

An Explicit Artin Map

Nir Elber

May 26, 2022

Abstract

We track through the Artin map, given the local fundamental class $u \in H^2(\text{Gal}(L/K), L^\times)$, via the cup product.

1 Via Tate's Theorem

It is possible to simply track through the proof of Tate's theorem by hand in order to recover the result. The point is that we end up needing to compute

$$N_\Gamma(T_\sigma) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} gT_\sigma.$$

However, the action is given by

$$gT_\sigma = T_{g\sigma} - T_g + u(g, \sigma).$$

Thus, we get

$$\prod_{g \in G} u(g, \sigma)$$

at the end of the computation.

2 Via the Cup Product

Fix a Galois extension of local fields L/K , with Galois group $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(L/K)$. To begin, we will simply write down the Artin map as the long string of isomorphisms

$$\Gamma^{\text{ab}} \simeq I_\Gamma / I_\Gamma^2 = H_0(\Gamma, I_\Gamma) \xleftarrow{\delta} H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = \widehat{H}^{-2}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{u_{L/K} \cup -} \widehat{H}^0(\Gamma, L^\times) = K^\times / N_K^L(L^\times).$$

We will explain each of these objects as they come up. For now, let's move from the left to right, describing the morphisms one at a time; please note that we will not show that all the morphisms are in fact isomorphisms. Pick up some $\sigma \in \Gamma$.

1. In Γ^{ab} , we have the coset $[\sigma] \in \Gamma^{\text{ab}}$.
2. The isomorphism $\Gamma^{\text{ab}} \simeq I_\Gamma / I_\Gamma^2$ takes $[\sigma]$ to $[\sigma - 1]_{I_\Gamma^2} \in I_\Gamma / I_\Gamma^2$.
3. It is not completely obvious how to make group homology into something that we can write down; we will use homology by free resolutions. In particular, for future reference, we will set $P_n := \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma^{n+1}]$ and define $d_n: P_n \rightarrow P_{n+1}$ by

$$d_n(g_0, \dots, g_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (g_0, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n).$$

Further, we define $\varepsilon: P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by sending $\varepsilon: g \mapsto 1$ for all $g \in \Gamma$. Then we have a free $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ -module resolution of \mathbb{Z} by

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, given a Γ -module A , it happens that we can compute group homology as the homology groups of the resolution $P_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} A$; more precisely,

$$H_q(\Gamma, A) = H_q(P_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} A) = \frac{\ker(d_q \otimes A)}{\operatorname{im}(d_{q+1} \otimes A)},$$

where $d_0: P_0 \rightarrow 0$ is the zero map; for later use, we set $C_q(\Gamma, A) := P_q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} A$ to be q -chains, and $Z_q(\Gamma, A) := \ker(d_q \otimes A)$ to be the q -cycles, and $B_q(\Gamma, A) := \operatorname{im}(d_{q+1} \otimes A)$ to be the q -boundaries so that $H_q(\Gamma, A) = Z_q(\Gamma, A)/B_q(\Gamma, A)$. In particular, the natural association from I_Γ/I_Γ^2 to $H_0(\Gamma, I_\Gamma)$ sends $[\sigma - 1]_{I_\Gamma^2}$ to the 0-cycle $[1 \otimes (\sigma - 1)] \in H_0(\Gamma, I_\Gamma)$.

4. Our next step is to track through connecting morphism δ , which is induced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, δ is induced by the Snake lemma from the following snake diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \frac{C_1(\Gamma, I_\Gamma)}{B_1(\Gamma, I_\Gamma)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{C_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])}{B_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])} & \longrightarrow & \frac{C_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})}{B_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow d_1 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z_0(\Gamma, I_\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & Z_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]) & \longrightarrow & Z_0(\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

We begin by tracking through a generic 1-cycle

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} x \otimes a_x \in Z_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the a_x are all integers. Note that we can always expand out $x \in P_1 = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma^2]$ into a \mathbb{Z} -linear sum over terms of the form $(g, h) \in \Gamma^2$, so we might as well write our generic 1-cycle as

$$\sum_{g, h \in \Gamma} (g, h) \otimes a_{g, h} \in Z_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the $a_{g, h}$ are all integers. However, observe that

$$(g, h) \otimes a_{g, h} = (1, hg^{-1})g \otimes a_{g, h} = (1, hg^{-1}) \otimes ga_{g, h} = (1, hg^{-1}) \otimes a_{g, h}.$$

Here, the last equality is because $a_{g, h} \in \mathbb{Z}$ has trivial Γ -action. Anyway, we might as well assume that our generic 1-cycle looks like

$$\sum_{g \in \Gamma} (1, g) \otimes a_g \in Z^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Anyway, we now track through our 1-cycle through δ . We have the following steps.

- Observe that we can lift $\sum_{g \in \Gamma} (1, g) \otimes a_g$ from $Z_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ to $C_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ just by moving the integers $a_g \in \mathbb{Z}$ to $a_g \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$. Observe that we no longer necessarily have a 1-cycle because the Γ -action on the a_g is no longer trivial.
- Next, we push our 1-chain through d_1 , which now looks like

$$(d_1 \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}) \left(\sum_{g \in \Gamma} (1, g) \otimes a_g \right) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} d_1(1, g) \otimes a_g = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} (g - 1) \otimes a_g = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} 1 \otimes (g - 1)a_g.$$

Observe that $(g - 1)a_g \in I_\Gamma$, so this is a valid 0-chain in $C_0(\Gamma, I_\Gamma)$. In particular, we have found what δ does to our 1-cycles.

Only now do we observe that the 1-cycle $[(1, \sigma) \otimes 1] \in H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ goes to the needed element $(\sigma - 1) \otimes 1 \in H_0(\Gamma, I_\Gamma)$, so it is our element.

5. To be able to apply the cup product to our Tate cohomology, we will need to put our 1-cycle $(1, \sigma) \otimes 1$ into a complete free resolution of \mathbb{Z} to unify homology and cohomology into Tate cohomology. For this, we note that there is a (contravariant) functor $(\cdot)^*: \text{Mod}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_G$ taking some G -module A to the G -module

$$A^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The functor also takes morphisms $f: A \rightarrow B$ to the morphism $f^*: B^* \rightarrow A^*$ defined by $f^*(g): x \mapsto g(f(x))$. In particular, our free resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_2 \xrightarrow{d_3} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^*} P_0^* \xrightarrow{d_1^*} P_1^* \xrightarrow{d_2^*} P_2^* \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Note that this second sequence is still long exact because the Hom functor is exact on free objects. We now set $P_{-n} := P_{n-1}^*$ and $d_0 := \varepsilon^* \circ \varepsilon$ and $d_{-n} := d_n^*$ for $n < 0$ to attach our two long exact sequences to get a very long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_2 \xrightarrow{d_3} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_{-1} \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} P_{-2} \xrightarrow{d_{-2}} P_{-3} \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Then one can check that the Tate cohomology groups $\hat{H}^q(\Gamma, A)$ arise as

$$\hat{H}^q(\Gamma, A) = H^q(\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_{\bullet}, A)) = \frac{\ker(\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(d_q, A))}{\text{im}(\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(d_{q-1}, A))}.$$

For example, if $q \geq 1$, then this is simply group cohomology via homogeneous cochains.

It requires some work to make sense of this construction to match the usual notions of Tate cohomology. We will only be interested in the case of $q = -2$ and $q = 0$. In the case of $q = 0$, it happens that the element of $\ker(\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(d_0, A))$ we care about should just be a constant map.

Discussing $q = -2$ needs a little more work. We remark that the below approach will in fact work for all $q \leq -2$, though we will not track all of this through. We will describe how $P_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} A \simeq \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_{-2}, A)$, and this isomorphism will be natural enough for our purposes (namely, correctly tracking through the differential maps d as well). To begin, we note that we have a Γ -module isomorphism $\sigma: P_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_1^*, A)$ by

$$\sigma(p \otimes a): (f \mapsto f(p)a),$$

where $f \in P_1^*$. Then our isomorphism is

$$P_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} A \simeq (P_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A)_{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{N_{\Gamma}} (P_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A)^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_1^*, A)^{\Gamma} = \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_1^*, A).$$

Observe N_{Γ} is an isomorphism because $P_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ is co-induced and hence has trivial \hat{H}^0 and \hat{H}^1 terms. Thus, we track through our 1-cycle $[(1, \sigma) \otimes 1] \in H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ as follows.

- The isomorphism $P_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} A \simeq (P_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A)_{\Gamma}$ preserves $(1, \sigma) \otimes 1$.
- The norm map sends $(1, \sigma) \otimes 1$ to

$$N_{\Gamma}((1, \sigma) \otimes 1) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} g(1, \sigma) \otimes g1 = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} (g, g\sigma) \otimes 1.$$

- Next, σ sends us to a map

$$f \mapsto \sum_{g \in \Gamma} f(g, g\sigma).$$

Let this map be $c_{\sigma} \in \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_1^*, A)$.

This last point provides us with our representative in $\hat{H}^{-2}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$.

6. We are now ready to compute the cup product. We will let u represent the local fundamental class $u_{L/K} \in H^2(\Gamma, L^\times)$ as an inhomogeneous 2-cocycle, and we will let \bar{u} be the corresponding homogeneous 2-cocycle.

To finish the computation, we need to compute $([\bar{u}] \cup [c_\sigma]) \in \hat{H}^0(\Gamma, L^\times)$. In this case, $c_\sigma \in \hat{H}^{-2}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ and $[\bar{u}] = u_{L/K} \in \hat{H}^2(\Gamma, L^\times)$, so we can look up the formula for the cup product.

Given $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma$, let $(s_1^*, s_2^*) : P_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $(s_1^*, s_2^*)(g, h) = 1_{g=s_1, h=s_2}$; these elements form a basis of P_1^* . As such, our cup product is computed as

$$([\bar{u}] \cup [c_\sigma])(g_0) = \sum_{s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma} u(g_0, s_1, s_2) \otimes c_\sigma(s_2^*, s_1^*).$$

Now, we compute that

$$c_\sigma(s_2^*, s_1^*) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} (s_2^*, s_1^*)(g, g\sigma) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} 1_{s_2=g, s_1=g\sigma}.$$

The only possible way for a term in the sum to be nonzero is for $g = s_2$, in which case we are asking for $s_1 = s_2\sigma$. So in fact, we have $c_\sigma(s_2^*, s_1^*) = 1_{s_1=s_2\sigma}$. Removing the nonzero terms from $([\bar{u}] \cup [c_\sigma])(g_0)$, we are left with

$$([\bar{u}] \cup [c_\sigma])(g_0) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} u(g_0, g\sigma, g) \otimes 1.$$

Fix this

This actually comes out to the inverse map.