

# 256A: Algebraic Geometry

Nir Elber

Fall 2022

# CONTENTS

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 August 24 . . . . .	3
1.2 August 26 . . . . .	6
1.3 August 29 . . . . .	16
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>List of Definitions</b>	<b>22</b>

# THEME 1

## INTRODUCTION

---

*Hold tight to your geometric motivation as you learn the formal structures which have proved to be so effective in studying fundamental questions.*

—Ravi Vakil, [Vak17]

### 1.1 August 24

A feeling of impending doom overtakes your soul.

#### 1.1.1 Administrative Notes

Here are housekeeping notes.

- Here are some housekeeping notes. There is a syllabus on [bCourses](#).
- We hope to cover Chapter II of [Har77], mostly, supplemented with examples from curves.
- There are lots of books.
  - We use [Har77] because it is short.
  - There is also [Vak17], which has more words.
  - The book [Liu06] has notes on curves.
  - There are more books in the syllabus. Professor Tang has some opinions on these.
- Some proofs will be skipped in lecture. Not all of these will appear on homework.
- Some examples will say lots of words, some of which we won't have good definitions for until later. Do not be afraid of words.

Here are assignment notes.

- Homework is 70% of the class.
- Homework is due on noon on Fridays. There will be 6–8 problems, which means it is pretty heavy. The lowest homework score will be dropped.

- Office hours exist. Professor Tang also answers emails.
- The term paper covers the last 30% of the grade. They are intended to be extra but interesting topics we don't cover in this class.

### 1.1.2 Motivation

We're going to talk about schemes. Why should we care about schemes? The point is that schemes are "correct."

**Example 1.1.** In algebraic topology, there is a cup product map in homology, which is intended to algebraically measure intersections. However, intersections are hard to quantify when we aren't dealing with, say manifolds.

Here is an example of algebraic geometry helping us with this rigorization.

**Theorem 1.2 (Bézout).** Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be curves in  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$ , for some algebraically closed  $k$ , where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are defined by homogeneous polynomials  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . Then the "intersection number" between the curves  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  is  $(\deg f_1)(\deg f_2)$ .

This is a nice result, for example because it automatically accounts for multiplicities, which would be difficult to deal with directly using (say) geometric techniques. Schemes will help us with this.

**Example 1.3.** Moduli spaces are intended to be geometric objects which represent a family of geometric objects of interest. For example, we might be interested in the moduli space of some class of elliptic curves.

It turns out that the correct way to define these objects is using schemes as a functor; we will see this in this class.

**Remark 1.4.** One might be interested in when a functor is a scheme. We will not cover this question in this class in full, but it is an interesting question, and we will talk about this in special cases.

### 1.1.3 Elliptic Curves

For the last piece of motivation, let's talk about elliptic curves, over a field  $k$ .

**Definition 1.5 (Elliptic curve).** An *elliptic curve* over  $k$  is a smooth projective curve of genus 1, with a marked  $k$ -rational point.

Remember that we said that we not to be afraid of words. However, we should have some notion of what these words mean: being a curve means that we are one-dimensional, being smooth is intuitive, and having genus 1 roughly means that base-changing to a complex manifold has one hole. Lastly, the  $k$ -rational point requires defining a scheme as a functor.

Here's another (more concrete) definition of an elliptic curve.

**Definition 1.6 (Elliptic curve).** An *elliptic curve* over  $k$  is an affine variety in  $\mathbb{A}^2(k)$  cut out by a polynomial of the form

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y^2 = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$$

with nonzero discriminant plus a point  $\mathcal{O}$  at infinity.

**Remark 1.7.** Why are these equivalent? Well, the Riemann–Roch theorem approximately lets us take a smooth projective curve of genus 1 and then write it as an equation; the marked point goes to  $\mathcal{O}$ . In the reverse direction, one merely needs to embed our affine curve into projective space and verify its smoothness and genus.

Instead of working with affine varieties, we can also give a concrete description of an elliptic curve using projective varieties.

**Definition 1.8 (Elliptic curve).** An *elliptic curve* over  $k$  is a projective variety in  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$  cut out by a polynomial of the form

$$Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3$$

with nonzero discriminant.

We get the equivalence of the previous two definitions via the embedding  $\mathbb{A}_2(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(k)$  by  $(x, y) \mapsto [x : y : 1]$ ; the point at infinity  $\mathcal{O}$  is  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ .

### 1.1.4 Crackpot Varieties

In order to motivate schemes, we should probably mention varieties, so we will spend some time in class discussing affine and projective varieties. For convenience, we work over an algebraically closed field  $k$ .

**Definition 1.9 (Affine space).** Given a field  $k$ , we define *affine  $n$ -space* over  $k$ , denoted  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . An *affine variety* is a subset  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  of the form

$$Y = V(S) := \{p \in \mathbb{A}^n(k) : f(p) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in S\},$$

where  $S \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

**Remark 1.10.** The set  $S \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in the above definition need not be finite or countable. In certain cases, we can enforce this condition; for example, if  $n = 1$ , then  $k[x]$  is a principal ideal domain, so we may force  $\#S = 1$ .

Note that we have defined vanishing sets  $V(S)$  from subsets  $S \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . We can also go from vanishing sets to subsets.

**Definition 1.11.** Fix a field  $k$  and subset  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . Then we define the ideal

$$I(Y) := \{f \in \mathbb{A}^n(k) : f(p) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in Y\}.$$

**Remark 1.12.** One should check that this is an ideal, but we won't bother.

So we've defined some geometry. But we're in an algebraic geometry class; where's the algebra?

**Theorem 1.13 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz).** Fix an algebraically closed field  $k$  and ideal  $J \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then

$$I(V(J)) = \text{rad } I,$$

where  $\text{rad } I$  is the radical of  $I$ .

**Remark 1.14.** The Nullstellensatz has no particularly easy proof.

The point of this result is that it ends up giving us a contravariant equivalence of posets of radical ideals and affine varieties.

Why do we care? In some sense, we prefer to work with ideals because it “remembers” more information than merely the points on the variety. To see this, note that elements  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  we are viewing as giving functions on  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . However, when we work on a variety  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ , then sometimes two functions will end up being identical on  $Y$ . So the correct ring of functions on  $Y$  is

$$k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(Y),$$

so indeed keeping track of the (algebraic) ideal  $V(Y)$  gets us some extra (geometric) information.

We will use this discussion as a jumping-off point to discuss affine schemes and then schemes. Affine schemes will have the following data.

- A commutative ring  $A$ , which we should think of as the ring of functions on a variety.
- A topological space  $\text{Spec } A$ , which has more information than merely points on the variety.
- A structure sheaf of functions on  $\text{Spec } A$ .

**Remark 1.15.** Our topological space  $\text{Spec } A$  will contain more points than just the points on the variety. In some sense, these extra points make the topology more apparent.

**Remark 1.16.** Going forward, one might hope to remove requirements that the field  $k$  is algebraically closed (e.g., to work with a general ring) or talk about ideals which are not radical. This is the point of scheme theory.

## 1.2 August 26

Let’s finish up talking varieties, and then we’ll move on to affine schemes.

### 1.2.1 Projective Varieties

We’re going to briefly talk about projective varieties. Let’s start with projective space.

**Definition 1.17 (Projective space).** Given a field  $k$ , we define *projective  $n$ -space over  $k$* , denoted  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  as

$$\frac{k^{n+1} \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}}{\sim},$$

where  $\sim$  assigns two points being equivalent if and only if they span the same 1-dimensional subspace of  $k^{n+1}$ . We will denote the equivalence class of a point  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  by  $[a_0 : \dots : a_n]$ .

To work with varieties, we don’t quite cut out by general polynomials but rather by homogeneous polynomials.

**Definition 1.18 (Projective variety).** Given a field  $k$  and a set of some homogeneous polynomials  $T \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we define the *projective variety* cut out by  $T$  as

$$V(T) := \{p \in \mathbb{P}^n(k) : f(p) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in T\}.$$

**Example 1.19.** The elliptic curve corresponding to the affine algebraic variety in  $\mathbb{A}^2(k)$  cut out by  $y^2 - x^3 - 1$  becomes the projective variety in  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$  cut out by

$$Y^2Z - X^3 - Z^3 = 0.$$

**Remark 1.20.** One can give projective varieties some Zariski topology as well, which we will define later in the class.

What to remember about projective varieties is that we can cover  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$  (say) by affine spaces as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}^2(k) &= \{[X : Y : Z] : X, Y, Z \in k \text{ not all } 0\} \\ &= \{[X : Y : Z] : X, Y, Z \in k \text{ and } X \neq 0\} \\ &\quad \cup \{[X : Y : Z] : X, Y, Z \in k \text{ and } Y \neq 0\} \\ &= \{[1 : y : z] : y, z \in k\} \\ &\quad \cup \{[x : 1 : z] : x, z \in k\} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{A}^2(k) \cup \mathbb{A}^2(k).\end{aligned}$$

The point is that we can decompose  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$  into an affine cover.

**Example 1.21.** Continuing from [Example 1.19](#), we can decompose  $Z(Y^2Z - X^3 - Z^3)$  into having an affine open cover by

$$\underbrace{\{(x, y) : y^2 - x^3 - 1 = 0\}}_{z \neq 0} \cup \underbrace{\{(x, z) : z - x^3 - z^3 = 0\}}_{y \neq 0} \cup \underbrace{\{(y, z) : y^2z - 1 - z^3 = 0\}}_{x \neq 0}.$$

Notably, we get almost everything from just one of the affine chunks, and we get the point at infinity by taking one of the other chunks.

**Remark 1.22.** It is a general fact that we only need two affine chunks to cover our projective curve.

## 1.2.2 The Spectrum

The definition of a(n affine) scheme requires a topological space and its ring of functions. We will postpone talking about the ring of functions until we discuss sheaves, so for now we will focus on the space.

**Definition 1.23 (Spectrum).** Given a ring  $A$ , we define the *spectrum*

$$\text{Spec } A := \{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A : \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime ideal}\}.$$

**Example 1.24.** Fix a field  $k$ . Then  $\text{Spec } k = \{(0)\}$ . Namely, non-isomorphic rings can have homeomorphic spectra.

**Exercise 1.25.** Fix a field  $k$ . We show that

$$\text{Spec } k[x] = \{(0)\} \cup \{(\pi) : \pi \text{ is monic, irred., } \deg \pi > 0\}.$$

*Proof.* To begin, note that  $(0)$  is prime, and  $(\pi)$  is prime for irreducible non-constant polynomials  $\pi$  because irreducible elements are prime in principal ideal domains. Additionally, we note that all the given primes are distinct: of course  $(0)$  is distinct from any prime of the form  $(\pi)$ , but further, given monic non-constant irreducible polynomials  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , having

$$(\alpha) = (\beta)$$

forces  $\alpha = c\beta$  for some  $c \in k[x]^\times$ . But  $k[x]^\times = k^\times$ , so  $c \in k^\times$ , so  $c = 1$  is forced by comparing the leading coefficients of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

It remains to show that all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq k[x]$  take the desired form. Well,  $k[x]$  is a principal ideal domain, so we may write  $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$  for some  $\pi \in k[x]$ . If  $\pi = 0$ , then we are done. Otherwise,  $\deg \pi \geq 0$ , but  $\deg \pi > 0$  because  $\deg \pi = 0$  implies  $\pi \in k[x]^\times$ . By adjusting by a unit, we may also assume that  $\pi$  is monic. And lastly, note that  $(\pi)$  is prime means that  $\pi$  is prime, so  $\pi$  is irreducible. ■

**Example 1.26.** If  $k$  is an algebraically closed field, then the only nonconstant irreducible polynomials are linear (because all nonconstant polynomials have a root and hence a linear factor), and of course any linear polynomial is irreducible. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Spec} k[x] = \{(0)\} \cup \{(x - \alpha) : \alpha \in k\}.$$

Set  $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha := (x - \alpha)$  so that  $\alpha \mapsto \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$  provides a natural map from  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  to  $\operatorname{Spec} k[x]$ . In this way we can think of  $\operatorname{Spec} k[x]$  as  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  with an extra point  $(0)$ .

**Remark 1.27.** Continuing from [Example 1.26](#), observe that we can also recover function evaluation at a point  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_k^1$ : given  $f \in k[x]$ , the value of  $f(\alpha)$  is the image of  $f$  under the canonical map

$$k[x] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{k[x]}{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha} \cong k,$$

where the last map is the forced  $x \mapsto \alpha$ . Observe running this construction at the point  $(0) \in \operatorname{Spec} k[x]$  makes the “evaluation” map just the identity.

**Example 1.28.** Similar to  $k[x]$ , we can classify  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ : all ideals are principal, so our primes look like  $(p)$  where  $p = 0$  or is a rational prime. Namely, essentially the same proof gives

$$\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z} = \{(0)\} \cup \{(p) : p \text{ prime}, p > 0\}.$$

The condition  $p > 0$  is to ensure that all the points on the right-hand side are distinct; certainly we can write all nonzero primes  $(p) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  for some nonzero  $(p)$ , and we can adjust  $p$  by a unit to ensure  $p > 0$ . Conversely,  $(p) = (q)$  with  $p, q > 0$  forces  $p \mid q$  and  $q \mid p$  and so  $p = q$ .

We might hope to have a way to view  $\operatorname{Spec} k[x]$  as points even when  $k$  is not algebraically closed.

**Example 1.29.** Set  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ . There is a map sending a nonconstant monic irreducible polynomial  $\pi \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  to its roots in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and note that this map is injective because one can recover a polynomial from its roots. Further, all the roots of  $\pi$  are Galois conjugate because  $\pi$  is irreducible, and a Galois orbit  $S_\alpha$  of a root  $\alpha$  corresponds to the polynomial

$$\pi(x) = \prod_{\beta \in S_\alpha} (x - \beta),$$

where  $\pi(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  because its coefficients are preserved the Galois action. Thus, there is a bijection between the nonconstant monic irreducible polynomials  $\pi \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  and Galois orbits of elements in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

So far, all of our examples have been “dimension 0” (namely, a field  $k$ ) or “dimension 1” (namely,  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $k[x]$ ). Here is an example in dimension 2.

**Exercise 1.30.** Let  $k$  be algebraically closed. Any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} k[x, y]$  is one of the following types of prime.

- Dimension 2:  $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$ .
- Dimension 1:  $\mathfrak{p} = (f(x, y))$  where  $f$  is nonconstant and irreducible.
- Dimension 0:  $\mathfrak{p} = (x - \alpha, y - \beta)$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in k$ .



*Proof.* We follow [Vak17, Exercise 3.2.E]. If  $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$ , then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is principal, then we can write  $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$  where  $f \in k[x, y]$  is a prime element and hence irreducible. Observe that if  $f$  is irreducible, then  $f$  is also a prime element because  $k[x, y]$  is a unique factorization domain.

Lastly, we suppose that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not principal. We start by finding  $f, g \in \mathfrak{p}$  with no nonconstant common factors. Because  $\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$ , we can find  $f_0 \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \{0\}$ , and assume that  $(f_0)$  is maximal with respect to this (namely,  $f_0 \notin (f'_0)$  for any  $f'_0 \in \mathfrak{p}$ ). Because  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not principal, we can find  $g_0 \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus (f_0)$ . Now, we can use unique prime factorization of  $f_0$  and  $g_0$  to find some  $d \in k[x, y]$  such that

$$f_0 = fd \quad \text{and} \quad g_0 = gd$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  share no common factors. (Namely,  $\nu_\pi(d) = \min\{\nu_\pi(f_0), \nu_\pi(g_0)\}$  for all irreducible factors  $\pi \in k[x, y]$ .) Note  $d \notin \mathfrak{p}$  by the maximality of  $f_0$ , so  $f, g \in \mathfrak{p}$  is forced.

Continuing, embedding  $f$  and  $g$  into  $k(x)[y]$  and using the Euclidean algorithm there, we can write

$$af + bg = 1$$

where  $a, b \in k(x)[y]$ , because  $f$  and  $g$  have no common factors in  $k(x)[y]$ . (Any common factor would lift to a common factor in  $k[x, y]$ .<sup>1</sup>) Clearing denominators, we see that we can find  $h(x) \in k[x] \cap \mathfrak{p}$ , but by factoring  $h(x)$  using the fact that  $k$  is algebraically closed, we see that we can actually enforce  $(x - \alpha) \in \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $\alpha \in k$ .

By symmetry, we can force  $(y - \beta) \in \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$  as well, so  $(x - \alpha, y - \beta) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . However, we see that  $(x - \alpha, y - \beta)$  is maximal because of the isomorphism

$$\frac{k[x, y]}{(x - \alpha, y - \beta)} \rightarrow k$$

by  $x \mapsto \alpha$  and  $y \mapsto \beta$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{p} = (x - \alpha, y - \beta)$  follows. ■

**Remark 1.31.** The intuition behind [Exercise 1.30](#) is that the prime ideal  $(x - \alpha, y - \beta)$  “cuts out” the zero-dimensional point  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{A}_k^2$ . Then the prime ideal  $(f)$  cuts out some one-dimensional curve in  $\mathbb{A}_k^2$ , and the prime ideal  $(0)$  cuts out the entire two-dimensional plane. We have not defined dimension rigorously, but hopefully the idea is clear.

**Remark 1.32.** It is remarkable that the number of equations we need to cut out a variety of dimension  $d$  is  $2 - d$ . This is not always true.

The point is that we seem to have recovered  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  by looking at  $\text{Spec } k[x]$  and  $\mathbb{A}_k^2$  by looking at  $\text{Spec } k[x, y]$ , so we can generalize this to arbitrary rings cleanly, realizing some part of [Remark 1.16](#).

**Definition 1.33** (Affine space). Given a ring  $R$ , we define *affine  $n$ -space over  $R$*  as

$$\mathbb{A}_R^n := \text{Spec } R[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

So far all the rings we’ve looked at so far have been integral domains, but it’s worth pointing out that working with general rings allows more interesting information.

**Example 1.34.** We classify  $\text{Spec } k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ . Notably, all prime ideals here must correspond to prime ideals of  $k[\varepsilon]$  containing  $(\varepsilon^2)$  and hence contain  $\text{rad } (\varepsilon^2) = (\varepsilon)$ , which allows only the prime  $(\varepsilon)$ . (We will make this correspondence precise later.) So  $\text{Spec } k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$  has a single point.

<sup>1</sup> If  $d(x, y)/e(x)$  divides both  $f$  and  $g$  in  $k(x)[y]$ , where  $d$  and  $e$  share no common factors, then  $d \mid fe, ge \in k[x, y]$ . Unique prime factorization now forces  $d \mid f, g$  in  $k[x, y]$ .

**Remark 1.35.** In some sense,  $\text{Spec } k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$  will be able to let us talk about differential information algebraically:  $\varepsilon$  is some very small nonzero element such that  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ . So we can study a “function”  $f \in k[x]$  locally at a point  $p$  by studying  $f(p + \varepsilon)$ . Rigorously,  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$  has

$$f(x + \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i (x + \varepsilon)^i = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i + \sum_{i=1}^d i a_i x^{i-1} \varepsilon = f(x) + f'(x) \varepsilon.$$

One can recover more differential information by looking at  $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^n)$  for larger  $n$ .

### 1.2.3 The Zariski Topology

Thus far we’ve defined our space. Here’s our topology.

**Definition 1.36** (Zariski topology). Fix a ring  $A$ . Then, for  $S \subseteq A$ , we define the *vanishing set*

$$V(S) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A : S \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$$

Then the *Zariski topology* on  $\text{Spec } A$  is the topology whose closed sets are the  $V(S)$ .

Intuitively, we are declaring  $A$  as the (continuous) functions on  $\text{Spec } A$ , and the evaluation of the function  $f \in A$  at the point  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  is  $f \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  (using the ideas of [Remark 1.27](#)). Then the vanishing sets of a continuous function must be closed, and without easy access to any other functions on  $\text{Spec } A$ , we will simply declare that these are all of our closed sets.

In the affine case, we can be a little more rigorous.

**Example 1.37.** Set  $A := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , where  $k$  is algebraically closed. Then, given  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we want to be convinced that  $V(\{f\})$  matches up with the affine  $k$ -points  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  which vanish on  $f$ . Well,  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  corresponds to the prime ideal  $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n) \in \text{Spec } A$ , and

$$\{f\} \subseteq (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$$

is equivalent to  $f$  vanishing in the evaluation map

$$k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)} \rightarrow k,$$

which is equivalent to  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ . So indeed,  $f$  vanishes on  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  if and only if the corresponding maximal ideal is in  $V(\{f\})$ .

With intuition out of the way, we should probably check that the sets  $V(S)$  make a legitimate topology. To begin, here are some basic properties.

**Lemma 1.38.** Fix a ring  $A$ .

- (a) If subsets  $S, T \subseteq A$  have  $S \subseteq T$ , then  $V(T) \subseteq V(S)$ .
- (b) A subset  $S \subseteq A$  has  $V(S) = V((S))$ .
- (c) An ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$  has  $V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\text{rad } \mathfrak{a})$ .

*Proof.* We go in sequence.

- (a) Note  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(T)$  implies that  $T \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , which implies  $S \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(S)$ .

(b) Surely  $S \subseteq (S)$ , so  $V((S)) \subseteq V(S)$ . Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(S)$ , then  $S \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , but then the generated ideal  $(S)$  must also be contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , so  $\mathfrak{p} \in V((S))$ .

(c) Surely  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$ , so  $V(\text{rad } \mathfrak{a}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ . Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ , so

$$\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{q} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a},$$

so  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\text{rad } \mathfrak{a})$ . ■

**Remark 1.39.** In light of (b) and (c) of [Lemma 1.38](#), we can actually write all closed subsets of  $\text{Spec } A$  as  $V(\mathfrak{a})$  for a radical ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ . We will use this fact freely.

And here are our checks.

**Lemma 1.40.** Fix a ring  $A$ .

- (a)  $V(A) = \emptyset$  and  $V((0)) = \text{Spec } A$ .
- (b) Given ideals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subseteq A$ , then  $V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$ .
- (c) Given a collection of ideals  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ , we have

$$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}} V(\mathfrak{a}) = V\left(\sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}} \mathfrak{a}\right).$$

*Proof.* We go in sequence.

- (a) All primes are proper, so no prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  has  $A \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $V(A) = \emptyset$ . Also,  $0$  is an element of all ideals, so all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  have  $(0) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $V((0)) = \text{Spec } A$ .
- (b) Note  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ , so  $V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$  follows. Conversely, take  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$ , and suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\mathfrak{a})$  so that we need  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{b})$ . Well,  $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\mathfrak{a})$  implies  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , so we can find  $a \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Now, for any  $b \in \mathfrak{b}$ , we see

$$ab \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p},$$

so  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$  forces  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{b})$ .

- (c) Certainly any  $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{I}$  has  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}} \mathfrak{a}$ , so  $V(\sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}} \mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}} V(\mathfrak{a})$  follows.

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}} V(\mathfrak{a})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}$ , so  $\sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}} \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  follows. Thus,  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}} \mathfrak{a})$ . ■

**Remark 1.41.** For ideals  $I, J \subseteq A$ , note that  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ . Additionally,  $I \cap J \subseteq \text{rad}(IJ)$ : if  $f \in I \cap J$ , then  $f^2 \in (I \cap J)^2 \subseteq IJ$ . It follows from [Lemma 1.38](#) that

$$V(IJ) \supseteq V(I \cap J) \supseteq V(\text{rad}(IJ)) = V(IJ),$$

so  $V(I) \cup V(J) = V(IJ) = V(I \cap J)$ . So  $V$  does respect some poset structure.

It follows that the collection of vanishing sets is closed under finite union and arbitrary intersection, so they do indeed specify the closed sets of a topology.

### 1.2.4 Easy Nullstellensatz

While we're here, let's also generalize [Definition 1.11](#) in the paradigm that  $\text{Spec } A$  is the analogue for affine space.

**Definition 1.42.** Fix a ring  $A$ . Then, given a subset  $Y \subseteq \text{Spec } A$ , we define

$$I(Y) := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in Y} \mathfrak{p}.$$

**Remark 1.43.** To see that this is the correct definition, note we want  $f \in I(Y)$  if and only if  $f$  vanishes at all points  $\mathfrak{p} \in Y$ . We said earlier that the value of  $f$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  should be  $f \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  (using the ideas of [Remark 1.27](#)), so  $f$  vanishes at  $\mathfrak{p}$  if and only if  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ . So we want

$$I(Y) = \{f \in A : f \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in Y\} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in Y} \mathfrak{p}.$$

As before, we'll write in a few basic properties of  $I$ .

**Lemma 1.44.** Fix a ring  $A$ , and fix subsets  $X, Y \subseteq \text{Spec } A$ .

- (a) If  $X \subseteq Y$ , then  $I(Y) \subseteq I(X)$ .
- (b) The ideal  $I(X)$  is radical.

*Proof.* We go in sequence.

(a) Note

$$I(Y) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in Y} \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in X} \mathfrak{p} = I(X).$$

(b) Suppose that  $f^n \in I(X)$  for some positive integer  $n$ , and we need to show  $f \in I(X)$ . Then  $f^n \in \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in X$ , so  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in X$ , so  $f \in I(X)$ . ■

And here is our nice version of [Theorem 1.13](#).

**Proposition 1.45.** Fix a ring  $A$ .

- (a) Given an ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ , we have  $I(V(\mathfrak{a})) = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$ .
- (b) Given a subset  $X \subseteq \text{Spec } A$ , we have  $V(I(X)) = \overline{X}$ .
- (c) The functions  $V$  and  $I$  provide an inclusion-reversing bijection between radical ideals of  $A$  and closed subsets of  $\text{Spec } A$ .

*Proof.* We go in sequence.

(a) Observe

$$I(V(\mathfrak{a})) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{p} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}.$$

(b) Using [Lemma 1.40](#), we find

$$\overline{X} = \bigcap_{V(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq X} V(\mathfrak{a}) = V\left(\sum_{V(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq X} \mathfrak{a}\right).$$

Now,  $X \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in X$ , which is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq I(X)$ . Thus,

$$\overline{X} = V\left(\sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq I(X)} \mathfrak{a}\right) = V(I(X)).$$

(c) Note that  $V$  sends (radical) ideals to closed subsets of  $\text{Spec } A$  by the definition of the Zariski topology. Also,  $I$  sends (closed) subsets of  $\text{Spec } A$  to radical ideals by [Lemma 1.44](#). Additionally, for a closed subset  $X \subseteq \text{Spec } A$ , we have

$$V(I(X)) = \overline{X} = X,$$

and for a radical ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we have

$$I(V(\mathfrak{a})) = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a},$$

so  $I$  and  $V$  are in fact mutually inverse. ■

**Remark 1.46.** Given  $X \subseteq \text{Spec } A$ , we claim  $I(X) = I(\overline{X})$ . Well, these are both radical ideals, so it suffices by [Proposition 1.45](#) (c) to show  $V(I(X)) = V(I(\overline{X}))$ , which is clear because these are both  $\overline{X}$ .

**Remark 1.47.** Intuitively, what makes proving [Proposition 1.45](#) so much easier than [Theorem 1.13](#) is that we've added extra points to our space in order to track varieties better.

### 1.2.5 Some Continuous Maps

As a general rule, we will make continuous maps between our spectra by using ring homomorphisms. Here is the statement.

**Lemma 1.48.** Given a ring homomorphism  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ , the pre-image function  $\varphi^{-1}: \mathcal{P}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  induces a continuous function  $\varphi^{-1}: \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ .

*Proof.* We begin by showing  $\varphi^{-1}: \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is well-defined: given a prime  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \text{Spec } B$ , we claim that  $\varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$  is a prime in  $\text{Spec } A$ . Well, if  $ab \in \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$ , then  $\varphi(a)\varphi(b) \in \mathfrak{q}$ , so  $\varphi(a) \in \mathfrak{q}$  or  $\varphi(b) \in \mathfrak{q}$ . So indeed,  $\varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$  is prime.

We now show that  $\varphi^{-1}: \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is continuous. It suffices to show that the pre-image of a closed set  $V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \text{Spec } A$  under  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a closed set. For concreteness, we will make  $\text{Spec } \varphi: \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  our pre-image map so that we want to show  $(\text{Spec } \varphi)^{-1}(V(\mathfrak{a}))$  is closed. Well,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Spec } \varphi)^{-1}(V(\mathfrak{a})) &= \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B : (\text{Spec } \varphi)(\mathfrak{q}) \in V(\mathfrak{a})\} \\ &= \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B : \mathfrak{a} \subseteq (\text{Spec } \varphi)(\mathfrak{q})\} \\ &= \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B : \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$ , then any  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$  has  $\varphi(a) \in \mathfrak{q}$ , so  $\varphi(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ . Conversely, if  $\varphi(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ , then any  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$  has  $\varphi(a) \in \mathfrak{q}$  and hence  $a \in \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$ , so  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$  follows. In total, we see

$$(\text{Spec } \varphi)^{-1}(V(\mathfrak{a})) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B : \varphi(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}\} = V(\varphi(\mathfrak{a})),$$

which is closed. ■

In fact, we have defined a (contravariant) functor.

**Proposition 1.49.** The mapping  $\text{Spec}$  sending rings  $A$  to topological spaces  $\text{Spec } A$  and ring homomorphisms  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  to continuous maps  $\text{Spec } \varphi = \varphi^{-1}$  assembles into a functor  $\text{Spec}: \text{Ring}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ .

*Proof.* Thus far our data is sending objects to objects and morphisms to (flipped) morphisms, so we just need to run the functoriality checks.

- Identity: note that  $\text{Spec } \text{id}_A$  sends a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  to

$$(\text{Spec } \text{id}_A)(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{id}_A^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{a \in A : \text{id}_A a \in \mathfrak{p}\} = \mathfrak{p},$$

so indeed,  $\text{Spec } \text{id}_A = \text{id}_{\text{Spec } A}$ .

- Functoriality: given morphisms  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi: B \rightarrow C$ , as well as a prime  $\mathfrak{r} \in \text{Spec } C$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Spec}(\psi \circ \varphi))(\mathfrak{r}) &= (\psi \circ \varphi)^{-1}(\mathfrak{r}) \\ &= \{a \in A : \psi(\varphi(a)) \in \mathfrak{r}\} \\ &= \{a \in A : \varphi(a) \in (\text{Spec } \psi)(\mathfrak{r})\} \\ &= \{a \in A : a \in (\text{Spec } \varphi)((\text{Spec } \psi)(\mathfrak{r}))\} \\ &= (\text{Spec } \varphi \circ \text{Spec } \psi)(\mathfrak{r}). \end{aligned}$$

So indeed,  $\text{Spec}(\psi \circ \varphi) = \text{Spec } \varphi \circ \text{Spec } \psi$ . ■

Here is a quick example.

**Definition 1.50** (*k*-points). Given a ring  $A$  and field  $k$ , a *k*-point of  $\text{Spec } A$  is a ring homomorphism  $\iota: A \rightarrow k$ .

**Remark 1.51.** To see that [Definition 1.50](#) does indeed cut out a single point, note  $\iota: A \rightarrow k$  induces  $\text{Spec } \iota: \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  and therefore picks out a single point of  $\text{Spec } A$  because  $\text{Spec } k = \{(0)\}$ .

**Remark 1.52.** To see that [Definition 1.50](#) is reasonable, let  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  so that  $\text{Spec } A = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ . Then a map  $\iota: A \rightarrow k$  is determined by  $a_i := \iota(x_i)$ , so we expect this  $\iota$  to correspond to the point  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Indeed, [Remark 1.51](#) says we should compute

$$(\text{Spec } \iota)((0)) = \iota^{-1}((0)) = \ker \iota = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n),$$

which does indeed correspond to the point  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

Here is a more elaborate example: closed subsets can be realized as spectra themselves!

**Exercise 1.53.** Fix a ring  $A$  and ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ . Letting  $\pi: A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}$  be the natural projection, we have that

$$\text{Spec } \pi: \text{Spec } A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow V(\mathfrak{a})$$

is a homeomorphism.

*Proof.* To be more explicit, we claim that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Spec } A/\mathfrak{a} &\cong V(\mathfrak{a}) \\ \mathfrak{q} &\mapsto \pi^{-1}\mathfrak{q} \\ \pi(\mathfrak{p}) &\leftarrow \mathfrak{p} \end{aligned}$$

are continuous inverses. Here are our well-definedness and continuity checks.

- That  $\mathfrak{q} \mapsto \pi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$  is continuous follows from [Lemma 1.48](#). Note  $\pi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$  contains  $\mathfrak{a}$  because any  $a \in \mathfrak{q}$  has  $\pi(a) = [0]_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathfrak{q}$ .
- For any  $\mathfrak{p}$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we need to show that  $\pi(\mathfrak{p})$  is prime. Of course, if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is proper, then  $\pi(\mathfrak{p})$  is proper as well. For the primality check, note  $[a]_{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot [b]_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \pi(\mathfrak{p})$  implies  $ab \in \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $[a]_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \pi(\mathfrak{p})$  or  $[b]_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \pi(\mathfrak{p})$ .
- To show that  $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \pi\mathfrak{p}$  is continuous, note that a closed set  $V(\overline{S}) \subseteq \text{Spec } A/\mathfrak{a}$  has pre-image

$$\pi^{-1}(V(\overline{S})) = \{\mathfrak{p} : \pi\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \overline{S}\}.$$

Now, set  $S = \pi^{-1}(\overline{S})$ . Now  $\pi\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \overline{S}$  if and only if each  $a \in S$  has  $\pi(a) \in \pi\mathfrak{p}$ , which is equivalent to  $a \in \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$ . Thus,

$$\pi^{-1}(V(\overline{S})) = V(S),$$

which is closed.

Here are our inverse checks.

- Given  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})$ , note

$$\pi^{-1}(\pi\mathfrak{p}) = \{a \in A : \pi(a) \in \pi\mathfrak{p}\} = \{a \in A : a \in \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}\} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}.$$

- Given  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } A/\mathfrak{a}$ , note

$$\pi(\pi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}) = \pi(\{a \in A : \pi(a) \in \mathfrak{q}\}).$$

Because  $\pi: A \twoheadrightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}$  is surjective, the output here is just  $\mathfrak{q}$ . ■

A similar story exists for open sets, but we must be more careful. Here are our open sets.

**Definition 1.54 (Distinguished open sets).** Given a ring  $A$  and element  $f \in A$ , we define the *distinguished open set*

$$D(f) := (\text{Spec } A) \setminus V(\{f\}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A : f \notin \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Intuitively, these are the points on which  $f$  does not vanish.

**Remark 1.55.** In fact, the distinguished open sets form a base: any open set takes the form  $(\text{Spec } A) \setminus V(S)$  for some  $S \subseteq A$ , so we write

$$(\text{Spec } A) \setminus V(S) = \{\mathfrak{p} : S \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} = \bigcup_{f \in S} \{\mathfrak{p} : f \notin \mathfrak{p}\} = \bigcup_{f \in S} D(f).$$

Here is our statement.

**Exercise 1.56.** Fix a ring  $A$  and element  $f \in A$ . Letting  $\iota: A \rightarrow A_f$  be the localization map,

$$\text{Spec } \iota: \text{Spec } A_f \rightarrow D(f)$$

is a homeomorphism.

*Proof.* The arguments here are analogous to [Exercise 1.53](#). To be explicit, we will say that our maps are

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Spec } A_f \cong D(f) \\ \mathfrak{q} \mapsto \iota^{-1}\mathfrak{q} \\ \mathfrak{p}A_f \hookleftarrow \mathfrak{p} \end{array}$$

and reassure the reader that the checks are fairly routine. For example, the inverse checks are done in [Eis95, Proposition 2.2]. ■

**Remark 1.57.** Not every open set is a distinguished open set. For example, taking  $k$  algebraically closed,

$$\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^2$$

is an open set not in the form  $D(f)$ ; equivalently, we need to show  $V(\{f\}) \neq \{(x, y)\}$  for any  $f \in k[x, y]$ . Intuitively, this is impossible because a curve cuts out a one-dimensional variety of  $\mathbb{A}_k^2$ , not a zero-dimensional point.

Rigorously, we are requiring  $f \in k[x, y]$  to have  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} = (x, y)$ . However,  $f$  is certainly nonzero and nonconstant, so  $f$  has an irreducible factor  $\pi$ , which means that  $f \in (\pi)$ , where  $(\pi)$  is prime because  $k[x, y]$  is a unique factorization domain.

## 1.3 August 29

Today we talk about the structure sheaf. To review, so far we have defined the spectrum  $\text{Spec } A$  of a ring  $A$  and given it a topology. The goal for today is to define its structure sheaf. Here is a motivating example.

**Example 1.58.** Set  $A := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  so that  $\text{Spec } A = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ . Recall that  $\{D(f)\}_{f \in A}$  is a base for the Zariski topology, and we would like the functions on this ring to be  $A_f$ , the rational polynomials which allow some  $f$  in the denominator. In other words, these are rational functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  whose poles are allowed on  $V(\{f\})$  only.

### 1.3.1 Sheaves

Sheaves are largely a topological object, so we will forget that we are interested in the Zariski topology for now. Throughout,  $X$  will be a topological space.

**Notation 1.59.** Given a topological space  $X$ , we let  $\text{Op } X$  denote the poset (category) of its open sets.

Namely, the objects of  $\text{Ob } X$  are open sets, and

$$\text{Mor}(V, U) = \begin{cases} \{*\} & V \subseteq U, \\ \emptyset & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Here is our definition.

**Definition 1.60 (Presheaf).** A presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a topological space  $X$  valued in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a contravariant functor  $\mathcal{F}: (\text{Op } X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . More concretely,  $\mathcal{F}$  has the following data.

- Given an open set  $U \subseteq X$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}(U) \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- Given open sets  $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ , we have a restriction map  $\text{res}_{U,V}: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

This data satisfies the following coherence conditions.

- Identity: given an open set  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\text{res}_{U,U} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$ .
- Functoriality: given open sets  $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ , the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{U,V}} & \mathcal{F}(V) \\ & \searrow \text{res}_{U,W} & \downarrow \text{res}_{V,W} \\ & & \mathcal{F}(W) \end{array}$$



**Notation 1.61.** We might call an element  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  a *section over  $U$* .

**Notation 1.62.** Given  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , we might write  $f|_V := \text{res}_{U,V} f$ .

**Remark 1.63.** In principle, one can have any target category  $\mathcal{C}$  for our presheaf. However, we will only work  $\text{Set}$ ,  $\text{Ab}$ ,  $\text{Ring}$ ,  $\text{Mod}_R$  in this class.

Now that we've defined an algebraic object, we should discuss its morphisms.

**Definition 1.64 (Presheaf morphism).** Fix a topological space  $X$ . A *presheaf morphism* between  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a natural transformation  $\eta: \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . In other words, for each open set  $U \subseteq X$ , we have a morphism  $\eta_U: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ ; these morphisms make the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ \text{res}_{U,V} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res}_{U,V} \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\eta_V} & \mathcal{G}(V) \end{array}$$

We've talked about presheaves a lot; where are sheaves?

**Definition 1.65 (Sheaf).** Fix a topological space  $X$ . A presheaf  $\mathcal{F}: (\text{Ob } X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a *sheaf* if and only if it satisfies the following for any open set  $U \subseteq X$  with an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$ .

- Identity: if  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  have  $f_1|_V = f_2|_V$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $f_1 = f_2$ .
- Glueability: if we have  $f_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that

$$f_{V_1}|_{V_1 \cap V_2} = f_{V_2}|_{V_1 \cap V_2}$$

for all  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ , then there is  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that  $f|_V = f_V$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Sheaves of functions will be our key example here. Intuitively, any type of function which can be determined "locally" will form a sheaf; for example, here are continuous functions.

**Exercise 1.66.** Fix topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ . For each  $U \subseteq X$ , let  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  denote the set of continuous functions  $f: U \rightarrow Y$ , and equip these sets with the natural restriction maps. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf.

*Proof.* To begin, here are the functoriality checks.

- Identity: for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , we have  $f|_U = f$ .
- Functoriality: if  $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ , any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  will have  $(f|_{V|W})(w) = f(w) = (f|_W)(w)$  for any  $w \in W$ , so  $f|_{V|W} = f|_W$  follows.

Here are sheaf checks. Fix an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of an open set  $U \subseteq X$ .

- Identity: suppose  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  have  $f_1|_V = f_2|_V$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ . Now, for all  $x \in U$ , we see  $x \in U_x$  for some  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$ , so

$$f_1(x) = (f_1|_{U_x})(x) = (f_2|_{U_x})(x) = f_2(x),$$

so  $f_1 = f_2$  follows.

- **Gluability:** suppose we have  $f_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f_{V_1}|_{V_1 \cap V_2} = f_{V_2}|_{V_1 \cap V_2}$  for each  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ . Now, for each  $x \in U$ , find  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $x \in U_x$  and set

$$f(x) := f_{U_x}(x).$$

Note this is well-defined: if  $x \in U_x$  and  $x \in U_{x'}$ , then  $f_{U_x}(x) = f_{U_x}|_{U_x \cap U_{x'}}(x) = f_{U_{x'}}|_{U_x \cap U_{x'}}(x) = f_{U_{x'}}(x)$ . Additionally, we see that, for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $x \in V$ , we have

$$f|_V(x) = f(x) = f_V(x)$$

by construction, so we are done.

Lastly, we need to check that  $f$  is continuous. Well, for any open set  $V_0 \subseteq Y$ , we can compute

$$f^{-1}(V_0) = \{x \in U : f(x) \in V_0\} = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \{x \in V : f(x) \in V_0\} = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \{x \in V : f_V(x) \in V_0\} = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U}} f_V^{-1}(V_0),$$

which is open as the arbitrary union of open sets because  $f_V : V \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous function. ■

In contrast, sheaves have trouble keeping track of “global” information.

**Example 1.67.** For each  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  denote the set of bounded continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and equip these sets with the natural restriction maps. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is not a sheaf: for each open set  $(n-1, n+1)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $f_{(n-1, n+1)} := \text{id}_{(n-1, n+1)}$  is bounded and continuous, but the glued function  $f = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is not bounded on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ . (We glued using [Exercise 1.66](#), which does force the definition of  $f$ .)

**Remark 1.68.** For most of our examples, the identity axiom is easily satisfied: intuitively, the identity axiom says that two sections are equal if and only if they agree locally. However, gluability is usually the tricky one: it requires us to build a function from local behavior.

**Remark 1.69.** Note that the section  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  promised by the gluability axiom is unique by the identity axiom.

Ok, so we’ve defined the sheaf as an algebraic object, so here are its morphisms.

**Definition 1.70 (Sheaf morphism).** A *sheaf morphism* is a morphism of the (underlying) presheaves.

As an aside, we note that we can succinctly write the sheaf conditions in an exact sequence.

**Lemma 1.71.** Fix a topological space  $X$  and presheaf  $\mathcal{F} : (\text{Ob } X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian category or Grp. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf if and only if the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \prod_{V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(V_1 \cap V_2) \\ f \mapsto (f|_V)_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \\ (f_V)_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \mapsto (f_{V_1}|_{V_1 \cap V_2} - f_{V_2}|_{V_1 \cap V_2})_{V_1, V_2} \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

is exact.

*Proof.* In one direction, suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf, and we will show that (1.1) is exact for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of an open set  $U$ .

- **Exact at  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ :** suppose  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  have the same image in  $\prod_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(V)$ . This means that

$$f_1|_V = f_2|_V$$

for all  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ , so the identity axiom tells us that  $f_1 = f_2$ .

- Exact at  $\prod_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(V)$ : of course any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  goes to  $(f|_V)_{V \in \mathcal{U}}$ , which goes to

$$f|_{V_1}|_{V_1 \cap V_2} - f|_{V_2}|_{V_1 \cap V_2} = f|_{V_1 \cap V_2} - f|_{V_1 \cap V_2} = 0 \in \prod_{V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(V_1 \cap V_2)$$

and therefore lives in the kernel. Conversely, suppose  $(f_V)_{V \in \mathcal{U}}$  vanishes in  $\prod_{V_1, V_2} \mathcal{F}(V_1 \cap V_2)$ . Rearranging, this means that

$$f_{V_1}|_{V_1 \cap V_2} = f_{V_2}|_{V_1 \cap V_2},$$

so the gluability axiom tells us that we can find  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that  $f|_V = f_V$ . This finishes.

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  makes (1.1) always exact, and we will show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf. Fix an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of an open set  $U$ .

- Identity: suppose that  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  have  $f_1|_V = f_2|_V$  for any  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ . This means that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  have the same image in  $\prod_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(V)$ , so the exactness of (1.1) at  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  enforces  $f_1 = f_2$ .
- Gluability: suppose that we have  $f_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  in such a way that  $f_{V_1}|_{V_1 \cap V_2} = f_{V_2}|_{V_1 \cap V_2}$  for all  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then the image of  $(f_V)_{V \in \mathcal{U}}$  in  $\prod_{V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(V_1 \cap V_2)$  is

$$(f_{V_1}|_{V_1 \cap V_2} - f_{V_2}|_{V_1 \cap V_2})_{V_1, V_2} = (0)_{V_1, V_2},$$

so exactness of (1.1) forces there to be  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that  $f|_V = f_V$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ . This finishes. ■

**Remark 1.72.** One might want to continue this left-exact sequence. To see this, we will have to talk about cohomology, which is a task for later in life.

### 1.3.2 Sheaf on a Base

In light of our sheaf language, we are trying to define a “structure” sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A}$  on  $\text{Spec } A$ , and we wanted to have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A}(D(f)) = A_f.$$

We aren’t going to be able to specify a presheaf with this data, but we can specify a sheaf. In some sense, the presheaf is unable to build up locally in the way that a sheaf can, so having the data on a base like  $\{D(f)\}_{f \in A}$  need not be sufficient to define the full presheaf.

But as alluded to, we can do this for sheaves.

**Definition 1.73 (Sheaf on a base).** Fix a topological space  $X$  and a base  $\mathcal{B}$  for its topology. Then a *sheaf on a base valued in  $\mathcal{C}$*  is a contravariant functor  $F: (\mathcal{B})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  satisfying a natural gluability and identity axiom. More concretely, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with a basic cover  $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ , we have the following.

- Identity: if we have  $f_1, f_2 \in F(B)$  such that  $f_1|_{B_i} = f_2|_{B_i}$  for all  $B_i$ , then  $f_1 = f_2$ .
- Gluability: if we have  $f_i \in F(B_i)$  for each  $i$  such that  $f_i|_B = f_j|_B$  for each  $B \subseteq B_i \cap B_j$ , then there is  $f \in F(B)$  such that  $f|_{B_i} = f_i$  for each  $i$ .

Here is our result.

**Proposition 1.74.** Fix a topological space  $X$  with a base  $\mathcal{B}$  for its topology. Given a sheaf on a base  $F: \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , there is a unique sheaf  $\mathcal{F}: (\text{Op } X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  extending  $F$ .

*Proof.* We will provide the construction of  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  for an open set  $U \subseteq X$  as

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \varinjlim_{B \subseteq U} F(B).$$

More concretely,  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is made of a large tuple  $(f_B)_{B \in \mathcal{B}}$  such that  $B \subseteq B'$  implies  $f_{B'}|_B = f_B$ . We will not check that this satisfies the constraints. ■

**Remark 1.75.** One can also define  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  as compatible systems of stalks, but we have not defined stalks yet.

**Remark 1.76.** One can show that we can define a morphism of sheaves by only specifying its data on the base. This will appear on the homework.

### 1.3.3 Affine Schemes (Finally!)

We are now ready to define the structure sheaf. We use [Proposition 1.74](#). Note that  $D(f) \subseteq D(g)$  implies  $V(g) \subseteq V(f)$ , so  $I(V(g)) \supseteq I(V(f))$ , so  $f \in \text{rad } g$ , so  $f^n = ag$  for some positive integer  $n$ . This implies that  $g$  is a unit in  $A_g$ , so there is a natural restriction map

$$\text{res}_{D(g), D(f)} : A_g \rightarrow A_f.$$

That we have a presheaf on a base is then routine to check.

It remains to check our coherence properties. Fix an open cover

$$D(f) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} D(f_i),$$

which implies using the previous argument that  $f_j^{n_j} = c_j f$  for some positive integer  $n_j$  and element  $c_j \in A$ , and in fact  $f^n$  for some large  $n$  is in the ideal generated by the  $f_j$ ; one can check that this is equivalent. Now here are our checks.

- Identity: we may assume that  $\mathcal{I}$  is finite because the equivalent condition at the end allows us to remove all but finitely many of the  $f_i$ .

Now, for identity, it suffices to pick up  $s \in D(f)$  with  $s|_{D(f_i)} = 0$  (in  $A_{f_i}$ ) for all  $f_i$ , and we want to show  $s = 0$ . Namely, we know  $f_i^{n_i} s = 0$  (in  $A_f$ ) for some  $n_i$  everywhere. However, as stated above, we may write

$$f^n = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} a_i f_i,$$

and then taking this equation to a very large power and multiplying through by  $s$  forces  $f^n s = 0$ .

- Glueability: we will check this next time.

The above data then assembles into our structure sheaf.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra: With a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1995. URL: [https://books.google.com/books?id=Fm%5C\\_yPgZBucMC](https://books.google.com/books?id=Fm%5C_yPgZBucMC).
- [Liu06] Qing Liu. *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*. Oxford graduate texts in mathematics. Oxford University Press, 2006. URL: <https://books.google.com/books?id=pEGLDAEACAAJ>.
- [Vak17] Ravi Vakil. *The Rising Sea: Foundations of Algebraic Geometry*. 2017. URL: <http://math.stanford.edu/~vakil/216blog/FOAGnov1817public.pdf>.

# LIST OF DEFINITIONS

---

Affine space, [5](#), [9](#)

Distinguished open sets, [15](#)

Elliptic curve, [4](#), [4](#), [5](#)

$k$ -points, [14](#)

Presheaf, [16](#)

Presheaf morphism, [17](#)

Projective space, [6](#)

Projective variety, [6](#)

Sheaf, [17](#)

Sheaf morphism, [18](#)

Sheaf on a base, [19](#)

Spectrum, [7](#)

Zariski topology, [10](#)