

# 250B: Commutative Algebra

## For the Morbidly Curious

Nir Elber

Spring 2022

# CONTENTS

---

Contents	2
1 Introduction to Dimension	3
1.1 April 5 . . . . .	3
List of Definitions	9

# THEME 1

## INTRODUCTION TO DIMENSION

---

*In this sense the algebraic geometers have never left paradise: There is no snake (that is, Peano curve) in the garden.*

—David Eisenbud

### 1.1 April 5

Welcome back.

#### 1.1.1 Regular Rings

Today we are mostly talking about regular local rings of dimension 1. Concretely, we have a ring  $R$  with a unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  (by being local), and because  $R$  is regular, we have

$$\mathfrak{m} = (\pi)$$

for some  $\pi \in R$ . As we showed last time, all regular local rings are domains, but in fact we now claim that regular local rings of dimension 1 are principal ideal domains. In fact, we have stronger.

**Proposition 1.1.** Fix a Noetherian, regular, local ring  $R$  of dimension 1, with maximal ideal  $(\pi)$ . Then all nonzero ideals of  $R$  are of the form  $(\pi^k)$  for some natural number  $k$ .

*Proof.* Set  $K(R)$  to be the quotient field of  $R$ . We show that any nonzero element of the quotient field  $r \in K(R)^\times$  can be written as  $u\pi^n$  for some  $u \in R \setminus (\pi)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This will be enough to finish the proof because we more or less have a very good unique prime factorization.

We start by taking  $r \in K(R)$ . By the Krull intersection theorem, we see that

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 1} (\pi)^n = (0),$$

so because  $r \neq 0$ , we deduce that  $r$  must live in  $(\pi^n) \setminus (\pi^{n+1})$  for some  $n$ . Thus, setting  $r = u\pi^n$ , we see that  $u \in R \setminus (\pi)$ , so  $u$  is a unit, as needed.

For general elements  $\frac{r}{s} \in K(R)$ , we simply take the quotient of the representations of  $r$  and  $s$  to finish. This finishes the proof. ■

These rings are actually called discrete valuation rings. Let's explain this terminology.

**Definition 1.2.** A group  $\Gamma$  endowed with a total order  $\geq$  is a *totally ordered group* if and only if the set

$$\{\gamma : \gamma \geq 0\}$$

is closed under the operation of  $\Gamma$ , and  $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2$  is implied by  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2^{-1} \geq 0$ .

**Example 1.3.** The rings  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are ordered groups.

It happens that the discrete, countable totally ordered groups are all  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 1.4 (Valuation).** Fix a domain  $R$  and totally ordered group  $\Gamma$ . A *valuation*  $\nu$  is a group homomorphism  $\nu : K(R)^\times \rightarrow \Gamma$  satisfying the following.

- We have  $\nu(a + b) \geq \min\{\nu(a), \nu(b)\}$ .
- We have  $R = \{x \in K(R) : \nu(x) \geq 0\}$ .

**Definition 1.5 (Discrete valuation ring).** A *valuation ring* is an integral domain  $R$  equipped with a valuation  $\nu : K(R)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

And here are our examples.

**Example 1.6.** Fix a regular, local ring  $R$  of dimension 1. Then we described in the proof of [Proposition 1.1](#) a way to write elements  $x \in K(R)^\times$  in the form  $u\pi^n$  where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Defining  $\nu(x) := n$  equips  $R$  with a discrete valuation.

**Example 1.7.** The ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a discrete valuation ring. Indeed,  $K(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , and in the same way above we can write any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  as  $u\pi^n$  for a unit  $u$  and integer  $n$ . Setting  $\nu(x) := n$  provides our valuation. In fact, the function

$$d(a, b) := p^{-\nu(a-b)}$$

turns  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  into a metric space, in fact complete with respect to this metric  $d$ .

**Remark 1.8 (Nir).** It also turns out that discrete valuation rings are regular, local rings of dimension 1 as well.

## 1.1.2 Normal Domains

Just for fun, let's provide a criterion to have a normal domain. To start, recall that unique factorization domains are normal already. As such, we recall the following statement.

**Proposition 1.9.** A Noetherian domain  $R$  is a unique factorization domain if and only if every prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  minimal over some principal ideal  $(a)$  is itself principal.

The idea is to weaken this condition to give us normality. In particular, recall that a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  is associated to the ideal  $I$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R/I$  if and only if there exists  $x \in R$  such that

$$\mathfrak{p} = \{r : rx \in I\}.$$

Notably, we this would imply that  $x \notin I$  and hence  $[x]_I \neq [0]_I$ .

Anyway, here is our statement.

**Theorem 1.10.** Fix a Noetherian domain  $R$ . Then  $R$  is normal if and only if either of the following conditions hold.

- (a) All primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  associated to a principal ideal  $(a) \subseteq R$  have  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a principal ideal.
- (b) Every localization of  $R$  at a codimension-1 prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a regular local ring.

*Proof.* The condition (a) is equivalent to  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  being a discrete valuation ring: note that we already know that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Now, because codimension-1 primes are the ones minimal over principal rings, we see that (a) and (b) are equivalent.

We now show the backwards direction. We pick up the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.11.** Fix a Noetherian domain  $R$ . Then  $x \in K(R)$  has  $x \in R$  if and only if  $x \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  associated to some principal ideal. In other words,

$$R = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  varies over primes associated to a principal ideal.

*Proof.* Of course,  $x \in R$  implies that  $x \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for each  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

In the reverse direction, suppose  $x \notin R$  has  $x = \frac{a}{b}$ . Then we are given  $a \notin (b)$ . Now, we showed a while ago that, in an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we have  $m = 0$  if and only if  $\frac{m}{1} = \frac{0}{1}$  in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$ . As such, working with  $M := R/(x)$ , we see that  $[a]_{(x)} \neq [0]_{(x)}$ , so there exists a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  associated to  $R/(b)$  (i.e., associated to the ideal  $(b)$ ) with  $a \notin (b)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so  $x \notin R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . ■

Thus, the hypothesis tells us that each  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a discrete valuation ring and hence a principal ideal domain and hence a unique factorization domain and hence normal.<sup>1</sup> Thus, because the intersection of normal domains is normal, we deduce that  $R$  is normal.

We now show the forwards direction. Suppose that  $R$  is normal, and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be some prime associated to a principal ideal  $(a)$ . We would like to show that  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is principal; because  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is still associated to  $(a)R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we see that we may replace  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  with  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  so that  $R$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

To continue, we pick up the following definition.

**Definition 1.12.** A *fractional ideal* is an  $R$ -submodule of  $K(R)$ .

As such, we set

$$\mathfrak{p}^{-1} := \{x \in K(R) : x\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R\}.$$

Notably,  $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{-1}$  will be contained in  $R$ , and it contains  $\mathfrak{p}$ . So it is either  $\mathfrak{p}$  or  $R$ .

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$ . Well, any  $x \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$  is integral by the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, so  $x \in R$ , so we have shown  $\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \subseteq R$ . But this does not make sense:  $\mathfrak{p}$  is associated to  $(a)$  by some element  $[b]_{(a)}$ , but then  $b\mathfrak{p} \subseteq (a)$ , so  $a^{-1}b\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ , so  $a^{-1}b \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ .

But now  $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = R$  shows that there exists  $\frac{a}{b}$  such that  $\frac{x}{y}\mathfrak{p} = R$  for some unit  $x$ , so  $\frac{1}{y}\mathfrak{p} = R$ , so  $\mathfrak{p} = (y)$ . This finishes the proof. ■

**Remark 1.13.** It is possible for  $\mathfrak{p}$  in the proof to not be principal but still have  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be principal.

As a corollary of the proof, we get the following results.

<sup>1</sup> One can show this somewhat more directly by building a monic polynomial with some  $u\pi^m$  as a root and then arguing about the maximal ideal, but we won't bother.

**Corollary 1.14.** Fix a Noetherian domain  $R$ . If  $R$  is normal, then

$$R = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where the intersection is over all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of codimension 1.

**Corollary 1.15.** Fix  $X$  an affine algebraic variety such that  $A(X)$  is a normal domain. If we have a sub-variety  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $A(Y)$  is of codimension at least 2, then  $A(X - Y) = A(X)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathfrak{q}$  is the prime ideal corresponding to the variety  $Y$ . Then  $A(X - Y) = A(X)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , so taking the intersection finishes. ■

### 1.1.3 Invertible Modules

For the following discussion, we will take  $R$  to be a Noetherian domain, for intuition. We have the following definition.

**Definition 1.16** (Invertible module). An  $R$ -module  $M$  is *invertible* if and only if all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$  has  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

It turns out that these are all fractional ideals in the case where  $R$  is a Noetherian domain. Before that, here are some examples.

**Example 1.17.** A principal ideal  $(f) \subseteq R$  is invertible.

**Example 1.18.** If  $M$  and  $N$  are invertible  $R$ -modules, then any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  will have

$$(M \otimes_R N)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

so  $M \otimes_R N$  is also invertible.

**Example 1.19.** If  $M$  is an invertible, finitely generated  $R$ -module, then  $M^* := \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  is also invertible. In particular, because  $R$  is Noetherian,  $M$  is finitely presented, so

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

To start our discussion, here is a lemma.

**Lemma 1.20.** Fix a Noetherian domain  $R$ . An  $R$ -module  $M$  is invertible if and only if the map

$$\mu : M^* \otimes_R M \rightarrow R$$

by  $\varphi \otimes m \mapsto \varphi(m)$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* It suffices to work with the case that  $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an isomorphism for all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$ . By running through the isomorphisms in the examples, we see that we are asking for

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} : (M_{\mathfrak{p}})^* \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is an isomorphism for all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

In particular, we are allowed to assume that  $R$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . In one direction, suppose that  $\mu$  is an isomorphism. By surjectivity, we are promised some

$$\mu\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \otimes a_i\right) = 1.$$

In particular, there exists  $i$  such that  $\varphi_i(a_i) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , but  $R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  are all units, so we can force  $\varphi(a) = 1$  for some  $\varphi$  and  $a$ . Now, living in a local ring thus forces by  $\varphi$  to show that

$$M \cong R \oplus \ker \varphi,$$

but  $\ker \varphi$  is trivial because any kernel would have to show up in the kernel of  $\mu$ , which is trivial by hypothesis. We don't discuss the other direction. ■

**Remark 1.21.** We can see that  $M$  will be generated by the elements  $a_i$  in the summation

$$\mu\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \otimes a_i\right) = 1.$$

Thus,  $M$  should be finitely generated.

This discussion gives us the following definition.

**Definition 1.22 (Picard group).** Fix a Noetherian domain  $R$ . Then  $\text{Pic } R$  is the group of isomorphism classes of invertible  $R$ -modules.

**Remark 1.23.** The Picard group loosely corresponds to line bundles.

To be explicit, the group operation of  $\text{Pic } R$  is by

$$[X] \cdot [Y] := [X \otimes_R Y],$$

our identity element is  $[R]$ , and the inverses are  $[X]^{-1} := [X^*]$ .

### 1.1.4 The Class Group

To close out class, we discuss the connection to fractional ideals.

**Lemma 1.24.** Fix a Noetherian domain  $R$ . Then  $M$  is invertible if and only if  $M$  is isomorphic to some nonzero fractional ideal.

*Proof.* The idea is to embed  $M$  into  $K(R)$  to extract our fractional ideal. Well, the embedding  $R \rightarrow K(R)$  gives us an embedding

$$M \rightarrow K(R) \otimes_R M.$$

But now,  $K(R) \otimes_R M \cong K(R)$  because  $K(R) \otimes_R M$  is an invertible module over  $K(R)$ , which must be isomorphic to  $K(R)$  because  $K(R)$  only has the localization at the prime  $(0)$  (which does nothing).

As such, we have placed  $M$  as an  $R$ -submodule of  $K(R)$  and hence is isomorphic to a nonzero fractional ideal. ■

As such, we can give an alternate characterization of the Picard group.

**Lemma 1.25.** Fix a Noetherian domain  $R$ . If  $I$  and  $J$  are fractional ideals, then

$$IJ \cong I \otimes_R J \quad \text{and} \quad I^{-1}J \cong \text{Hom}(I, J).$$

*Proof.* The isomorphism  $I \otimes_R J \cong IJ$  is by  $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$ . That  $I^{-1}J \cong \text{Hom}_R(I, J)$  follows from carefully considering the localizations. ■

Thus, modding out by principal ideals from the fractional ideals gives us the Picard group back again.



# LIST OF DEFINITIONS

---

Discrete valuation ring, [4](#)

Invertible module, [6](#)

Picard group, [7](#)

Valuation, [4](#)