

# THE ÉTALE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

NIR ELBER

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Layout	2
2. Galois Categories	2
2.1. Basic Facts	2
2.2. Galois Objects	4
2.3. The Profinite Group	5
2.4. The Main Theorem	5
3. Finite Étale Covers	7
3.1. Étale and Totally Split Morphisms	8
3.2. Affine Descent	9
3.3. The Main Theorem	10
4. Examples	13
4.1. Basic Examples	13
4.2. A Little on Isogenies	13
References	14

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to prove the existence of the étale fundamental group and compute a few basic examples. We postpone any technical discussion for later, but approximately speaking, the étale fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$  takes a connected scheme  $X$  and produces the profinite completion of what one would expect is the usual topological fundamental group.

As such,  $\pi_1(X)$  is able to keep track of some desirable topology. For example, we will be able to show that projective space over an algebraically closed field has vanishing  $\pi_1$ , and we will be able to show that the fundamental group of an elliptic curve (which is essentially a torus) is the profinite completion of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . It is also true, though we will not show it, that  $\pi_1$  is a truly topological invariant, in that it is invariant under homeomorphism [SP, Proposition 0BQN]. However, the étale fundamental group is interesting beyond what it can recover from topology. For example, for a field  $k$ , one has

$$\pi_1(\mathrm{Spec} k) = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k),$$

so we are also managing to capture arithmetic information.

Now motivated, we go into a little detail. The étale fundamental group comes from a more abstract theory of Galois categories. We could define Galois categories now, but we will wait until Definition 2.2 so that we can spend the time to provide a few examples as well, but roughly speaking it is a category  $\mathcal{C}$  equipped with a special functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{FinSet}$ . What is remarkable about this theory is that it manages to include not just the construction of the étale fundamental group but also the Galois theory of fields and the theory of finite covering spaces from algebraic topology (though we will not discuss algebraic topology in this paper).

With this in mind, there are two goals for this paper: understand Galois categories, and apply this understanding to scheme theory. As such, our first main result is about Galois categories.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Galois category with fiber functor  $F$ ; set  $G := \mathrm{Aut} F$ . Then  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{FinSet}(G)$  is an equivalence of categories.*

This is remarkable because, as stated above, Galois categories are present in many contexts, so our abstract theory is able to show that they're all talking about finite  $G$ -sets for some explicitly describable profinite group  $G$ . Our second result establishes that the built theory applies to schemes.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Fix a connected scheme  $X$  and a geometric point  $\bar{x}$  of  $X$ . Then the category  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X)$  of finite étale covers of  $X$  equipped with the base-change functor  $F: \mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{FinSet}$  by*

$$FY := Y_{\bar{x}}$$

*forms a Galois category.*

**1.1. Layout.** We spend all of section 2 building the theory of Galois categories, culminating in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in section 2.4. We then apply this theory to schemes in section 3. After picking up a few tools, we prove Theorem 1.2 in section 3.3. We then close the paper by computing a few basic examples in section 4.

## 2. GALOIS CATEGORIES

In this section, we define a Galois category and prove that they are equivalent to  $\mathbf{FinSet}(G)$  for a profinite group  $G$  in Theorem 1.1.

**2.1. Basic Facts.** Following [SP, Definition 0BMY], we take the following definition of a Galois category.

**Definition 2.1** (connected). *Fix a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . An object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  is connected if and only if it is not initial and has no nontrivial proper subobjects. In other words,  $A$  is not an initial, and any monomorphism  $B \hookrightarrow A$  is either an isomorphism or has  $B$  initial.*

**Definition 2.2** (Galois category). *A Galois category is a category  $\mathcal{C}$  together with a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{FinSet}$  satisfying the following conditions.*

- $\mathcal{C}$  has finite limits and colimits.
- Every object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is the finite coproduct of connected objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- The functor  $F$  is exact; i.e.,  $F$  preserves finite limits and colimits.
- The functor  $F$  reflects isomorphisms; i.e., for a morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$ , if  $Ff: FA \rightarrow FB$  is an isomorphism, then  $f$  is an isomorphism.

Here,  $F$  is called the fiber functor.

**Remark 2.3.** *This definition is not the standard one; see for example [Cad13, Definition 2.1]. In particular, one often assumes that  $\mathcal{C}$  has quotients by finite automorphisms groups instead of assuming that we have all finite colimits. We have chosen the above definition because the above definition is more memorable.*

Here are the chief examples. We will be pretty terse.

**Example 2.4.** *Fix a profinite group  $G$ . Then the category of finite  $G$ -sets  $\mathbf{FinSet}(G)$  equipped with the forgetful functor  $F: \mathbf{FinSet}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{FinSet}$  is a Galois category. Let's quickly run the checks.*

- $\mathbf{FinSet}(G)$  has finite limits and colimits by using the constructions in  $\mathbf{Set}$ .
- Connected objects are transitive  $G$ -sets, which implies that any  $G$ -set is decomposable into connected objects. Indeed, if  $A$  is connected, then the orbit  $Ga$  of any element  $a \in A$  has the embedding  $Ga \hookrightarrow A$ , from which  $Ga = A$  follows. Conversely, if  $A$  is transitive, then any nontrivial subobject  $B \hookrightarrow A$  has an element of  $A$  and therefore has all  $A$  because  $A$  is transitive.
- Lastly,  $F$  is exact and reflects isomorphisms because constructions are inherited from  $\mathbf{Set}$ .

**Example 2.5.** *Fix a field  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} := \mathbf{SAlg}(k)^{\text{op}}$  denote the opposite category of finite separable  $k$ -algebras, and define  $F$  by the set of embeddings  $FA := \mathbf{Hom}_k(A, k^{\text{sep}})$ . Let's quickly run the checks.*

- The category of  $k$ -algebras has an initial object  $k$ , fiber coproducts given by  $\otimes$ , a terminal object  $0$ , and fiber products, so  $\mathcal{C}$  has finite limits and colimits.
- Note that any finite separable  $k$ -algebra is the product of separable field extensions of  $k$ , so it suffices to show that separable field extensions  $\ell$  of  $k$  are connected objects. Indeed, given an epimorphism  $\ell \twoheadrightarrow A$  onto a nonzero  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , write  $A = \prod_{i=1}^n \ell_i$  where  $\ell_i/k$  is finite separable. Then, for each  $i$ , we see  $\ell \twoheadrightarrow \ell_i$ , but also  $\ell \hookrightarrow \ell_i$  because  $\ell$  is a field, so  $\ell = \ell_i$ ; lastly  $n = 1$  for dimension reasons.

*In fact, conversely, if  $A$  is a connected object, then write  $A = \prod_{i=1}^n \ell_i$ . The surjections  $A \twoheadrightarrow \ell_i$  for each  $i$  imply that  $n = 1$  and  $A \cong \ell_1$  because  $A$  is connected.*

- One can compute directly that  $F$  is exact by tracking through fiber products and coproducts. Lastly, separability of our extensions implies  $F$  reflects isomorphisms.

Example 2.4 is especially compelling to keep in mind in the following discussion. To set us up, here are some basic facts. The idea here is to turn desirable facts into facts about limits, colimits, and isomorphisms, and then use the required properties of  $F$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be categories with finite limits and colimits, and let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be an exact functor which reflects isomorphisms.*

- (a) *For  $f: A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $f$  is monic or epic if and only if  $Ff$  is monic or epic, respectively.*
- (b) *For  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $A$  is initial or final if and only if  $FA$  is monic or epic, respectively.*

*Proof.* We show these individually.

- (a) We show the monic case; the epic case follows dually. Now,  $f$  is monic if and only if  $\Delta_f: A \rightarrow A \times_B A$  is an isomorphism. The hypothesis on  $F$  makes this equivalent to the diagonal map  $F\Delta_f: FA \rightarrow FA \times_{FB} FA$  being an isomorphism, which is equivalent to the map  $Ff$  being monic.
- (b) We show the initial case; the final case follows dually. If  $A$  is initial, then  $FA$  is initial because  $F$  is exact. Conversely, let  $I$  be an initial object. Then  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  is initial if and only if  $I \cong A$ , which by hypothesis on  $F$  is equivalent to  $FI \cong FA$  being an isomorphism. But  $FI$  is initial as just discussed, so  $FI \cong FA$  is equivalent to  $FA$  being initial. ■

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$  be a Galois category. Then  $F$  is faithful.*

*Proof.* Fix morphisms  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $Ff = Fg$ . Because  $\mathcal{C}$  has limits, we may set  $E := \text{eq}(f, g)$ . Because  $F$  is exact, we see  $FE = \text{eq}(Ff, Fg)$ , but  $Ff = Fg$ , so  $FE = FX$ , so  $E = X$  because  $F$  reflects isomorphisms, so  $f = g$  follows. ■

Next, we take a moment to understand how objects decompose into connected objects.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$  be a Galois category.*

- (a) *Suppose  $X$  is not initial and  $Y$  is connected. Then any morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is epic.*
- (b) *Fix  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $X$  is connected. If  $Ff(x_0) = Fg(x_0)$  for some  $x_0 \in FX$ , then  $f = g$ .*
- (c) *Fix  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  where  $X$  is connected and  $Y = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$ . Then there exists a unique  $i$  such that  $f$  factors through  $Y_i$ .*
- (d) *Decomposition into connected objects is unique up to permutation and isomorphism.*

Intuitively, (a) tells us that nontrivial mappings to connected objects are surjective; (b) tells us that a single element “drags” along all elements in a morphism from a connected object; and, (c) tells us that mapping out of a connected object should only go to a connected object.

*Proof.* We show these individually.

- (a) Let  $E$  be the equalizer of the two inclusions  $i_1, i_2: Y \rightarrow Y \sqcup_X Y$ . It suffices to show that  $E \cong Y$ : indeed, this implies that  $i_1 = i_2$ , meaning  $FY \sqcup_{FX} FY$  must equal the image of  $FY \rightarrow FY \sqcup_{FX} FY$  by checking on elements, so  $Y \sqcup_X Y \cong Y$ , so  $X \rightarrow Y$  is epic.

Now,  $E \rightarrow Y$  is monic, so because  $Y$  is connected, we see that  $E$  is either initial or  $E \cong Y$ . But  $E$  is not initial by Lemma 2.6: note  $FE \neq \emptyset$  because we have a map  $X \rightarrow E$  and so a map  $FX \rightarrow FE$ .

- (b) Set  $E := \text{eq}(f, g)$ . We want  $E \cong X$ . Note  $X$  is connected, so because  $E \hookrightarrow X$ , it suffices to show  $E$  is not initial. By Lemma 2.6, it suffices to show  $FE \neq \emptyset$ , but  $x_0 \in \text{eq}(Ff, Fg) = FE$  by hypothesis.
- (c) For each  $i$ , set  $E_i := X \times_Y Y_i$ . Because  $Y_i \hookrightarrow Y$  is monic,  $E_i \hookrightarrow X$  is monic; because  $X$  is connected, we see that  $E_i$  is initial (equivalently,  $FE_i = \emptyset$  by Lemma 2.6) or  $E_i \cong X$ . Passing through  $F$ ,

$$FE_i = FX \times_{FY} FY_i = \{(x, y) \in FX \times FY_i : Ff(x) = y\}.$$

Now, fixing any  $x_0 \in FX$ , find  $i_0$  such that  $Ff(x_0) \in FY_{i_0}$ , so  $FE_{i_0} \neq \emptyset$  and  $E_{i_0} \cong X$ . But then  $f$  is

$$X \cong E_{i_0} \rightarrow Y_{i_0} \rightarrow Y.$$

Lastly, to see that  $i_0$  is unique, note that  $f$  factoring through  $Y_i$  implies that  $FE_i$  is nonempty by the above argument. But only  $FE_{i_0}$  is nonempty because  $\text{im } Ff \subseteq FY_{i_0}$ .

- (d) Suppose we have an isomorphism  $f: \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m X_i \cong \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n Y_j$ . Each  $f_i: X_i \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n Y_j$  factors through some  $Y_{\sigma i}$  as a surjection  $f_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_{\sigma i}$  by (a) and (c). We want to show that  $n = m$ , that  $\sigma$  is a permutation, and that each  $f_i$  is an isomorphism. Well,  $Ff$  is an isomorphism, so

$$\#FY = \# \operatorname{im} Ff \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \sum_{j \in \operatorname{im} \sigma}^m \#FY_j \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \#FX.$$

Because  $\#FX = \#FY$ , equalities follow everywhere. But equality in (1) only holds if each  $\sigma$  is bijective, and equality in (2) only holds if each  $Ff_i$  is injective and thus bijective. ■

**2.2. Galois Objects.** Throughout, fix a Galois category  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$ . As in Galois theory, we look for objects with a maximal number of automorphisms.

**Remark 2.9.** Suppose  $X$  is connected, and fix  $x_0 \in X$ . We note that two automorphisms  $f, g: X \rightarrow X$  are equal as soon as they are equal on  $x_0 \in FX$  by Lemma 2.8, so

$$\# \operatorname{Aut} X = \#\{Ff(x_0) : f \in \operatorname{Aut} X\} \leq \#FX.$$

**Definition 2.10** (Galois). Fix a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . An object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is Galois if and only if  $X$  is connected and  $\# \operatorname{Aut} X = \#FX$ .

By Remark 2.9, we see that a connected object  $X$  is Galois if and only if  $\{Ff(x_0) : f \in \operatorname{Aut} X\} = FX$  for each  $x_0 \in FX$ , or equivalently, the action of  $\operatorname{Aut} X$  on  $FX$  to be transitive. Galois objects will be helpful because it allows us to build automorphisms of  $X$  based on  $FX$ . Anyway, here are our examples.

**Example 2.11.** Let  $G$  be a profinite group. As discussed in Example 2.4, connected objects in  $\operatorname{FinSet}(G)$  are transitive  $G$ -sets, which up to isomorphism look like  $G/H$  for some open subgroup  $H$ . Note that an automorphism  $\sigma: G/H \rightarrow G/H$  must satisfy

$$\sigma(gH) = g\sigma(H)$$

for any  $gH \in G/H$ , so it is enough to specify  $\sigma(H) = g_0H$ . However, we see  $\sigma(gH) := gg_0H$  is well-defined if and only if  $g_0Hg_0^{-1} = H$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{Aut}_G G/H$  acts transitively on  $G/H$  if and only if  $g_0Hg_0^{-1} = H$  for all  $g_0 \in G$ , so the Galois objects look like  $G/H$  where  $H$  is an open normal subgroup of  $G$ .

**Example 2.12.** Fix a field  $k$ , and let  $\mathcal{C} := \operatorname{SAlg}(k)^{\operatorname{op}}$  as in Example 2.5. As discussed, connected objects are finite separable field extensions  $\ell/k$ , so  $\ell$  is a Galois object if and only if  $\ell/k$  is Galois: both are equivalent to

$$\# \operatorname{Hom}_k(\ell, k^{\operatorname{sep}}) = \operatorname{Aut}(\ell/k).$$

A central fact about Galois field extensions is that one can always embed a separable extension into a Galois one. Motivated by this (and Example 2.12), we show the following result.

**Proposition 2.13.** Fix a Galois category  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$ . For any connected object  $X$ , there is a Galois object  $Y$  equipped with an epimorphism  $Y \rightarrow X$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.8, it suffices to exhibit any morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$ . For brevity, set  $n := \#FX$ .

- (1) We construct  $Y$ . We want  $\operatorname{Aut} Y$  to be large, so we will use the  $S_n$ -action on  $X^n$  for help later.

List the  $n$  elements of  $FX$  as  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ , where  $n > 0$  because  $FX$  is nonempty by Lemma 2.6. To make  $Y$  interact with the  $S_n$ -action on  $X^n$ , we choose  $Y$  among the connected components of  $X^n$  so that  $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in FY$ . We have a map  $Y \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow X$ , so it remains to show that  $Y$  is Galois.

- (2) We claim that any  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in FY$  has all the  $t_\bullet$  distinct. Indeed, suppose that  $t_i = t_j$  for some  $i < j$ ; we claim  $t'_i = t'_j$  for any  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in FY$ , which will finish. Now, consider the diagonal

$$\Delta_{ij}: X^{n-1} \rightarrow X^n$$

defined by  $(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$ . In particular, letting  $Y'$  denote the connected component in  $X^{n-1}$  such that  $(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n) \in FY$ , we have an epimorphism  $Y' \rightarrow Y$  by Lemma 2.8. But then  $FY' \twoheadrightarrow FY$ , so  $FY \subseteq F\Delta_{ij}$ , as claimed.

- (3) We show that the action of  $\operatorname{Aut} Y$  is transitive on  $FY$ . For each  $g \in S_n$ , the automorphism  $g: X^n \rightarrow X^n$  will send  $Y$  to a unique connected component by Lemma 2.8. Define  $G$  to be the subgroup of  $S_n$  such that each  $g \in G$  sends  $Y$  gets to  $Y$ ; note  $G$  embeds into  $\operatorname{Aut} Y$ .

In fact, by the argument in Lemma 2.8, we see that  $g \in S_n$  has  $g \in G$  if and only if

$$g(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in FY.$$

This is enough. Indeed, for any  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in FY$ , the  $t_\bullet$  are distinct, so find  $g \in S_n$  such that  $g(s_1, \dots, s_n) = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in FY$ . Then  $g \in G$ , and  $g: (s_1, \dots, s_n) \mapsto (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , as needed. ■

**2.3. The Profinite Group.** Throughout, fix a Galois category  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$ . In this section we motivate and construct the profinite group in Theorem 1.1. The difficulty is recovering the group  $G$  from the category  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$ . As motivation, we have the following remark.

**Remark 2.14.** Fix a profinite group  $G$ , and let  $F: \text{FinSet}(G) \rightarrow \text{FinSet}$  denote the forgetful functor. We claim  $G \cong \text{Aut } F$  by sending  $g \in G$  to the automorphism  $\eta(g): F \Rightarrow F$  by left multiplication by  $g$ . Checking naturality and that  $\eta$  is a homomorphism are straightforward.

- *Injective:* if  $\eta(g): F \Rightarrow F$  is  $\text{id}_F$ , then for any open subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ ,  $g$  fixes  $G/H$ , so  $g \in H$  always.
- *Surjective:* fix an  $\eta: F \Rightarrow F$ . For each open subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  find  $g_H \in G$  such that  $\eta_{G/H}(H) = g_H H$ . Naturality of  $\eta$  implies that  $\{g_H\}_{H \subseteq G}$  defines an element of  $G$ , and we can check  $\eta = \eta(g)$ .

As such, we hope to recover the desired group  $G$  from  $\text{Aut } F$ . We remark that there is a natural injection

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Aut } F \rightarrow \prod_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Aut } FX$$

sending  $\eta \in \text{Aut } F$  to  $\eta_X: FX \rightarrow FX$ . This makes  $\text{Aut } F$  into a profinite group acting on the  $FX$ .

**Lemma 2.15.** Fix a Galois category  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$ . Then (2.1) makes  $\text{Aut } F$  into a closed subgroup of the product, where each finite set  $\text{Aut } FX$  has been given the discrete topology. Thus,  $\text{Aut } F$  is a profinite group.

*Proof.* The last sentence does follow from the previous one: the infinite product of compact, Hausdorff, totally disconnected spaces (for example, discrete ones) retains these properties. Thus, taking the closed subset  $\text{Aut } F$  will continue to enjoy these properties.

Intuitively, the naturality condition on automorphisms of  $F$  is a list of equations we require an object in  $\prod_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Aut } FX$  to satisfy, and subsets cut out by equations are closed. To rigorize, we show the complement of  $\text{Aut } F$  is open: if  $(\eta_X)_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \in \prod_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Aut } FX$  is not in the image of  $\text{Aut } F$ , then it fails naturality, so there is a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and element  $x \in FX$  such that  $Ff(\eta_X(x)) \neq \eta_Y(Ff(x))$ . Thus, define

$$U_X := \{\varphi \in \text{Aut } FX : \varphi(x) = \eta_X(x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad U_Y := \{\varphi \in \text{Aut } FY : \varphi(Ff(x)) = \eta_Y(Ff(x))\}.$$

Then setting  $U_Z := \text{Aut } FZ$  for each object  $Z \notin \{X, Y\}$ , we see that  $U := \prod_{Z \in \mathcal{C}} U_Z \subseteq \prod_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Aut } FX$  is open, contains  $\eta$ , and is disjoint from the image of  $\text{Aut } F$  because any  $\eta' \in U$  has  $Ff(\eta'_X(x)) \neq \eta'_Y(Ff(x))$ . ■

**Remark 2.16.** Another reason that  $G := \text{Aut } F$  is a good candidate is that  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{FinSet}$  naturally upgrades to a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{FinSet}(G)$ . For example, each  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  has  $FX$  a  $G$ -set via the map  $G \rightarrow \text{Aut } FX$  in (2.1). To be functorial, note a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  makes  $Ff$   $G$ -linear: for any  $x \in FX$  and  $\sigma \in G$ , note

$$Ff(F\sigma_X(x)) = F\sigma_Y(Ff(x)).$$

**2.4. The Main Theorem.** One difficulty in Theorem 1.1 is that the example  $\text{SAlg}(k)^{\text{op}}$  forces us to expect  $G$  to encode all automorphisms of  $\text{Aut } X$  for each connected object  $X$ . In other words,  $\text{Aut } F$  should have lots of action on connected objects. Let's show this.

**Proposition 2.17.** Fix a Galois category  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$  and Galois object  $X$ . Then  $\text{Aut } F$  acts transitively on  $FX$ .

*Proof.* The primary difficulty here is to describe  $F$  in a way more internal to  $\mathcal{C}$ . We proceed in steps.

- (1) We set some notation. Let  $\Lambda$  index the collection of isomorphism classes of Galois objects, and let  $X_\alpha$  be a representative of  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ; to move morphisms around, fix some  $x_\alpha \in FX_\alpha$ .

We now give  $\Lambda$  a partial order by  $\alpha \geq \beta$  if and only if there is a map  $X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ . Anytime we have a morphism  $X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ , the transitive action of  $\text{Aut } X_\beta$  on  $FX_\beta$  grant us  $f_{\beta\alpha}: X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$  such that

$$Ff_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha) = x_\beta.$$

Lemma 2.8 implies  $f_{\beta\alpha}: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$  is unique; notably, this implies  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$  has  $f_{\gamma\beta} \circ f_{\beta\alpha} = f_{\gamma\alpha}$ .

Lastly,  $\Lambda$  is a directed set: for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ , use Proposition 2.13 to find some  $X_\gamma$  mapping to any connected component of  $X_\alpha \times X_\beta$ , so we will have maps  $X_\gamma \rightarrow X_\alpha$  and  $X_\gamma \rightarrow X_\beta$ .

- (2) Acknowledging the difficulty, we show that  $F$  is “pro-representable.” Define  $F': \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{FinSet}$  by

$$F' := \text{colim}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{\alpha}, -).$$

Intuitively, we want to move the colimit inside  $\text{Mor}$  to say that  $F'$  is represented by some limit in  $\mathcal{C}$ , but  $\mathcal{C}$  might not have this limit. Anyway, we claim that  $F' \cong F$  by  $\eta: F' \Rightarrow F$  defined by  $\eta_X(f_{\alpha}) = Ff_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha})$ . We omit the check that  $\eta$  is well-defined and natural because these are purely formal. For injectivity, note because  $\Lambda$  is directed, it suffices to show  $\eta_X(f_{\alpha}) = \eta_X(g_{\alpha})$  implies  $f_{\alpha} = g_{\alpha}$  for any maps  $f_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha}: X_{\alpha} \rightarrow X$  in  $F'X$ , but this comes from Lemma 2.8.

Lastly, for surjectivity, we show  $\eta_X$  is surjective for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . Well, fix  $x \in FX$ . If  $X = X_{\alpha}$  is Galois (for some  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ), note  $\text{Aut } X_{\alpha}$  acts transitively on  $FX_{\alpha}$ , so we may find some  $f_{\alpha}: X_{\alpha} \rightarrow X_{\alpha}$  such that  $\eta_{X_{\alpha}}(f_{\alpha}) = Ff_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) = x$ . In the general case, use Proposition 2.13 to find some Galois  $X'$  surjecting onto the connected component  $Z \hookrightarrow X$  with  $x \in FZ$ , so the naturality of  $\eta$  implies it is enough to show that  $\eta_{X'}$  is surjective and thus hits a point in the fiber of  $x$  in  $FZ$ .

- (3) As in the proof of Proposition 2.13, we want a subgroup of  $\text{Aut } F$  to witness our transitivity; we now build this subgroup. The previous step more or less tells us that it suffices to think about the automorphism groups  $A_{\alpha} := \text{Aut } X_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . We will take a limit of these  $A_{\alpha}$ .

To define this limit, we want surjections  $A_{\alpha} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$  commuting with the actions on  $X_{\alpha}$  and  $X_{\beta}$ . In other words, whenever  $\alpha \geq \beta$ , we claim that there is a unique map  $t_{\beta\alpha}: A_{\alpha} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad f_{\beta\alpha} \circ \sigma_{\alpha} = t_{\beta\alpha}(\sigma_{\alpha}) \circ f_{\beta\alpha}$$

for each  $\sigma_{\alpha} \in A_{\alpha}$ . Because  $X_{\alpha}$  is connected, plugging in  $x_{\alpha}$  implies the map  $t_{\beta\alpha}(\sigma_{\alpha})$  is unique if it exists by Lemma 2.8. In fact, because  $X_{\alpha}$  is connected, it suffices to check that  $Ft_{\beta\alpha}(\sigma_{\alpha})(x_{\beta}) = Ff_{\beta\alpha}(F\sigma_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}))$ . But now certainly  $t_{\beta\alpha}(\sigma_{\alpha})$  exists because  $X_{\beta}$  is Galois.

Uniqueness of the  $t_{\beta\alpha}$  implies that  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$  yields  $t_{\gamma\beta} \circ t_{\beta\alpha} = t_{\gamma\alpha}$ . Further,  $t_{\beta\alpha}$  is surjective. Indeed, for any automorphism  $\sigma_{\beta} \in A_{\beta}$ , note  $f_{\beta\alpha}: X_{\alpha} \rightarrow X_{\beta}$  is surjective, so pick  $x'_{\alpha} \in f_{\beta\alpha}^{-1}(\{\sigma_{\beta}(x_{\beta})\})$ . Then find  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  such that  $F\sigma_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) = x'_{\alpha}$ , so

$$Ff_{\beta\alpha}(F\sigma_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha})) = Ff_{\beta\alpha}(x'_{\alpha}) = F\sigma_{\beta}(Ff_{\beta\alpha}(x_{\alpha})).$$

It follows  $f_{\beta\alpha} \circ \sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\beta} \circ f_{\beta\alpha}$ , so  $t_{\beta\alpha}(\sigma_{\alpha}) = \sigma_{\beta}$  by uniqueness of  $t_{\beta\alpha}$ .

In total, we have produced an inverse system  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  with surjective transition maps, so the limit  $A := \lim_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}$  is a profinite group with surjective quotients  $A \rightarrow A_{\alpha}$ .

- (4) We now map  $A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aut } F' \cong \text{Aut } F$  to finish. Indeed, send  $\sigma \in A$  to  $\sigma: F \Rightarrow F$  by

$$\sigma(f_{\beta}) := f_{\beta} \circ \sigma_{\beta}.$$

Uniqueness of the transition maps in (2.2) shows  $\sigma: F \Rightarrow F$  is well-defined. Checking naturality and that  $A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aut } F'$  is a homomorphism are purely formal, so we omit those checks.

Wrapping up, for some  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , the action of  $\sigma \in A$  on  $FX_{\alpha}$  can either come from  $\sigma_{\alpha} \in \text{Aut } X_{\alpha}$  or via  $A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aut } F' \rightarrow \text{Aut } F \rightarrow \text{Aut } FX_{\alpha}$ , but one can verify these are the same. As such, the action of  $A \rightrightarrows A_{\alpha}$  on  $X_{\alpha}$  is transitive because  $X_{\alpha}$  is Galois, so  $\text{Aut } F$  also acts transitively on  $X_{\alpha}$ . ■

**Remark 2.18.** Fix a profinite group  $G$ . The above proof shows any two fiber functors  $F_1, F_2: \text{FinSet}(G) \rightarrow \text{FinSet}$  are naturally isomorphic; this tells us “Galois” is a property of the category, not the fiber functor. Indeed, using the notation above,  $\Lambda$  is the set of quotients  $G/H$  where  $H \subseteq G$  is an open normal subgroup. By choosing  $x_{\alpha}$  judiciously, we can make the  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  into the natural projections  $G/H \rightarrow G/H'$  whenever  $H \subseteq H'$ . But then (2) above tells us that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are both isomorphic to

$$\text{colim}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{\alpha}, -).$$

**Corollary 2.19.** Fix a Galois category  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$ . Then  $G := \text{Aut } F$  acts transitively on  $FX$  for any connected object  $X$ . In other words, if  $X$  is connected, then  $FX \in \text{FinSet}(G)$  is connected (recall Remark 2.16).

*Proof.* The last sentence follows from the previous one because connected objects in  $\text{FinSet}(G)$  are exactly the transitive  $G$ -sets by Example 2.4. Now, by Proposition 2.13, find a Galois object  $Y$  with epimorphism  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ . The transitivity of  $G$  acting on  $FY$  from Proposition 2.17 will translate into transitivity on  $FX$ . Explicitly, fix elements  $x, x' \in FX$ , and find lifts  $y, y' \in FY$  of them. Transitivity of the  $G$ -action of  $FY$  promises  $\sigma \in G$  such that  $F\sigma_Y(y) = y'$ , so we can calculate  $F\sigma_X(x) = x'$ . ■

**Corollary 2.20.** *Fix a Galois category  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$ . Set  $G := \text{Aut } F$ . If  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is Galois, then  $FX \in \text{FinSet}(G)$  is Galois (recall Remark 2.16).*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  be Galois. For example,  $X$  is connected, so  $FX$  is connected by Corollary 2.19, so we may write  $FX = G/H$  for some open subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ . Now,  $\text{Aut } X$  acts transitively on  $G/H$  via  $F: \text{Aut } X \rightarrow \text{Aut}_G(G/H)$ , so we see that  $\text{Aut}_G(G/H)$  is acting transitively on  $G/H$ , as needed. ■

We are now ready to prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Galois category with fiber functor  $F$ ; set  $G := \text{Aut } F$ . Then  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{FinSet}(G)$  is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* We showed that this  $F$  makes sense in Remark 2.16. The difficulties in this proof are that  $F$  is full and essentially surjective. Namely, note  $F$  is faithful by Lemma 2.7.

We now show that  $F$  is full. Fix some  $G$ -linear map  $s: FX \rightarrow FY$ , and we will show that  $s = Ff$  for some  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . The main point will be to use the fact that  $F$  reflects isomorphisms. To set up, set

$$\text{Graph}(s) := \{(x, y) \in FX \times FY : y = s(x)\}.$$

Note that  $\text{Graph}(s)$  is a  $G$ -set because  $s$  is  $G$ -linear. Decomposing  $X \times Y$  into connected objects  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n Z_i$ ,

$$FX \times FY = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n FZ_i,$$

so each  $FZ_i$  is connected by Corollary 2.19. Matching the decomposition of  $\text{Graph}(s)$  up with various connected components in the above decomposition, we produce some subobject  $Z \subseteq X \times Y$  such that  $FZ = \text{Graph}(s)$ . Now, the projection  $p_X: \text{Graph}(s) \rightarrow FX$  is an isomorphism, so it arises from an isomorphism  $p: Z \rightarrow X$ ! In total,  $s: FX \rightarrow FY$  is the composite

$$FX \xleftarrow{Fp} FZ = \text{Graph}(s) \xrightarrow{Fp_Y} FY,$$

and each of these morphisms arise from morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus,  $s$  is the image of a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$ .

Lastly, we show  $F$  is essentially surjective. Because  $\text{FinSet}(G)$  is already a Galois category by Example 2.4, it suffices to show that any connected object  $G/H$  (where  $H \subseteq G$  is an open subgroup) is isomorphic to  $FX$  for some  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . The hard part is to build some Galois  $X'$  with a map  $FX' \rightarrow G/H$ . Using the topology on  $G$ , we know that there is a basic open set around  $\{e\}$  in  $H$ , so we can find objects  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  (with  $n > 0$ ) such that

$$\{g \in G : g_{X_i} = e_{X_i} = \text{id}_{FX_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq H.$$

Note that we may assume the  $X_i$  are connected: indeed, if  $g_X = \text{id}_{FX}$  and  $g_Y = \text{id}_{FY}$ , then  $g_{X \sqcup Y} = \text{id}_{X \sqcup Y}$ , so we may decompose each  $X_i$  into connected components. We now define  $X'$  via Proposition 2.13 to be a Galois object equipped with an epimorphism onto some connected component of  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

Connectivity of the  $X_i$  implies that the induced maps  $X' \rightarrow X_i$  and hence  $FX' \rightarrow FX_i$  are epic. Note  $FX'$  is Galois by Corollary 2.20, so we may write  $FX' = G/H'$  for some open normal subgroup  $H' \subseteq G$ . Notably, any  $\sigma \in H'$  fixes  $FY$  and so fixes each  $FX_i$ , so  $\sigma \in H$  by the construction of the  $X_i$ . Thus, we have a surjection  $FX' = G/H' \twoheadrightarrow G/H$ . To finish, let  $X$  be the quotient of  $X'$  by the subgroup of

$$(H/H')^{\text{op}} \subseteq (G/H')^{\text{op}} = \text{Aut}_G(G/H') = \text{Aut}_G FX' = \text{Aut } X'.$$

(We are taking opposite groups because an element  $g \in G$  acts on  $G/H'$  by  $\sigma_g: g_0 H' \mapsto g_0 g H'$  as discussed in Example 2.11.) Because  $F$  is exact, it follows that  $FX = (FX')/(H/H')^{\text{op}} = (G/H')/(H/H')^{\text{op}} = G/H$ . ■

**Remark 2.21.** *Combining Theorem 1.1 with Remark 2.18, we see that the fiber functor of any Galois category is roughly unique. In particular,  $\text{Aut } F$  depends only on the category  $\mathcal{C}$  itself.*

### 3. FINITE ÉTALE COVERS

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2, which roughly speaking tells us that the category of finite étale covers of a (connected) scheme forms a Galois category.

**3.1. Étale and Totally Split Morphisms.** In this section, we review properties of étale morphisms and friends. We showed much of this in class, so we will omit proofs.

**Definition 3.1** (unramified). *A scheme morphism  $f: X \rightarrow S$  locally of finite presentation is unramified if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions are satisfied.*

- $\Omega_{X/S} = 0$ .
- The diagonal  $\Delta_f: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$  is an open embedding.
- For any  $x \in X$ , we have  $\mathfrak{m}_{f(x)}\mathcal{O}_{f(x)} = \mathfrak{m}_x$  and the residue field extension  $k(x)/k(f(x))$  is finite separable.

We showed that these properties are equivalent in class; a proof is recorded in [SP, Lemma 02GF].

**Definition 3.2** (flat). *A scheme morphism  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is flat at  $x \in X$  if and only if the ring map  $\mathcal{O}_{S,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is flat. Because exactness is checked stalk-locally, it is equivalent to require the following: for any affine open subschemes  $\text{Spec } A \subseteq S$  and  $\text{Spec } B \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{Spec } A)$ , the ring extension  $f^\sharp: A \rightarrow B$  is flat.*

**Definition 3.3** (étale). *A scheme morphism  $f: X \rightarrow S$  locally of finite presentation is étale if and only if it is flat and unramified.*

The moral of this section is that finite étale maps are analogous to (finite) covering spaces in algebraic topology. To justify this, we begin by making an analogous definition for a trivial cover and then show that our finite étale maps are “étale-locally” trivial. Here are our trivial covers.

**Definition 3.4** (totally split). *A scheme morphism  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is totally split if and only if we can decompose  $S = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} S_\alpha$  into open subschemes such that  $f^{-1}(S_\alpha) \cong S_\alpha \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_\alpha$  (for some finite number of  $S_\alpha$ s) and  $f^{-1}(S_\alpha) \rightarrow S_\alpha$  is the natural projection.*

**Proposition 3.5.** *Fix a finite étale map  $f: X \rightarrow S$ . Then there is a finite faithfully flat map  $S' \rightarrow S$  so that the base-change  $f': X' \rightarrow S'$  is totally split, where  $X' := X \times_S S'$ .*

*Proof.* To begin, we decompose  $S$  into connected components  $\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} S_\alpha$ . If we can show that  $f^{-1}(S_\alpha) \rightarrow S_\alpha$  is totally split for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , then we can just zipper these pieces together to show that  $f$  is totally split. Thus, replacing  $S$  with a connected component  $S_\alpha$  and  $X$  with  $f^{-1}(S_\alpha)$ , we may assume that  $S$  is connected.

Now, because  $f$  is finite and flat, it is locally free, so because  $S$  is connected, the degree of  $f$  at each point in  $S$  is constant. Thus, we may induct on  $n := \deg f$ . If  $n = 0$ , then there is nothing to say because this requires  $X = \emptyset$ , so  $f$  is already (vacuously) totally split. Otherwise, take  $n > 0$ , and  $X$  is nonempty. Because  $f$  is finite and unramified, the diagonal  $\Delta_f: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$  is both an open and closed embedding, so we can write

$$X \times_S X = X \sqcup Y$$

for some open and closed subscheme  $Y \subseteq X \times_S X$ , where we have identified  $X$  with its image along  $\Delta_f$ . Now, degree of a locally free morphism is preserved by base-change,<sup>1</sup> so the projection  $p_2: X \times_S X \rightarrow X$  continues to have degree  $n$ . However, one element in any fiber of  $p_2$  will come from the image of  $X$  along the diagonal  $\Delta_f$ , so the other  $n - 1$  elements must come from  $Y$ , meaning that the composite

$$Y \rightarrow X \times_S X \rightarrow X$$

has degree  $n - 1$ . Thus, the inductive hypothesis promises a finite faithfully flat  $X$ -scheme  $S'$  such that the base-change  $Y \times_X S' \rightarrow S'$  of the above composite is totally split.

We now claim that  $S'$  is the desired  $S$ -scheme. To visualize the base-change  $X \times_S S' \rightarrow S'$ , we build the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \times_S S' & \longrightarrow & X \times_S X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ S' & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

To see that  $X \times_S S' \rightarrow S'$  is totally split, we note that fiber products commute with disjoint unions because fiber products can be computed affine-locally, so the composite

$$X \times_S S' = (X \times_S X) \times_X S' = (X \sqcup Y) \times_X S' = (X \times_X S') \sqcup (Y \times_X S') \rightarrow S'$$

<sup>1</sup>This can be checked affine-locally: if a free  $A$ -algebra  $B$  has rank  $n$ , then  $B \otimes_A A'$  is a free  $A'$ -algebra of rank  $n$  for any nonzero  $A$ -algebra  $A'$



remains totally split because the disjoint union of totally split morphisms is totally split. Lastly, we note that  $S' \rightarrow S$  is finite and faithfully flat because the maps  $S' \rightarrow X$  and  $X \rightarrow S$  are both finite and faithfully flat. In particular,  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is surjective because the degree  $n$  is locally constantly positive. ■

**3.2. Affine Descent.** We will require a few descent results in the following discussion. It would take us much too far afield to prove these results in their correct context, so we will pick up exactly what we need. For brevity, we establish a general set-up for the following results:  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is an affine morphism, and  $p: S' \rightarrow S$  is some affine faithfully flat map. Setting  $X' := X \times_S S'$ , we produce the following pullback square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & S' \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & S \end{array}$$

Occasionally, we will want to work affine-locally, which is appealing because we required all morphisms to be affine. Thus, we go ahead and set ourselves up with an affine open subscheme  $\text{Spec } A \subseteq S$  to build the following pullback square.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } B' & \xrightarrow{f'} & \text{Spec } A' \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \text{Spec } B & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Spec } A \end{array}$$

Here,  $\text{Spec } B = f^{-1}(\text{Spec } A)$  and  $\text{Spec } A' = p^{-1}(\text{Spec } A)$  and  $B' = B \otimes_A A'$ . Our end goal will be to show that  $f'$  finite étale implies that  $f$  is also finite étale.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $f: S' \rightarrow S$  be a quasicompact faithfully flat map. Then  $U \subseteq S$  is open if and only if  $\varphi^{-1}(U) \subseteq S'$  is open.*

*Proof.* The forward direction is by continuity of  $f$ . To continue, we make some reductions. By taking complements, it suffices to show that  $Z' := f^{-1}(Z) \subseteq S'$  is closed implies that  $Z \subseteq S$  is closed. Because  $f$  is surjective, we see that  $Z = f(Z')$ , so upon giving  $Z'$  the reduced scheme structure, it remains to show that  $\varphi(Z')$  is closed in  $S$ .

Well, by [Har77, Lemma II.4.5], it is enough to show that  $f(Z')$  is stable under specialization. However, going up for flat extensions [Eis95, Lemma 10.11] implies that  $f(U')$  is stable under generalization for any open  $U' \subseteq S'$ , so  $S \setminus f(Z') = f(S' \setminus Z')$  is stable under generalization (this equality holds because  $f$  is surjective). It follows that  $f(Z')$  is stable under specialization, as desired. ■

**Proposition 3.7.** *Fix everything as above.*

- (a) *If  $f'$  is finite, then  $f$  is also finite.*
- (b) *If  $f'$  is flat, then  $f$  is flat.*
- (c) *If  $f'$  is an isomorphism, then  $f$  is also an isomorphism.*
- (d) *If  $f'$  is an open embedding, then  $f$  is also an open embedding.*
- (e) *Suppose that  $f$  is locally of finite presentation. If  $f'$  is unramified, then  $f$  is also unramified.*
- (f) *If  $f'$  is finite étale, then  $f$  is finite étale.*

*Proof.* Here we go.

- (a) We work affine-locally, with (3.1). We are given that  $B'$  is a finite  $A'$ -module, and we want to show that  $B$  is a finite  $A$ -module. Well, we can find some finitely many generators for  $B'$  as an  $A'$ -module. In fact, writing any element  $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \otimes a'_i$  in  $B'$  as  $\sum_{i=1}^n a'_i(b_i \otimes 1)$ , we see that we may assume that our finitely many generators for  $B'$  take the form  $\{a_i \otimes 1\}_{i=1}^n$ . As such, we have produced a map  $A^n \rightarrow B$  such that the induced map

$$A^n \otimes_A A' \rightarrow B \otimes_A A'$$

is surjective. But we are now done: the complex  $A^n \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$  becomes exact upon tensoring by  $A'$  by the above, so faithful flatness of  $A'$  as an  $A$ -module ensures that  $A^n \rightarrow B$  is surjective.

- (b) We work affine-locally, with (3.1). We are given that  $B'$  is a flat  $A'$ -algebra, and we want to show that  $B$  is a flat  $A$ -algebra. Well, suppose that we have an exact sequence

$$M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3$$

of  $A$ -modules. Because  $A'$  is flat over  $A$ , and  $B' = B \otimes_A A'$  is flat over  $A'$ , we get the exact sequence

$$(B \otimes_A A') \otimes_{A'} (M_1 \otimes_A A') \rightarrow (B \otimes_A A') \otimes_{A'} (M_2 \otimes_A A') \rightarrow (B \otimes_A A') \otimes_{A'} (M_3 \otimes_A A').$$

However, this exact sequence is isomorphic to the exact sequence

$$(B \otimes_A M_1) \otimes_A A' \rightarrow (B \otimes_A M_2) \otimes_A A' \rightarrow (B \otimes_A M_3) \otimes_A A',$$

so the faithful flatness of  $A'$  finishes.

- (c) We work affine-locally, with (3.1). Because  $f'$  is an isomorphism, we see that

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{f'} B \otimes_A A' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, so it follows that  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow 0$  is exact, so the result follows.

- (d) We follow [Eme]. To begin, note that the surjectivity of  $p$  and  $p'$  implies that

$$f'(X') = p^{-1}(p(f'(X'))) = p^{-1}(f(p'(X'))) = p^{-1}(f(X)),$$

so  $p^{-1}(f(X))$  is open in  $S'$ , so  $f(X)$  is open in  $S$  by Lemma 3.6. It remains to show that  $X$  is an isomorphism onto  $U := f(X)$ . Well, setting  $U' := f'(X')$ , we note that  $f: X \rightarrow U$  base-changes to the isomorphism  $f': X' \rightarrow U'$ , so (c) finishes.

- (e) To set us up, we quickly acknowledge that an affine morphism  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is separated and therefore has closed and hence affine diagonal  $\Delta_f: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ . The point is that we will be able to use the above descent results on the diagonal  $\Delta_f$ .

Now, by definition,  $f$  is unramified if and only if the diagonal  $\Delta_f: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$  is an open embedding. However, base-changing by  $p: S' \rightarrow S$ , we know that  $f'$  is unramified, so  $\Delta_{f'}: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$  is an open embedding, so (d) tells us that  $\Delta_f: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$  is an open embedding.

- (f) By (a),  $f$  is finite. Then (b) and (e) imply  $f$  is étale. ■

**3.3. The Main Theorem.** We now use the tools from the previous two subsections to prove Theorem 1.2. We begin by defining the relevant category.

**Definition 3.8.** Fix a scheme  $X$ . Then  $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}(X)$  is the category of morphisms  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  of finite étale maps to  $X$ . We will frequently identify the objects  $f$  with their codomains  $Y$ .

**Remark 3.9.** Because étale morphisms and finite morphisms satisfy cancellation, any morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow Y'$  of  $X$ -schemes in  $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}(X)$  will be finite étale. Indeed, we note that the Cancellation theorem [Vak17, Theorem 11.2.1] allows us to merely check that the diagonal of a finite étale map is finite étale, which is true because the diagonal of a finite map is closed and the diagonal of an étale map is an open embedding.

The goal is to show that  $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}(X)$  is Galois, where the fiber functor is given by base-change to a geometric point. We begin with the checks internal to the category.

**Proposition 3.10.** Fix a scheme  $X$ . The category  $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}(X)$  has finite limits and colimits.

*Proof.* Showing finite limits is easier, so we check these first. The category of  $X$ -schemes has a terminal object (namely,  $\mathrm{id}_X$ ), and  $\mathrm{id}_X: X \rightarrow X$  is finite étale, so this terminal object remains terminal in  $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}(X)$ . Additionally, the category of  $X$ -schemes has fiber products given by the usual fiber products, and the fiber product of two finite étale maps will still have finite étale structure morphism, so these remain the fiber products in  $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}(X)$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}(X)$  has all finite limits.

We now show finite colimits. It suffices to show that we have coproducts and coequalizers. The category of schemes has coproducts given by disjoint union, and the disjoint union of two finite étale schemes over  $X$  will remain finite étale because being finite étale can be checked affine-locally, so disjoint unions continue to provide coproducts in  $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}(X)$ .

Lastly, we turn to coequalizers. As above, we would like to retrieve these coequalizers from some subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sch}$ , and here we work in the category  $\mathbf{Aff}(X)$  of affine  $X$ -schemes. In particular, by [Har77, Exercise II.5.17] or [SP, Lemma 01SA], we see  $\mathbf{Aff}(X)^{\mathrm{op}}$  is equivalent to the category of quasicoherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras, so  $\mathbf{Aff}(X)$  has coequalizers because  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras have equalizers. To be explicit, fix finite étale morphisms  $f, g: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  for which we would like to construct a coequalizer. In particular,  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are

affine over  $X$ , so we see  $Y_1 = \operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $Y_2 = \operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{A}_2$  for  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$ . Notably, because  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are finite and flat over  $X$ , we see  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are finite locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras.

Now, define the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C} := \operatorname{eq}(f^\#, g^\#)$ . The anti-equivalence described in the previous paragraph promises that  $\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{C}$  is the coequalizer of  $f, g: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ , so it suffices to show that the structure map  $\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$  is in fact finite étale. This map is already affine, so Proposition 3.7 allows us to check that we remain finite étale after any affine faithfully flat base-change. Well, applying Proposition 3.5 to the maps  $Y_1 \rightarrow X$  and  $Y_2 \rightarrow X$  (each) lets us assume that  $Y_1 \rightarrow X$  and  $Y_2 \rightarrow X$  are totally split. (The construction of  $\mathcal{C}$  commutes with base-change.)

Continuing with reductions, we may check that  $\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$  is finite étale locally on the target, so we replace  $X$  with a connected component to assume that  $X$  is connected. In this case,  $Y_i \cong X_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup X_{n_i}$  where  $X_j = X$  for each  $j$ , where the structure maps  $Y_i \rightarrow X$  are the natural projection. As such, the map  $f: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  looks like

$$\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n_2} X_j.$$

Now, each  $X_i$  in the source is connected and thus can only map to a single  $X_j$  in the target. In fact, the factored map  $X_i \rightarrow X_{\alpha(i)}$  must be the identity because it is an  $X$ -morphism. The same argument for  $g$  produces a similar function  $\beta: \{1, \dots, n_1\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n_2\}$ . Inverting everything, we see that

$$f^\#, g^\#: \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathcal{O}_{X_j} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$$

factors through identities  $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X$  in each coordinate. Thus, we can compute that the equalizer  $\mathcal{C} = \operatorname{eq}(f^\#, g^\#)$  is indeed finite locally free.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 3.11.** *Fix a scheme  $X$ . An object  $Y$  in  $\operatorname{FÉt}(X)$  is connected if and only if  $Y$  is connected as a scheme. Thus, any object in  $\operatorname{FÉt}(X)$  is the finite coproduct of connected objects.*

*Proof.* In one direction, suppose that  $Y$  is a disconnected scheme so that we can write  $Y = Y_1 \sqcup Y_2$ . Then the composites  $Y_1, Y_2 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$  make  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  into objects in  $\operatorname{FÉt}(X)$ ; notably, the inclusions  $Y_1, Y_2 \rightarrow Y$  are both closed (hence finite) and open (hence étale). Further, the maps  $Y_1, Y_2 \rightarrow Y$  are open embeddings and hence monic in  $\operatorname{Sch}$  and so also monic in the subcategory  $\operatorname{FÉt}(X)$ , so we have given  $Y$  a nontrivial subobject, meaning  $Y$  is not connected as an object in  $\operatorname{FÉt}(X)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $Y$  is a connected scheme, and suppose we have a monomorphism  $f: Y' \rightarrow Y$  in  $\operatorname{FÉt}(X)$  from a non-initial (i.e., nonempty) scheme  $Y'$ ; we show that  $f$  is an open embedding, which will finish because  $f$  has closed image (because finite) and  $Y$  is connected. Well, the finite limits in  $\operatorname{Sch}(X)$  and  $\operatorname{FÉt}(X)$  agree by Proposition 3.10, so we note  $f$  being monic in  $\operatorname{FÉt}(X)$  is equivalent to the diagonal  $\Delta_f: Y' \rightarrow Y' \times_Y Y'$  being an isomorphism, so  $f$  is monic in  $\operatorname{Sch}(X)$ .

In total,  $f$  is universally injective and flat and locally of finite presentation and hence open, so  $f$  is a homeomorphism onto an open subset  $Y_0 := f(X) \subseteq Y$ . Thus, replacing  $Y$  by  $Y_0$  (note  $f$  remains monic), we may assume that  $f$  is surjective and hence faithfully flat, and we want to show that  $f$  is an isomorphism. Well,  $f$  is affine, so Proposition 3.7 lets us check that  $f$  is an isomorphism after base-change by an affine faithfully flat map, so we base-change  $f$  by itself to note that the projection  $\pi_2: Y' \times_Y Y' \rightarrow Y'$  is an isomorphism because the composite

$$Y' \xrightarrow{\Delta_f} Y' \times_Y Y' \rightarrow Y'$$

is the identity, and  $\Delta_f$  is an isomorphism. This finishes.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 3.12.** *Fix a connected scheme  $X$ . Then any object in  $\operatorname{FÉt}(X)$  is the finite coproduct of connected objects.*

*Proof.* Fix an object  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\operatorname{FÉt}(X)$ . By Lemma 3.11, a decomposition of  $Y$  into a disjoint union of connected schemes is also a decomposition into connected objects, so it suffices to show that  $Y$  has only finitely many connected components.

Well, fix any  $y \in Y$  and set  $x := f(y)$ . Because  $f$  is finite, the fiber  $f^{-1}(\{x\})$  has only finitely many points; let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be the connected components of each element in the fiber  $f^{-1}(\{x\})$ . We claim that these are all the connected components of  $Y$ , which will finish. Indeed, fix some connected component  $Y'$

in  $Y$ . Then note  $f$  is topologically open (because flat) and closed (because proper), so  $f(Y')$  is nonempty, closed, and open in  $X$ , so  $f(Y') = X$  because  $X$  is connected. So  $x \in f(Y')$ , so we can find some  $y_0 \in Y'$  such that  $y_0 \in f^{-1}(\{x\})$ , so  $Y'$  is equal to one of the  $Y_i$ . ■

As mentioned previously, our fiber functor will arise from base-change, so it remains to understand base-change.

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $X' \rightarrow X$  be a scheme morphism. Then the base-change functor  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X')$  sending  $Y \mapsto Y \times_X X'$  is exact.*

*Proof.* Quickly, note that the functor is well-defined because finite étale morphisms are preserved by base-change.

As usual, limits are easier. For left-exactness, we note that the base-change of the terminal object  $\text{id}_X$  in  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X)$  is  $\text{id}_{X'}$ , which is the terminal object in  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X')$ . Further, taking fiber products commutes with base-change: for morphisms  $Y_1, Y_2 \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X)$ , we can check

$$(Y_1 \times_Y Y_2) \times_X X' = (Y_1 \times_X X') \times_{Y \times_X X'} (Y_2 \times_X X')$$

by chasing around pullback squares.

For right-exactness, we note that the base-change of a disjoint union  $Y_1 \sqcup Y_2$  remains becomes the disjoint union  $(Y_1 \times_X X') \sqcup (Y_2 \times_X X')$  by running the computation affine-locally. To check that coequalizers are preserved by base-change, one must run through the construction of coequalizers in Proposition 3.10. Indeed, given morphisms  $f, g: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ , we defined  $\text{coeq}(f, g)$  as  $\text{Spec}_X \mathcal{C}$  where  $\mathcal{C} := \text{eq}(f^\#, g^\#)$ . Now, the universal property ensures a map

$$\text{coeq}(f, g)_{X'} \rightarrow \text{coeq}(f_{X'}, g_{X'}),$$

where the subscript denotes base-change, and we want to show that this map is an isomorphism. Well, we argue as in arguing as in Proposition 3.10: by Proposition 3.7, it suffices to check that this is an isomorphism after base-change by a faithfully flat affine morphism, so we may assume that all morphisms are totally split. Also, we may check isomorphisms affine-locally, so we may assume  $X$  and  $X'$  are both affine and connected. But in this case we had a concrete construction of  $\text{coeq}$  as arising from some equalizer of finite free algebras, and this commutes with base-change. ■

In fact, we are trying to understand base-change to a geometric point, so the following example will be helpful.

**Example 3.14.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field, and set  $X := \text{Spec } k$ . Then we claim  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X) \cong \text{FinSet}$ . Indeed, we send the finite étale cover  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  directly to the set  $Y$ , which is finite because  $f$  is quasifinite. In particular,  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  is a finite separable  $k$ -algebra, which is just a finite product of  $k$ s because  $k$  is algebraically closed, and the points in  $Y$  correspond to factors in the product. From this one can see that our functor is fully faithful and essentially surjective.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Fix a connected scheme  $X$  and a geometric point  $\bar{x}$  of  $X$ . Then the category  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X)$  of finite étale covers of  $X$  equipped with the base-change functor  $F: \mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X) \rightarrow \text{FinSet}$  by*

$$FY := Y_{\bar{x}}$$

*forms a Galois category.*

*Proof.* Quickly, we note that  $F$  is actually the base-change functor to  $\bar{x}$  follows by the equivalence to  $\text{FinSet}$  given in Example 3.14, so in particular  $F$  is well-defined and exact by Lemma 3.13. For a few other checks, note Proposition 3.10 implies that  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X)$  has finite limits and colimits, and Proposition 3.12 implies that objects are the finite coproduct of connected objects.

It remains to show that  $F$  reflects isomorphisms. Well, fix a morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow Y'$  in  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(X)$  such that  $Ff: Y_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow Y'_{\bar{x}}$  is an isomorphism. Now,  $f$  is finite étale, so  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  is a finite locally free  $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ -algebra. As such, to check that  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  is rank-1 over  $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ , but this rank can be computed after base-change by  $\bar{x}$ , where we know the ranks coincide because  $Ff$  is an isomorphism. ■

At long last, here is our definition.

**Definition 3.15** (étale fundamental group). *Fix a connected scheme  $X$  and a geometric point  $\bar{x}$  of  $X$ . Then the étale fundamental group  $\pi_1(X, \bar{x})$  is the automorphism group of the base-change functor  $F: \mathbf{F\acute{E}t}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{FinSet}$  defined by  $FY := Y_{\bar{x}}$ .*

**Remark 3.16.** *One might be upset that it looks like  $\pi_1(X, \bar{x})$  depends on the choice of geometric point, but Remark 2.21 assures us that it does not.*

#### 4. EXAMPLES

We close this paper with a few example computations, for fun.

##### 4.1. Basic Examples.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be a finite ring for which  $X := \mathrm{Spec} R$  is connected. Then  $R$  is a local ring, and  $\pi_1(\mathrm{Spec} R) = \pi_1(\mathrm{Spec} k) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  where  $k$  is the residue field.*

*Proof.* We first show that  $R$  is a local ring. Because  $R$  is finite, we note that  $\dim R = 0$ : for any prime  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R$ , we note that  $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = V(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Spec} R/\mathfrak{p} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  because  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a finite integral domain and hence a field. Thus, because  $\mathrm{Spec} R$  is connected, we see that it has one point. ■

**4.2. A Little on Isogenies.** Building off of the development of isogenies in my fall term paper [Elb22, Section 2.3], we need the following facts. Our discussion follows [EGM].

**Lemma 4.2.** *Fix isogenies  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  and  $\gamma: C \rightarrow D$  of abelian  $k$ -varieties. If homomorphisms  $\beta_1, \beta_2: B \rightarrow C$  have  $\gamma \circ \beta_1 \circ \alpha = \gamma \circ \beta_2 \circ \alpha$ , then  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ .*

*Proof.* After distributing appropriately, we are given that  $\gamma \circ (\beta_1 - \beta_2) \circ \alpha = 0$ . We now show that  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  in two steps.

- (1) We argue that  $\gamma \circ (\beta_1 - \beta_2) = 0$  directly. For brevity, set  $\gamma_1 := \gamma \circ \beta_1$  and  $\gamma_2 := \gamma \circ \beta_2$  so that  $\gamma_1 \circ \alpha = \gamma_2 \circ \alpha$ . Using [Vak17, Exercise 11.4.A], one has a closed subscheme  $\iota: A' \hookrightarrow A$  given by  $A' = \mathrm{eq}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . However,  $\alpha$  is dominant and factors through  $A'$ , so  $A'$  must be dense in  $A$ , so  $A' = A$  follows because  $A$  is reduced. In particular,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$  is forced.
- (2) It remains to show that  $\gamma \circ \beta_1 = \gamma \circ \beta_2$  implies  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ . Well,  $\gamma \circ (\beta_1 - \beta_2) = 0$ , so  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  must factor through the fiber  $\gamma^{-1}(0_D) = \ker \gamma$ . However,  $B$  is connected, so  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  must send it to a connected scheme, so  $\ker \gamma$  being connected implies that  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  maps  $B$  to  $\{0_C\}$ . Lastly,  $B$  is reduced, so its image will be a reduced closed subscheme of  $\{0_C\}$ , meaning that  $\beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$  on the nose. ■

**Proposition 4.3.** *Fix an isogeny  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  of abelian  $k$ -varieties, and set  $d := \deg \varphi$ . Then there exists an isogeny  $\psi: B \rightarrow A$  of degree  $d$  such that  $\varphi \circ \psi = [d]_B$  and  $\psi \circ \varphi = [d]_A$ .*

*Proof.* This proof requires the notion of fppf quotients, which we will not introduce here; as such, we will be quite sketchy. One can check that the isogeny  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  identifies  $B$  with the fppf quotient  $A/\ker \varphi$ . Further, one can show that  $\ker \varphi$  is annihilated by  $[d]_A$  (there is a difficulty here because  $\varphi$  need not be separable—see [EGM, Exercise 4.4]), so  $[d]_A$  will factor through  $\varphi$  as

$$A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} A.$$

So we have achieved  $\psi \circ \varphi = [d]_A$ . Further, we see

$$\varphi \circ \psi \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ [d]_A = [d]_B \circ \varphi,$$

so  $\varphi \circ \psi = [d]_B$  follows from Lemma 4.2. ■

**Corollary 4.4.** *Isogenies form an equivalence relation on abelian varieties.*

*Proof.* The identity shows that an abelian variety is isogenous to itself. Proposition 4.3 tells us that the relation is reflexive. Lastly, the composition of isogenies is an isogeny because it is enough to check that the composition of dominant maps is dominant and that the dimensions are all equal. ■

The point of all this discussion is to motivate defining

$$\mathrm{End}^0(A) := \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q},$$

which is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of dimension at most

## REFERENCES

- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra: With a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1995. URL: [https://books.google.com/books?id=Fm%5C\\_yPgZBucMC](https://books.google.com/books?id=Fm%5C_yPgZBucMC).
- [Cad13] Anna Cadoret. “Galois Categories”. In: *Arithmetic and Geometry Around Galois Theory*. Ed. by Pierre Dèbes et al. Basel: Springer Basel, 2013, pp. 171–246.
- [Vak17] Ravi Vakil. *The Rising Sea: Foundations of Algebraic Geometry*. 2017. URL: <http://math.stanford.edu/~vakil/216blog/FOAGnov1817public.pdf>.
- [Elb22] Nir Elber. *Abelian Varieties*. 2022. URL: <https://dfoiler.github.io/notes/256A/paper.pdf>.
- [SP] The Stacks project authors. *The Stacks project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2022.
- [EGM] Bas Edixhoven, Gerard van der Geer, and Ben Moonen. *Abelian Varieties*. URL: <http://van-der-geer.nl/~gerard/AV.pdf>.
- [Eme] Matthew Emerton. *Descending Properties of Morphisms*. URL: <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/216APage/handouts/fpqc.pdf>.