



Names: \_\_\_\_\_

NetIDs: \_\_\_\_\_

Discussion section: **D** \_\_\_\_\_ Group number: \_\_\_\_\_

## MATH 257 - WORKSHEET 4: INVERTIBLE MATRICES

### 1. THE BASICS

1. The matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$  is reduced to the echelon matrix  $U = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$  using the following row operations (in the given order):

- (1)  $R_2 \rightarrow R_2 + 2R_1$ ,
- (2)  $R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - R_1$ ,
- (3)  $R_3 \rightarrow R_3 + R_2$ ,
- (4)  $R_4 \rightarrow R_4 + R_2$ .

Find a lower-triangular matrix  $L$  that can appear in a LU-decomposition  $A = LU$ .

Using the algorithm to get the  $L$  matrix, using the opposite sign for the replacements and the given order of operations we obtain the lower triangular matrix  $L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

2. Find the inverses of the following invertible matrices:

- (1)  $\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 6 \\ 5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$
- (2)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

- (1) In this case, since the matrix is  $2 \times 2$  there are at least two legitimate ways to do this. The first is directly by the formula for the inverse of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix:

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{8 \cdot 4 - 6 \cdot 5} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -6 \\ -5 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -\frac{5}{2} & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

The other method is to use the Gauss-Jordan algorithm (which is valid for square matrices of any size).

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 8 & 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 4 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] &\xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{8}R_1} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 3/4 & 1/8 & 0 \\ 5 & 4 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 5R_1} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 3/4 & 1/8 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/4 & -5/8 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow 4R_2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 3/4 & 1/8 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -5/2 & 4 \end{array} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - \frac{3}{4}R_2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -5/2 & 4 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

We conclude once again that  $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -5/2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- (2) Here we use the Gauss-Jordan algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] &\xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - R_1} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - R_3} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the inverse is  $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

**3.** Is the sum of two invertible matrices always an invertible matrix? Justify your answer.

No. Take for instance  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . They are both invertible, since  $A^{-1} = A$  and  $B^{-1} = B$ , and the sum  $A + B$  is 0, that is a non invertible matrix.

**4.** If a matrix  $A$  is invertible, is the matrix  $A^2$  invertible? Justify your answer.

Yes. If  $A$  is invertible, there is a matrix  $C$  such that  $AC = CA = I$ . Then, if we consider  $A^2$ , the matrix  $D = C^2$  satisfies that

$$A^2D = AACC = AIC = AC = I.$$

Similarly,

$$DA^2 = CCAA = CIA = CA = I.$$

Thus  $D$  is the inverse of  $A^2$ , which implies that  $A^2$  is invertible.

5. Let  $A$  be an invertible  $n \times n$ -matrix and  $\mathbf{b}$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . How many solution does  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  have? Explain why!

Only one solution. First of all,  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has *at least* one solution,  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ . To see that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has *at most* one solution, suppose that  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$  are solutions, i.e.,  $A\mathbf{x}_1 = A\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{b}$ . Multiplying through by  $A^{-1}$  we see that  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2 = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ , i.e., all solutions *must* be equal to  $A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$  and to each other. Hence there is also *at most* one solution.

6. Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{4} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note that  $A = LU$ . Let  $A_i$  be the submatrix obtained by taking the first  $i$  rows and the first  $i$  columns of  $A$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , i.e.,

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 = [2].$$

What is an LU-decomposition of  $A_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ?

An LU-decomposition for  $A_i$  is  $L_i U_i$  where  $L_i$  (respectively,  $U_i$ ) is the matrix introduced by the first  $i$  rows and the first  $i$  columns of  $L$  (respectively  $U$ ). More specifically,

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_3} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{L_3} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix}}_{U_3},$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_2} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{L_2} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix}}_{U_2}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\underbrace{[2]}_{A_1} = \underbrace{[1]}_{L_1} \underbrace{[2]}_{U_1}.$$

7. Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$ -matrix such that  $A^2$  is the zero matrix. Is  $A - I$  invertible? If so, what is the inverse of this matrix?

Yes. If we consider  $A - I$ , by the distributive law, the matrix  $D = -A - I$  satisfies that

$$(A - I)D = AD - D = A(-A - I) - (-A - I) = (-A^2 - A) + (A + I) = I.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$D(A - I) = DA - D = (-A - I)A - (-A - I) = (-A^2 - A) + (A + I) = I.$$

Since  $(A - I)D = D(A - I) = I$ ,  $D$  is the inverse of  $A - I$ .

**8.** The objective of this exercise is to study the question: Do all matrices have an LU decomposition?

(a) Explain why any triangular matrix (upper or lower triangular)  $T$  has an LU decomposition

First, we observe that the identity matrix:

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is both upper and lower triangular, and has the property that, for any square matrix  $M$ ,  $MI = IM = M$ . Now, we have the two following cases for a triangular matrix  $T$ :

- (1)  $T$  is upper triangular. In this case we can write  $T = LU$ , where  $L = I$  and  $U = T$ .
- (2)  $T$  is lower triangular. In this case we can write  $T = LU$ , where  $L = T$  and  $U = I$ .

(b) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Verify that  $A$  has no LU decomposition (Hint: Suppose that there exists two matrices  $L$  and  $U$  such that  $A = LU$ . Eventually you will get a contradiction!)

Let's suppose that there are triangular matrices  $L$  and  $U$  such that  $A = LU$ . This implies that we have the following equation of matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 & 0 \\ l_2 & l_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ 0 & u_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By comparing the entries of the matrix multiplication we obtain the following system of equations:

- (1)  $0 = l_1 u_1$
- (2)  $1 = l_1 u_2$
- (3)  $1 = l_2 u_1$
- (4)  $1 = l_2 u_2 + l_3 u_3.$

From Equation (1) we conclude that either  $l_1$  or  $u_1$  must be zero. If  $l_1 = 0$ , from equation (2) we obtain that  $1 = 0$ (contradiction). If  $u_1 = 0$ , from equation (3) we obtain that  $1 = 0$ (contradiction). This implies that there are no matrices  $L$  and  $U$  such that  $A = LU$ .