

# Algorithms and Data Structures

---

Daniel Balle 2018

# Heap

A heap is a nearly complete tree in which all parents have values either larger (*max-heap*) or lower (*min-heap*) than their children.

<b>heapify</b>	<b>build</b>	<b>heapsort</b>	<b>search</b>	<b>modify</b>
$\log n$	$n$	$n \log n$	$n$	$\log n$

*Remark.* Heaps can be implemented efficiently using *arrays*.

# Space Efficient Trees

Nearly complete binary trees can be implemented efficiently using an array and the following helper functions.

```
children(i) = { 2i + 1, 2i + 2 }  
parent(i)   =  $\lfloor (i-1) / 2 \rfloor$ 
```

*Remark.* code for 0 indexes arrays.

# Heapify

The `heapify` function produces a new *heap* given an arbitrary root to two valid heaps in  $O(\log n)$  by iteratively swapping the root with its largest child.

```
node = largest(root, left(root), right(root))
if (root != node) {
    exchange heap[root] and heap[node]
    heapify(node)
}
```

*Remark.* This function is the core of **heap-build** and **heapsort**.

# Building a Heap

To build a *heap* in linear time  $O(n)$  we iteratively apply **heapify** from the parents of leafs, which are valid heaps, to the root.

```
for i from parent(n) to 1
    heapify(i)
```

# Heapsort

average	worst	memory	stable
$n \log n$	$n \log n$	1	×

`heapsort` is a sorting algorithm using a *heap* to iteratively extract the root and rebuilding a smaller heap using `heapify`.

```
build-max-heap(A)
heap-end = n - 1
while (end > 0) {
    swap A[heap-end] and A[0]
    heap-end--
    heapify(A, heap-end)    // restore heap property
}
```

# Selection Sort

average	worst	memory	stable
$n^2$	$n^2$	1	✓

`selection-sort` is an inefficient sorting algorithm progressively finding the *smallest* element to grow a sorted subarray.

```
for (i from 0 to n-2)
  x = a[i]
  for (j from i to n-1) x = min(x, a[j])
a[i] = x
```

*Remark.* Similar to *insertion sort*. A bidirectional variant finding both minimum and maximum each iteration is *cocktail sort*.

# Quicksort

average	worst	memory	stable
$n \log n$	$n^2$	1	$\times$

`quicksort` is a sorting algorithm progressively partitioning the array into two subarrays containing only elements respectively smaller and larger than some pivot.

```
quicksort(A, lo, hi):           // if lo < hi
    p = partition(A, lo, hi)    // pivot at correct spot
    quicksort(A, lo, p-1)
    quicksort(A, p+1, hi)
```

Linear partitioning schemes such as *Lomuto* and *Hoare* produce an average running time  $T(n) = O(n) + 2T(n/2)$ .

*Remark.* Randomized quicksort also yields  $O(n \log n)$  worst-case.



# Lomuto Partitioning

Lomuto is a linear partitioning scheme using the last element as the pivot and progressively growing a region with only lower elements.

```
p = A[hi]
i = lo  // A[lo..i-1] are elements below p
for (j from lo to hi - 1)  // A[i..j] are over p
    if (A[j] < p)
        exchange A[i] and A[j]
        i += 1
exchange A[i+1] and p
return i+1
```

*Remark.* Used in *quicksort* and *quickselect*. By first swapping a random element to the end we produce randomized quicksort.

*Remark.* Less efficient than *Hoare*.

# Hoare Partitioning

Hoare is a *linear* partitioning scheme in which two pointers travel towards each other while exchanging elements that violate their respective relation to the pivot.

```
p = A[lo]
i = lo - 1 // A[lo..i] are smaller than p
j = hi + 1 // A[j..hi] are larger than p
while True
    do i++ while A[i] < p
    do j-- while p < A[j]
    if (i < j) exchange A[i] and A[j]
```

*Remark.* Used for *quicksort* and *quickselect*.

# Dutch Flag Partitioning

The Dutch Flag problem is solved by a *linear* three-way partition operating with constant memory which iterates over the array while progressively growing three regions.

```
x = -1    // A[0..x] contains 0s
i = 0     // A[x+1...i-1] contains 1s
y = n     // A[y..n] contains 2s
while (i < y)
    if (A[i] < 1) { x++; swap A[x] and A[i]; i++ }
    if (A[i] = 1) { i++ }
    if (A[i] > 1) { y--; swap A[y] and A[i] }
```

*Remark.* Useful for *quicksort* with multiple duplicates.

# Quickselect

Quickselect or Hoare Selection uses a partitioning scheme such as *Lomuto* or *Hoare* to select the  $k$ -th element in linear  $O(n)$  time.

```
select(A, lo, hi, k):  
    if (lo == hi) return A[lo]  
    p = partition(A, lo, hi) // pivot at correct spot  
    if (p == k) return A[p]  
    else if (p < k) return select(A, p+1, hi, k)  
    else return select(A, lo, p-1, k)
```

A pivot selection strategy such as *median-of-medians* can be used.

*Remark.* Worst case  $O(n^2)$  as for *quicksort*. Constant memory overhead under tail call optimization or iteration.

*Remark.* To find the  $k$ -th element we can also use a *heap* of size  $k$ .

# Mergesort

average	worst	memory	stable
$n \log n$	$n \log n$	$n$	✓

Mergesort is a stable sorting algorithm recursively sorting two sub-arrays of equal size before merging them.

```
merge-sort(A, lo, hi):  
    q = ⌊(lo+hi)/2⌋  
    merge-sort(A, lo, q)  
    merge-sort(A, q+1, hi)  
    merge(A, lo, hi, q)
```

Linear merging can be performed with  $O(n)$  memory and sentinel cards. `merge-sort` thus has running time  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$ .

*Remark.* Practical for multi-threaded sorting.

# Counting Sort

running time	memory	stable
$n + k$	$n + k$	✓

Counting sort is a stable integer sorting algorithm for a known range  $[0, k]$  placing elements based on their prefix sum.

```
for (i from 1 to A.length) C[A[i]] += 1 // occurrences
for (j from 1 to k) C[j] += C[j-1] // prefix sum
for (i from A.length to 1)
    B[C[A[i]]] = A[i] // put A[i] at position C[A[i]]
    C[A[i]] -= 1
```

*Remark.* Using both 0 and 1 indexed array. Due to being stable, counting sort can be used for **radix sort**.

*Remark.* Prefix sums can be very useful in subarray problems.

# Radix Sort

running time	memory	stable
$d(n + k)$	$n + k$	✓

Radix sort is an integer sorting algorithm using *counting sort* to sort elements for every digit, beginning with the *least-significant*.

```
for (i from 1 to d)
    stable-digit-sort(A, i) // digit 1 is the LSD
```

*Remark.* The underlying sorting algorithm needs to be stable.

# Bucket Sort

Bucket sort is a sorting algorithm assuming the input is drawn from a uniform distribution over  $[0, 1)$ . Distribute the input numbers into  $n$  equal-sized subintervals and sort each.

```
for (i in 1 to n) insert A[i] into list B[ $\lfloor n A[i] \rfloor$ ]  
for (i in 1 to n) sort list B[i]  
return B[0], ..., B[n-1]
```

*Remark.* Each bucket  $i$  is expected to contain few elements  $n_i$ , yielding linear  $O(n)$  average running time.

$$E[T(n)] = \Theta(n) + \sum_i^{n-1} O(E[n_i^2])$$



# Breadth-First Search

running time  $O(V + E)$  or  $O(b^{d+1})$

**BFS** is a graph traversal and search algorithm progressively expanding the *shallowest* nodes using a FIFO queue for the frontier.

```
frontier = queue(s)
discovered = {s : s}           // distance optional
while (frontier):
    u = frontier.dequeue()
    for (v in u.neighbors if v not in discovered)
        frontier.append(v)
        discovered[v] = u
    if (v == t) return t      // optional
```

*Remark.* Produces a *breadth-first tree*. BFS can find the *shortest path* if path cost is a non-decreasing function of depth.

# Depth-First Search

running time  $O(V + E)$

DFS is a graph traversal and search algorithm progressively expanding the *deepest* nodes in the frontier.

```
dfs-visit(u):  
    u.discovery = ++time  
    for (v in u.neighbors if v.parent = ∅)  
        v.parent = u  
        dfs-visit(v)  
    u.finish = ++time  
for (u in G.V if v.parent = ∅) dfs-visit(u)
```

*Remark.* A non-recursive implementation uses a stack.

*Remark.* Applications include *topological sort*, finding strongly connected *components* or labeling *node sets*, i.e. *depth-first trees*.

# Topological Sort

`topological-sort` produces a linear ordering  $\prec$  in a directed acyclic graph  $G$  such that  $(u, v) \in E \implies u \prec v$ , using decreasing finishing times of a *depth-first* forest.

```
topological-visit(u):  
    u.discovered = true  
    for (v in u.neighbors if not v.discovered)  
        topological-visit(v)  
    ordering.prepend(u)
```


# Strongly Connected Components

*Depth-first search* can be used to identify strongly connected components by being applied to the transposed graph  $G^T$ .

```
dfs(G) to produce u.f  
dfs( $G^T$ ) but create each tree by decreasing u.f  
each tree is a strongly connected component
```

*Remark.*  $G^T$  simply contains all edges of  $G$  reversed.

# Graphs in AI

Refer to the **Artificial Intelligence**  notes for more details on both uninformed and *informed* search algorithms.

# Iterative Postorder Traversal

To implement an *iterative* postorder traversal of a binary tree we can use a stack to perform *depth-first search* and order by decreasing discovery time.

```
stack = [root]
while (stack)
    x = stack.pop()
    solution.prepend(x)
    if (x.left) stack.push(x.left)
    if (x.right) stack.push(x.right)
```

*Remark.* Preorder traversal is achieved using the same logic. Consider the similarities between recursion and a stack here.

# Disjoint-Set Data Structure

A *disjoint-set* or *union-find* data structure tracks a set of elements partitioned into a number of disjoint subsets using a *representative* node for every subset.

make set	find	union
1	$n^*$	$n^*$

(\*) if optimized  $\alpha(n)$

*Remark.* Used by *Kruskal's algorithm* for minimum spanning trees.

## Disjoint-Set Structure Find

The `find` function of a *disjoint-set* data structure determines the representative of the set for a given element `x`.

```
find(x):  
    if (x.parent != x) x.parent = find(x.parent)  
    return x.parent
```

*Remark.* This `find` uses *path compression* to flatten the tree structure. Alternate optimizations are *path halving* and *path splitting*.



# Disjoint-Set Structure Union

The `union` function of a *disjoint-set* data structure merges two sets by determining a common representative by *rank* or *size*.

```
union(x, y)    // by size
    xroot, yroot = find(x), find(y)
    if (xroot.size < yroot.size) swap(xroot, yroot)
    yroot.parent = xroot
    xroot += yroot.size
```

# Kruskal's Algorithm

running time  $O(E \log E)$

Kruskal's algorithm finds the *minimum spanning tree* of a graph by greedily adding edges of increasing weight using *Union-Find*.

```
for v in V: make-set(v)
for (u,v) in E ordered by weight:
    if find(u) != find(v):
        A = A  $\cup$  {(u,v)}
        union(u, v)
```

*Remark.* See related *Prim's algorithm*.

# Prim's Algorithm

running time  $O(E \log V)$  or  $O(E + V \log V)$

Prim's algorithm finds the *minimum spanning tree* of a graph by starting at any vertex and greedily adding the cheapest *connection*.

```
C[v] =  $\infty$  for all v // cheapest connection to v
E[v] =  $\emptyset$  for all v // corresponding edge
Q = V
while Q:
    extract v from Q with min C[v]
    add v to F, and also E[v] if not  $\emptyset$ 
    update E[w], C[w] for all (v, w) in E
```

*Remark.* See related *Kruskal's algorithm*.

# Bellman-Ford Algorithm

running time  $\Theta(VE)$

`bellman-ford` solves single-source shortest-path problems for any directed graph through relaxation, and detects negative cycles.

```
u.d =  $\infty$  for (u in V) but s.d = 0
for (i from 1 to |V|-1)
    for (edge (u, v) in E)
        relax(u, v) // can v.d be improved through u?

for (edge (u, v) in E)
    if v.d > u.d + w(u, v) raise CycleException
```


*Remark.* Relaxation is used greedily in *Dijkstra's* algorithm.

# Dijkstra's Algorithm

running time  $O(V^2)$  or  $O(E + V \log V)$

`dijkstra` solves single-source shortest-path problems for directed graphs with non-negative weights using *greedy* relaxation.

```
u.d =  $\infty$  for (u in V) but s.d = 0
S =  $\emptyset$  // set of finished vertices
while (V-S)
    u = extract-min(V-S) // min u.d in V-S
    if (u == t) return t // optional
    S = S  $\cup$  { u }
    for (v in u.neighbors) relax(u, v)
```

*Remark.* Similar to *BFS* and *uniform cost search* from **AI**  notes.

*Remark.* The fastest running time is achieved using a min-priority queue with a Fibonacci heap.

# DAG Shortest Path

running time  $O(V + E)$

The single-source shortest-path problem for directed acyclic graphs can be solved linearly using *topological sort*.

```
u.d =  $\infty$  for (u in V) but s.d = 0
for (u in topological-sort(G))
    for (v in u.neighbors)
        relax(u, v)    // can v.d be improved through u?
```

# Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

running time  $O(V^3)$

`floyd-warshall` solves all-pairs shortest-path problems for graphs without negative weight cycles using dynamic programming. Define  $d_{ij}^k$  as the shortest path from  $i$  to  $j$  using vertices  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ .

$$d_{ij}^k = \min \left\{ w_{ij}, d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1} \right\}$$

# Hierholzer Algorithm

Given a directed graph, *Hierholzer's* algorithm finds an *Euler circuit*, i.e. a path that traverses every edge of the graph and ends on the starting vertex, in linear  $O(E)$  time.

TODO !



# Huffman Code


A Huffman code is an optimal *prefix* scheme for a character coding problem. The corresponding full binary tree is constructed by *greedily* merging leaves with minimal frequency.

```
Q = C // the characters
for (i from 1 to n-1)
    create new node z
    z.left = x = Q.extract-min() // 0
    z.right = y = Q.extract-min() // 1
    z.freq = x.freq + y.freq
    Q.insert(z, z.freq)
return Q.extract-min() // root
```

# Rod Cutting Problem

Given prices  $p_i$  for a rod of length  $i$ , determine the highest revenue decomposition for a rod of length  $n$ .

```
input:      p = [1, 5, 8, 9], n = 4  
solution:  r = 10    // two pieces of length 2
```

**Solution**  .

# Rod Cutting Solution

The *rod-cutting* problem can be solved using dynamic programming by exploiting optimal substructure. The maximum price  $r_n$  for a rod of length  $n$  can be written as:

$$r_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{p_i + r_{n-i}\}$$

A running time of  $\Theta(n^2)$  is achieved by both the *memoized top-down* and *bottom-up* implementations.

# Memoized Rod Cutting

The top-down implementation `rod-cut` with memoization to the *rod-cutting* problem is written recursively but solutions to subproblems are remembered in `r[i]`.

```
if (r[n] ≥ 0) return r[n]
q = 0
for (i from 1 to n)
    q = max{q, p[i] + rod-cut(n - i)}
r[n] = q
return r[n]
```

# Bottom-Up Rod Cutting


The bottom-up implementation `rod-cut` to the *rod-cutting* problem iteratively solves subproblems of larger size.

```
for (i from 1 to n)
  q = -∞
  for (j from 1 to i)
    q = max(q, p[j] + r[i - j])
  r[i] = q
return r[n]
```

# Longest Common Subsequence

Given two sequences  $X = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  and  $Y = \langle y_1, \dots, y_m \rangle$ , determine the maximum-length common subsequence  $Z$  of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

```
input:      X = ABCBDAB , Y = BDCABA
solution:   BCBA or BDAB    // 4
```

**Solution**  .

# LCS Solution

The *longest common subsequence* problem can be solved using dynamic programming in  $\Theta(mn)$ . Define  $c_{ij}$  the length of the LCS of the prefix sequences  $X_{1..i}$  and  $Y_{1..j}$ .

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{i-1,j-1} + 1 & \text{if } x_i = y_j \\ \max\{c_{i,j-1}, c_{i-1,j}\} & \text{if } x_i \neq y_j \end{cases}$$

For the optimal solution use auxiliary table  $b_{ij} \in \{\uparrow, \swarrow, \leftarrow\}$  to record the optimal structure of  $c_{ij}$  and backtrack from  $b_{nm}$ .

# Edit Distance

Given two strings `x`, `y`, determine their *edit distance*, i.e. the minimum number of character operations  $\in \{\text{insert}, \text{replace}, \text{delete}\}$  to transform one string into the other.

```
input: horse, ros
```

```
output: 3 // horse -> rorse -> rose -> ros
```

**Solution**  .



# Edit Distance Algorithm

We determine the *edit distance* between two strings using dynamic programming through  $dp[i, j]$  defined as the edit distance between the substrings  $x[..i-1]$  and  $y[..j-1]$ .

$$d_{i,j} = \begin{cases} d_{i-1,j-1} & \text{if } x_{i-1} = y_{j-1} \\ 1 + \min\{d_{i-1,j-1}, d_{i,j-1}, d_{i-1,j}\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*Remark.* Remember DP paradigm of using *right-bound* substrings!

# Distinct Subsequences

Given two strings `s` and `t`, determine the number of distinct subsequences of `t` in `s`.

```
input: s = "babgbag", t = "bag"
```

```
solution: 5 // 124, 127, 167, 367, 567
```

**Solution**  .

# Distinct Subsequences DP

The number of *distinct subsequences* of `t` in `s` is determined through dynamic programming using `dp[i,j]` as the number of distinct subsequences of `t[..j-1]` in `s[..i-1]`.


$$d_{i,j} = \begin{cases} d_{i-1,j} & \text{if } s_{i-1} \neq s_{j-1} \\ d_{i-1,j} + d_{i-1,j-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*Remark.* Initialize with `dp[i,j] = (j == 0) ? 1 : 0`.

# Maximum Subarray Problem

Given a one-dimensional array of numbers, determine the continuous subarray with maximum sum.

```
input: [-2, 1, -3, 4, -1, 2, 1, -5, 4]  
solution: 6 // [4, -1, 2, 1]
```

**Solution**  .

# Kadane's Algorithm

Kadane's algorithm is a linear  $O(n)$  dynamic programming solution to the *maximum subarray* problem which iteratively determines the maximum subarray  $B_i$  ending at position  $i$  using  $B_{i-1}$ .


```
bi = s = A[0]
for (i from 1 to n-1)
    bi = max(bi + A[i], A[i])
    s = max(s, bi)
return s
```

*Remark.* `kadane` is an instance of a *sliding-window* algorithm.

# Longest Increasing Subsequence

Given a one-dimensional array of numbers, determine the longest increasing subsequence.

```
input: [10, 22, 9, 33, 21, 50, 41, 60, 80]  
solution: 6 // [10, 22, 33, 50, 60, 80]
```

**Solution**  .

# LIS Solution

The *longest increasing subsequence* problem can be solved using dynamic programming. Define  $x_i$  to be the smallest number terminating a LIS of length  $i$ .

```
x[1] = A[0];  l = 1           // length of best LIS
for (n in A)
    if (x[l] < n) x[++l] = n  // new LIS
    else
        i = candidate-lis(n) // x[i-1] < n < x[i]
        x[i] = n             // improve that sequence
return x[l]
```

`candidate-lis` determines the longest LIS whose last element can be replaced by `n`. Since `x` is *sorted*, we use binary search.

*Remark.* An alternate solution uses `LIS[i]` as the length of the LIS ending at position `i` for a running time  $O(n^2)$ .

# Longest k-Sum Subarray

Given a one-dimensional array of numbers  $A$ , determine the longest subarray  $A[i..j]$  summing to  $k$ .

```
input: A = [3, -5, 8, -14, 2, 4, 12], k = -5  
solution: 5 // [-5, 8, -14, 2, 4]
```

**Solution**  .



# Longest k-Sum Subarray Solution

The *longest k-sum subarray* problem is solved in *linear* time by defining  $P[s]$  as the smallest index  $i$  such that subarray  $A[0..i]$  has prefix sum  $s$ .

```
prefix = best = 0
P = {0 : -1}
for (i from 0 to n-1)
    prefix += A[i]
    missing = prefix - k
    if (prefix not in P) P[prefix] = i
    if (missing in P) best = max(best, i - P[missing])
return best
```

*Remark.* Subarray  $A[p..i]$  has sum  $k$  where  $p = P[missing]$ .

# Longest Substring Problem

Given a string  $s$ , determine the longest *or shortest* substring  $s[i..j]$  satisfying some criterion  $C$ .

```
input: S = ababbcbabaac  
criterion: pangram D = {a, b, c}  
solution: cab // 3
```

*Example.* Shortest pangram, longest substring without duplicates.

**Solution**  .

# Sliding Window Method

The general *longest substring* problem can be solved in linear time using a *sliding window* technique, where two pointers extend or retract some substring `S[i..j]` to satisfy  $C$ .

```
for (j from 0 to n-1)      // shortest pangram
    while (S[i..j] satisfies C)
        is S[i..j] new best? ; i++
```


```
for (j from 0 to n-1)      // longest no duplicates
    while (S[i..j] does not satisfy C) i++
    is S[i..j] new best?
```

*Remark.* Implementation depends on  $C$  and length optimization. Similar to *Kadane's* algorithm.

# 0-1 Knapsack Problem

Given sizes  $s_i$  and values  $v_i$  for  $n$  items, determine the maximum value a knapsack with capacity  $k$  can carry.

```
input: s, v = [(10, 60), (20, 100), (30, 120)]  
capacity: k = 50  
solution: 220 // item 2 and 3
```

**Solution**  .

## 0-1 Knapsack Algorithm

The *knapsack* problem can be solved using dynamic programming. Define  $d_{i,k}$  to be the optimal value for a knapsack of capacity  $k$  using only items  $\{1, \dots, i\}$ .

$$d_{i,k} = \max\{v_i + d_{i-1,k-s_i}, d_{i-1,k}\}$$


```
for (j from 1 to k)
  for (i from 1 to n)
    d[i, j] = ... // also check edge-cases
```

*Remark.* Additional substructure dimension than the *rod-cutting* solution as each item can only be taken once.

# Fractional Knapsack Problem

Given sizes  $s_i$  and values  $v_i$  for  $n$  items, determine the maximum value a knapsack with capacity  $k$  can carry if a *fraction* of each item can be taken.

```
input: s, v = [(10, 60), (20, 100), (30, 120)]  
capacity: k = 50  
solution: 240 // item 1, 2 and 2/3 of item 3
```

**Solution**  .

# Greedy Knapsack Algorithm


The *fractional knapsack* problem can be solved using a *greedy* strategy. Order items by their volumetric value density  $x_i = v_i/s_i$  and greedily pick as much as possible of each.

```
sort items by v[i]/s[i]
i = 0;
while (capacity > 0)
    fraction = min(1, capacity/s[i])
    capacity -= fraction * s[i]
    i++
```

# Interval Selection Problem

Given starting times  $s_i$  and finishing times  $f_i$  of  $n$  intervals, determine the maximum subset of mutually compatible intervals.

```
input:  s = [1, 3, 0, 5, 8, 5]
        f = [2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 9]
solution: {0, 1, 3, 4}
```

**Solution**  .



# Interval Selection Algorithm

The *interval selection* problem can be solved by *greedily* picking compatible intervals by increasing finishing time  $f_i$ .

```
sort intervals by finishing time  $f_i$ 
S = {A[0]}
f = f[0]
for (i from 1 to n)
    if (s[i]  $\geq$  f)
        S = S  $\cup$  {A[i]}
        f = f[i]
return S
```

# Interval Partitioning Problem

Given starting times  $s_i$  and finishing times  $f_i$  of  $n$  intervals, determine the smallest partition into compatible intervals.

```
input:  s = [1, 3, 0, 5, 8, 5]
        f = [2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 9]
solution: 3 // {2, 4} + {0, 1, 3} + {5}
```

**Solution**  .

# Interval Partitioning Algorithm

The *interval partition* problem can be solved by *greedily* assigning intervals to the first compatible set by increasing start time  $s_i$ .


```
sort intervals by starting time  $s_i$ 
r = [] // when resources become available
for (i from 0 to n-1)
    for (j=0; j < r.length; j++) // find compatible set
        if (r[j] ≤ s[i]) break
    assign i to j
    r[j] = f[i]
```

*Remark.* See related problem *Meeting Rooms*.

# Meeting Rooms

Given starting times  $s_i$  and finishing times  $f_i$  of  $n$  intervals, determine the maximum number of incompatible intervals.

```
input:  s = [1, 3, 0, 5, 8, 5]
        f = [2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 9]
solution: 3 // (0, 6) × (5, 7) × (5, 9)
```

**Solution**  .

# Meeting Rooms Algorithm

To determine the maximum number of *incompatible intervals*, we *encode* each timestamp  $t \in \{s_i, f_i\}$  to a pair  $(t, \{-1, 1\})$ .


```
bounds = []
for (interval in intervals)
    bounds.push((interval.s, 1)) // start => new room
    bounds.push((interval.f, -1)) // end => free room
sort(bounds)
rooms = 0, max_rooms = 0
for (bound in bounds)
    rooms += bound.second
    max_rooms = max(max_rooms, rooms)
```

*Remark.* See related problem *Interval Partitioning Problem*.

# Non-Overlapping Intervals

Given starting times  $s_i$  and finishing times  $f_i$  of  $n$  intervals, determine the minimum number of intervals to be discarded to render all remaining non-overlapping.

```
input:  [[1,2], [2,3], [3,4], [1,3]]  
solution: 1 // remove [1, 3]
```

**Solution**  .

# Non-Overlapping Intervals Algorithm

To determine the minimum number of *intervals to be discarded* we can directly apply the solution to the *interval selection problem*, but instead return the opposite.

```
sort intervals by increasing ending time  $f_i$ 
removed = 0, current_end =  $-\infty$ 
for (i in intervals):
    if (i.start < current_end) removed++
    else current_end = max(current_end, i.end)
```

# Next Greater Element

Given a one-dimensional array, determine for each integer the next greater element, i.e. the first larger element on its right.

```
input:      [5, 7, 4, 3, 6, 9, 2, 8]
solution:   [7, 9, 6, 6, 9, -1, 8, -1]
```

**Solution**  .



## NGE Solution

The *next greater element* problem can be solved in linear time using a *stack* containing *pending* integers. As we traverse the array we compare the current element to the top unassigned elements.

```
s = [] // contains (value, position)
for (i from 0 to n-1)
    while (s && s.top()[0] < A[i]) // NGE for s.top
        x = s.pop()
        A[x[1]] = A[i]
    s.push(A[i], i)
while (s) A[s.pop()[1]] = -1
```

# Longest Balanced Subarray

Given a binary array  $\in \{0, 1\}^n$ , determine the longest continuous subarray containing an equal number of each digit.

```
input:  [0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0]
solution: [1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0]    // 6
```

**Solution**  .

## Balanced Subarray Solution

To solve the *longest balanced subarray* problem we use the algorithm to find the *longest 0-sum subarray* after substituting 0's by -1's.



```
B = {0: -1}
balance = longest = 0
for (i from 0 to n-1)
    balance += 1 if A[i] == 1 else -1
    if (balance in B)
        longest = max(longest, i - B[balance])
    else B[balance] = i
```

*Remark.* Note the use of an index map and prefix sums.

# Inorder Successors Sum

Given the `root` of a binary tree, add to each `node` the sum of all its in-order successors.

```
input: inorder = [5, 7, 2, 9, 10, 3]    // given as tree
solution: [36, 31, 24, 22, 13, 3]
```

**Solutions**   .

## Recursive Inorder Successor Sum

The *in-order successor sum* problem can be solved *recursively* using an accumulator which each node increases by its own value.

```
int visit(node, acc): // acc = sum of upper successors
    if (node == NULL) return acc
    acc = visit(node->right, acc)
    node->value += acc
    return visit(node->left, node->value)
```

*Remark.* `acc` acts as a global variable. Use a pointer in `C++`.

*Remark.* See the *iterative* solution.

## Iterative Inorder Successor Sum

The *in-order successor sum* problem can be solved *iteratively* using a stack to traverse the tree in-reverse-order and an accumulator which each node increases by its own value.

```
digress(node, stack):  
    while (node) {stack.push(node); node = node->right}  
  
solve(root):  
    acc = 0; stack = []; digress(root, stack)  
    while (stack)  
        node = stack.pop()  
        node->value = acc = acc + node->value  
        digress(node->left, stack)
```

*Remark.* See the *recursive* solution.

# Longest Valid Parentheses

Given a string `s` containing characters `(` and `)`, determine the longest valid well-formed parenthesis substring.

```
input: S = "() ( () ( () "
```

```
solution:      " ( ) "
```

**Solution**  .

# Longest Valid Parentheses Solution

The *longest valid parentheses* problem can be solved using an advanced *sliding window* method, using a stack containing the latest index of a potentially problematic character.

```
stack = [-1]    // sentinel
solution = -1
for (i from 0 to n-1)
    if (s[i] == "(") stack.push(i)
    else
        stack.pop()    // one less problem
        if (stack.empty()) stack.push(i) // problem!
        else solution = max(solution, i - stack.top())
```




## k-Sum Combinations

Given a set of integers  $S$  and an integer  $k$ , find all distinct combinations from integers in  $S$  whose sum is equal to  $k$ .

*Remark.* A combination may contain duplicates.

```
input: S = [2, 3, 6, 7], k = 7  
solution : [[7], [2, 2, 3]]
```

**Solution**  .

## k-Sum Combinations Solution

The *k-sum combinations* problem can be solved recursively using the helper function `solve`. Duplicates can be avoided by progressively restricting integers used from `s`.

```
solution = [], current = []  
solve(k, j)  
    if (k == 0) { solution.push_copy(current); return }  
    for (i from j to n-1 if S[i] <= k)  
        current.push_back(S[i])  
        solve(k - S[i], i)    // avoid duplicates with j  
        current.pop_back()    // clean-up
```

*Remark.* Note how only a single helper buffer `current` is needed.

# Frog Problem

You are positioned at the beginning on an array `A` of non-negative integers, representing the maximum distance `A[i]` you can jump forward from each position `i`. Determine the minimum number of jumps to reach the last position.

```
input: [2, 3, 1, 1, 4]
```

```
solution: 2 // 0 -> 1 -> 4
```

**Solutions**     .

# Dynamic Frog Programming

The *frog problem* can be solved in  $O(n^2)$  using dynamic programming with  $X[i]$  as the minimum jumps required from index  $i$ .

```
X[..] =  $\infty$ , X[n-1] = 0
for (i from n - 2 to 0)
    for (j from 1 to A[i] if i + j < n)
        X[i] = min(X[i], 1 + X[i+j])
return X[0]
```

*Remark.* More efficient solutions are also *provided*.

# Breadth-First Frog

The *frog problem* can be solved intuitively using a vanilla implementation of *breadth-first search* over indices as nodes.

```
Q = [0], distance = {0: 0}
while (true)
    x = Q.dequeue()
    if (x == n-1) return distance[x]
    for (j from 1 to A[i] if i + j < n)
        if (i+j not in distance)
            distance[i+j] = 1 + distance[i]
            Q.enqueue(i+j)
```

*Remark.* The queue `Q` can be removed for an *improved solution*, as only increasing integers are enqueued.

# Improved Breadth-First Frog

The *frog problem* can be solved more efficiently using an adapted implementation of *breadth-first search*, avoiding a stack.

```
furthest = 0, distance = {0: 0}
for (i = 0; furthest < n - 1; i++)
    if (i + A[i] > furthest)
        for (j from furthest to i + A[i])
            distance[i+j] = distance[i] + 1
        furthest = i + A[i]
return distance[n-1]
```

*Remark.* The `distance` map can be avoided as well by counting the "waves" or breadth layers, for a *linear solution*.

# Linear Frog Solution

The *frog problem* can be solved linearly by improving the *breadth-first search solution* to simply count the waves or breadth layers.

```
furthest = 0, jumps = 0, cur_wave = 0
for (i = 0; i < n - 1; i++)
    furthest = max(furthest, i + A[i])
    if (i == cur_wave)
        jumps++
        cur_wave = furthest
return jumps
```

*Remark.* This solution can not produce the jump sequence.

# Closest Stars Problem

Given an array **A** of 3-dimensional coordinates  $(x, y, z)$  for  $n$  stars, determine the  $k$  closest stars to the center of the universe  $(0, 0, 0)$ , where  $k \ll n$ .

```
input: [(123.8, 86.3, 912.5), ... ×1012 ], k = 10  
solution: [(54.6, 71.5, 9.1), ...]
```

**Solution**  .



## Closest Stars Solution

The *closest stars* problem can be solved in  $O(n \log k)$  by using a max heap of maximum size  $k$  while iterating over the array `A` and progressively replacing the current max with lower stars.

```
H = max-heap()
for (star in A)
    if (H.size() < k) H.insert(star)
    else if (H.top() > star) // compare distance
        H.pop(); H.insert(star)
```

# Linked List to Binary Search Tree

Given the head to a sorted singly-linked list, return the root of the corresponding balanced binary search tree.

```
input:  [-10, -3, 0, 5, 9]           // head (-10)
solution: [0, -3, 9, -10, null, 5]    // array repr.
```

**Solution**  .

## LL to BST Solution

A sorted array can be *converted* to a balanced binary search tree by recursively applying the transformation to two subarrays of equal length. To determine the middle of a linked-list we use the *Tortoise and the Hare* method.

```
toBST(head, tail)
    if (head == tail) return NULL
    slow = fast = head
    while (fast != tail && fast.next != tail)
        slow = slow.next; fast = fast.next.next // *
    root = Node(slow.value)
    root.left = toBST(head, slow)
    root.right = toBST(slow.next, tail)
    return root
toBST(root, NULL) // for solution
```

# k-Sum Tree Paths

Given the root of a binary tree, determine all paths from the root to a leaf with sum equal to a given  $k$ .

```
input: root, k = 22  
solution: [[5, 4, 11, 2], [5, 8, 4, 5]]
```

**Solution**  .

## k-Sum Tree Paths Solution


The *k-sum tree paths* problem can be solved recursively using a single auxiliary list `c = []` and the following helper function.

```
helper(node, k, c, solution)
    if (node == NULL) return
    c.push_back(node)
    if (node.is_leaf() and node.val == k)
        result.push_back(c) // copy!
    else
        helper(node.left, k - node.val, c, solution)
        helper(node.right, k - node.val, c, solution)
    c.pop_back()
```

# Lonely Number Problem

Given an unordered array `A` of integers, determine the only element which does not appear twice.

```
input: [3, 5, 2, 1, 4, 3, 1, 5, 4]  
solution: 2
```

**Solution**  .

# XOR Reduction

The *lonely number problem* can easily be solved in linear time and without additional memory by performing a bitwise XOR reduction over the array, since  $a \oplus a = 0$  and  $a \oplus 0 = a$ .

```
// python & C++  
reduce(lambda x, y: x ^ y, A)  
accumulate(A.begin(), A.end(), 0, bit_xor<int>());
```

# Trapped Rain Water

Given an array `height` of non-negative integers representing an elevation map, compute how much water it is able to trap.

```
input: [0,1,0,2,1,0,1,3,2,1,2,1]
```

```
solution: 6
```

```
#
```

```
#ooo##o#
```

```
#o###o#####
```

**Solutions**    .



# Trapped Rain Water DP

The *trapped rain water* problem can be solved using dynamic programming. The water trapped at every position `i` can simply be computed using the highest bars to its left and right.

```
for (i from 1 to n)
    leftmax[i] = max(leftmax[i-1], h[i])
for (i from n to 1)
    rightmax[i] = max(rightmax[i+1], h[i])
for (i from 1 to n)
    water += min(leftmax[i], rightmax[i]) - h[i]
```

*Remark.* We here think *vertically* instead of horizontally. For the latter, see this *solution* using stacks.

# Trapped Rain Water Stack

The *trapped rain water* problem can be solved using a stack onto which we push every position `i` and then retroactively flood them when encountering higher terrain.

```
for (i from 1 to n)
    while (stack and h[stack.top] < h[i])
        t = stack.pop()
        distance = i - stack.top() - 1
        height_diff = min(h[i], h[stack.top()]) - h[t]
        water += distance * height_diff
    stack.push(i)
```


*Remark.* The stack always contains decreasing heights. Similar to the *next greater element* solution.

# Merge k Sorted Lists

Merge  $k$  sorted linked lists and return it as one sorted list.

```
input: [1->4->5, 1->3->4, 2->6]
```

```
solution: 1->1->2->3->4->4->5->6
```

Solutions   .

# Merge Sorted Lists with Max Heap

We can *merge  $k$  sorted lists* by maintaining  $k$  pointers to the beginning of each list and progressively picking the lowest element. The latter operation can be optimized using a *min heap* of size  $k$ .

```
h = min-heap
for (head in list-heads) h.add(head, head.val)
while h not empty:
    node = h.pop()
    copy node to new list
    if (node.next) h.add(node.next, node.next.val)
```

*Remark.* A more space-efficient *solution* also exists.

# Divide and Merge Sorted Lists

We can *merge  $k$  sorted lists* using divide-and-conquer by successively merging pairs of lists in place.


```
amount = len(lists), interval = 1
while true:
    for (i from 0 to amount - interval by interval * 2)
        merge2lists(lists[i], lists[i+1])
    interval *= 2
```

*Remark.* linear and in-place `merge2lists` left as an exercise.

# Largest Rectangle in Histogram

Given an array `h` of bar heights for a histogram, determine the largest area of a rectangle contained inside `h`.

```
input: [2, 1, 5, 6, 2, 3]  
solution: 10
```

**Solution**  .

## Linear Largest Rectangle

To find the *largest rectangle* in a histogram we determine for every bar the first smaller bars on either side. We push every element onto a stack, and pop them when we encounter a smaller bar `i`. Then bar `s.top` is bounded by `s.top.top` and `i`.

```
s = stack, h.push_back(0) // sentinel
for (i from 1 to n)
    while (s and h[s.top] >= h[i])
        height = h[s.pop()]
        left = s ? s.top : -1
        largest = max(largest, height * (i - left - 1))
    s.push(i)
```

*Remark.* The stack `s` always contains increasing bars. Similar to the *next greater element* or *trapped rain water* solution.

# Binary Tree Maximum Path

Given the `root` to a non-empty binary tree, find the path between any two nodes with maximum sum.

```
input: [-10, 9, 20, null, null, 15, 7]
solution: 42    // 15->20->7
```

**Solution**  .



# Binary Tree Maximum Path Recursion

We can determine the *path with maximum sum* in a binary tree recursively, using a function returning the maximum path *ending* in a given node while also maintaining a *global* maximum.

```
maxSumToNode(node):  
    if (node == NULL) return 0  
    left = max(0, maxSumToNode(node->left))  
    right = max(0, maxSumToNode(node->right))  
  
    solution = max(solution, left + right + node->val)  
    return max(left, right) + node->val
```

*Remark.* Use common dynamic programming subtree optimality.

# Longest Consecutive Sequence

Given an unsorted array `A` of integers, determine the length of the longest arbitrary sequence of consecutive elements.

```
input: [4, 8, 1, 6, 3, 9, 2]  
solution: 4 // [1, 2, 3, 4]
```

**Solution**  .

# Longest Consecutive Sequence Solution

We can determine the length of the *longest consecutive sequence* of an array `A` linearly by creating a set of all elements, before counting all successors for every element which has to be the *beginning* of some sequence.


```
s = set(A), longest = 0
for (i in A)
    if (!s.contains(i-1)) // beginning!
        streak = 1
        next = i + 1
        while (s.contains(next++)) streak++
        longest = max(longest, streak)
return longest
```

# Bursting Balloons

Given an array `B` of balloons, bursting balloon `i` yields `B[left] × B[i] × B[right]` coins, where `left` and `right` are its neighbors. Determine the maximum sum of coins achievable.

```
input: [3,1,5,8]
```

```
solution: 167 // 3*1*5 + 3*5*8 + 3*8 + 8
```

**Solution**  .

## Bursting Balloons DP

The *bursting balloons* problem can be solved using dynamic programming, using `dp[i][j]` as the maximum coins from bursting balloons in range `i..j`. We divide each range using the *last* balloon to burst, which will yield `B[i-1] × B[last] × B[j+1]` coins.

```
// add sentinel 1 around B
for (k from 1 to n) // length of range
  for (left from 1 to n-k+1)
    right = left + k
    for (last from left to right)
      coins = B[left-1] * B[last] * B[right+1]
      dp[left][right] = max(dp[left][right],
        coins + dp[left][last-1] + dp[last+1][right])
```

# Median of Sorted Arrays

Determine the median of two sorted arrays **A** and **B** of size  $n$  and  $m$  respectively in runtime complexity  $\log(n + m)$ .

```
input: [1, 3, 5, 8, 9], [0, 2, 4, 6, 7]  
solution: 4.5 // 0,1,2,3,4 - 5,6,7,8,9
```

**Solution**  .

# Median of Sorted Arrays Solution

The *median of two sorted arrays* can be found by searching the element  $k = (n + m)/2$ . Compare element  $k/2$  of each array. If  $A[k] > B[k]$  the median can't be in  $B[..k]$ . Discard the correct subarray and repeat with element  $k - k/2$ .

```
findK(k, A, B)  
    ...
```

# Sorted Matrix Search

Given a two-dimensional  $m \times n$  matrix  $M$  such that each row and column is sorted, determine whether  $M$  contains the target  $t$ .

```
input: [ [1, 4, 7],  
         [2, 8, 9],  
         [4, 6, 11] ], target = 8  
solution: true
```

**Solution**  .



# Linear Sorted Matrix Search

To search an element in a *sorted matrix* `M` in linear  $O(m + n)$  runtime, begin from the bottom left corner and move each axis in only one direction, according to `M[x][y]` and `target`.

```
x = m, y = 0
while (x >= 0 and y <= n)
    if (M[x][y] > target) x--           // down one row
    else if (M[x][y] < target) y++      // right one col
    else return true
```

*Remark.* Think about why we can't miss `target`.

# Uniform Stream Sampling

Given a stream  $S$  of unknown length, produce a uniform random sample of size  $k$  with limited  $O(k)$  storage.

```
input: S = [2, 4, 7, 9, ...  $\times 10^{100}$ , 8, 5, 1], k = 5  
solution: [5, 7, 3, 1, 2]
```

**Solution**  .

# Reservoir Sampling

To produce a *uniform sample from a large stream* with limited memory, we apply the *reservoir sampling* or **R** algorithm: replace a random element from the reservoir with every new element  $s_i$  with probability  $p = k/i$ .

```
for i from k+1 to n
    j = random(1, i) // inclusive
    if (j <= k) R[j] = S[i]
```


Before  $s_i$ , elements are in the reservoir with probability  $k/(i-1)$ . Multiplying this by the probability of remaining selected we get:

$$p = \frac{k}{i-1} \left\{ \frac{i-k}{i} + \frac{k}{i} \cdot \frac{k-1}{k} \right\} = \frac{k}{n+1}$$

# Reverse Interleave Linked List

Given a singly linked list `L`, reorder it by interleaving elements from each end as follows:

```
input: L = [10, 11, 12, ..., 1x, 1y, 1z]
solution: [10, 1z, 11, 1y, 12, 1x, ... ]
```

**Solution**  .

## Reverse Interleave Linked List Solution

A linked list `L` can be *reversely interleaved* by simply reversing the second half found using the *Tortoise and the Hare* method, before merging the two halves.


```
slow = root, fast = root
while (fast != NULL && fast.next != NULL)
    slow = slow.next, fast = fast.next.next
end = reverse(slow) // end points to lz
return merge(root, end)
```

*Remark.* Linear in-place `reverse` and `merge` left as exercise. A similar approach can determine if `L` is *was* a palindrome.

# Binary Tree Levels

Given the `root` of a binary tree, return a list of its levels or layers.

```
input: [3, 9, 20,  $\emptyset$ ,  $\emptyset$ , 15, 7]  
solution: [[3], [9, 20], [15, 7]]
```

**Solution**  .

# Binary Tree Level Traversal

The *levels of a binary tree* can be produced by using *breadth-first search* with an additional internal loop over every level.

```
results = [] // if root != null
q = queue(root)
while queue:
    layer = []
    size = q.size()
    for i from 1 to size: // iterate over layer
        x = q.pop()
        layer.push(x)
        if (x.left) q.push(left)
        if (x.right) q.push(right)
    results.push(layer)
```

*Remark.* Alternatively remember the last node of each layer.

# Binary Tree Right View

Given the `root` of a binary tree, return its right view, i.e. the right-most node of every level

```
input: [3, 9, 20, 5,  $\emptyset$ , 15, 7, 1]  
solution: [3, 20, 7, 1]
```

**Solution**  .



# Binary Tree Right View Traversal

To determine the *right view of a binary tree* we use a *level traversal* and output the last element of each layer.

```
q = queue(root)
while queue:
    s = q.size()
    for i from 1 to s:    // layer loop
        x = q.pop()
        if i == s: results.push_back(x) // last element
        if (x.left) q.push(x.left)
        if (x.right) q.push(x.right)
```

# Symmetric Binary Tree

Given the `root` to a binary tree, determine whether the tree is symmetric along its vertical center axis, i.e. a mirror of itself.

```
input: [1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 3], [1, 2, 2, 0, 3, 0, 3]  
solution: true, false
```

**Solution**  .

# Recursive Binary Tree Symmetry

To determine whether a *binary tree is symmetric*, we use recursion over symmetric paths to the leaves.

```
bool symmetric(left, right)
    if (left == null and right == null) return true
    if (left == null or right == null) return false
    if (left.value != right.value) return false

    return (symmetric(left.left, right.right) &&
            symmetric(left.right, right.left))
```

# Lowest Common Ancestor

Given the `root` to a *binary tree* and two nodes `p` and `q`, determine their lowest common ancestor.

```
input:  [3, 5, 1, 6, 2, 0, 8,  $\emptyset$ ,  $\emptyset$ , 7, 4], p = 7, q = 6  
solution: 5
```

Solution  .

# Recursive Lowest Common Ancestor

To determine *lowest common ancestor* in a binary tree, we use recursive calls to each nodes children.


```
lca(n, p, q):  
    if (n == null || n = p || n = q) return n  
    left  = lca(n->left, p, q)  
    right = lca(n->right, p, q)  
  
    if (left and right) return n  
    return (left) ? left : right
```

*Remark.* Determining the LCA in a *search* tree is trivial.

# Validate Postorder

Given an array of nodes **A**, determine whether it corresponds to a valid postorder traversal of some binary tree.

```
input:  [9,3,4,#,#,1,#,#,2,#,6,#,#]  
solution: true
```

**Solution**  .

# Validate Postorder Algorithm

To determine whether `A` is a *validate postorder* traversal, we use a stack `s` which progressively replaces leaves by `#`.

```
for n in nodes:
    if (n == "#")
        while (s and s[-1] == "#" and s[-2] != "#")
            s.pop(); s.pop()
        s.push(n)
return s == ["#"]
```

*Remark.* Alternatively count the in- and out-degree difference.

# Sum Root to Leaf Numbers

Given the `root` to a binary tree of nodes `0-9`, every path  $p$  from the root to a leaf defines a number. Return their sum.

```
input: [1, 2, 3, 1,  $\emptyset$ , 5, 9]  
solution: 395 // 121 + 135 + 139
```

**Solution**  .



# Sum Root to Leaf Numbers Solution

To determine the *sum of root-to-leaf numbers* we use simple *depth-first* recursion with an accumulator.


```
sum(node, acc):  
    if (node == NULL) return 0  
    if (node is leaf) return acc + node.val  
  
    acc = (acc + node.val) * 10  
    return sum(node.left, acc) + sum(node.right, acc)
```

*Remark.* `acc` could also just be a reference, which we would need to restore it to its original value at the end of each call.

# Minimum Height Tree

Given an undirected acyclic graph with `n` nodes and a list of edges `(i, j)` determine all nodes which, if taken to be the root, result in a tree with minimum height.

```
input: n = 4, e = [[1, 0], [1, 2], [1, 3]]  
solution: [1] // height of 1
```

**Solution**  .

# Minimum Height Tree Algorithm


To determine the *minimum height trees* we iteratively identify all leaves and delete them. The last one or two nodes that remain must be the optimal roots.

```
while (graph.size > 2)
    remove all leaves
return graph.nodes
```

# Two Stock Transactions

Given an array where  $p[i]$  is the price of some stock on day  $i$ , maximize the profit with *two non-overlapping* transactions.

```
input:  [3,3,5,0,0,3,1,4]
solution: 6    // 0->3 + 1->4
```

**Solution**  .

# Linear Two Stock Optimization

Determine the maximum profit of *two stock transactions* by building `left[i]` and `right[i]` representing the maximum profit with a single transaction respectively before and after day `i`.

```
highest_price = p[n-1]
for (i from n-2 to 0)
    highest_price = max(p[i], highest_price)
    right[i] = max(right[i+1], highest_price - p[i])

lowest_price = p[0]
for (i from 1 to n-1)
    lowest_price = min(p[i], lowest_price)
    left[i] = max(left[i-1], p[i] - lowest_price)

return max(left[i] + right[i] for i from 0 to n-1)
```

*Remark.* This also solves the *single stock transaction* problem. With *unlimited* stock transactions buy at lows, and sell at highs.