095) / C1
Y2 = (HT - 4.5) / C2
```

where:

HT is tree height at the beginning of the cycle

DBH is tree diameter at breast height at the beginning of the cycle

 $C_1 - C_7$  are coefficients based on species and crown ratio class shown in table 4.7.2.4

Equation {4.7.2.19} is used to eliminate known bias in this methodology.

$$\{4.7.2.19\}$$
 Z = Z +  $\{0.1 - 0.10273 * Z + 0.00273 * Z^2\}$  if Z < 0; set Z = 0

If the Z value is 2.0 or less, it is adjusted for all younger aged trees using equation {4.7.2.20}. This adjustment is done for trees with an estimated age between 11 and 39 years and a diameter less than 9.0 inches. After this calculation, the value of Z is bounded to be 2.0 or less for trees meeting these criteria.

```
{4.7.2.20} Z = Z * (0.3564 * DG) * CLOSUR * K

if CCF > 100: CLOSUR = PCT / 100

if CCF < 100: CLOSUR = 1

if CR > 75 %: K = 1.1

if CR < 75 %: K = 1.0
```

where:

DG is diameter growth for the cycle

PCT is the subject tree's percentile in the basal area distribution of the stand

*CCF* is stand crown competition factor

Estimated height 10 years later is calculated using equation {4.7.2.21}, and finally, 10-year height growth is calculated by subtraction using equation {4.7.2.22} and adjusted to the cycle length.

```
\{4.7.2.21\} H10 = [(PSI / (1 + PSI)) * C_2] + 4.5

PSI = C_8 * [(D10 - 0.1) / (0.1 + C_1 - D10)]^C_9 * [e(K)]

K = Z * [(1 - C_7^2)^0.5 / C_6]

\{4.7.2.22\} Height 10 years later

H10 > HT: POTHTG = H10 - HT

H10 \le HT: POTHTG = 0.1
```

where:

H10 is estimated height of the tree in ten yearsHT is tree height at the beginning of the cycle

D10 is estimated diameter at breast height of the tree in ten years

*POTHTG* is potential height growth

 $C_1 - C_9$  are coefficients based on species and crown ratio class shown in table 4.7.2.4

Table 4.7.2.4 Coefficients in the large tree height growth model, by crown ratio, for species using the Johnson's SBB height distribution in the BM variant.

Coefficient*	WB, LM	AS
C <sub>1</sub> (CR < 24)	37.0	30.0
C <sub>1</sub> (25 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 74)	45.0	30.0
C <sub>1</sub> (75 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 100)	45.0	35.0
C <sub>2</sub> (CR < 24)	85.0	85.0
C <sub>2</sub> (25 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 74)	100.0	85.0
C <sub>2</sub> (75 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 100)	90.0	85.0
C <sub>3</sub> ( <i>CR</i> <u>&lt;</u> 24)	1.77836	2.00995
C <sub>3</sub> (25 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 74)	1.66674	2.00995
C <sub>3</sub> (75 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 100)	1.64770	1.80388
C <sub>4</sub> ( <i>CR</i> <u>&lt;</u> 24)	-0.51147	0.03288
C <sub>4</sub> (25 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 74)	0.25626	0.03288
C <sub>4</sub> (75 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 100)	0.30546	-0.07682
C <sub>5</sub> ( <i>CR</i> < 24)	1.88795	1.81059
C <sub>5</sub> (25 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 74)	1.45477	1.81059
C <sub>5</sub> (75 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 100)	1.35015	1.70032
C <sub>6</sub> ( <i>CR</i> <u>&lt;</u> 24)	1.20654	1.28612
C <sub>6</sub> (25 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 74)	1.11251	1.28612
C <sub>6</sub> (75 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 100)	0.94823	1.29148
C <sub>7</sub> (CR < 24)	0.57697	0.72051
C <sub>7</sub> (25 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 74)	0.67375	0.72051
C <sub>7</sub> (75 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 100)	0.70453	0.72343
C <sub>8</sub> (CR < 24)	3.57635	3.00551
C <sub>8</sub> (25 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 74)	2.17942	3.00551
C <sub>8</sub> (75 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 100)	2.46480	2.91519
C <sub>9</sub> (CR < 24)	0.90283	1.01433
C <sub>9</sub> (25 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 74)	0.88103	1.01433
C <sub>9</sub> (75 <u>&lt;</u> CR <u>&lt;</u> 100)	1.00316	0.95244

<sup>\*</sup>CR represents percent crown ratio

For quaking aspen, if estimated tree age at the beginning of the projection cycle is greater than the expected maximum age for quaking aspen then height increment is set to 0.1 foot.

### 5.0 Mortality Model

The BM variant uses an SDI-based mortality model as described in Section 7.3.2 of Essential FVS: A User's Guide to the Forest Vegetation Simulator (Dixon 2002, referred to as EFVS). This SDI-based mortality model is comprised of two steps: 1) determining the amount of stand mortality (section 7.3.2.1 of EFVS) and 2) dispersing stand mortality to individual tree records (section 7.3.2.2 of EFVS). In determining the amount of stand mortality, the summation of individual tree background mortality rates is used when stand density is below the minimum level for density dependent mortality (default is 55% of maximum SDI), while stand level density-related mortality rates are used when stands are above this minimum level.

The equation used to calculate individual tree background mortality rates for all species is shown in equation {5.0.1}, and this is then adjusted to the length of the cycle by using a compound interest formula as shown in equation {5.0.2}. Coefficients for these equations are shown in table 5.0.1. The overall amount of mortality calculated for the stand is the summation of the final mortality rate (*RIP*) across all live tree records.

$$\{5.0.1\}$$
 RI =  $[1/(1 + \exp(p_0 + p_1 * DBH))] * 0.5$ 

$$\{5.0.2\}$$
 RIP =  $1 - (1 - RI)^Y$ 

where:

RI is the proportion of the tree record attributed to mortality
RIP is the final mortality rate adjusted to the length of the cycle

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

Y is length of the current projection cycle in years  $p_0$  and  $p_1$  are species-specific coefficients shown in table 5.0.1

Table 5.0.1 Coefficients used in the background mortality equation {5.0.1} in the BM variant.

Species		
Code	$p_0$	$p_1$
WP	6.5112	-0.0052485
WL	6.5112	-0.0052485
DF	7.2985	-0.0129121
GF	5.1677	-0.0077681
MH	9.6943	-0.0127328
WJ	5.1677	-0.0077681
LP	5.9617	-0.0340128
ES	9.6943	-0.0127328
AF	5.1677	-0.0077681
PP	5.5877	-0.005348
WB	6.5112	-0.0052485
LM	6.5112	-0.0052485
PY	5.5877	-0.005348
YC	5.5877	-0.005348

Species		
Code	$\mathbf{p}_0$	p <sub>1</sub>
AS	5.1677	-0.0077681
CW	5.5877	-0.005348
OS	5.5877	-0.005348
ОН	5.9617	-0.0340128

When stand density-related mortality is in effect, the total amount of stand mortality is determined based on the trajectory developed from the relationship between stand SDI and the maximum SDI for the stand. This is explained in section 7.3.2.1 of EFVS.

Once the amount of stand mortality is determined based on either the summation of background mortality rates or density-related mortality rates, mortality is dispersed to individual tree records in relation to either a tree's DBH or percentile in the basal area distribution (PCT) using equations {5.0.3} or {5.0.4}. This value is then adjusted by a species-specific mortality modifier representing the species shade tolerance shown in equation {5.0.5}.

The mortality model makes multiple passes through the tree records multiplying a record's trees-peracre value times the final mortality rate (*MORT*), accumulating the results, and reducing the trees-peracre representation until the desired mortality level has been reached. If the stand still exceeds the basal area maximum sustainable on the site the mortality rates are proportionally adjusted to reduce the stand to the specified basal area maximum.

{5.0.3} Used for western white pine, western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, mountain hemlock, lodgepole pine, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, ponderosa pine, and other softwoods  $MR = [14.94435 - (0.69929 * DBH) + (0.00868 * DBH^2)] * 0.001$ 

{5.0.4} Used for western juniper, whitebark pine, limber pine, Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, quaking Aspen, black cottonwood, and other hardwoods

$$MR = [0.84525 - (0.01074 * PCT) + (0.0000002 * PCT^3)] * 0.01$$

 $\{5.0.5\}$  MORT = MR \* SPADJ

where:

MR is the proportion of the tree record attributed to mortality (bounded:  $0.01 \le MR \le 1$ )

DBH is tree diameter at breast height

PCT is the subject tree's percentile in the basal area distribution of the stand

MORT is the final mortality rate of the tree record

SPADJ is the species specific shade tolerance adjustment shown in table 5.0.2

Table 5.0.2 Shade tolerance adjustment (*SPADJ*) used in the density-related mortality equation {5.0.5} in the BM variant.

Species	
Code	SPADJ
WP	1.0
WL	1.0

Species Code	SPADJ
DF	1.0
GF	1.0
МН	1.0
WJ	1.1
LP	1.0
ES	1.0
AF	1.0
PP	1.0
WB	0.8
LM	0.8
PY	0.5
YC	0.5
AS	1.3
CW	0.85
OS	1.0
ОН	1.0

## 6.0 Regeneration

The BM variant contains a partial establishment model which may be used to input regeneration and ingrowth into simulations. A more detailed description of how the partial establishment model works can be found in section 5.4.5 of the Essential FVS Guide (Dixon 2002).

The regeneration model is used to simulate stand establishment from bare ground, or to bring seedlings and sprouts into a simulation with existing trees. Sprouts are automatically added to the simulation following harvest or burning of known sprouting species (see table 6.0.1 for sprouting species).

Table 6.0.1 Regeneration parameters by species in the BM variant.

Species Code	Sprouting Species	Minimum Bud Width (in)	Minimum Tree Height (ft)	Maximum Tree Height (ft)
WP	No	0.4	0.9	23
WL	No	0.3	1.7	27
DF	No	0.3	1.0	21
GF	No	0.3	1.0	21
МН	No	0.2	0.5	22
WJ	No	0.3	0.5	6
LP	No	0.4	1.3	24
ES	No	0.3	0.5	18
AF	No	0.3	0.5	18
PP	No	0.5	1.0	17
WB	No	0.4	1.0	23
LM	No	0.4	1.0	9
PY	Yes	0.2	1.0	20
YC	No	0.2	1.0	20
AS	Yes	0.2	6.0	16
CW	Yes	0.2	1.0	20
OS	No	0.5	1.0	17
ОН	No	0.2	1.0	20

One sprout record is created for Pacific yew, two sprout records for quaking aspen and logic rule {6.0.1} is used to determine the number of sprout records for black cottonwood. The trees-per-acre represented by each sprout record is determined using the general sprouting probability equation {6.0.2}. See table 6.0.2 for species-specific sprouting probabilities, number of sprout records created, and reference information.

Users wanting to modify or turn off automatic sprouting can do so with the SPROUT or NOSPROUT keywords, respectively. Sprouts are not subject to maximum and minimum tree heights found in table 6.0.1 and do not need to be grown to the end of the cycle because estimated heights and diameters are end of cycle values.

#### {6.0.1} For black cottonwood:

 $DSTMP_i \le 5$ : NUMSPRC = 1

 $5 < DSTMP_i \le 10$ : NUMSPRC = NINT(-1.0 + 0.4 \* DSTMP\_i)

 $DSTMP_i > 10: NUMSPRC = 3$ 

 $\{6.0.2\}\ TPA_s = TPA_i * PS$ 

{6.0.3} PS = (TPA<sub>i</sub> / (ASTPAR \* 2)) \* ((ASBAR / 198) \* (40100.45 - 3574.02 \* RSHAG ^ 2 + 554.02 \* RSHAG ^ 3 - 3.5208 \* RSHAG ^ 5 + 0.011797 \* RSHAG ^ 7))

where:

*DSTMP*<sub>i</sub> is the diameter at breast height of the parent tree

NUMSPRC is the number of sprout tree recordsNINT rounds the value to the nearest integer

TPA<sub>s</sub> is the trees per acre represented by each sprout record

*TPA*; is the trees per acre removed/killed represented by the parent tree

*PS* is a sprouting probability (see table 6.0.2)

ASBAR is the aspen basal area removed
ASTPAR is the aspen trees per acre removed

RSHAG is the age of the sprouts at the end of the cycle in which they were created

Table 6.0.2 Sprouting algorithm parameters for sprouting species in the BM variant.

Species Code	Sprouting Probability	Number of Sprout Records	Source
PY	0.4	1	Minore 1996 Ag. Handbook 654
AS	{6.0.3}	2	Keyser 2001
CW	0.9	{6.0.1}	Gom and Rood 2000 Steinberg 2001

Regeneration of seedlings must be specified by the user with the partial establishment model by using the PLANT or NATURAL keywords. Height of the seedlings is estimated in two steps. First, the height is estimated when a tree is 5 years old (or the end of the cycle – whichever comes first) by using the small-tree height growth equations found in section 4.6.1. Users may override this value by entering a height in field 6 of the PLANT or NATURAL keyword; however the height entered in field 6 is not subject to minimum height restrictions and seedlings as small as 0.05 feet may be established. The second step also uses the equations in section 4.6.1, which grow the trees in height from the point five years after establishment to the end of the cycle.

Seedlings and sprouts are passed to the main FVS model at the end of the growth cycle in which regeneration is established. Unless noted above, seedlings being passed are subject to minimum and maximum height constraints and a minimum budwidth constraint shown in table 6.0.1. After seedling height is estimated, diameter growth is estimated using equations described in section 4.6.2. Crown ratios on newly established trees are estimated as described in section 4.3.1.

Regenerated trees and sprouts can be identified in the treelist output file with tree identification numbers beginning with the letters "ES".

#### 7.0 Volume

In the BM variant, volume is calculated for three merchantability standards: total stem cubic feet, merchantable stem cubic feet, and merchantable stem board feet (Scribner). Volume estimation is based on methods contained in the National Volume Estimator Library maintained by the Forest Products Measurements group in the Forest Management Service Center (Volume Estimator Library Equations 2009). The default volume merchantability standards and equation numbers for the BM variant are shown in tables 7.0.1 - 7.0.3.

Table 7.0.1 Volume merchantability standards for the BM variant.

Merchantable Cubic Foot Volume Specifications:				
Minimum DBH / Top Diameter	LP	All Other Species		
All location codes	6.0 / 4.5 inches	7.0 / 4.5 inches		
Stump Height	1.0 foot	1.0 foot		
Merchantable Board Foot Volume Specifications:				
Minimum DBH / Top Diameter	LP	All Other Species		
All location codes	6.0 / 4.5 inches	7.0 / 4.5 inches		
Stump Height	1.0 foot	1.0 foot		

Table 7.0.2 Volume equation defaults for each species, at specific location codes, with model name.

		Equation	
Common Name	<b>Location Codes</b>	Number	Model Name
western white pine	All	616BEHW119	Behre's Hyperbola
western larch	604	616BEHW073	Behre's Hyperbola
western larch	607	I12FW2W073	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
western larch	614	I13FW2W202	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
western larch	616	I13FW2W073	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
Douglas-fir	604, 607	I12FW2W202	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
Douglas-fir	614	I13FW2W017	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
Douglas-fir	616	I11FW2W202	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
grand fir	616	I11FW2W017	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
grand fir	604, 607	I12FW2W017	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
grand fir	614	I13FW2W017	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
mountain hemlock	All	616BEHW264	Behre's Hyperbola
western juniper	All	616BEHW064	Behre's Hyperbola
lodgepole pine	604, 607	I12FW2W108	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
lodgepole pine	614, 616	I00FW2W108	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
Engelmann spruce	604, 607	616BEHW093	Behre's Hyperbola
Engelmann spruce	614	100FW2W093	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
Engelmann spruce	616	I11FW2W093	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
subalpine fir	604, 607, 616	616BEHW019	Behre's Hyperbola
subalpine fir	614	I00FW2W019	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model

		Equation	
Common Name	<b>Location Codes</b>	Number	Model Name
ponderosa pine	604, 607	I12FW2W122	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
ponderosa pine	614	I13FW2W122	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
ponderosa pine	616	I11FW2W122	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
whitebark pine	All	616BEHW101	Behre's Hyperbola
limber pine	All	616BEHW113	Behre's Hyperbola
Pacific yew	All	616BEHW231	Behre's Hyperbola
Alaska cedar	All	616BEHW042	Behre's Hyperbola
quaking aspen	All	616BEHW746	Behre's Hyperbola
black cottonwood	All	616BEHW747	Behre's Hyperbola
other softwoods	All	616BEHW298	Behre's Hyperbola
other hardwoods	All	616BEHW998	Behre's Hyperbola

**Table 7.0.3 Citations by Volume Model** 

<b>Model Name</b>	Citation
Behre's	USFS-R6 Sale Preparation and Valuation Section of Diameter and Volume Procedures -
Hyperbola	R6 Timber Cruise System. 1978.
Flewelling 2-	Unpublished. Based on work presented by Flewelling and Raynes. 1993. Variable-
Point Profile	shape stem-profile predictions for western hemlock. Canadian Journal of Forest
Model	Research Vol 23. Part I and Part II.

### 8.0 Fire and Fuels Extension (FFE-FVS)

The Fire and Fuels Extension to the Forest Vegetation Simulator (FFE-FVS) (Reinhardt and Crookston 2003) integrates FVS with models of fire behavior, fire effects, and fuel and snag dynamics. This allows users to simulate various management scenarios and compare their effect on potential fire hazard, surface fuel loading, snag levels, and stored carbon over time. Users can also simulate prescribed burns and wildfires and get estimates of the associated fire effects such as tree mortality, fuel consumption, and smoke production, as well as see their effect on future stand characteristics. FFE-FVS, like FVS, is run on individual stands, but it can be used to provide estimates of stand characteristics such as canopy base height and canopy bulk density when needed for landscape-level fire models.

For more information on FFE-FVS and how it is calibrated for the BM variant, refer to the updated FFE-FVS model documentation (Rebain, comp. 2010) available on the FVS website.

### 9.0 Insect and Disease Extensions

FVS Insect and Pathogen models for dwarf mistletoe and western root disease have been developed for the BM variant through the participation and contribution of various organizations led by Forest Health Protection. These models are currently maintained by the Forest Management Service Center and regional Forest Health Protection specialists. Additional details regarding each model may be found in chapter 8 of the Essential FVS Users Guide (Dixon 2002).

#### 10.0 Literature Cited

- Alexander, R.R. 1967. Site Indices for Engelmann Spruce. Res. Pap. RM-32. Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 7 p.
- Alexander, R.R., Tackle, D., and Dahms, W.G. 1967. Site Indices for Lodgepole Pine with Corrections for Stand Density Methodology. Res. Pap. RM-29. Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 18 p.
- Arney, J. D. 1985. A modeling strategy for the growth projection of managed stands. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 15(3):511-518.
- Barrett, James W. 1978. Height growth and site index curves for managed, even-aged stands of ponderosa pine in the Pacific Northwest. Res. Pap. PNW-232. Portland, OR: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 14 p.
- Bechtold, William A. 2004. Largest-crown-diameter Prediction Models for 53 Species in the Western United States. WJAF. Forest Service. 19(4): pp 241-245.
- Brickell, James E. 1970. Equations and Computer subroutines for Estimating Site Quality of Eight Rocky Mountain Species. Res. Pap. INT-75. Ogden, UT: Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 24 p.
- Burns, R. M., & Honkala, B. H. 1990. Silvics of North America: 1. Conifers; 2. Hardwoods Agriculture Handbook 654. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington, DC.
- Cochran, P.H. 1979a. Site index and height growth curves for managed, even-aged stands of Douglas-fir east of the Cascades in Oregon and Washington. Res. Pap. PNW-251. Portland, OR: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 16 p.
- Cochran, P.H. 1979b. Site index and height growth curves for managed, even-aged stands of white or grand fir east of the Cascades in Oregon and Washington. Res. Pap. PNW-252. Portland, OR: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 13 p.
- Cochran, P. H. 1985. Site index, height growth, normal yields, and stocking levels for larch in Oregon and Washington. Res. Note PNW-424. Portland, OR: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 13 p.
- Cole, D. M.; Stage, A. R. 1972. Estimating future diameters of lodgepole pine. Res. Pap. INT-131. Ogden, UT: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 20p.
- Crookston, Nicholas L. 2003. Internal document on file. Data provided from Region 1. Moscow, ID: Forest Service.
- Crookston, Nicholas L. 2005. Draft: Allometric Crown Width Equations for 34 Northwest United States
  Tree Species Estimated Using Generalized Linear Mixed Effects Models.
- Crookston, Nicholas L. 2008. Internal Report.

- Curtis, Robert O. 1967. Height-diameter and height-diameter-age equations for second-growth Douglas-fir. Forest Science 13(4):365-375.
- Curtis, Robert O., Herman, Francis R., and Demars, Donald J. 1974. Height growth and site index for Douglas-fir in high-elevation forests of the Oregon-Washington Cascades. Forest Science 20(4):307-316.
- Dahms, Walter. 1964. Gross and net yield tables for lodgepole pine. Res. Pap. PNW-8. Portland, OR: Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 14 p.
- DeMars, Donald J., Herman, Francis R., and Bell, John F. 1970. Preliminary site index curves for noble fir From stem analysis data. Portland, OR: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Res. Note PNW-119. 9p.
- Dixon, G. E. 1985. Crown ratio modeling using stand density index and the Weibull distribution. Internal Rep. Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Management Service Center. 13p.
- Dixon, Gary E. comp. 2002 (revised frequently). Essential FVS: A user's guide to the Forest Vegetation Simulator. Internal Rep. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Management Service Center.
- Donnelly, Dennis. 1996. Internal document on file. Data provided from Region 6. Fort Collins, CO: Forest Service.
- Donnelly, Dennis M., Betters, David R., Turner, Matthew T., and Gaines, Robert E. 1992. Thinning evenaged forest stands: Behavior of singular path solutions in optimal control analyses. Res. Pap. RM-307. Fort Collins, CO: Forest Service. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 12 p.
- Edminster, Carleton B., Mowrer, Todd H., and Shepperd, Wayne D. 1985. Site index curves for aspen in the central Rocky Mountains. Res. Note. RM-453. Fort Collins, CO: Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 4p.
- Unpublished. Based on work presented by Flewelling and Raynes. 1993. Variable-shape stem-profile predictions for western hemlock. Canadian Journal of Forest Research Vol 23. Part I and Part II.
- Gom, L. A., & Rood, S. B. (2000). Fire induces clonal sprouting of riparian cottonwoods. Canadian Journal of Botany, 77(11), 1604-1616.
- Hall, Frederick C. 1983. Growth basal area: a field method for appraising forest site productivity for stockability. Can. J. For. Res. 13:70-77.
- Johnson, N.L. 1949. Bivariate distributions based on simple translation systems. Biometrika 36:297-304.
- Keyser, C.E. 2001. Quaking Aspen Sprouting in Western FVS Variants: A New Approach. Unpublished Manuscript.
- Krajicek, J.; Brinkman, K.; Gingrich, S. 1961. Crown competition a measure of density. Forest Science. 7(1):35-42

- Means, J.F., M.H. Campbell, and Johnson, G.P. 1986. Preliminary height growth and site index curves for mountain hemlock. FIR Report, Vol 10, No.1. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University.
- Minore, D., & Weatherly, H. G. (1996). Stump sprouting of Pacific yew. General Technical Report. PNW-GTR-378. Portland, Or.: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- Paine, D.P., and Hann, D.W. 1982. Maximum Crown Width Equations for Southwestern Oregon Tree Species. Res. Pap. 46. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University, Forest Research Laboratory. 20 p.
- Powell, D.C. 2009. Updates of Maximum Stand Density Index and Site Index for the Blue Mountains Variant of the Forest Vegetation Simulator. Internal Rep. Pendleton, OR: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Umatilla National Forest. 30p.
- Rebain, Stephanie A. comp. 2010 (revised frequently). The Fire and Fuels Extension to the Forest Vegetation Simulator: Updated Model Documentation. Internal Rep. Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Management Service Center. 379 p.
- Reinhardt, Elizabeth; Crookston, Nicholas L. (Technical Editors). 2003. The Fire and Fuels Extension to the Forest Vegetation Simulator. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-116. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 209 p.
- Schreuder, H.T. and W.L. Hafley. 1977. A Useful Distribution for Describing Stand Structure of Tree Heights and Diameters. Biometrics 33, 471-478.
- Shepperd, Wayne D. 1995. Unpublished equation. Data on file. Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Stage, A. R. 1973. Prognosis Model for stand development. Res. Paper INT-137. Ogden, UT: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 32p.
- Steinberg, Peter D. 2001. Populus balsamifera subsp. trichocarpa. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer).
- USFS-R6 Sale Preparation and Valuation Section of Diameter and Volume Procedures R6 Timber Cruise System. 1978.
- Van Dyck, Michael G.; Smith-Mateja, Erin E., comps. 2000 (revised frequently). Keyword reference guide for the Forest Vegetation Simulator. Internal Rep. Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Management Service Center.
- Wykoff, W. R. 1990. A basal area increment model for individual conifers in the northern Rocky Mountains. For. Science 36(4): 1077-1104.
- Wykoff, William R., Crookston, Nicholas L., and Stage, Albert R. 1982. User's guide to the Stand Prognosis Model. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-133. Ogden, UT: Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 112p.

# 11.0 Appendices

## 11.1 Appendix A. Distribution of Data Samples

The following tables contain distribution information of data used to fit species relationships in this variant's geographic region (information from original variant overview).

Table 11.1.1. Distribution of samples by National Forest, expressed in whole percent of total observations for each species.

		Nationa	al Forest		Total Number
				Wallowa-	of
Species	Malheur	Ochoco	Umatilla	Whitman	Observations
western larch	14	18	51	17	1209
Douglas-fir	28	13	36	22	3478
grand fir	27	16	40	18	2963
lodgepole pine	33	13	34	20	1117
Engelmann spruce	6	6	66	23	596
subalpine fir	11	8	48	32	599
ponderosa pine	44	25	20	12	6577

Table 11.1.2. Distribution of samples for diameter breast high, expressed in whole percent of total observations for each species.

	DBH Range									
Species	0-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35	35-40	40+	
western larch	0	26	24	19	13	8	6	3	2	
Douglas-fir	0	26	28	18	12	7	4	3	2	
grand fir	0	28	26	18	11	8	6	2	2	
lodgepole pine	<1	73	23	3	1	<1	0	0	0	
Engelmann spruce	0	22	22	23	14	9	6	3	2	
subalpine fir	0	42	29	16	10	3	<1	0	0	
ponderosa pine	<1	22	19	15	15	13	9	5	3	

Table 11.1.3. Distribution of samples by Crown Ratio group, expressed in whole percent of total observations for each species.

	Crown Code (1=1-10,2=11-20,,9=81-100)									
Species	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
western larch	2	10	26	28	19	11	4	1	0	
Douglas-fir	1	3	7	16	21	22	16	11	4	
grand fir	0	3	9	15	21	21	17	10	40	
lodgepole pine	4	19	32	18	13	8	3	3	1	
Engelmann spruce	0	2	6	12	17	22	19	15	6	
subalpine fir	0	2	7	9	16	22	24	16	5	

		Crown Code (1=1-10,2=11-20,,9=81-100)								
Species	1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9								
ponderosa pine	0	2	8	18	27	25	13	5	1	

Table 11.1.4. Distribution of samples by Aspect Code, expressed in percent of total observations for each species.

				А	spect Cod	de			
Species	North	North- east	East	South- east	South	South- west	West	North- west	Level
western larch	26	17	10	7	5	5	13	11	7
Douglas-fir	21	13	12	6	10	6	13	11	7
grand fir	19	16	10	8	8	7	14	12	7
lodgepole pine	21	10	9	9	5	9	14	10	14
Engelmann spruce	21	15	7	8	8	4	8	16	13
subalpine fir	19	10	11	9	14	6	9	15	10
ponderosa pine	9	10	9	11	16	14	12	7	13

Table 11.1.5. Distribution of samples by Slope Code, expressed in percent of total observations for each species.

		Slope code										
Species	<u>&lt;</u> 5	6-15	16-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56-65	66-75	76-85	<u>&gt;</u> 86		
western larch	10	24	23	17	10	9	5	3	0	0		
Douglas-fir	9	18	18	13	13	12	10	6	0	0		
grand fir	10	20	22	15	12	10	7	3	1	0		
lodgepole pine	22	34	22	13	5	4	1	1	0	-		
Engelmann spruce	16	31	23	9	10	6	4	1	0	-		
subalpine fir	12	38	17	15	9	5	2	-	4	-		
ponderosa pine	19	28	21	12	9	6	3	1	0	0		

Table 11.1.6. Distribution of samples by total stand basal area per acre, expressed in percent of total for each species.

				E	Basal Area	a			
		50-	100-	150-	200-	250-	300-	350-	
Species	0-50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400	<u>&gt;</u> 400
western larch	-	<1	7	35	45	13	-	-	-
Douglas-fir	2	21	35	21	13	6	2	<1	<1
grand fir	1	10	21	25	25	14	4	1	1
lodgepole pine	2	9	35	33	14	6	1	<1	-
Engelmann spruce	1	5	12	21	27	25	10	1	-
subalpine fir	-	3	11	24	30	24	7	2	-
ponderosa pine	3	36	41	15	4	1	0	0	0

Table 11.1.7. Distribution of samples by diameter growth, expressed in percent for each species.

		Diameter Growth (inches/10 years)										
Species	< 0.5	0.5-1.0	1.0-1.5	1.5-2.0	2.0-2.5	2.5-3.0	3.0-3.5	<u>&gt;</u> 3.5				
western larch	39	37	17	5	2	-	<1	-				
Douglas-fir	15	32	26	14	8	3	2	1				
grand fir	10	31	30	16	7	3	2	1				
lodgepole pine	31	43	18	5	2	<1	<1	<1				
Engelmann spruce	11	36	28	12	8	2	3	2				
subalpine fir	22	42	22	9	3	1	<1	-				
ponderosa pine	27	37	22	9	3	1	<1	<1				

Table 11.1.8. Distribution of samples by elevation, expressed in percent for each species.

			Eleva	ation		
Species	< 2000	2000-3000	3000-4000	4000-5000	5000-6000	<u>&gt;</u> 6000
western larch	-	<1	7	35	45	13
Douglas-fir	-	1	10	41	40	9
grand fir	-	<1	4	31	47	17
lodgepole pine	-	-	1	16	62	20
Engelmann spruce	-	<1	2	31	39	28
subalpine fir	-	-	-	8	44	49
ponderosa pine	-	<1	5	39	53	3

# 11.2 Appendix B. Plant Association Codes

Table 11.2.1 Plant association codes recognized in the BM variant.

FVS Seq. Num. = PA Type	PA Name	Alpha Code	SP	Site SP	Site Index	Site Source	Max SDI	Max SDI Source	Reference
		CAG111	DF		48	Р			
			WL		65	Р			DC E TD 03C 03 -
1 = ABLA2/CAGE	Subalpine fir/elk sedge		LP	Х	78	Р	346	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 37
			ES		66	Р			37
			AF		62	Р	465	Р	
	Subalpine fir/western featherbells	CAG4	DF		56	Р			R6-ERW-TP-036-92
2 =ABLA2/STOC			LP	Х	78	Р	346	Р	
2 -ABLA2/310C			ES		64	Р			
			AF		48	Р	465	Р	
		CDG111	PP	Х	77	Р	278	Р	R6 E TP-036-92 , p.
3 = PSME/CAGE-BLUE	Douglas-fir/elk sedge		DF		52	Р	351	Р	
5 - PSIVIE/CAGE-BLUE	(Blue Mountains)		WL		59	Р			93
			GF		62	Р			
		CDG112	PP	Х	83	Р	329	Р	
4 = PSME/CARU-BLUE	Douglas-fir/pinegrass (Blue Mountains)		DF		53	Р	330	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 91
			WL		55	Р			
			GF		48	Р			
5 = PSME/CARU	Douglas-fir/pinegrass	CDG121	PP	Х	86	Р	451	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.

FVS Seq. Num. = PA Type	PA Name	Alpha Code	SP	Site SP	Site Index	Site Source	Max SDI	Max SDI Source	Reference
			DF		55	Р	475	Р	93
C DCME/UODI	De de Calada	CDS611	PP		86	Р	425	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
6 = PSME/HODI	Douglas-fir/oceanspray		DF	Х	64	Р	319	Р	85
7 20045 (0)441 11441 0	Douglas-fir/common	CDS622	PP	Х	84	Р	416	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
7 = PSME/SYAL-WALLO	snowberry (Wallowa)		DF		60	Р	475	Р	358
O DOME COVOR WALLO	Douglas-fir/mountain	CDS623	PP	Х	90	Р	451	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
8 = PSME/SYOR-WALLO	snowberry (Wallowa)		DF		55	Р			365
		CDS624	PP		81	Р	341	Р	
9 = PSME/SYAL-BLUE	Douglas fir/common	Snowberry (Blue DF X 61 P 390 P	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.					
9 - PSIVIE/STAL-BLUE	Mountains)		WL				256	Р	87
	iviouritains)		GF		70	Р			
40 DCME/CDDE	Davidas finlasiasas	CDS634	PP	Х	82	Р	441	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
10= PSME/SPBE	Douglas-fir/spiraea		DF		61	Р	464	Р	352
		CDS711	PP		87	Р	343	Р	DC 5 TD 03C 03
11 = PSME/PHMA-BLUE	Douglas-fir/ninebark		DF	Х	59	Р	281	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 83
			WL		64	Р	320	Р	03
12 = PSME/ACGL-	Douglas-fir/Rocky	CDS722	DF	Х	64	Р	346	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
PHMA	Mountain maple-		PP		96	Р	351	Р	339
	ninebark					-			
13 = PSME/VAME-BLUE	Douglas-fir/big huckleberry (Blue	CDS821	PP		92	Р	241	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
13 - PSIVIL/ VAIVIL-BLOL	Mountains)		DF	Х	53	Р	229	Р	
	,	CEF221	WL		62	Р	348	Р	
			LP	Х	65	Р	333	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
14 = ABLA2/LIBO2	Subalpine fir/twinflower		ES		67	Р	538	Р	268
			AF		40	P	488	P	
		CEF311	LP	Х	65	P	346	P	
	Subalpine fir/twisted		ES		69	Р	586	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
15 = ABLA2/STAM	stalk		GF		57	P		-	275
			AF		65	P	443	Р	
	Subalpine fir/false	CEF331	LP	Х	65	Р	346	Р	
16 = ABLA2/TRCA3-	bugbane (Blue	02.002	ES		60	P	430	P	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
BLUE	Mountains)		AF				478	Р	25
		CEF411	DF		59	Р	475	P	
		9222	WL			-	513	P	
			LP	Х	65	Р	346	Р	R6-ECOL-TP-
17 = ABLA2/POPU	Subalpine fir/Woodrush		ES	1	58	P	568	P	255A86
			GF		54	P	- 30	-	
			AF		54	P	483	Р	
18 = PIEN/CAEU	Engelmann spruce/widefruit sedge	CEM111	ES	х	80		635	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 55
19 = PIEN/EQAR-STRO	Engelmann spruce/common horsetail-rosy twisted stalk	CEM221	ES	Х	90		712	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 57
20 = PIEN/CLUN	Engelmann spruce/queen's cup beadlily	CEM222	ES	Х	15		842	Н	R6 E Tp-279-87, p. 49
21 = PIEN/VAOC2-FORB	Englemann spruce/bog blueberry/forb	CEM311	ES	Х	85		643	Н	R6 E TP-004-88, p. 59
22 = PIEN/VAOC2/CAEU	Engelmann spruce/bog blueberry/ widefruit sedge	CEM312	ES	х	76		444	н	R6 E TP-006-88, p. 45

FVS Seq. Num.		Alpha		Site	Site	Site	Max	Max	
=	PA Name	Code	SP	SP	Index	Source	SDI	SDI	Reference
PA Type		Code		٥.	illucx	Jource	301	Source	
		CES131	PP				379	Р	
23 = ABLA2/CLUN			WL	Х	83	Р	414	Р	
	Subalpine fir/queen's cup beadily		ES		72	Р	586	Р	R6 E TP-255-86 , p. - 262
			GF		77	Р	681	Р	
			AF		69	Р	429	Р	
		CES221	DF		56	Р			
24 - ADLA2/MATT	Subalpine fir/fool's		LP	Х	65	Р	346	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
24 = ABLA2/MEFE	huckleberry		ES				460	Р	238
			AF				410	Р	
		CES311	WL		63	Р	478	Р	
25 ADLA2///AN45	Subalpine fir/big		LP				319	Р	DC F TD 03C 03
25 = ABLA2/VAME- BLUE	huckleberry (Blue		ES		58	Р	478	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
DEGE	Mountains)		GF		72	Р			33
			AF	Х	51	Р	331	Р	
		CES314	WL	Х	79	Р	513	Р	
26 = ABLA2/CLUN-BLUE	Subalpine fir/queen's cup beadily (Blue		ES		69	Р	586	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
20 - ABLAZ/CLON-BLOL	Mountains)		GF		69	Р			27
			AF		53	Р	520	Р	
	Subalpine fir/big huckleberry (Wallowa)	CES315	DF		55	Р	475	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
			WL		62	Р	460	Р	
27 = ABLA2/VAME-			LP	Х	82	Р	346	Р	
WALLO			ES		65	Р	573	Р	253
			GF		55	Р			
			AF		63	Р	425	Р	
		CES411	DF				458	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
			WL		46	Р	475	Р	
	Subalpine fir/grouse		LP	Х	66	Р	346	Р	
28 = ABLA2/VASC-BLUE	huckleberry (Blue		ES		53	Р	458	Р	
	Mountains)		GF		61	Р			
			AF		44	Р	456	Р	
			WB		19	Р			
		CES414	DF		64	Р			
			WL		58	Р	513	Р	
29 = ABLA2/LIBO2	Subalpine fir/twinflower		LP		66	Р			R6 E TP-036-92, p.
•	' '		ES		60	Р	474	Р	29
			GF		52	Р			
			AF	Х	53	Р	419	Р	
		CES415	DF				475	Р	
	Subalpine fir/grouse		WL		51	Р	513	Р	
30 =	huckleberry/skunk-		LP	Х	70	Р	346	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
ABLA2/VASC/POPU	leaved polem		ES		57	Р	568	Р	244
			GF		51	P		_	
			AF		48	P	483	Р	
31 = PICO/LIBO2	Lodgepole	CLF211	WL		55	Р	_		R6 E TP-255-86, p.
•	pine/twinflower		LP	Х	72	Р	690	С	305
32 = PICO/CARU-VASC	Lodgepole pine/pinegrass-grouse huckleberry	CLG211	LP	х	39		395	н	R6 AG 3-1-73, p. 34
33 = PICO/POPR	Lodgepole pine/Kentucky bluegrass	CLM112	PP	х	97		538	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 29

FVS Seq. Num.		Alpha		Site	Site	Site	Max	Max	_
= PA Type	PA Name	Code	SP	SP	Index	Source	SDI	SDI Source	Reference
34 = PICO/CAEU	Lodgepole	CLM113	LP	Х	57		491	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p.
35 = PICO/CAAQ	pine/widefruit sedge Lodgepole pine/aquatic	CLM114	LP	Х	45		549	Н	41 R6 E TP-279-87, p.
·	sedge Lodgepole pine/bog								43
36 = PICO/VAOC2/CAEU	blueberry/widefruit sedge	CLM312	LP	Х	54		466	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 39
37 = PICO/SPDO/FORB	Lodgepole pine/Douglas spiraea/forb	CLM313	LP	Х	51		558	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 33
38 = PICO/SPDO/CAEU	Lodgepole pine/Douglas spiraea/widefruit sedge	CLM314	LP	Х	59		519	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 35
39 = PICO-PIEN/ELPA2	Lodgepole pine- Engelmann spruce/few- flow spikerush	CLM911	LP	х	35		495	С	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 45
40 = PICO/VASC-BLUE	Lodgepole pine/grouse huckleberry (Blue Mountains)	CLS411	LP	х	34		331	Н	R6 AG 3-1-73, p. 36
	,	CLS415	WL		45	Р			
41 = PICO/VASC/POPU-	Lodgepole pine/grouse huckleberry/skunk-		LP	Х	61	Р	785	С	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 250
WALLO	leaved polem		ES		52	Р			
	'		AF		42	Р			
	Lodgepole pine/pinegrass	CLS416	PP		78	Р			
42 = PICO/CARU			DF		53	Р			R6 E TP-036-92, p.
42 - 1100/CANO			WL		55	Р			79
			LP	Х	66	Р	279	Р	
	Lodgepole pine/thinleaf huckleberry/pinegrass	CLS5	PP				456	Р	
			DF		55	Р	475	Р	R6-ERW-TP-036-92
43 =			WL		52	Р	463	Р	
PICO(ABGR)/VAME-			LP	Х	67	Р	346	Р	
LIBO2			ES		56	Р	499	Р	
			GF		52	Р	645	Р	
			AF				466	Р	
44 = PICO/VAME-BLUE	Lodgepole pine/big huckleberry (Blue Mountains)	CLS511	LP	х	30	Р	348	н	R6 AG 3-1-73, p. 35
45 0100/1/4445	1 - 1 1 2 71-2 -	CLS515	WL		46	Р			DC E TD 255 0C
45 = PICO/VAME- WALLO	Lodgepole pine/big huckleberry (Wallowa)		LP	Х	65	Р	414	Н	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 259
WALLO	nuckieberry (wanowa)		ES		46	Р			233
		CLS6	DF				475	Р	
	Lodgonolo sino/Citle		WL		59	Р	513	Р	
46 = PICO(ABGR)/ALSI	Lodgepole pine/Sitka alders		LP	Х	65	Р	346	Р	R6-ERW-TP-036-92
	uideis		ES				586	Р	
			GF				700	Р	
		CMS131	LP	Х	68	Р	283	Р	
47 = TSME/VASC-	Mountain		ES				371	Р	R6 E TP-255-86,
WALLO	hemlock/grouse huckleberry (Wallowa)		AF				520	Р	p.230
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		МН		16	Р	610	С	
		CMS231	LP	Х	68	Р	283	Р	
48 = TSME/VAME-	Mountain hemlock/big		ES				371	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
WALLO	huckleberry (Wallowa)		AF				520	Р	230
			МН		15	Р	745	С	1
49 = PIPO/AGSP-BLUE	Ponderosa pine/bluebunch	CPG111	PP DF	Х	72 52	P P	166	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 121
	p.ine/ blacbation	1	4			1		i	l

FVS Seq. Num.	PA Name	Alpha Code	SP	Site SP	Site Index	Site Source	Max SDI	Max SDI	Reference
PA Type	h s atoma sa (Dl. sa							Source	
	wheatgrass (Blue Mountains)		GF		69	Р			
50 = PIPO/FEID-BLUE	Ponderosa pine/Idaho	CPG112	PP	Х	74	Р	243	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
30 - PIPO/FEID-BLUE	fescue (Blue Mountains)		DF		59	Р			119
51 = PIPO/FEID-WALLO	Ponderosa pine/Idaho	CPG131	PP	Х	79	P	259	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
	fescue (Wallowa)  Ponderoas	CPG132	DF PP	Х	57 77	P P	233	P	378
52 = PIPO-AGSP-	Pine/bluebunch	CPG132		^			255	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
WALLO	wheatgrass (Wallowa)		DF		62	Р			383
52 DIDO/CADIA	Ponderosa	CPG221	PP	Х	77	Р	456	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
53 = PIPO/CARU	pine/pinegrass		DF GF		55 66	P P			107
		CPG222	PP	Х	73	P	251	Р	
54 = PIPO/CAGE	Ponderosa pine/elk	CI GZZZ	DF		51	P	231	•	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
.,	sedge		LP		70	Р			109
55 = PIPO/ELGL	Ponderosa pine/blue wildrye	CPM111	PP	х	80	?	235	Н	R6 AG 3-1-73, p. 28
56 = PIPO/ARTR/FEID- AGSP	Ponderosa Pine/mtn big sagebrush/ID fescue- wheatgrass	CPS131	PP	х	73	Р	238	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 117
57 = PIPO/PUTR/CARO	Ponderosa pine/bitterbrush/Ross' edge	CPS221	PP	х	74	Р	304	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 111
58 = PIPO/PUTR/CAGE	Ponderosa pine/bitterbrush/elk sedge	CPS222	PP	х	79	Р	255	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 113
59 = PIPO/PUTR/FEID- AGSP	Ponderosa pine/bitterbrush/ID fescue-bluebunch wheatgr.	CPS226	PP	x	64	Р	231	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 115
	Ponderosa	CPS232	PP	Х	65	Р	290	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
60 = PIPO/CELE/CAGE	pine/mountain- mahogany/elk sedge		DF		53	Р			97
61 = PIPO/CELE/PONE	Ponderosa pine/mountain- mahogany/Wheeler's bluegrass	CPS233	PP	Х	67	Р	199	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 99
62 = PIPO/CELE/FEID-	Pond. pine/mtn	CPS234	PP	Х	66	Р	196	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
AGSP	mahogany/ID fescue- bluebunch wheatgr.		DF		51	Р			101
63 = PIPO/SYAL-FLOOD	Ponderosa pine/common snowberry-floodplain	CPS511	PP	х	101		516	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 27
	Ponderosa	CPS522	PP	Х	85	Р	301	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
64 = PIPO/SYAL-WALLO	pine/common snowberry (Wallowa)		DF		70	Р			372
	, ,	CPS523	PP	Х	96	Р	276	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
65 = PIPO/SPBE	Ponderosa pine/spiraea		DF		71	P			377
	Ponderosa	CPS524	PP	Х	81	Р	398	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
66 = PIPO/SYAL	pine/common snowberry		DF		56	Р			103
67 = PIPO/SYOR	Ponderosa pine/mountain snowberry	CPS525	PP	х	79	Р	325	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 105
68 = ABGR/TABR/CLUN	Grand fir/Pacific	CWC811	ES	Х	76	Р	533	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
	yew/queen's cup beadily	011:55:5	GF		69	Р	700	Р	51
69 = ABGR/TABR/LIBO2	Grand fir/Pacific	CWC812	DF		76	Р	475	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.

FVS Seq. Num. = PA Type	PA Name	Alpha Code	SP	Site SP	Site Index	Site Source	Max SDI	Max SDI Source	Reference
	yew/twinflower		WL				378	Р	53
			ES	Х	66	Р	374	Р	
			GF		90	Р	700	Р	
		CWF311	PP		104	Р			
			DF		60	Р	475	Р	
70 + 202 / 1202	- 10 ( 10		WL		60	Р	511	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 298
70 = ABGR/LIBO2	Grand fir/twinflower		LP	Х	73	Р	346	Р	
			ES		59	Р			
			GF		59	Р	700	Р	
		CWF312	PP		92	Р	456	Р	
71 = ABGR/LIBO2-BLUE	Grand fir/twin flower		DF		62	P	475	P	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
NOON LIDUZ-DLUL	(Blue Mountains)		WL		58	Р	463	Р	59
			LP	Х	72	Р	346	Р	
			ES		53	Р	499	Р	
			GF		56	Р	645	Р	-
			AF				466	Р	
		CWF421	PP		111	Р	456	Р	
			DF		69	Р	475	Р	
			WL		79	Р	455	Р	DC 5 TD 255 0C
72 = ABGR/CLUN-	Grand fir/queen's cup beadily (Wallowa)		LP	Х	81	Р	346	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p
WALLO			ES		72	Р	586	Р	279
			GF		74	Р	700	Р	]
			WP		40	Р			
73 = ABCO/CLUN	White fir/queen's cup beadily	CWF431	DF	х	77		872	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p 47
		CWF512	DF		75	Р			
74 ADCD/TDCA2	Coord fin/foloo books as		WL				498	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 49
74 = ABGR/TRCA3	Grand fir/false bugbane		ES	Х	72	Р	485	Р	
			GF		79	Р	693	Р	
75 = ABGR/GYDR	Grand fir/oakfern	CWF611	GF	Х	79	Р	691	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p 45
76 = ABGR/POMU-	Grand fir/sword fern	CWF612	WL	Х	79	Р	438	Р	D6 E TD 026 02 ~
ASCA3	ginger		ES				586	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p 47
2	9 <b>5</b> e.		GF		78	Р	608	Р	.,
		CWG111	PP	Х	81	P	263	Р	
			DF		56	Р	376	Р	
77 = ABGR/CAGE-BLUE	Grand fir/elk sedge (Blue		WL		64	Р			R6 E TP-036-92, p
Abony choc bloc	Mountains)		LP		70	Р			73
			ES		68	Р			
			GF		50	Р	700	Р	
		CWG112	PP	Х	90	Р	456	Р	
			DF		60	Р	475	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p
78 = ABGR/CARU	Grand fir/pinegrass		WL		55	Р			320
			ES		75	Р			
			GF		56	P			
	Grand fir/ninggrass / Dlu-	CWG113	PP	Х	80	Р	395	Р	D6 E TD 026 02
79 = ABGR/CARU-BLUE	Grand fir/pinegrass (Blue Mountains)		DF		56	Р	446	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p 71
	iviountains)		WL		59	Р	384	Р	/1

FVS Seq. Num. =	PA Name	Alpha Code	SP	Site SP	Site Index	Site Source	Max SDI	Max SDI	Reference
PA Type		000.0				00000		Source	
			LP		76	Р	346	Р	
			GF		52	Р	555	Р	
		CWG211	WL	Х	79	Р	513	Р	
80 = ABGR/BRVU	Grand fir/Columbia		ES				586	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
oo Abdiy bii o	brome		GF		57	Р	700	P	67
			AF		55	Р			
		CWS211	PP		86	Р	424	Р	_
			DF		66	Р	439	Р	
81 = ABGR/VAME	Grand fir/big huckleberry		WL	V	84	P	464	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 290
	Пискіевенту		LP ES	Х	54 66	P P	331 586	P P	290
			GF		61	P	700	P	
		CWS212	PP		79	P	365	P	
		CVV3Z1Z	DF		61	P	475	P	
			WL		57	P	513	P	
82 = ABGR/VAME-BLUE	Grand fir/big		LP	Х	68	P	298	P	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
62 - ADONY VAIVIL-BLOC	huckleberry		ES	Α	67	P	426	P	61
			GF		60	P	569	P	
			AF			•	515	P	-
		CWS321	PP	Х	92	Р	456	P	
	Grand fir/spiraea		DF		58	Р	475	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
83 = ABGR/SPBE			LP		74	Р			315
			GF		65	Р			
	Grand fir/birchleaf spiraea	CWS322	PP		82	Р	319	Р	
			DF	Х	57	Р	248	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 69
84 = ABGR/SPBE-BLUE			LP		60	Р			
			GF		49	Р	443	Р	
		CWS412	PP		107	Р			
85 = ABGR/AGGL-	Grand fir/Rocky		DF	Х	66	Р	475	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
PHMA	Mountain maple- ninebark		WL		79	Р	444	Р	325
			GF		65	Р	628	Р	
		CWS541	DF	Х	70	Р	301	Р	
86 = ABGR/ACGL	Grand fir/Rocky		WL				439	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
oo moonymeet	Mountain maple		ES				405	Р	55
			GF		71	Р	576	Р	
		CWS811	PP		101	Р	215	Р	
			DF		59	P	343	P	
87 = ABGR/VASC	Grand fir/grouse		WL	,,	61	Р	380	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
•	huckleberry		LP	Х	65	Р	346	Р	65
			ES		43	Р	460		
		CMCO12	GF	<del>                                     </del>	48	P	460	Р	
		CWS812	PP		81	P P	424	Р	
			DF WL	Х	56 56	P P	434 316	P P	
88 = ABGR/VASC-LIBO2	Grand fir/grouse		LP	^	75	P	346	P	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
00 - ADDINI VASC-LIBUZ	huckleberry-twinflower		ES		75	P	436	P	63
			GF		56	P	618	P	-
			AF		30	r	230	P	
		CWS912	PP				456	P	
89 = ABGR/ACGL	Grand fir/Rocky	C V V 3 3 1 Z	DF	Х	67	Р	475	P	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
89 = ABGR/ACGL	Mountain maple	-	WL		64	P	1,,,		310

FVS Seq. Num. = PA Type	PA Name	Alpha Code	SP	Site SP	Site Index	Site Source	Max SDI	Max SDI Source	Reference
			GF		69	Р	700	Р	
90 = POTR/ELGL	Quaking aspen/blue wildrye	HQM121	LP	х	55		464	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 61
91 = POTR- PICO/SPDO/CAEU	Quaking Aspen- Lodgepole pine/Doug spiraea/wildfruit sedge	HQM411	LP	х	59		640	Н	
92 = POTR/SYAL/ELGL	Quaking aspen/common snowberry/blue wildrye	HQS221	PP	Х	101		596	Н	

<sup>\*</sup>Site index estimates are from GBA analysis. Site index and SDI maximums are set by GBA analysis, Source=H (Hall 1983); CVS plot analysis, Source=C (Crookston 2008); or Blue Mountains Variant Analysis, Source = P (Powell 2009).

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice or TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.