

---

# Lecture Notes 17: Direct Methods for Linear Systems

CPSC 302: Numerical Computation for Algebraic Problems

Jessica Bosch

`jbosch@cs.ubc.ca`

`http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~jbosch`

University of British Columbia  
Department of Computer Science

2017/2018 Winter Term 1

Copyright 2017 Jessica Bosch

Slides reused and adapted from Uri Ascher, Chen Greif

This work is made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 2.5 Canada license

`http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/ca/`

---

# Chapter 4: Direct Methods for Linear Systems

- Gaussian Elimination and Backward Substitution
  - LU Decomposition
  - Pivoting Strategies
  - Efficient Implementation
- 
- Estimating Errors and Condition Number
- 
- Cholesky Decomposition
  - Sparse Matrices

# Outline

## 1. Estimating Errors and Condition Number

The Error in the Numerical Solution

Condition Number and a Relative Error Estimate

The Error when using a Direct Method

More on the Condition Number

# Outline

## 1. Estimating Errors and Condition Number

The Error in the Numerical Solution

Condition Number and a Relative Error Estimate

The Error when using a Direct Method

More on the Condition Number

# Relative Error in the Solution

- Still consider

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

but now assess quality of approximate solution obtained somehow.

- Denote exact solution  $\mathbf{x}$ , computed (or given) approximate solution  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ .  
Want to estimate

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}$$

in some vector norm ( $\infty$ -, 2-, or 1-norm).

- Can compute the residual  $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{b} - A\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and so also  $\frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|}$ .  
Does a small relative residual imply small relative error in solution?

# Example

- For the problem

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1.2969 & 0.8648 \\ 0.2161 & 0.1441 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8642 \\ 0.1440 \end{pmatrix},$$

consider the approximate solution

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9911 \\ -0.4870 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Then

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{b} - A\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} -10^{-8} \\ 10^{-8} \end{pmatrix},$$

so  $\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}\|_{\infty} = 10^{-8}$ .

- However, the exact solution is

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{so} \quad \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_{\infty} = 1.513.$$

# Outline

## 1. Estimating Errors and Condition Number

The Error in the Numerical Solution

Condition Number and a Relative Error Estimate

The Error when using a Direct Method

More on the Condition Number

# Conditioning of Problem

- Since  $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{b} - A\hat{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x} - A\hat{\mathbf{x}} = A(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}})$ , get

$$\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}} = A^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad \text{and hence} \quad \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\| = \|A^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{r}}\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\hat{\mathbf{r}}\|.$$

- Since  $\mathbf{b} = A\mathbf{x}$ , get

$$\|\mathbf{b}\| = \|A\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|A\| \|\mathbf{x}\| \quad \text{and hence} \quad \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \leq \frac{\|A\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|}.$$

- Hence

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\hat{\mathbf{r}}\| \frac{\|A\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} = \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| \frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} = \kappa(A) \frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|},$$
$$\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|.$$

The scalar  $\kappa(A)$  is the **condition number** of  $A$ .

For defining  $\kappa(A)$  use the induced matrix norm corresponding to the vector norm employed in the above estimates.



# Outline

## 1. Estimating Errors and Condition Number

The Error in the Numerical Solution

Condition Number and a Relative Error Estimate

The Error when using a Direct Method

More on the Condition Number

# Quality of Solution

- **Backward error analysis**: associate result of numerical algorithm (GEPP) with the **exact solution** of a **perturbed problem**

$$(A + \delta A)\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b} + \delta \mathbf{b}.$$

- Can rewrite

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \leq \kappa(A) \frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|}$$

to

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \leq \kappa(A) f(\delta A, \delta \mathbf{b}, \hat{\mathbf{x}})$$

- The job of GEPP is to make  $\delta A$  and  $\delta \mathbf{b}$  small.
- Obtain good quality solution (only) if in addition,  $\kappa(A)$  is not too large.

## Example (cont.)

In our  $2 \times 2$  example, we saw  $\|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_\infty \approx 10^8 \|\hat{\mathbf{r}}\|_\infty$ .

- For the problem

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1.2969 & 0.8648 \\ 0.2161 & 0.1441 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$A^{-1} = 10^8 \begin{pmatrix} 0.1441 & -0.8648 \\ -0.2161 & 1.2969 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Hence

$$\kappa_\infty(A) = \|A\|_\infty \|A^{-1}\|_\infty = 2.1617 \cdot 1.513 \times 10^8 \approx 3.27 \times 10^8$$

$$\text{and } \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_\infty \approx \kappa_\infty(A) \|\hat{\mathbf{r}}\|_\infty$$

# Outline

## 1. Estimating Errors and Condition Number

The Error in the Numerical Solution

Condition Number and a Relative Error Estimate

The Error when using a Direct Method

More on the Condition Number

# The Condition Number

- Always  $\kappa(A) \geq 1$ .
- For orthogonal matrices,  $\kappa_2(Q) = 1$ : ideally conditioned!
- $\kappa(A)$  indicates how close  $A$  is to being singular, which  $\det(A)$  does not.

# Example

Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.01 & & & \\ & 0.01 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0.01 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

- Remember: A matrix is singular if and only if its determinant is equal to zero.
- $\det(A) = 0.01^n$ , and hence close to zero for large  $n$
- **BUT:  $A$  is well-conditioned!**,  $\kappa(A) = 1$  for all  $n$

## The Condition Number (cont.)

- If  $A$  is symmetric positive definite with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n > 0$  then

$$\kappa_2(A) = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_n} .$$

- If  $A$  is nonsingular with singular values  $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n > 0$  then

$$\kappa_2(A) = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_n} .$$

- The exact value of  $\kappa(A)$  rarely (if ever) matters in practice: typically, only its order of magnitude is important.