

CPSC 320 Sample Final Examination
December 2013

- [10] 1. Answer each of the following questions with *true* or *false*. Give a *short* justification for each of your answers.

[5] a. $6^n \in O(5^n)$

Solution: This is false:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{6^n}{5^n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{6}{5}\right)^n \\ &= +\infty\end{aligned}$$

which means that $6^n \in \omega(5^n)$. Therefore $6^n \notin O(5^n)$.

[5] b. $1.5^n + n^2 \in O(1.5^n + n \log n)$

Solution: This is true, since for $n \geq 13$ we have $n^2 < 1.5^n$, which means that $1.5^n + n^2 \leq 1.5^n + 1.5^n = 2 \cdot 1.5^n < 2 \cdot (1.5^n + n \log n)$. Hence take $c = 2$ and $n_0 = 13$.

- [18] 2. Short Answers

- [3] a. Why is it important to know that a problem is NP-Complete?

Solution: Because then we know not to waste time trying to find an efficient algorithm for it that always returns the correct answer.

- [3] b. Explain why the following statement holds: “Finding the median of an unordered array is as difficult as finding the i^{th} order statistics of that array, for an arbitrary i ”. Hint: think of the implementations of algorithm `RandomizedQuickSelect`

Solution: In order to find the median of the array, we will most likely end up trying to find the i^{th} smallest element of a subset of m elements, where $i \neq \lceil m/2 \rceil$. In that sense, in order to be able to find the median, we need to be able to find an arbitrary order statistics. Thus finding the median is not any easier.

- [3] c. What is the main advantage of `RandomizedQuickSelect` over `Select1`?

Solution: `RandomizedQuickSelect` performs well on average on every possible input, whereas `Select1` performs very well (always) on some inputs, and very badly (always) on other inputs.

- [3] d. In our divide and conquer algorithm to find the closest pair of points in the plane, why was it sufficient, during the *merge* step, to consider points that were at most 15 positions apart in the list of points in the vertical strip around the dividing line?

Solution: Because if two points 16 or more positions apart on the list were closer than a distance δ , then it would mean that two points on the same side of the dividing line are closer than δ apart, which isn't possible by the definition of δ (the smallest distance found between two points on the same side of the dividing line).

- [3] e. Why does the Gale-Shapley (Stable Matching) algorithm discussed in class terminate after at most n^2 iterations?

Solution: Because at every step a woman proposes to a man she has never proposed to. There are only n^2 possible couples, and hence the loop could not iterate more than n^2 times without repeating a proposal.

- [3] f. When do we use amortized analysis?

Solution: When we are trying to prove a good upper-bound on the worst-case running time of a sequence of n operations.

- [9] 3. A Computer Science researcher has designed a new data structure called a *sheep* that supports operations `insert`, `findMinMax` and `extractMinMax`, and decides to use amortized analysis to determine the worst-case running time of a sequence of n operations on an initially empty sheep. She defines a potential function Φ for sheeps, such that $\Phi(S) \geq 0$ for every sheep S , and such that $\Phi(S) = 0$ if the sheep S is empty. After analyzing the running time of the three operations, she has learned that

- An `insert` operation on a sheep with n elements takes time $\log n$, and increases the sheep's potential by 2.
- A `findMinMax` operation on a sheep with n elements takes time $x + \sqrt{n}$, where x is the number of elements examined by the operation. The potential of the sheep goes down by $x - 1$.
- A `extractMinMax` operation starts by performing a `findMinMax` operation. The remainder of the `extractMinMax` operation takes time $\log n$, and decreases the sheep's potential by 1.

Give as tight a bound as possible on the worst-case running time of a sequence of n operations on an initially empty sheep.

Solution: The amortized cost of `insert` is $\log n + 2$, the amortized cost of `findMinMax` is $x + \sqrt{n} - (x - 1) = \sqrt{n} + 1$ and the amortized cost of `extractMinMax` is $\sqrt{n} + 1 + \log n - 1 = \sqrt{n} + \log n$. We thus get an upper bound of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \max\{\log n + 2, \sqrt{n} + 1, \sqrt{n} + \log n\} \in O(n\sqrt{n})$$

on the worst-case running time of a sequence of n operations.

- [9] 4. For each of the following recurrence relations, determine whether or not the Master Theorem discussed in class can be used. If it can be used, apply it to derive the solution of the recurrence relation using O notation. If the Master Theorem can not be used, explain why briefly.

$$[3] \text{ a. } T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor) + n & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } n \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Solution: No, because the term inside the $T()$ is not in the form n/b where b is a constant.

$$[3] \text{ b. } T(n) = \begin{cases} 9T(n/3) + 2n^2 & \text{if } n \geq 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } n \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

Solution: Yes: this is case 2 of the Master theorem, and $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2 \log n)$.

$$[3] \text{ c. } T(n) = \begin{cases} 4T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + n^{2+\text{odd}(n)} & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } n \leq 1 \end{cases} \text{ where } \text{odd}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Solution: No: this recurrence is sometimes in case 2 (when n is even) and sometimes in case 3 (when n is odd), so the theorem can not be used.

- [5] 5. Write a recurrence relation that describes the running time of the following algorithm as a function of n . Algorithm `DoSomethingConstant` runs in $\Theta(1)$ time, and algorithm `DoSomethingLinear` runs in $\Theta(N)$ time,

```

Algorithm MysteryP(A, N, n)
//
// A is an array with N element, n is a value between 0 and N.
//
if (n = 0) then
    DoSomethingLinear(A, N)
else
    For i ← n-1 downto 0 do
        DoSomethingConstant(A, n, i)
        MysteryP(A, N, n-1)
        DoSomethingConstant(A, n, i)
    endif

```

You may treat N as a constant.

Solution: Since the `for` loop statements take a total of $\Theta(n)$ time in addition to the recursive calls, we have

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} nT(n-1) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ \Theta(N) & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Note: this method ends up calling `DoSomethingLinear` for every permutation of the input array A (assuming that `DoSomethingConstant` swaps $A[n]$ and $A[i]$) and runs in $\Theta(N \cdot n!)$ time.

[9] 6. Using the guess and test method, prove that the function $T(n)$ defined by

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 5T(n-2) + 12T(n-3) + 32 & \text{if } n \geq 4 \\ 25 & \text{if } n = 3 \\ 7 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \end{cases}$$

belongs to $O(3^n)$.

Solution: We will show that $T(n) \leq c3^n - x$ for some values of x and c that will be specified later. Note that the guess that most people would have started with, namely $T(n) \leq c3^n$, does not work as one ends up with an extra $+32$ at the end of the induction step.

Let us start by the induction step. Consider $k \geq 4$. Assume that $T(i) \leq c3^i - x$ for every $i < k$, and look at $T(k)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T(k) &= 5T(k-2) + 12T(k-3) + 32 \\ &\leq 5(c3^{k-2} - x) + 12(c3^{k-3} - x) + 32 \\ &\leq 5c3^{k-2} - 5x + 12c3^{k-3} - 12x + 32 \\ &\leq 5c3^{k-2} + 4c3^{k-2} - 17x + 32 \\ &\leq 9c3^{k-2} - 17x + 32 \\ &\leq c3^k - 17x + 32 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we observe that as long as $x \geq 2$,

$$-17x + 32 = -x + (32 - 16x) \leq -x$$

and so choosing $x = 2$ allows the induction step to work. Now let us deal with the base cases

- for $n = 1$, we need $1 \leq c3^1 - 2$ which is true for every $c \geq 1$.
- for $n = 2$, we need $7 \leq c3^2 - 2$ which is true for every $c \geq 1$.
- for $n = 3$, we need $25 \leq c3^3 - 2$ which is true for every $c \geq 1$.

Hence we can choose $c = 1$, and we have successfully shown that $T(n) \leq 3^n - 2$. We therefore conclude that $T(n) \in O(3^n)$, as required.

[8] 7. Binomial and Fibonacci Heaps

[2] a. How do we merge a pair of binomial trees of order k ?

Solution: We make the root with the larger key the leftmost child of the root with the smaller key.

- [1] b. One of the operations supported by binomial heaps is critical, in the sense that it is needed in order to perform most of the other operations. Which one is it?

Solution: It's the **union** operation.

- [2] c. Explain how the operation whose name you wrote in part (b) is used in the implementation of the **extractMin** operation.

Solution: We perform **extractMin** by first deleting the root with the minimum and turning its children into another binomial heap. Then we call **union** on this new heap and the remainder of the original heap.

- [3] d. Why does the Fibonacci heap implementation of **decreaseKey** use cascading cuts?

Solution: Because we do not want a node other than a root to have lost more than one child.

- [15] 8. The CEO of a software company wants to keep his developers happy by giving them a bonus, but does not want to spend too much money. He thus wants to select as few developers as possible (these will get a bonus, and be happy), chosen so that every other developer likes at least one of those that get a bonus (and hence will be happy for him/her).

The CEO's problem can be modeled using a graph $G = (V, E)$: each node in the graph is a developer, and an edge (u, v) means that developer u likes developer v . The CEO is looking for a minimum subset W of the set V of vertices, where for every vertex $u \notin W$, there is an edge (u, w) where $w \in W$. This is called a *minimum dominating set* for the graph G .

- [9] a. Write a greedy algorithm that finds a subset W of V (it does need to be the subset with the fewest elements possible) with that property. Your mark will depend in part on the criterion you use to decide which vertex to add to W . You do not need to give pseudo-code, but you should indicate what data structure you are using to store the vertices that your algorithm has not dealt with yet.

Solution: We store in each node N whether or not developer N is happy. The algorithm then proceeds as follows:

```
while at least one vertex is not happy do
  for each vertex v of G
    set count(v) to 0 if v is happy, and 1 otherwise

  for each edge (v1, v2) of G
    if v1 is not happy then
```

```

        increment count(v2)

    let v be the vertex with maximum count
    mark v happy
    add v to W

    for each edge (v1, v) of G
        mark v1 happy
    endwhile

```

- [3] b. What is the running time of the algorithm you described in part (a)?

Solution: This algorithm will run in time $O(|V||E|)$ time.

- [3] c. There is no dynamic programming algorithm known for the minimum dominating set problem. Knowing this, what can you conclude about the greedy algorithm you gave in part (a)?

Solution: It does not always return an optimal solution (that is, the smallest W).

- [17] 9. Anne and Simon have been arguing about where to have lunch after the CPSC 320 final examination, and decide to solve the decision by playing a sequence of Poker games. The first person to win n games gets to choose where they will have lunch. Anne is a slightly better poker player, and has a 51% chance of winning any individual Poker game.

Let $P(i, j)$ be the probability that Anne will be the first person to win n games, given that she only needs to win another i games (that is, Anne has won $n - i$ games so far), and that Simon only needs to win another j games (that is, he has won $n - j$ games so far). It can be proved that

$$P(i, j) = 0.49P(i - 1, j) + 0.51P(i, j - 1) \quad (1)$$

Anne is worried about his chances of winning, and asks you to use dynamic programming to compute the probability $P(n, n)$ that she will win n games before Simon does.

- [3] a. State how big a table you need to answer Anne's question using dynamic programming, and the meaning of each entry in the table.

Solution: Both i and j will take values from 0 to n , and so we need a $n + 1$ by $n + 1$ table.

- [3] b. Give one possible order that you can use to compute the entries in the table from part (a).

Solution: We can compute them by increasing value of j , and for each j by increasing value of i .

- [3] c. List all of the base cases that will be needed when you are computing the entries in the table from part (a). That is, list the cases where you can not use equation (1) to compute $P(i, j)$, and the value of $P(i, j)$ for each of them.

Solution: If $i = 0$ and $j > 0$ then $P(i, j) = 1$ (Anne has already won). If $i > 0$ and $j = 0$ then $P(i, j) = 0$ (Anne has already lost).

- [8] d. Write pseudo-code for an algorithm that uses dynamic programming to determine the probability that Anne will win n Poker games before Simon does.

Solution:

```

for i ← 1 to n do
    P[i,0] ← 0

for j ← 1 to n do
    P[0,j] ← 1
    for i ← 1 to n do
        P[i,j] = 0.49*P[i-1,j] + 0.51*P[i,j-1]

return P[n,n]
```