Master Thesis
Recommender Systems Comparison

Vasileios Symeonidis

27-05-2017

Contents

Part I
Master Thesis

1 Intro

This is the intro suction for this master thesis. Why we need recommendation systems? Retailers can propose the right product to the right target group. User get advertisements the may be interested in.[2]

History, what has been tried so far?

2 Collaborative filtering

What is collaborative filtering. [2]

2.1 Content based

$$w = R^{-1}M^T \tag{1}$$

Normalized

$$w = (\lambda I + R^T R)^{-1} R^T M \tag{2}$$

2.2 Latent Factors

test sadsad

sad

asd

ds

$$w = (\lambda I + X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

Figure 1: **Equation**

3 Our Experiment

3.1 Infrastructure

3.1.1 Apache Spark

What is map reduce
How spark differentiates from its predecessors, hadoop yarn
Resilient Distributed Datasets (RDDs)
mllibs
add spark jira note
broadcasting rdd
//cite the mastering apache spark book [1]
a Spark cluster to be created on AWS EC2 storage.
New trends on spark https://github.com/apache-spark-on-k8s/spark cite this repository too.

3.2 Dataset

What is the dataset about. This dataset contains users, movies and the rating user made about the movies. This dataset is splited to multiple subsets of 80000 training sets and respective 20000 reviews. [3]

3.3 Metrics

3.3.1 Mean Absolute Error

$$MAE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - x_i|}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{(y_i - x_i)^2}}{n} \tag{3}$$

3.3.2 Execution Time

Time is measured in milliseconds.

4 Results

Table 1: **Content Based Algorithm Results**

Training Dataset	Testing Dataset	Mean Absolute Error	Execution time (ms)
u1.base	u1.test	1.6467431428213226	30514
u2.base	u2.test	1.6055222166704628	27714
u3.base	u3.test	1.608925907479106	27164
u4.base	u4.test	1.6259192043203685	26687
u5.base	u5.test	1.6284658627202895	27124
ua.base	ua.test	1.6425364580036836	26640
ub.base	ub.test	1.6357196576385744	26861


Content Based - Mean Absolute Error
Mean Absolute Error
1.65
1.65
1.64
1.64
1.63
1.63
1.62
1.62
1.61
1.61
u1.base
u2.base
u3.base
u4.base
u5.base
ua.base
ub.base
Dataset



Table 2: **Latent Factors Algorithm Results**

Training Dataset	**Testing Dataset**	**Mean Absolute Error**	**Execution time (ms)**
u1.base	u1.test	1.1818684937209607	10195
u2.base	u2.test	1.1800652808093945	6517
u3.base	u3.test	1.1783366748334452	5377
u4.base	u4.test	1.1730543877181654	5433
u5.base	u5.test	1.1686585291940668	5217
ua.base	ua.test	1.2008035300836668	5214
ub.base	ub.test	1.2134460078406009	5083


Latent Factors - Mean Absolute Error
Mean Absolute Error
1.21
1.2
1.19
1.18
1.17
u1.base
u2.base
u3.base
u4.base
u5.base
ua.base
ub.base
Dataset



5 Conclusion

As a conclusion we can see that als is better on both metrics from the content based.

6 References

References

[1] "Apache Spark lightning-fast cluster computing." `https://spark.apache.org/`. Accessed: 2017-05-21.

[2] P. Melville and V. Sindhwani, "Recommender systems," *Encyclopedia of Machine Learning and Data Mining*, pp. 1056–1066, 2017.

[3] "MovieLens grouplens." `https://grouplens.org/datasets/movielens/`. Accessed: 2017-05-22.

Part II
Appendices

A Code used

A.1 User Based Collaborative Filtering

A.2 Product Based Collaborative Filtering

A.3 Latent Factors

A.4 infra code

B Metrics

B.1 What is the mean absolute error

B.2 Time

List of Tables

List of Figures