# Stochastic Uncoupled Dynamics and Nash Equilibrium

Daniel Balle

ETH Zürich

May 2017



# Definition (Uncoupledness)

A dynamic process in a game is called **uncoupled** if the strategy of each player does not depend on the utility/payoff function of other players.



# Definition (Uncoupledness)

A dynamic process in a game is called **uncoupled** if the strategy of each player does not depend on the utility/payoff function of other players.

#### Hart and Mas-Colell '03

There are no deterministic uncoupled stationary dynamics that guarantee almost sure convergence to pure Nash equilibria in all games where such equilibria exist.



#### The Bad News

	$\alpha$	β	$\gamma$
$\alpha$	1,0	0, 1	1,0
$\beta$	0, 1	1,0	1,0
$\gamma$	0, 1	0,1	1,1

Figure: A simple two-player game  ${\cal U}$ 

### Observation

In each action combination  $\boldsymbol{a}$  at least one of the two players is best-replying.



The Bad News

)
)
-

Figure: A simple two-player game U

#### Observation

In each action combination a at least one of the two players is best-replying.

#### Lemma

Under uncoupled dynamics f, if player i is best-replying in state a he will play the same move again.



The Bad News

	$\alpha$	β	$\gamma$
$\alpha$	1, <b>2</b>	0, 1	1,0
β	0, 1	1,0	1,0
$\gamma$	0,1	0, 1	1, <b>0</b>

Figure: Another two-player game  $U^\prime$ 

# Proof 1/2.

- ▶ Pick some *a* where player 1 is best-replying
- $\blacktriangleright$  Create a new game  $U'=(u^1,\bar{u}^2)$  such that a becomes the unique Nash equilibria



#### The Bad News

$\alpha$	β	$\gamma$
1, <b>2</b>	0, 1	1,0
0, 1	1,0	1,0
0, 1	0, 1	1, <b>0</b>
	1, <b>2</b> 0, 1	1, 2 0, 1 0, 1 1, 0

Figure: Another two-player game  $U^\prime$ 

# Proof 2/2.

- $\blacktriangleright$  The dynamics f converge and thus neither player will move away from a
- ▶ Yet by uncoupledness  $f^1(U) = f^1(U')$



#### The Bad News

	$\alpha$	β	$\gamma$
$\alpha$	1,0	0, 1	1,0
$\beta$	0, 1	1,0	1,0
$\gamma$	0, 1	0,1	1,1

Figure: The initial two-player game  ${\cal U}$ 

#### Observation 2

In any action combination a in which only player i plays  $\gamma,$  player i is not best-replying and thus player j is.



The Bad News

	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$
$\alpha$	1,0	0, 1	1,0
$\beta$	0, 1	1,0	1,0
$\gamma$	0, 1	0,1	1,1

Figure: The initial two-player game  ${\it U}$ 

#### Observation 2

In any action combination a in which only player i plays  $\gamma$ , player i is not best-replying and thus player j is.

#### Conclusion.

It follows that the state  $(\gamma, \gamma)$  can never be reached when starting from any other state.  $\Box$ 



# Introduction But ...

What if players could **remember** previous plays? What if they had **memories**?



# Recall

Influence from the Past

#### Definition

A strategy has R-recall if only the last R action combinations matter, i.e.  $f^i$  is of the form  $f^i(u^i; a(t-R),...,a(t-1))$ .



# Influence from the Past

Recall

#### Definition

A strategy has R-recall if only the last R action combinations matter, i.e.  $f^i$  is of the form  $f^i(u^i; a(t-R), ..., a(t-1))$ .

#### **Theorem**

There exist uncoupled, **2**-recall, stationary strategy mappings that guarantee almost sure convergence to pure Nash equilibria in every game where such equilibria exist.



# Definition (State)

A state is identified as the play of the two previous periods  $(a',a):=(a(t-1),a(t))\in A\times A.$ 

# Definition (State)

A state is identified as the play of the two previous periods  $(a',a):=(a(t-1),a(t))\in A\times A.$ 

# Strategy $f^i$

- ▶ if a' = a and  $a^i$  is a best reply of player i to  $a^{-i}$  then player i plays the same action  $a^i$ ;
- $\blacktriangleright$  otherwise player i picks an action  $\bar{a}^i$  uniformly at random from  $A^i$



	a' = a	$a' \neq a$
$a \in PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_1$	$\mathbf{S}_2$
$a \notin PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_4$	$\mathbf{S}_3$

 $\label{eq:Figure:State} \textit{Figure: State space } S \; \textit{partition}$ 

	a' = a	$a' \neq a$
$a \in PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_1$	$\mathbf{S}_2$
$a \notin PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_4$	$\mathbf{S}_3$

 $\label{eq:Figure:State} \textit{Figure: State space } S \; \textit{partition}$ 

# Observation

Each state in  $S_1$  is absorbing.

	a' = a	$a' \neq a$
$a \in PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_1$	$\mathbf{S}_2$
$a \notin PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_4$	$\mathbf{S}_3$

Figure: State space  ${\cal S}$  partition

#### Observation

Each state in  $S_1$  is absorbing.

# Strategy $f^i$ .

▶ if a' = a and  $a^i$  is a best reply of player i to  $a^{-i}$  then player i plays the same action  $a^i$ ;



	a' = a	$a' \neq a$
$a \in PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_1$	$\mathbf{S}_2$
$a \notin PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_4$	$\mathbf{S}_3$

Figure: State space  ${\cal S}$  partition

#### Lemma

For all states  $s \in S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4$  there is a strictly positive probability p>0 to reach a state  $s' \in S_1$  in finitely many periods.



	a' = a	$a' \neq a$
$a\inPNE$	$\mathbf{S}_1$	$\mathbf{S}_2$
$a \notin PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_4$	$\mathbf{S}_3$

Figure: State space  ${\cal S}$  partition

#### Lemma

For all states  $s \in S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4$  there is a strictly positive probability p>0 to reach a state  $s' \in S_1$  in finitely many periods.

### $S_2$ .

All players randomly pick  $a^i$  again  $\Rightarrow (a, a) \in S_1$ 



	a' = a	$a' \neq a$
$a \in PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_1$	$\mathbf{S}_2$
$a\notinPNE$	$\mathbf{S}_4$	$\mathbf{S}_3$

Figure: State space  ${\cal S}$  partition

#### Lemma

For all states  $s \in S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4$  there is a strictly positive probability p>0 to reach a state  $s' \in S_1$  in finitely many periods.

#### $S_3$ .

All players randomly pick some  $\bar{a}^i$  s.t.  $\bar{a} \in PNE \Rightarrow (a, \bar{a}) \in S_2$ 



	a' = a	$a' \neq a$
$a\inPNE$	$\mathbf{S}_1$	$\mathbf{S}_2$
$a \notin PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_4$	$\mathbf{S}_3$

Figure: State space S partition

#### Lemma

For all states  $s \in S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4$  there is a strictly positive probability p > 0 to reach a state  $s' \in S_1$  in finitely many periods.

#### $S_4$

Some player randomly picks  $\bar{a}^i \Rightarrow (a, \bar{a}) \in S_2 \cup S_3$ 



	a' = a	$a' \neq a$
$a \in PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_1$	$\mathbf{S}_2$
$a \notin PNE$	$\mathbf{S}_4$	$\mathbf{S}_3$

 $\label{eq:Figure:State} \textit{Figure: State space } S \; \textit{partition}$ 

# Conclusion.

f induces an absorbing Markov Chain over S.



# Can We Go Further?

What about **Mixed** Nash Equilibria?



# Mixed Equilibira

#### Theorem

For every small enough  $\epsilon>0$ , there are no uncoupled, **finite recall**, stationary strategy mappings f that guarantee in every game, the almost sure convergence of the behavior probabilities to Nash  $\epsilon$ -equilibria.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,0 & 0,1 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \\ \end{array}$$
(a)  $U=(u^1,u^2)$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,1 & 0,0 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \\ \end{array}$$
 (b)  $U'=(u^1,\bar{u}^2)$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,0 & 0,1 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \end{array}$$
(a)  $U = (u^1,u^2)$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,1 & 0,0 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \\ \end{array}$$
 (b)  $U'=(u^1,\bar{u}^2)$ 

#### Observation

- ▶ Unique equilibria in U is  $\underline{x}$  with  $\underline{x}^i = (0.5, 0.5)$
- ▶ Unique equlibiria in U' is  $\underline{a} = (\alpha, \alpha)$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,0 & 0,1 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \end{array}$$
(a)  $U=(u^1,u^2)$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,1 & 0,0 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \\ \end{array}$$
 (b)  $U'=(u^1,\bar{u}^2)$ 

# Suppose f exists.

• f assigns  $x^i(\alpha) > 0$  in both U and U'



$$\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1, 0 & 0, 1 \\ \beta & 0, 1 & 1, 0 \end{array}$$
(a)  $U = (u^1, u^2)$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,1 & 0,0 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \\ \end{array}$$
 (b)  $U'=(u^1,\bar{u}^2)$ 

# Suppose f exists.

- f assigns  $x^i(\alpha) > 0$  in both U and U'
- We eventually reach the state  $s = (\underline{a}, ..., \underline{a})$  in both games



$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,0 & 0,1 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \\ \end{array}$$
(a)  $U=(u^1,u^2)$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,1 & 0,0 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \\ \end{array}$$
 (b)  $U'=(u^1,\bar{u}^2)$ 

# Suppose f exists.

- f assigns  $x^i(\alpha) > 0$  in both U and U'
- lacktriangle We eventually reach the state  $s=(\underline{a},...,\underline{a})$  in both games
- $ightharpoonup f^1(s)$  should then be close to the unique Nash Equilibrium



$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,0 & 0,1 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \end{array}$$
(a)  $U=(u^1,u^2)$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & 1,1 & 0,0 \\ \beta & 0,1 & 1,0 \\ \end{array}$$
 (b)  $U'=(u^1,\bar{u}^2)$ 

# Suppose f exists.

- f assigns  $x^i(\alpha) > 0$  in both U and U'
- lacktriangle We eventually reach the state  $s=(\underline{a},...,\underline{a})$  in both games
- $f^1(s)$  should then be close to the unique Nash Equilibrium
- lacktriangleright Contradicts uncoupledness since  $f^1(U;s)=f^1(U';s)$



But...

What about if players had arbitrary memories?



# Memory No Continuity Restriction

#### Definition

A player's strategy  $f^i$  has finite R-memory if it can be implemented by a finite-state automaton in  $|A|^R$  states.



# Memory No Continuity Restriction

#### Definition

A player's strategy  $f^i$  has finite R-memory if it can be implemented by a finite-state automaton in  $|A|^R$  states.

#### **Theorem**

For every  $\epsilon>0$  there exists an uncoupled, R-memory, stationary strategy mapping that guarantees the almost sure convergence of the behavior probabilities to a Nash  $\epsilon$ -equilibrium  $\underline{x}$ .



# Proof Buckle up

# Construction 1/2

Find some K such that there is a Nash  $\epsilon$ -Equilibrium  $y=(y^1,...,y^N)$  with all probabilities being multiples of 1/K.

# Proof Buckle up

# Construction 1/2

- Find some K such that there is a Nash  $\epsilon$ -Equilibrium  $y=(y^1,...,y^N)$  with all probabilities being multiples of 1/K.
- ▶ Define f to have R = 2K + 1 memory.



### Construction 1/2

- Find some K such that there is a Nash  $\epsilon$ -Equilibrium  $y=(y^1,...,y^N)$  with all probabilities being multiples of 1/K.
- ▶ Define f to have R = 2K + 1 memory.

#### Definition

▶ The state  $\tilde{s}^i$  of player i are his R memories  $(a_0, a_1, ... a_{2K})$ 



#### Construction 1/2

- Find some K such that there is a Nash  $\epsilon$ -Equilibrium  $y=(y^1,...,y^N)$  with all probabilities being multiples of 1/K.
- ▶ Define f to have R = 2K + 1 memory.

#### Definition

- ▶ The state  $\tilde{s}^i$  of player i are his R memories  $(a_0, a_1, ... a_{2K})$
- ▶  $s^i$  denotes his 2K most recent memories  $(a_1,...,a_{2K})$



#### Construction 1/2

- Find some K such that there is a Nash  $\epsilon$ -Equilibrium  $y=(y^1,...,y^N)$  with all probabilities being multiples of 1/K.
- ▶ Define f to have R = 2K + 1 memory.

#### Definition

- ▶ The state  $\tilde{s}^i$  of player i are his R memories  $(a_0, a_1, ... a_{2K})$
- $s^i$  denotes his 2K most recent memories  $(a_1,...,a_{2K})$
- ▶ Here all players will have the same memories  $\tilde{s}!$



#### Definition

We denote by  $z^i$  the frequency distribution of actions from player i over his K most recent memories  $(a_{K+1},...,a_{2K})$ .



#### **Definition**

We denote by  $z^i$  the frequency distribution of actions from player i over his K most recent memories  $(a_{K+1},...,a_{2K})$ .

#### Example

For the 
$$K=5$$
 memories  $((\alpha,\alpha),(\alpha,\beta),(\beta,\gamma),(\gamma,\gamma),(\gamma,\gamma))$ : 
$$z^1(\alpha)=2/5, \quad z^1(\beta)=1/5, \quad z^1(\gamma)=2/5$$

$$z^2(\alpha) = 1/5, \quad z^2(\beta) = 1/5, \quad z^2(\gamma) = 3/5$$



# ${\sf Strategy}\ f^i$

```
if 	ilde{s}=(a_0,s) is not K-periodic (Mode I)
```

if  $\tilde{s} = (a_0, s)$  is not K-periodic (Mode I)

lacktriangleright if s is K-periodic and

### if $\widetilde{s}=(a_0,s)$ is not K-periodic (Mode I)

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$ , he continues his periodic play

### if $\tilde{s} = (a_0, s)$ is not K-periodic (Mode I)

- ▶ if s is K-periodic and
  - $z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$ , he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise, he breaks his periodic play

### if $\widetilde{s}=(a_0,s)$ is not K-periodic (Mode I)

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$ , he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise, he breaks his periodic play
- ▶ if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $\bar{a}^i$



### if $\widetilde{s}=(a_0,s)$ is not K-periodic (Mode I)

- ▶ if s is K-periodic and
  - $z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$ , he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise, he breaks his periodic play
- lacktriangleright if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $ar{a}^i$

The new state of  $f^i$  becomes  $\tilde{s}' = (a_1, ..., a_{2K}, \bar{a})$ .



### if $\widetilde{s}=(a_0,s)$ is not K-periodic (Mode I)

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$ , he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise, he breaks his periodic play
- lacktriangleright if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $ar{a}^i$

The new state of  $f^i$  becomes  $\tilde{s}' = (a_1, ..., a_{2K}, \bar{a})$ .

if 
$$\tilde{s} = (a_0, s)$$
 is  $K$ -periodic (Mode II)



### if $\widetilde{s}=(a_0,s)$ is not K-periodic (Mode I)

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$ , he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise, he breaks his periodic play
- lacktriangleright if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $ar{a}^i$

The new state of  $f^i$  becomes  $\tilde{s}' = (a_1, ..., a_{2K}, \bar{a})$ .

### if $\widetilde{s} = (a_0, s)$ is K-periodic (Mode II)

ightharpoonup player i plays the mixed action  $z^i$ 



### if $\widetilde{s}=(a_0,s)$ is not K-periodic (Mode I)

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$ , he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise, he breaks his periodic play
- lacktriangleright if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $ar{a}^i$

The new state of  $f^i$  becomes  $\tilde{s}' = (a_1, ..., a_{2K}, \bar{a})$ .

### if $\tilde{s} = (a_0, s)$ is K-periodic (Mode II)

lacktriangle player i plays the mixed action  $z^i$ 

The state of  $f^i$  remains the same  $\tilde{s}' = \tilde{s}$ .



#### Observation

Once in Mode II each player will play the same mixed action  $\boldsymbol{z}^i$  forever.



When do we reach Mode II?



#### When do we reach Mode II?

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $lacktriangleright z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$  he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise he breaks his periodic play
- lacktriangleright if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $ar{a}^i$



#### When do we reach Mode II?

▶ For  $\tilde{s} = (a_0, s)$  to be K-periodic, first s has to be

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $lacktriangleq z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$  he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise he breaks his periodic play
- lacktriangleright if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $ar{a}^i$



#### When do we reach Mode II?

▶ For  $\tilde{s} = (a_0, s)$  to be K-periodic, first s has to be

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$  he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise he breaks his periodic play
- ▶ if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $\bar{a}^i$



#### When do we reach Mode II?

- ▶ For  $\tilde{s} = (a_0, s)$  to be K-periodic, first s has to be
- ▶ Since  $s' = (a_1, ..., a_{2K}, \bar{a})$  we get K-periodicity only if  $\bar{a} = a_1$

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$  he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise he breaks his periodic play
- lacktriangleright if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $ar{a}^i$



#### When do we reach Mode II?

- ▶ For  $\tilde{s} = (a_0, s)$  to be K-periodic, first s has to be
- ▶ Since  $s' = (a_1, ..., a_{2K}, \bar{a})$  we get K-periodicity only if  $\bar{a} = a_1$

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$  he continues his periodic play
  - ▶ otherwise he breaks his periodic play
- lacktriangleright if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $ar{a}^i$



#### When do we reach Mode II?

- For  $\tilde{s}=(a_0,s)$  to be K-periodic, first s has to be
- ▶ Since  $s' = (a_1, ..., a_{2K}, \bar{a})$  we get K-periodicity only if  $\bar{a} = a_1$
- ▶ We enter Mode II when z is an  $\epsilon$ -Equilibria!

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $lacktriangleq z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$  he continues his periodic play
  - ▶ otherwise he breaks his periodic play
- lacktriangleright if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $ar{a}^i$



#### When do we reach Mode II?

- For  $\tilde{s}=(a_0,s)$  to be K-periodic, first s has to be
- ▶ Since  $s' = (a_1, ..., a_{2K}, \bar{a})$  we get K-periodicity only if  $\bar{a} = a_1$
- ▶ We enter Mode II when z is an  $\epsilon$ -Equilibria!

- ightharpoonup if s is K-periodic and
  - $lacktriangleq z^i$  is a  $\epsilon$ -best reply to  $z^{-i}$  he continues his periodic play
  - otherwise he breaks his periodic play
- lacktriangleright if s is not K-periodic player i picks a random action  $ar{a}^i$



### Memory is pretty cool

#### **Memories**

Memory is almost identical to Recall as players will always remember the R most recent plays. But once they reach a Nash equilibria this becomes the only memory they hold on to.



	Recall			Memory
	1	2	R	R
Pure				
Mixed				



	Recall			Memory
	1	2	R	R
Pure	X			
Mixed				



	Recall			Memory
	1	2	R	R
Pure	X	1	1	
Mixed				



	Recall			Memory
	1	2	R	R
Pure	X	1	1	
Mixed	X	X	X	



	Recall			Memory
	1 2 R		R	R
Pure	X	1	1	✓
Mixed	X	X	X	$\checkmark$

