A Guide to AGN Emission and Absorption Lines and "What they mean".

Nicholas P. Ross

September 12, 2016

Abstract

This is a (currently very) simple document which will hopefully/eventually be a pretty complete list of various AGN emission lines and 'what they mean'. That is to say, when a paper reports a flux of a certain line, why is that line special?

1 Narrow vs. Broad Lines

Broad-Line Region. The lines arising here include hydrogen and helium recombination lines, permitted and semi-forbidden lines such as C IV and [C III (most of these in the emitted UV), and complex multiplets of Fe II. The lack of other lines suggests densities in excess of 107 cm^{-3} , and some considerations suggest values as high as 10^{11} . At these densities, recombination is a very efficient radiator; a typical BLR requires only 10^6 solar masses.

And Seyfert Galaxies. The spectra of Seyfert galaxies typically contain:

- Non-thermal continuum emission;
- Narrow (→ low velocity), forbidden (→ low density material) lines which do not vary detectably (→ large emitting region)
- Broad (\rightarrow high velocity), permitted lines which vary on fairly short timescales (\rightarrow small emitting region)
- Also, strong emission in the radio, infrared, ultraviolet, and X-ray parts of the spectrum.

2 Type 1.5, 1.8 and 1.9s

In 1981, Donald Osterbrok introduced the notations Seyfert 1.5, 1.8 and 1.9, where the subclasses are based on the optical appearance of the spectrum, with the numerically larger subclasses having weaker broad-line components relative to the narrow lines. For example, Type 1.9 only shows a broad component in the H line, and not in higher order Balmer lines. In Type 1.8, very weak broad lines can be detected in the H β lines as well as H, even if they are very weak compared to the H α . In Type 1.5, the strength of the H α and H β lines are comparable.

From Roig et al. (2014): Variations in the relative strength and visibility of the Balmer lines have led some investigators to define more detailed subdivisions of Seyferts. Seyfert 1.5 galaxies have moderate-strength broad H α and H β ; Seyfert 1.8 have weak broad H α and H β ; and Seyfert 1.9 have weak broad H α and only narrow H β (see Osterbrock & Ferland 2006; Ho 2008).

Table 1: Ionization Energies of some (mainly UV) emisson lines

Ion	Wavelength	Ground	Ionized	Ionization
name	/ Angstroms	Level	Level	Energy / eV
HI	912	$^{2}\mathrm{S}\frac{1}{2}$	n/a	13.598
[O I]	1304	${}^3\mathrm{P}_2^2$	$2p^{3} {}^{4}S^{\circ}_{\frac{3}{2}}$	13.618
Mg II	2800		$2p^6 \ ^1S_0^{\frac{5}{2}}$	15.035
Fe II	1787	$^{2}S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ $^{6}D_{\frac{9}{2}}$	$3d^{6} ^{5}\mathrm{D}_{4}$	16.199
SiII	1260	${}^{2}\mathrm{P}_{rac{1}{2}}^{2}$	$3s^2 {}^1S_0$	16.345
AlII	1671?	${}^{1}\mathrm{S}_{0}^{^{2}}$	$3s$ $^2\mathrm{S}_{rac{1}{2}}$	18.829
AlIII	1857	$^2\mathrm{S}_{rac{1}{2}}$	$2p^6 \ ^1{ m S}_0^2$	28.448
[O II]	3727	${}^{2}S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ ${}^{4}S_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\circ}$ ${}^{1}S_{0}$	$2p^2 {}^3P_0$	35.121
C III]	1909	${}^{1}\mathrm{S}_{0}^{^{2}}$	$2s$ $^2\mathrm{S}_{rac{1}{2}}$	47.889
Неп	1640	$^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}$	n/a^2	54.417
[O III]	5007	3P0	$2p \ 2P1/2$	54.93554
CIV	1548	${}^{2}S_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$1s^2 {}^1S_0$	64.494
Nv	1240	${}^{2}\mathrm{S}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2}$	$1s^2 {}^1S_0$	97.890

From https://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/level5/Sept01/Veilleux/Veilleux5.html

Ion	Wavelength	Ground	Ionized	Ionization
name	$/~\mu\mathrm{m}$	Level	Level	Energy / eV
[Ca VIII]	2.321			128
[Si VI]	1.962			167
[Si VII]	2.483			205
[Si IX]	3.935			303
[S IX]	1.252			328
[Si X]	1.430			351
[Si XI]	1.932			401

3 Ionization Line

NIST is your friend!!!

http://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/ASD/ionEnergy.html

THIS LINK!!!:

https://dept.astro.lsa.umich.edu/cowley/ionen.htm

And also,

http://www.pa.uky.edu/~verner/atom.html

3.1 High-Ionization Line

From Wu et al. (2012) "...are clearly AGNs as evidenced by strong, high-ionization emission lines such as O vi, C iv, and/or C iii]."

"High-ionization BALQSOs (HiBALs) contain strong, broad absorption troughs shortward of high-ionization emission lines and are typically identified through the presence of C IV absorption troughs (Trump




Figure 1: From Suzuki et al. (2005): Mean spectrum of 50 HST quasar spectra. The spectrum is normalized near 1280Å. The wavelengths are taken from Morton (1991), except for Fe II , Fe III , and C III] * lines, which are observed wavelengths from Tytler et al. (2004a). The tick marks shown below the spectrum are the wavelengths of the Fe II and Fe III multiplet. The dotted line is the power-law continuum approximation. Note that the emission lines do exist in the Ly α wavelength region. We also note that the wavelength separation of the Si IV doublet at $\lambda 1400$ is relatively large and makes the line profile broad.

Table 2: Ionization Energies of some (mainly UV) emisson lines

Ion	Wavelength	Ground	Ionized	Ionization
name	/ Angstroms	Level	Level	Energy / eV
HI	912	${}^{2}\mathrm{S}\frac{1}{2}$	n/a	13.598
$Ly\beta$	1025.72	$1s$ $^{\bar{2}}S$	n = 3	12.0875
$Ly\alpha$	1215.67	$1s$ 2S	n = 2	10.198
Nv	1240	${}^{2}\mathrm{S}_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$1s^2 {}^1S_0$	97.890
Si 11	1260	${}^{2}\mathrm{P}_{rac{1}{2}}^{\circ} \ {}^{3}\mathrm{P}_{2}$	$3s^2 {}^1S_0$	16.345
[OI]	1304		$2p^3 \ ^4\mathrm{S}^{\circ}_{rac{3}{2}}$	13.618
$\mathrm{C}\mathrm{iv}$	1548	${}^{2}S_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$1s^2 ^1S_0^2$	64.494
Неп	1640	${}^{2}S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2}$ ${}^{1}S_{0}$	n/a	54.417
AlII	1671?	${}^{1}S_{0}^{2}$	$3s\ ^{2}\mathrm{S}_{\frac{1}{2}}$	18.829
Fe II	1787	$^{6}\mathrm{D}\frac{9}{2}$	$3d^6 \ ^5D_4$	16.199
AlIII	1857	${}^{2}\mathrm{S}_{rac{1}{2}}^{^{2}}$	$2p^{6} {}^{1}S_{0}$	28.448
$\mathrm{C}{}_{\mathrm{III}}]$	1909	$^{1}\mathrm{S}_{0}$	$2s\ ^{2}{ m S}_{rac{1}{2}}$	47.889
MgII	2800	${}^{2}\mathrm{S}_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$2p^6 \ ^1\text{S}_0^2$	15.035
[O II]	3727	${}^{2}\mathrm{S}_{rac{1}{2}} \ {}^{4}\mathrm{S}_{rac{3}{2}}^{\circ}$	$2p^2 {}^3P_0$	35.121
[O III]	5007	$3P_0^2$	$2p \ 2P1/2$	54.93554

et al., 2006)."

3.2 Low-Ionization Line

"LoBALs are QSOs that have BALs from ions at lower ionization states such as Al III or Mg II " (Gibson et al., 2009)

Table 3: The Lines

Name	Wavelength / Å	Transition	Rest Passband	Interpreation	Reference
Lyman- α	1215.67	2 to 1	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	
Lyman- β	1025.18	3 to 1	\sim FUV		1
$\text{Lyman-}\gamma$	972.02	4 to 1	\sim FUV		1
Lyman Limit	911.27	∞ to 1	\sim FUV		1
H - α	6563.	3 to 2	R,r	Recent major SF or AGN activity	2
H - β	4861.	4 to 2	B,V,g		2
H - γ	4341.	5 to 2	U,B,u		2
H - δ	4102.	6 to 2	\sim FUV	Previous SF history	3
Balmer Limit	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV		2
HI	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV		2
HII	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV		2
HeI	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV		2
HeII	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV		2
HeIII	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV		2
CIV	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	2
OII	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	2
OIII	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV	Recent major SF line	2
OIII	5007.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV	Recent major SF line	2
Ca II H	3999.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV	Old stellar pop	3
Ca II K	4001.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV	Old stellar pop	3
NII	5007.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV		2
NeV	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	2
$[OIII \lambda 5007/ H\beta]$				"BPT" diagram reliable tool for determining source	2, 4, 5
$[{ m NII}~\lambda~6583/~{ m H}lpha]$				of line emission from a galaxy visually differentiate	2,4,5
				between Seyferts, LINERs and SF gals. However, only at	
				"low" redshifts since need H α , (not at $z \sim 1$).	
				Modified BPT with $(U-B)$ colour replacing	
				[NII λ 6583/ H α] e.g. Montero-Dorta, 0801.2769.	
$[\mathrm{SII}~\lambda~6583/~\mathrm{H}lpha]$		∞ to 2	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	2,4.5
$[lpha/{ m Fe}]$	3646.	∞ to 2	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	2
NV	1322.67	2 to 1	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	1
SIIV	1333.67	2 to 1	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	1
CIV	1333.67	2 to 1	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	1
CIII]	1333.67	2 to 1	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	1
MgII	1???.67	2 to 1	\sim FUV	Major QSO line	1

4 MgII

This is just a quick mini-section on the MgII line.

5 Notes, Links and To Dos...

6 "Manual" References and Links

Morton, D. C. 1991, ApJS, 77, 119 Tytler, D., OMeara, J. M., Suzuki, N., Kirkman, D., Lubin, D., & Orin, A.. 2004a, AJ, 128, 1058

References

Gibson R. R., et al., 2009, ApJ, 692, 758

Roig B., Blanton M. R., Ross N. P., 2014, ApJ, 781, 72

Suzuki N., Tytler D., Kirkman D., O'Meara J. M., Lubin D., 2005, ApJ, 618, 592

Trump J. R., et al., 2006, ApJS, 165, 1

Table 4: The Lines, in increasing Wavelength (Basis for this table from SDSS SkyServer Schema Browser, SpecLineNames view http://casjobs.sdss.org/dr6/en/help/browser/browser.asp)

namo	value	description
name UNKNOWN	0	0.00
OVI_1033		
	1033	$1033.82 \\ 1215.67$
Lya_1215 NV_1241	1215	1213.07
	1241	
OI_1306	1306	1305.53
CII_1335 SiIV_1398	1335	
	1398	1397.61
SiIV_OIV_1400	1400	1399.80
CIV_1549		1549.48
HeII_1640		1640.40
OIII_1666		1665.85
AlIII_1857	1857	1857.40
CIII_1909		1908.73
CII_2326		2326.00
NeIV_2439	2439	2439.50
MgII_2799	2799	2799.12
		3346.79
		3426.85
OII_3727	3727	3727.09
OII_3730	3730	3729.88
		3798.98
v		3836.47
HeI_3889	3889	3889.00
CaII K_3935		3934.78
CAII H_3970		3969.59
$He_{-}3971$	3971	
SII_4072	4072	4072.30
Hd_4103	4103	
$G_{-}4306$	4306	
$\mathrm{Hg}_{-}4342$		4341.68
OIII_4364		4364.44
Hb_4863	4863	4862.68
$OIII_{4}933$	4933	4932.60
$OIII_4960$	4960	4960.30
OIII_5008	5008	5008.24
$Mg_{-}5177$	5177	5176.70
Na_5896	5896	5895.60
$OI_{-}6302$	6302	6302.05
OI_6366	6366	6365.54
$NI_{-}6529$	6529	6529.03
$NII_{-}6550$	6550	6549.86
$Ha_{-}6565$	6565	6564.61
NII_6585	6585	6585.27
Li_6708	6708	6707.89
SII_6718	6718	6718.29
SII_6733	6733	6732.67
$CaII_{-}8500$	8500	8500.36
$CaII_{-}8544$	8544	8544.44
$CaII_{-}8665$	8665	8664.52