

# CIS 575. Introduction to Algorithm Analysis

## Material for February 9, 2024

### The Master Theorem: Gaps Between the Cases

©2022 Torben Amtoft

The topic of this note is mentioned in *Cormen's* Section 4.5.

## 1 When $q$ is Slightly Greater Than $r$

Let us consider the recurrence

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n \lg(n) \quad (1)$$

and investigate, using various versions of the Master Theorem, what we can say about the asymptotic behavior of  $T(n)$ . For all versions, we have  $a = b = 2$  and thus  $r = \log_b(a) = 1$ . Our version of the Master Theorem states, for  $X \in \{O, \Omega, \Theta\}$ , that

1. if  $n \lg(n) \in X(n^q)$  with  $q > 1$  then  $T(n) \in X(n^q)$
2. if  $n \lg(n) \in X(n)$  then  $T(n) \in X(n \lg n)$

By applying 1 with  $X = O$  (possible since any root grows asymptotically faster than any logarithm) we get  $T(n) \in O(n^q)$  for all  $q > 1$ , and by applying 2 with  $X = \Omega$  we get  $T(n) \in \Omega(n \lg(n))$  (which by the way is obvious from the recurrence). Thus

for all real  $q > 1$ ,  $T(n)$  is sandwiched between  $n \lg(n)$  and  $n^q$

which is a relatively precise description of the asymptotic behavior of  $T(n)$ , but we would like an *exact* description (using  $\Theta$  notation). For that purpose, let us examine some other versions of the Master Theorem.

**Cormen's** version (Theorem 4.1) and **Wikipedia's** version (which uses  $c_{\text{crit}}$  for  $\log_b(a)$ ) both have a case 2 that states that

$$\text{if } f(n) \in \Theta(n^r \lg^k(n)) \text{ then } T(n) \in \Theta(n^r \lg^{k+1} n)$$

which (with  $r = 1$  and  $k = 1$ ) shows that recurrence (1) has solution

$$T(n) \in \Theta(n \lg(n) \lg(n)).$$

## 2 When $q$ is Slightly Smaller Than $r$

Next let us consider the recurrence

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \frac{n}{\lg(n)} \quad (2)$$

and investigate, using various versions of the Master Theorem, what we can say about the asymptotic behavior of  $T(n)$ . For all versions, we have  $a = b = 2$  and thus  $r = \log_b(a) = 1$ .

Our version of the Master Theorem states, for  $X \in \{O, \Omega, \Theta\}$ , that

1. if  $\frac{n}{\lg n} \in \mathbf{X}(n^q)$  with  $q > 1$  then  $\mathbf{T}(n) \in \mathbf{X}(n^q)$
2. if  $\frac{n}{\lg n} \in \mathbf{X}(n)$  then  $\mathbf{T}(n) \in \mathbf{X}(n \lg n)$
3. if  $\frac{n}{\lg n} \in \mathbf{O}(n^q)$  with  $q < 1$  then  $\mathbf{T}(n) \in \mathbf{\Theta}(n)$ .

While applying 3 is impossible, we can apply 2 with  $X = O$  to get  $T(n) \in O(n \lg(n))$ ; as obviously  $T(n) \in \Omega\left(\frac{n}{\lg(n)}\right)$  we see that

$T(n)$  is sandwiched between  $\frac{n}{\lg(n)}$  and  $n \lg(n)$

which is not a very precise description of the asymptotic behavior of  $T(n)$ . Unfortunately, it appears that **Cormen**'s version is not even applicable to this recurrence (as stated on p. 105 of that book). On the other hand, the *extended version* (2b) of Wikipedia's version (as of the time of writing these notes) tells us that recurrence (2) has solution

$$T(n) \in \Theta(n \lg(\lg(n))).$$