

**The University of British Columbia**  
*Department of Computer Science*  
 Midterm Examination 1 — Fall 2016

Computer Science 312  
 Functional and Logic Programming

**Question 1 [10 marks]**

Consider the following knowledge base, *KB*:

$p :- v.$              $t :- m.$   
 $p :- q, r.$          $t :- n.$   
 $q :- s, t.$          $n.$   
 $v :- w.$             $r :- s.$   
 $s.$

- (a) [4 marks]  $v$  is not a logical consequence of *KB*. Explain what this means and show why  $v$  isn't a logical consequence of *KB*.
- (b) [6 marks]  $p$  is a logical consequence of *KB*. Give a successful top-down derivation for the query  $?- p.$

Answer clause	Clause resolved

**Question 2 [12 marks]**

- (a) [6 marks] Suppose we want to represent the defaults: Birds fly. Emus are birds that don't fly. Penguins are birds that don't fly. Things on planes fly, unless the plane is broken. Planes are not broken by default.

Write a program, using negation as failure and only propositional atoms (no arguments to predicates) that has the following behaviour:

- It should answer “false” to the query  $?- \text{flies}.$
- If someone were to add the fact *bird*, it should answer “true” to the query  $?- \text{flies}.$
- If someone were to add just the fact *emu*, it should answer “true” to the query  $?- \text{bird}.$  and answer “false” to the query  $?- \text{flies}.$
- If someone were to add just the fact *penguin*, it should answer “true” to the query  $?- \text{bird}.$  and answer “false” to the query  $?- \text{flies}.$
- If someone we to add the facts *emu* and *on\_plane*, it should answer “true” to the query  $?- \text{flies}.$
- If someone we to add the facts *emu* and *on\_plane* and *plane\_broken*, it should answer “false” to the query  $?- \text{flies}.$

You do not need to worry about dynamic declarations.

(b) [6 marks] Consider the logic program with negation as failure:

$$\begin{array}{ll} a :- b. & b :- \neg + d. \\ a :- \neg + c. & b :- c. \\ d. & c :- f. \\ e :- \neg + f. & \end{array}$$

Give the set of all atoms and negations of atoms that are a logical consequence (i.e., the atoms and their negations that would be produced by the bottom-up proof procedure for negation as failure). You do not need to give the derivation.

### Question 3 [10 marks]

Suppose that times are represented as  $am(H, M)$  for the time  $M$  minutes after hour  $H$  in the morning or as  $pm(H, M)$  for  $M$  minutes after hour  $H$  in the afternoon. For example,  $am(11, 30)$  is 11:30 in the morning,  $pm(1, 30)$  is 1:30 in the afternoon, and  $pm(12, 30)$  is halfway between these times. Write a predicate  $next\_hour(T1, T2)$  that is true when time  $T2$  is exactly one hour after  $T1$ . It does not need to wrap over midnight. You can only use the built-in predicates  $<$  (which compares two arithmetic expressions) and  $is$  (where  $\forall \text{ is } E$  is true if arithmetic expression  $E$  evaluates to number  $\forall$ ). You can assume that  $T1$  does not contain variables when called.

An example of its use is:

```
?- next_hour(am(10,23),T) .
T = am(11, 23) .
?- next_hour(am(11,23),T1), next_hour(T1,T2) .
T1 = pm(12, 23) ,
T2 = pm(1, 23) .
```

### Question 4 [10 marks]

(a) [6 marks] Write a program  $del1(E, L, R)$  which is true when  $R$  is a list with the same elements as list  $L$  (in the same order) but with one instance of  $E$  removed. For example, it should have the following behaviour:

```
?- del1(a,[a,v,a,t,a,r],R) .
R = [v, a, t, a, r] ;
R = [a, v, t, a, r] ;
R = [a, v, a, t, r] ;
false.
?- del1(a,[f,u,n],R) .
false.
```

(b) [4 marks] What are all of the answers to the query

```
?- del1(2,L,[a,b,c,a]) .
```

(Note that you should be able to do this, even if you cannot do part (a).)