

CIS 575. Introduction to Algorithm Analysis

Material for February 7, 2024

The Substitution Method: Case 2

©2020 Torben Amtoft

The topic of this note is covered in *Cormen's* Section 4.3.

1 Applying the Substitution Method, Example 2

Recall the recurrence for MERGESORT

$$T(n) \in 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n)$$

which in a previous note we claimed has the solution $T(n) \in \Theta(n \lg(n))$. In this note, we shall verify one half of that claim: that $T(n) \in O(n \lg(n))$; for that purpose, we shall consider a slightly modified recurrence

$$T(n) = 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n.$$

We would like to find c such that we can prove $T(n) \leq cn \lg(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$. But we face an obstacle: when $n = 1$, we have $\lg(n) = 0$ and hence the claim becomes $T(1) \leq 0$ which does not necessarily hold.

We can get around this obstacle in two ways, as described in the rest of this note.

Approach 1: Consider only $n \geq 2$: To show $T(n) \in O(n \lg(n))$ we shall prove, for suitable $c > 0$ to be found along the way, that for all $n \geq 2$ we have

$$T(n) \leq cn \lg(n). \tag{1}$$

The proof shall be by induction in n , and the inductive step will rely on $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ also satisfying (1) which we can assume to be the case if $2 \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor < n$, which will hold for $n \geq 4$. And for $n \geq 4$, we have the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} & T(n) \\ &= 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ \mathbf{IH} \quad &\leq 2c \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lg(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ &\leq 2c \frac{n}{2} \lg(\frac{n}{2}) + n \\ &= cn \lg(n) - cn + n \\ &\leq cn \lg(n) \end{aligned}$$

which shows the desired $T(n) \leq cn \lg(n)$ provided the last inequality holds, but that will be the case if $c \geq 1$.

We have completed the inductive step, for which $c \geq 1$ will suffice, but we need to also consider the base cases. Since we must prove (1) for all $n \geq 2$, and the inductive step covers when $n \geq 4$, our task is to examine $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. Our obligations are to ensure that

$$\begin{aligned} T(2) &\leq 2c \\ T(3) &\leq 3c \lg(3) \end{aligned}$$

which will obviously hold for big enough c .

Approach 2: Prove it for $\lg(2n)$: Alternatively, we can prove (for suitable $c > 0$ to be found along the way) that for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$T(n) \leq cn \lg(2n). \quad (2)$$

The proof shall be by induction in n , and the inductive step will rely on $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ also satisfying (2) which we can assume to be the case if $1 \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor < n$, which will hold for $n \geq 2$. And for $n \geq 2$, we have the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} &T(n) \\ &= 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ \text{IH} \quad &\leq 2c \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lg(2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ &\leq cn \lg(n) + n \\ &= cn(\lg(n) + 1) - cn + n \\ &= cn \lg(2n) - cn + n \\ &\leq cn \lg(2n) \end{aligned}$$

which shows the desired $T(n) \leq cn \lg(2n)$ provided the last inequality holds, but that will be the case if $c \geq 1$.

We have completed the inductive step, for which $c \geq 1$ will suffice, but we need to also consider the base case(s). Since we must prove (2) for all $n \geq 1$, and the inductive step covers when $n \geq 2$, our task is to examine $n = 1$. Our obligation is to ensure that

$$T(1) \leq c \lg(2) = c$$

and we can conclude that with $c \geq \max(1, T(1))$ the inductive proof will indeed go through. We have proved that (2) holds for all $n \geq 1$, from which it is easy to see that $T(n) \in O(n \lg(n))$: for $n \geq 2$ we have $\lg(n) \geq 1$ and thus

$$T(n) \leq cn \lg(2n) = cn(\lg(n) + 1) \leq 2cn \lg(n).$$