Classifying Abelian Galois Gerbs

Nir Elber

May 27, 2022

Abstract

We use group cohomology to provide some general theory to classify all L/K-Galois gerbs bound by \mathbb{G}_m in the case that $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ is an abelian group. The main idea is to provide a group presentation of the gerb using specially chosen elements of L.

Contents

Co	Contents		
1	Gen	eral Field Extensions	1
2	Abe	lian Field Extensions	4
		Gerbs to Tuples	
	2.2	Tuples to Cocycles	(
		2.2.1 The Set-Up	
		2.2.2 The Modified Set-Up	9
		Building Tuples	
	2.4	Equivalence Classes of Tuples	12
		Classification of Gerbs	

1 General Field Extensions

In the most general case, we will let L/K be a Galois extension of fields with Galois group $\Gamma \coloneqq \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$. To sketch the idea here, begin with a gerb

$$1 \to L^{\times} \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \to 1.$$

We know that we can abstractly represent $\mathcal E$ as the set $L^\times \times \Gamma$ with some group law dictated by a 2-cocycle, so we expect that $\mathcal E$ can be presented by L^\times and a choice of lifts from Γ , with some specially chosen relations. Here are some basic observations realizing this idea. We start by lifting a single element of Γ .

Lemma 1. Let L/K be a Galois extension of fields with Galois group $\Gamma := \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$, and let

$$1 \to L^{\times} \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \to 1$$

be an L/K-gerb bound by \mathbb{G}_m . Further, fix some $\sigma \in \Gamma$ of order n_σ , and find $F \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\sigma \coloneqq \pi(F)$. Then

$$\alpha \coloneqq F^{n_\sigma}$$

has $\alpha \in L^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$.

Proof. A priori, we only know that $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$, so we compute

$$\pi(\alpha) = \pi(F^{n_{\sigma}}) = \sigma^{n_{\sigma}} = 1,$$

so $\alpha \in \ker \pi = L^{\times}$. Thus, we may say that

$$\sigma(\alpha) = F\alpha F^{-1} = F^{n_{\sigma}} = \alpha,$$

so $\alpha \in L^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$, as desired.

We can make the above proof more explicit by specifying the group law of \mathcal{E} .

Lemma 2. Let L/K be a Galois extension of fields with Galois group $\Gamma \coloneqq \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$. Picking up some 2-cocycle $c \in Z^2(\Gamma, L^{\times})$, let

$$1 \to L^{\times} \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \to 1$$

be the corresponding gerb. Fixing $\sigma\in\Gamma$ of order n_σ , let $F\coloneqq(m,\sigma)\in\mathcal{E}$ be a lift. Then

$$\alpha \coloneqq F^{n_\sigma} = \mathrm{N}_{L/L^{\langle \sigma_i \rangle}} \big(m \big) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n_\sigma - 1} c \left(\sigma^i, \sigma \right).$$

Proof. This is a direct computation. By induction, we have that

$$F^{k} = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \sigma^{i}(m)c\left(\sigma^{i}, \sigma\right), \sigma^{k}\right)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, there is nothing to say for k = 0, and the inductive step merely expands out $F^k \cdot F$. It follows that

$$\alpha = F^{n_{\sigma}} = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n_{\sigma}-1} \sigma^{i}(m) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n_{\sigma}-1} c\left(\sigma^{i}, \sigma\right), 1\right),$$

which is what we wanted.

Having this explicit formula lets us say how α changes as we vary the lift.

Proposition 3. Let L/K be a Galois extension of fields with Galois group $\Gamma \coloneqq \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$. Fixing a cohomology class $u \in H^2(\Gamma, L^{\times})$, let

$$1 \to L^{\times} \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \to 1$$

be an L/K-gerb bound by \mathbb{G}_m whose isomorphism class corresponds to u. Further, fix some $\sigma \in \Gamma$ of order n_{σ} , and let $L_{\sigma} \coloneqq L^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$ be the fixed field. Then the set

$$S_{\mathcal{E},\sigma} := \{ F^{n_{\sigma}} : \pi(F) = \sigma \}$$

is an equivalence class in $L_{\sigma}^{\times}/N_{L/L_{\sigma}}(L^{\times})$, independent of the choice of \mathcal{E} .

Proof. Note that $S_{\mathcal{E},\sigma} \subseteq L_{\sigma}^{\times}$ already from Lemma 1.

The point is to use Lemma 2. Note the gerb $\mathcal E$ corresponds to the equivalence class $u\in H^2(\Gamma,L^\times)$, so let $c\in Z^2(\Gamma,L^\times)$ be a representative. Letting $\mathcal E_c$ be the gerb constructed from c, we are promised an isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal E\simeq \mathcal E_c$ making the following diagram commute.

We start by claiming that $S_{\mathcal{E},\sigma}=S_{\mathcal{E}_c,\sigma}$, which will show that $S_{\mathcal{E},\sigma}$ is independent of the choice of representative \mathcal{E} . To show $S_{\mathcal{E},\sigma} \subseteq S_{\mathcal{E}_c,\sigma}$, note that $\alpha \in S_{\mathcal{E},\sigma}$ has $F \in \mathcal{E}$ with $\pi(F) = \sigma$ and $\alpha = F^{n_\sigma}$. Pushing this through φ , we see $\varphi(F) \in \mathcal{E}_c$ has

$$\pi_c(\varphi(F)) = \varphi(\pi(F)) = \sigma$$
 and $\varphi(F)^{n_\sigma} = \varphi(F^{n_\sigma}) = \alpha$,

so $\alpha \in S_{\mathcal{E}_c,\sigma}$ follows. An analogous argument with φ^{-1} shows the other needed inclusion.

It thus suffices to show that $S_{\mathcal{E}_c,\sigma}$ is an equivalence class in $L_\sigma^\times/\operatorname{N}_{L/L_\sigma}(L^\times)$. However, this is exactly what Lemma 2 says as we let the possible lifts $F = (m, \sigma) \in \mathcal{E}_c$ of σ vary over $m \in L^{\times}$.

The fact that we are taking elements of Γ to equivalence classes in $L_{\sigma}^{\times}/N_{L/L_{\sigma}}(L^{\times})$ is reminiscent of the (inverse) Artin reciprocity map, and indeed that is exactly what is going on.

Corollary 4. Work in the context of Proposition 3. Then

$$S_{\sigma} \coloneqq S_{\mathcal{E},\sigma} = [\sigma] \cup [c],$$

 $S_\sigma \coloneqq S_{\mathcal{E},\sigma} = [\sigma] \cup [c],$ where $\cup \colon \widehat{H}^{-2}(\Gamma,L^\times) \times \widehat{H}^2(\Gamma,L^\times) \to \widehat{H}^0(\Gamma,L^\times)$ is the cup product in Tate cohomology.

Proof. Using notation as in the proof of Proposition 3, we recall that $S_{\sigma} = S_{\mathcal{E}_{\sigma},\sigma}$ so it suffices to prove the result for \mathcal{E}_c . Well, by Lemma 2, S_{σ} is represented by

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n_{\sigma}-1}c\left(\sigma^{i},\sigma\right).$$

However, this product is exactly the cup product $[\sigma] \cup [c]$.

Corollary 5. Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of local fields with Galois group $\Gamma := \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$. Further, let

$$1 \to L^{\times} \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \to 1$$

be an L/K-gerb bound by \mathbb{G}_m whose isomorphism class corresponds to the fundamental class $u_{L/K} \in H^2(\Gamma, L^{\times})$. Further, fix some $\sigma \in \Gamma$ of order n_{σ} , and let $L_{\sigma} \coloneqq L^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$ be the fixed field. Then

$$\theta_{L/L_\sigma}^{-1}(\sigma) = \left\{ F^{n_\sigma} : \pi(F) = \sigma \right\}.$$

Proof. Recalling $\theta_{L/L_{\sigma}}^{-1}$ is a cup product map, note that $\theta_{L/L_{\sigma}}^{-1}(\sigma)$ is given by $[\sigma] \cup u_{L/K}$. So we are done by Corollary 4.

The above results are all interested in lifting single elements of Gal(L/K) and studying how they behave on their own. In the discussion that follows, we will need to study how the lifts interact with each other, but for now, we will justify why lifts are adequate to study as follows.

Proposition 6. Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of local fields with Galois group $\Gamma := \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$. Further, let

$$1 \to L^{\times} \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \to 1$$

be an L/K-gerb bound by \mathbb{G}_m . Given elements $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ which generate Γ , then \mathcal{E} is generated by L^{\times} and a set of lifts $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^m$ with $\pi(F_i) = \sigma_i$ for each i.

Proof. Fix some element $e \in \mathcal{E}$, which we need to exhibit as a product of elements in L^{\times} and F_i s. Well, because the σ_i generate Γ , we know that $\pi(e) \in \Gamma$ can be written as

$$\pi(e) = \prod_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^{a_i}$$

for some sequence of integers $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$. It follows that

$$\pi\left(\frac{e}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} F_i^{a_i}}\right) = 1,$$

so $\frac{e}{\prod^m + F^{a_i}} = \ker \pi = L^{\times}.$ Thus, we can find some $x \in L^{\times}$ such that

$$e = x \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} F_i^{a_i},$$

which is what we wanted.

Abelian Field Extensions

Gerbs to Tuples

The above proofs technically don't even require that L/K is an abelian extension. If we want to keep track of the fact our extension is abelian, we should extract the elements of K which can do so.

Lemma 7. Let L/K be an abelian extension of fields with Galois group $\Gamma := \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$, and let

$$1 \to L^{\times} \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \to 1$$

be an L/K-gerb bound by \mathbb{G}_m . Further, fix some $F_1,F_2\in\mathcal{E}$ and define $\sigma_i\coloneqq\pi(F_i)$ for $i\in\{1,2\}$, and let $\sigma_i\in\Gamma$ have order n_i . Then, setting

$$\alpha_i \coloneqq F_i^{n_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \coloneqq F_1 F_2 F_1^{-1} F_2^{-1},$$

- we have the following. (a) $\alpha_i \in L^{\langle \sigma_i \rangle}$ for $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $\beta \in L^{\times}$. (b) $\mathrm{N}_{L/L^{\langle \sigma_1 \rangle}}(\beta) = \alpha_1/\sigma_2(\alpha_1)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{L/L^{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle}}(\beta^{-1}) = \alpha_2/\sigma_1(\alpha_2)$.

Proof. These checks are a matter of force. For brevity, we set $L_i := L^{\langle \sigma_i \rangle}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

(a) That $\alpha_i \in L_i$ follows from Lemma 1. Lastly, $\beta \in L^{\times}$ follows from noting

$$\pi(\beta) = \pi(F_1)\pi(F_2)\pi(F_1)^{-1}\pi(F_2)^{-1} = 1,$$

so $\beta \in \ker \pi = L^{\times}$.

(b) We will check that $N_{L/L_1}(\beta) = \alpha_1/\sigma_2(\alpha_1)$; the other equality follows symmetrically after switching 1s

and 2s because $\beta^{-1} = F_2 F_1 F_2^{-1} F_1^{-1}$. Well, we compute

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{N}_{L/L_1}(\beta) &= \sigma_1^{-1}(\beta) \cdot \sigma_1^{-2}(\beta) \cdot \sigma^{-3} \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma^{-n_1}(\beta) \\ &= F_1^{-1} \left(F_1 F_2 F_1^{-1} F_2^{-1} \right) F_1 \\ & \cdot F_1^{-2} \left(F_1 F_2 F_1^{-1} F_2^{-1} \right) F_1^2 \\ & \cdot F_1^{-3} \left(F_1 F_2 F_1^{-1} F_2^{-1} \right) F_1^3 \cdot \ldots \\ & \cdot F_1^{-n_1} \left(F_1 F_2 F_1^{-1} F_2^{-1} \right) F_1^{n_1} \\ &= F_2 F_1^{-1} \\ & \cdot F_1^{-1} \\ & \cdot F_1^{-1} \\ & \cdot F_1^{-1} F_2^{-1} F_1^{n_1} \\ &= F_2 F_1^{-n_1} F_2^{-1} F_1^{n_1} \\ &= F_2 F_1^{-n_1} F_2^{-1} F_1^{n_1} \\ &= \alpha_1 / \sigma_2(\alpha_1). \end{split}$$

The above computations finish the proof.

The proof of (b) above might appear magical, but in fact it comes from a more general idea.

Lemma 8. Fix everything as in Lemma 7. Then, for $x, y \ge 0$, we have

$$F_1^x F_2^y = \prod_{k=0}^{x-1} \prod_{\ell=0}^{y-1} \sigma_1^k \sigma_2^{\ell}(\beta) F_2^y F_1^x.$$

Proof. We induct. We take a moment to write out the case of x=1, for which we induct on y. To be explicit, we will prove

$$F_1 F_2^y = \prod_{\ell=0}^{y-1} \sigma_2^{\ell}(\beta) F_2^y F_1.$$

For y=0, there is nothing to say. So suppose the statement for y (and x=1), and we show y+1 (and x=1). Well, we compute

$$\begin{split} F_1 F_2^{y+1} &= F_1 F_2^y \cdot F_2 \\ &= \prod_{\ell=0}^{y-1} \sigma_2^\ell(\beta) F_2^y F_1 \cdot F_2 \\ &= \prod_{\ell=0}^{y-1} \sigma_2^\ell(\beta) F_2^y \beta F_2 F_1 \\ &= \prod_{\ell=0}^{y-1} \sigma_2^\ell(\beta) \cdot \sigma_2^y(\beta) F_2^y \cdot F_2 F_1 \\ &= \prod_{\ell=0}^{(y+1)-1} \sigma_2^\ell(\beta) \cdot F_2^{y+1} F_1, \end{split}$$

which is what we wanted.

We now move on to the general case. We will induct on y. Note that y=0 makes the product empty, leaving us with $F_1^x=F_1^x$, for any x. So suppose that the statement is true for some $y\geq 0$, and we will show y+1. For this, we now turn to inducting on x. For x=0, we note that the product is once again empty, so we are left with showing $F_2^{y+1}=F_2^{y+1}$, which is true.

To finish, we suppose the statement for x and show the statement for x + 1. Well, we compute

$$\begin{split} F_1^{x+1}F_2^{y+1} &= F_1 \cdot F_1^x F_2^{y+1} \\ &= F_1 \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{x-1} \prod_{\ell=0}^{(y+1)-1} \sigma_1^k \sigma_2^\ell(\beta) \cdot F_2^{y+1} F_1^x \\ &= \sigma_1 \left(\prod_{k=0}^{x-1} \prod_{\ell=0}^{(y+1)-1} \sigma_1^k \sigma_2^\ell(\beta) \right) \cdot F_1 F_2^{y+1} F_1^x \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{(x+1)-1} \prod_{\ell=0}^{(y+1)-1} \sigma_1^k \sigma_2^\ell(\beta) \cdot F_1 F_2^{y+1} F_1^x \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{(x+1)-1} \prod_{\ell=0}^{(y+1)-1} \sigma_1^k \sigma_2^\ell(\beta) \cdot \prod_{\ell=0}^{(y+1)-1} \sigma_2^\ell(\beta) \cdot \sigma_2^y(\beta) \cdot F_2^{y+1} F_1 \cdot F_1^x \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{(x+1)-1} \prod_{\ell=0}^{(y+1)-1} \sigma_1^k \sigma_2^\ell(\beta) F_2^{y+1} F_1^{x+1}, \end{split}$$

which is what we wanted.

Remark 9. Setting $x=n_1$ and y=1 recovers $\mathrm{N}_{L/L^{\langle\sigma_1\rangle}}(\beta)=\alpha_1/\sigma_2(\alpha_1)$.

2.2 Tuples to Cocycles

2.2.1 The Set-Up

The proceeding lemma is intended to give intuition that the element β is helping to specify the group law on \mathcal{E} .

More concretely, we will take the following set-up for the following results: fix L/K a finite Galois extension of fields with Galois group $\Gamma \coloneqq \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$, and let

$$1 \to L^{\times} \to \mathcal{E} \to \Gamma \to 1$$

be an L/K-Galois gerb bound by \mathbb{G}_m . Once we choose elements $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ generating Γ , we know by Proposition 6 that we can generate \mathcal{E} by L^{\times} and some arbitrarily chosen lifts $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of the $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$. Then, letting n_i be the order of σ_i , we set

$$\alpha_i := F_i^{n_i}$$

for each index i and

$$\beta_{ij} \coloneqq F_i F_j F_i^{-1} F_j^{-1}$$

for each index $1 \le j < i \le m$. Notably, we will not need more β s: indeed, $\beta_{ii} = 1$ and $\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ji}^{-1}$ for any i and j. Setting $L_i := L^{\langle \sigma_i \rangle}$, the story so far is that

$$\alpha_i \in L_i \text{ for each } i \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta_{ij} \in L^{\times} \text{ for each } i > j$$
 (2.1)

and

$$N_{L/L_i}(\beta_{ij}) = \alpha_i/\sigma_j(\alpha_i)$$
 and $N_{L/L_j}(\beta_{ij}^{-1}) = \alpha_j/\sigma_i(\alpha_j)$ for each $i > j$ (2.2)

by Lemma 7. This data is so important that we will give it a name.

Definition 10. In the above set-up, the data of $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) will be called a $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple. When understood, the $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ will be abbreviated.

Note that this definition is independent of \mathcal{E} , but a choice of gerb and lifts F_i give a $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple as described above.

Remark 11. In fact, $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuples form a group under multiplication in L^{\times} . Indeed, the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are closed under multiplication and inversion.

We also know from Lemma 8 that

$$F_{i}^{x}F_{j}^{y} = \prod_{k=0}^{x-1} \prod_{\ell=0}^{y-1} \sigma_{i}^{k} \sigma_{j}^{\ell}(\beta_{ij}) F_{j}^{y} F_{i}^{x}$$

for i>j and $x,y\geq 0$. It will be helpful to have some notation for the residue term in L^{\times} , so we define

$$\beta_{ij}^{(k\ell)} := \prod_{k=0}^{x-1} \prod_{\ell=0}^{y-1} \sigma_i^k \sigma_j^{\ell}(\beta_{ij}).$$

Now, combined with the fact that $F_i x = \sigma_i(x) F_i$ for each F_i and $x \in L^{\times}$, we have been approximately told how the group operation works in \mathcal{E} . Namely, we could conceivably write any element of \mathcal{E} in the form

$$xF_1^{a_1}\cdots F_m^{a_m}$$

for $x \in L^{\times}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}/n_i\mathbb{Z}$ because we know how to make these elements commute and generate \mathcal{E} . Further, we can multiply out two terms of the form

$$xF_1^{a_1}\cdots F_m^{a_m}\cdot yF_1^{b_1}\cdots F_m^{b_m}$$

into a term of the form $zF_1^{c_1}\cdots F_m^{c_m}.$ In fact, it will be helpful for us to see how to do this.

Proposition 12. Fix everything as in the set-up, except drop the assumption that $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ generate Γ . Then, choosing $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for each i, we have

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{a_i}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{b_i}\right) = \left[\prod_{1 \le j < i \le m} \left(\prod_{1 \le k < j} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k}\right) \left(\prod_{j \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{ij}^{(a_i b_j)}\right] \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{a_i + b_i}\right).$$

Proof. The reason that we dropped the assumption on $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is so that we may induct directly on m. We start by showing that

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{a_i}\right) F_1^{b_1} = \left[\prod_{1 < i \le m} \left(\prod_{1 \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{i1}^{(a_i b_1)}\right] F_1^{a_1 + b_1} \prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{a_i}.$$

We do this by induction on m. When m=0 and even for m=1, there is nothing to say. For the inductive step, we assume

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}^{a_{i}}\right) F_{1}^{b_{1}} = \left[\prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left(\prod_{1 \leq k < i} \sigma_{k}^{a_{k}}\right) \beta_{i1}^{(a_{i}b_{1})}\right] F_{1}^{a_{1} + b_{1}} \prod_{i=2}^{m} F_{i}^{a_{i}}$$

and compute

$$\begin{split} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} F_i^{a_i}\right) F_1^{b_1} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{a_i}\right) F_{m+1}^{a_{m+1}} F_1^{b_1} \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{a_i}\right) \beta_{m+1,1}^{(a_{m+1}b_1)} F_1^{b_1} F_{m+1}^{a_{m+1}} \\ &= \left[\left(\prod_{k=1}^m \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{m+1,1}^{(a_{m+1}b_1)}\right] \left[\prod_{1 < i \le m} \left(\prod_{1 \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{i1}^{(a_ib_1)}\right] F_1^{a_1 + b_1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{a_i}\right) F_{m+1}^{a_{m+1}} \\ &= \left[\prod_{1 < i \le m+1} \left(\prod_{1 \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{i1}^{(a_ib_1)}\right] F_1^{a_1 + b_1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{a_i}\right), \end{split}$$

which completes our inductive step.

We now attack the statement of the proposition directly, again inducting on m. For m=0 and even for m=1, there is again nothing to say. For the inductive step, take m>1, and we get to assume that

$$\left(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{a_i}\right) \left(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{b_i}\right) = \left[\prod_{2 \leq j < i \leq m} \left(\prod_{2 \leq k < j} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k}\right) \left(\prod_{j \leq k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{ij}^{(a_i b_j)}\right] \left(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{a_i + b_i}\right).$$

From here, we can compute

$$\begin{split} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{a_i}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{b_i}\right) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{a_i}\right) F_1^{b_1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{b_i}\right) \\ &= \left[\prod_{1 < i \le m} \left(\prod_{1 \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{i1}^{(a_i b_1)}\right] F_1^{a_1 + b_1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{a_i}\right) \left(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{b_i}\right) \\ &= \left[\prod_{1 < i \le m} \left(\prod_{1 \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{i1}^{(a_i b_1)}\right] F_1^{a_1 + b_1} \cdot \\ &\left[\prod_{2 \le j < i \le m} \left(\prod_{2 \le k < j} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k}\right) \left(\prod_{j \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{ij}^{(a_i b_j)}\right] \left(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{a_i + b_i}\right) \\ &= \left[\prod_{1 < i \le m} \left(\prod_{1 \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{i1}^{(a_i b_1)}\right] \cdot \\ &\sigma_1^{a_1 + b_1} \left[\prod_{2 \le j < i \le m} \left(\prod_{2 \le k < j} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k}\right) \left(\prod_{j \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{ij}^{(a_i b_j)}\right] \left(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i^{a_i + b_i}\right). \end{split}$$

From here, a little rearrangement finishes the inductive step.

The reason we exerted this pain upon ourselves is for the following result.

Proposition 13. Fix everything as in the set-up. Then we can represent the cohomology class corresponding to \mathcal{E} by the cocycle

$$c(g,h) \coloneqq \left[\prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq m} \left(\prod_{1 \leq k < j} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k} \right) \left(\prod_{j \leq k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k} \right) \beta_{ij}^{(a_i b_j)} \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\prod_{1 \leq k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k} \right) \alpha_i^{\left \lfloor \frac{a_i + b_i}{n_i} \right \rfloor} \right],$$

where $g = \prod_i F_i^{a_i}$ and $h = \prod_i F_i^{b_i}$.

Proof. Very quickly, we use the division algorithm to define

$$a_i + b_i = n_i q_i + r_i$$

where $q \in \{0, 1\}$ and $0 \le r_i < n_i$. In particular,

$$gh = \prod_{i=1}^{m} F_i^{r_i}.$$

What if the sigmas have relations?

Now, because the elements σ_i generate Γ , we see that the lifts $\sigma_i \mapsto F_i$ defines a section $s \colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{E}$. As such, we can compute a representing cocycle for our cohomology class as

$$\begin{split} c(g,h) &= s(g)s(h)s(gh)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{a_i}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{b_i}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{r_i}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \left[\prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq m} \left(\prod_{1 \leq k < j} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k}\right) \left(\prod_{j \leq k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{ij}^{(a_i b_j)}\right] \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i^{a_i + b_i}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m F_{m-i+1}^{-r_{m-i+1}}\right). \end{split}$$

It remains to deal with the last products; we claim that it is equal to

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}^{a_{i}+b_{i}}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} F_{m-i+1}^{-r_{m-i+1}}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\prod_{1 \leq k \leq i} \sigma_{k}^{a_{k}+b_{k}}\right) \alpha_{i}^{q_{i}},$$

which will finish the proof. We induct on m; for m=0 and m=1, there is nothing to say. For the inductive step, we assume that

$$\left(\prod_{i=2}^{m} F_i^{a_i + b_i}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} F_{m-i+1}^{-r_{m-i+1}}\right) = \prod_{i=2}^{m} \left(\prod_{2 \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k}\right) \alpha_i^{q_i}$$

and compute

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}^{a_{i}+b_{i}}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} F_{m-i+1}^{-r_{m-i+1}}\right) = F_{1}^{a_{1}+b_{1}} \left(\prod_{i=2}^{m} F_{i}^{a_{i}+b_{i}}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} F_{m-i+1}^{-r_{m-i+1}}\right) F_{1}^{-a_{1}-b_{1}} F_{1}^{a_{1}+b_{1}-r_{1}}$$

$$= F_{1}^{a_{1}+b_{1}} \left(\prod_{i=2}^{m} \left(\prod_{2 \leq k < i} \sigma_{k}^{a_{k}+b_{k}}\right) \alpha_{i}^{q_{i}}\right) F_{1}^{-a_{1}-b_{1}} \alpha_{1}^{q_{1}}$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=2}^{m} \left(\prod_{1 \leq k < i} \sigma_{k}^{a_{k}+b_{k}}\right) \alpha_{i}^{q_{i}}\right) \alpha_{1}^{q_{1}}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\prod_{1 \leq k < i} \sigma_{k}^{a_{k}+b_{k}}\right) \alpha_{i}^{q_{i}},$$

finishing.

2.2.2 The Modified Set-Up

A priori we have no reason to expect that the c constructed in Proposition 13 is actually a cocycle, especially if the σ_i have nontrivial relations.

To account for this, we modify our set-up slightly. By the classification of finitely generated abelian groups, we may write

$$\Gamma \simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^{m} \Gamma_k,$$

where $\Gamma_k \subseteq \Gamma$ with $\Gamma_k \cong \mathbb{Z}/n_k\mathbb{Z}$ and $n_k > 1$ for each n_k . As such, we let σ_k be a generating element of Γ_k so that we still know that the σ_k generate Γ . In this case, we have the following result.

Theorem 14. Fix everything as in the modified set-up, forgetting about the gerb \mathcal{E} . Then a $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple of $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{\beta_{ij}\}_{i>j}$ makes

$$c(g,h) \coloneqq \left[\prod_{1 \le j < i \le m} \left(\prod_{1 \le k < j} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k} \right) \left(\prod_{j \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k} \right) \beta_{ij}^{(a_i b_j)} \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\prod_{1 \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k} \right) \alpha_i^{\left\lfloor \frac{a_i + b_i}{n_i} \right\rfloor} \right],$$

where $g \coloneqq \prod_i F_i^{a_i}$ and $h \coloneqq \prod_i F_j^{a_j}$ and $0 \le a_i, b_i < n_i$, into a cocycle in $Z^2(\Gamma, L^{\times})$.

Proof. Note that c is now surely well-defined because the elements g and h have unique representations as described. Anyway, we omit this check because it is long and annoying.

Observe that the above construction has now completely forgotten about \mathcal{E} ! Namely, we have managed to go from tuples straight to cocycles; this is theoretically good because it will allow us to go fully in reverse: we will be able to start with a tuple, build the corresponding cocycle, from which the gerb arises. However, equivalence classes of cocycles give the "same" gerb, so we will also need to give equivalence classes for tuples as well.

2.3 Building Tuples

Do this check.

We continue in the modified set-up of the previous section. There is already an established way to get from a cocycle to a gerb, which means that it should be possible to go straight from the cocycle to a $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple. Again, it will be beneficial to write this out.

Lemma 15. Fix everything as in the modified set-up, but suppose that $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_c$ is the gerb generated from a cocycle $c\in Z^2(\Gamma,L^\times)$. Then, if $F_i=(x_i,\sigma_i)$ are our lifts, we have

$$\alpha_i = \mathrm{N}_{L/L_i}(x_i) \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{n_i-1} c\left(\sigma_i^k, \sigma_i\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta_{ij} = \frac{x_i}{\sigma_j(x_i)} \cdot \frac{\sigma_i(x_j)}{x_j} \cdot \frac{c(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)}{c(\sigma_j, \sigma_i)}$$

for each α_i and β_{ii} .

Proof. The equality for the α_i follow from Lemma 2. For the equality about β_{ij} , we simply compute by brute force, writing

$$F_i F_j = (x_i \cdot \sigma_i x_j \cdot c(\sigma_i, \sigma_j), \sigma_i \sigma_j)$$

$$F_j F_i = (x_j \cdot \sigma_j x_i \cdot c(\sigma_j, \sigma_i), \sigma_j \sigma_i)$$

$$(F_j F_i)^{-1} = ((\sigma_j \sigma_i)^{-1} (x_j \cdot \sigma_j x_i \cdot c(\sigma_j, \sigma_i))^{-1}, \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_j^{-1}),$$

which gives

$$\beta_{ij} = (F_i F_j)(F_j F_i)^{-1}$$

$$= \left(\frac{x_i}{\sigma_j x_i} \cdot \frac{\sigma_i x_j}{x_j} \cdot \frac{c(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)}{c(\sigma_j, \sigma_i)}, 1\right),$$

finishing.

Here is a nice sanity check that we are doing things in the right setting: not only can we build tuples from gerbs, but we can find a gerb corresponding to any tuple.

Corollary 16. Fix everything as in the modified set-up, forgetting about the gerb \mathcal{E} . For any $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple of $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{\beta_{ij}\}_{i>j}$, there exists a gerb \mathcal{E} and lifts F_i of the σ_i so that

$$\alpha_i = F_i^{n_i}$$
 and $\beta_{ij} = F_i F_j F_i^{-1} F_j^{-1}$.

Proof. From Theorem 14, we may build the cocycle $c \in Z^2(\Gamma, L^{\times})$ defined by

$$c(g,h) \coloneqq \left[\prod_{1 \le j < i \le m} \left(\prod_{1 \le k < j} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k} \right) \left(\prod_{j \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k} \right) \beta_{ij}^{(a_i b_j)} \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\prod_{1 \le k < i} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k} \right) \alpha_i^{\left\lfloor \frac{a_i + b_i}{n_i} \right\rfloor} \right], \tag{2.3}$$

where $g\coloneqq\prod_i F_i^{a_i}$ and $h\coloneqq\prod_i F_j^{a_j}$ and $0\le a_i,b_i< n_i$. As such, we use $\mathcal{E}\coloneqq\mathcal{E}_c$ to be the corresponding gerb and $F_i\coloneqq(1,\sigma_i)$ as our lifts. We have the following checks.

• To show $\alpha_i = F_i^{n_i}$, we use Lemma 15 to compute $F_i^{n_i}$, which means we want to compute

$$\prod_{k=0}^{n_i-1} c\left(\sigma_i^k, \sigma_i\right).$$

Well, plugging $c\left(\sigma_i^k,\sigma_i\right)$ into (2.3), we note that all $\beta_{k\ell}^{(a_kb_\ell)}$ terms vanish (either $a_k=0$ or $b_\ell=0$ for each $k\neq \ell$), so the big left product completely vanishes.

As for the right product, the only term we have to worry about is

$$\left(\prod_{1 \le k \le i} \sigma_k^{0+0}\right) \alpha_i^{\left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{n_i} \right\rfloor},$$

which is equal to 1 when $k \le n_i - 1$ and α_i when $k = n_i - 1$. As such, we do indeed have $\alpha_i = F_i^{n_i}$.

• To show $\beta_{ij}=F_iF_jF_i^{-1}F_j^{-1}$ for i>j, we again use Lemma 15 to compute $F_iF_jF_i^{-1}F_j^{-1}$, which means we want to compute

$$\frac{c(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)}{c(\sigma_i, \sigma_i)}.$$

Plugging into (2.3) once more, there is no way to make $\lfloor (a_k + b_k)/n_k \rfloor$ nonzero (recall we set $n_k > 1$ for each k) in either $c(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ or $c(\sigma_j, \sigma_i)$. As such, the right-hand product term disappears.

As for the left product, we note that it still vanishes for $c(\sigma_j,\sigma_i)$ because i>j implies that either $a_k=0$ or $b_\ell=0$ for each $k>\ell$. However, for $c(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)$, we do have $a_i=1$ and $b_j=1$ only, so we have to deal with exactly the term

$$\left(\prod_{1 \le k \le j} \sigma_k^{a_k + b_k}\right) \left(\prod_{j \le k \le i} \sigma_k^{a_k}\right) \beta_{ij}.$$

With i > j and $a_k = b_k = 0$ for $k \notin \{i, j\}$, we see that the product of all the σ_k s will disappear, indeed only leaving us with β_{ij} .

The above computations complete the proof.

And here is our first taste of (partial) classification.

Corollary 17. Fix everything as in the modified set-up, forgetting about the gerb \mathcal{E} . Then the formula of Theorem 14 and the formulae of Lemma 15 (setting $x_i=1$ for each i) are homomorphisms of abelian groups between the set of $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuples and cocycles in $Z^2(\Gamma, L^\times)$. In fact, the formula of Theorem 14 is a section of the formulae of Lemma 15.

Proof. The formulae in Theorem 14 and Lemma 15 are both large products in their inputs, so they are multiplicative (i.e., homomorphisms). It remains to check that we have a section. Well, starting with a $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ tuple and building the corresponding cocycle c by Theorem 14, the proof of Corollary 16 shows that the formulae of Lemma 15 recovers the correct $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple.

Equivalence Classes of Tuples

We continue in the modified set-up. We would like to make Corollary 17 into a proper isomorphism of abelian groups, but this is not feasible; for example, the cocycle c generated by Theorem 14 will always have $c(\sigma_i, \sigma_i) = 1$ for i > j, which is not true of all cocycles in $Z^2(\Gamma, L^{\times})$.

However, we did have a notion that the data of a $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ should be enough to specify the group law of the gerb that the tuple comes from, so we do expect to be able to define all gerbs—and hence achieve all cohomology classes—from a specially chosen $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple.

To make this precise, we want to define an equivalence relation on tuples which go to the same cohomology class and then show that the map Theorem 14 is surjective on these equivalence classes. The correct equivalence relation is taken from Lemma 15.

Definition 18. Fix everything as in the modified set-up. We say that two $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuples $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ and $(\{\alpha_i'\}, \{\beta_{ij}'\})$ are equivalent if and only if there exist elements $x_1, \dots, x_m \in L^{\times}$ such that

$$\alpha_i = \mathrm{N}_{L/L_i}(x_i) \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{n_i-1} c\left(\sigma_i^k, \sigma_i\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta_{ij} = \frac{x_i}{\sigma_j(x_i)} \cdot \frac{\sigma_i(x_j)}{x_j} \cdot \frac{c(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)}{c(\sigma_j, \sigma_i)}$$

for each α_i and β_{ij} . We may notate this by $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\}) \sim (\{\alpha_i'\}, \{\beta_{ij}'\})$.

This notion of equivalence can be seen to be the correct one in the sense that it correctly generalizes Proposition 3.

Proposition 19. Fix everything as in the modified set-up with a gerb \mathcal{E} . As the lifts F_i change, the corre-

$$\alpha_i \coloneqq F_i^{n_i}$$
 and $\beta_{ij} \coloneqq F_i F_j F_i^{-1} F_j^{-1}$

 $\alpha_i\coloneqq F_i^{n_i}\quad\text{ and }\quad\beta_{ij}\coloneqq F_iF_jF_i^{-1}F_j^{-1}$ go through a full equivalence class of $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuples.

Proof. We proceed as in Proposition 3. Given an L/K-Galois gerb \mathcal{E}' bound by \mathbb{G}_m , let $S_{\mathcal{E}'}$ be the set of $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuples generated as the lifts F_i change. We start by showing that an isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{E}\simeq \mathcal{E}'$ of gerbs implies that $S_{\mathcal{E}} = S_{\mathcal{E}'}$; by symmetry, it will be enough for $S_{\mathcal{E}} \subseteq S_{\mathcal{E}'}$. The isomorphism induces the following diagram.

To show that $S_{\mathcal{E}} \subseteq S_{\mathcal{E}'}$, pick up some $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ generated from lifts $F_i \in \mathcal{E}$ (i.e., $\pi(F_i) = \sigma_i$), where

$$\alpha_i \coloneqq F_i^{n_i} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta_{ij} \coloneqq F_i F_j F_i^{-1} F_j^{-1}.$$

Now, we note that $F_i' \coloneqq \varphi(F_i)$ will have

$$\pi(F_i') = \pi(\varphi(F_i)) = \varphi(\pi(F_i)) = \sigma_i$$

by the commutativity of the diagram, so the F'_i are lifts of the σ_i . Further, we see that

$$(F_i')^{n_i} = \varphi(F_i)^{n_i} = \varphi(F_i^{n_i}) = \varphi(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$$

for each i, and

$$F_i' F_j' (F_i')^{-1} (F_j')^{-1} = \varphi \left(F_i F_j F_i^{-1} F_j^{-1} \right) = \varphi(\beta_{ij}) = \beta_{ij}$$

for each i > j. Thus, $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ is a $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple generated by lifts from \mathcal{E}' , implying that $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\}) \in$ $S_{\mathcal{E}'}$.

It now suffices to show the statement in the proposition for a specific gerb isomorphic to \mathcal{E} . Well, the isomorphism class of \mathcal{E} corresponds to some cohomology class in $H^2(\Gamma, L^\times)$, for which we let c be a representative; then $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}_c$, so we may show the statement for $\mathcal{E} \coloneqq \mathcal{E}_c$. Indeed, as the lifts $F_i = (x_i, \sigma_i)$ change, we know by Lemma 15 that

$$\alpha_i = \mathrm{N}_{L/L_i}(x_i) \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{n_i-1} c\left(\sigma_i^k, \sigma_i\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta_{ij} = \frac{x_i}{\sigma_j(x_i)} \cdot \frac{\sigma_i(x_j)}{x_j} \cdot \frac{c(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)}{c(\sigma_j, \sigma_i)}$$

for each α_i and β_{ij} . All of these live in the same equivalence class by definition of the equivalence, and as the x_i are allowed to vary over all of L^{\times} , they will fill up that equivalence class fully. This finishes.

We are now ready to upgrade our section.

Corollary 20. Fix everything as in the modified set-up, forgetting about the gerb $\mathcal E$. Fixing a cohomology class $[c] \in H^2(\Gamma, L^\times)$, the set of $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ which correspond to [c] (via Theorem 14) forms exactly one equivalence class.

Proof. We show that two tuples are equivalent if and only if their corresponding cocycles (via Theorem 14) to the same cohomology class, which will be enough.

In one direction, suppose $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\}) \sim (\{\alpha_i'\}, \{\beta_{ij}'\})$. By Corollary 16, we can find a gerb $\mathcal E$ which gives $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ by choosing an appropriate set of lifts. By Proposition 19, we see that $(\{\alpha_i'\}, \{\beta_{ij}'\})$ must also come from choosing an appropriate set of lifts in $\mathcal E$. However, the cocycles in $Z^2(\Gamma, L^\times)$ generated by Theorem 14 from our two tuples now both represent the isomorphism class of $\mathcal E$ by Proposition 13, so these cocycles belong to the same cohomology class.

In the other direction, name the cocycles corresponding to $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ and $(\{\alpha_i'\}, \{\beta_{ij}'\})$ by c and c' respectively, and suppose [c] = [c']. Then $\mathcal{E}_c \simeq \mathcal{E}_{c'}$ as gerbs, but we know by the proof of Corollary 16 that $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ comes from choosing lifts of \mathcal{E}_c and similar for $(\{\alpha_i'\}, \{\beta_{ij}'\})$. In particular, because $\mathcal{E}_c \simeq \mathcal{E}_{c'}$, we know that $(\{\alpha_i'\}, \{\beta_{ij}'\})$ will also come from choosing some lifts in \mathcal{E}_c (recall the proof of Proposition 19), so $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\}) \sim (\{\alpha_i'\}, \{\beta_{ij}'\})$ follows.

Theorem 21. The maps described in Corollary 17 descend to an isomorphism of abelian groups between the equivalence classes of $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuples and cohomology classes in $H^2(\Gamma, L^{\times})$.

Proof. The fact that the maps are well-defined (in both directions) and hence injective is Corollary 20. The fact that we had a section from tuples to cocycles implies that the map from cocycles to tuples was also surjective. Thus, we have a bona fide isomorphism.

2.5 Classification of Gerbs

We remark that we are now able to classify all gerbs up to isomorphism, in some sense. At a high level, an isomorphism class of gerbs corresponds to a particular cohomology class in $H^2(\Gamma, L^{\times})$, so choosing a $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ corresponding to this class, we can write out a representative of this cocycle by Theorem 14, properly corresponding to the original gerb by Proposition 13.

In fact, the cocycle in Proposition 13 is generated by the description of the group law in Proposition 12, and the entire computation only needed to use the following relations, for the appropriate choice of lifts F_i .

- (a) $F_i x = \sigma_i(x) F_i$ for each i and $x \in L^{\times}$.
- (b) $F_i^{n_i} = \alpha_i$ for each i.
- (c) $F_iF_jF_i^{-1}F_j^{-1}=\beta_{ij}$ for each i>j; i.e., $F_iF_j=\beta_{ij}F_jF_i$.

As such, the above relations fully describe the gerb because they also specify the cocycle, and we know that this cocycle is well-defined. We summarize this discussion into the following theorem.

Theorem 22. Fix everything as in the modified set-up, forgetting about the gerb \mathcal{E} . Given a $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple $\{\alpha_i\},\{\beta_{ij}\}$), define the group $\mathcal{E}(\{\alpha_i\},\{\beta_{ij}\})$ as being generated by L^{\times} and elements $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^n$ having the following relations.

- (a) $F_ix=\sigma_i(x)F_i$ for each i and $x\in L^{\times}$. (b) $F_i^{n_i}=\alpha_i$ for each i. (c) $F_iF_j=\beta_{ij}F_jF_i$ for each i>j.

Then the natural embedding $L^{\times} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ and projection $\pi \colon \mathcal{E}(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\}) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ by $F_i \mapsto \sigma_i$ makes $\mathcal{E}(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ into a gerb. In fact, all gerbs are isomorphic to some $\mathcal{E}(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$.

Proof. This follows from the preceding discussion, though we will provide a few more words in this proof. The exactness of

$$1 \to L^{\times} \to \mathcal{E}(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \to 1$$

follows quickly. Further, the action of conjugation of \mathcal{E} on L^{\times} corresponds correctly to the Γ -action by (a). So we do indeed have a gerb.

It remains to show that all gerbs are isomorphic to one of this type. Well, note that Proposition 12 and Proposition 13 use only the above relations to write down a cocycle representing the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{E}(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$, and it is the cocycle corresponding to the $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -tuple $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ itself as described in Theorem 14.

However, we know that as the equivalence class of $(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$ changes, we will hit all cohomology classes in $H^2(\Gamma, L^{\times})$ by Theorem 21. Thus, because every gerb is represented by some cohomology class, every gerb will be isomorphic to some $\mathcal{E}(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_{ij}\})$. This completes the proof.