

250B: Commutative Algebra  
Or, Eisenbud With Details

Nir Elber

Spring 2022

---

# CONTENTS

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Fun with Filtrations</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	February 24 . . . . .	3

---

# THEME 1: FUN WITH FILTRATIONS

---

## 1.1 February 24

So it's the day after death.

### 1.1.1 Midterm Review

Let's start talking about the second problem on the midterm. Note that  $X^2 = 0$  for a matrix  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  if and only if the characteristic polynomial is  $X^2$  if and only if

$$\det X = \operatorname{tr} X = 0,$$

so the set of matrices with  $X^2 = 0$  is generated by these two conditions. To see that these make a principal ideal, we check that

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[a, b, c, d]}{(a + d, ad - bc)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[a, b, c]}{(-d^2 - bc)}$$

is an integral domain, which is not very hard.

### 1.1.2 Filtration of Rings

Today we are talking about the Artin–Rees lemma, which requires us talking about filtrations.

**Definition 1.1** (Filtration, rings). Fix  $R$  a ring. Then a *filtration* of  $R$  is a sequence of ideals

$$R = I_0 = I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots$$

such that  $I_i I_j \subseteq I_{i+j}$ .

**Example 1.2.** Fix  $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \cdots$  a graded ring. Then we can set

$$I_p := \bigoplus_{i \geq p} R_i$$

so that

$$R = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq \cdots$$

is a filtration.

**Definition 1.3** ( $I$ -adic filtration). Fix  $R$  a ring and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal. Then

$$R \supseteq I \supseteq I^2 \supseteq I^3 \supseteq \cdots$$

is a filtration. This is called the  *$I$ -adic filtration*.

**Example 1.4.** More concretely, consider  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  graded by degree. Then we set  $I_m$  to be the union of  $\{0\}$  the set of all polynomials with degree at least  $m$ . This manifests Example 1.2, but it is also the  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ -adic filtration.

Generally speaking, if we have a filtration

$$R = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots,$$

we might be interested in the “bottom” of this filtration

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} I_i.$$

Surely this is an ideal, but it might not be 0. Regardless, today we will be interested in the case where this is 0.

### 1.1.3 Associated Graded Rings

Filtrations give rise to the following definition.

**Definition 1.5** (Associated graded ring). Fix a filtration  $\mathcal{I}$  notated

$$R = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots.$$

Then we define  $R_i := I_i/I_{i+1}$  and define

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{I}} R := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I_k/I_{k+1}$$

to be the *associated graded ring*. If  $\mathcal{I}$  is the  $I$ -adic filtration, we denote this by  $\mathrm{gr}_I(R)$ . If the filtration is obvious, we will omit the subscript entirely.

A priori, the associated graded ring is only some very large module, but we can give it a ring structure as follows: if we have terms  $[a] \in I_p/I_{p+1}$  and  $[b] \in I_q/I_{q+1}$ , then we can lift them to  $a \in I_p$  and  $b \in I_q$  so that  $ab \in I_p I_q \subseteq I_{p+q}$ , which is unique up to representative of  $I_{p+q+1}$ .

In particular, if  $a \equiv a' \pmod{I_{p+1}}$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{I_{q+1}}$ , then

$$aa' \equiv bb' \pmod{I_{p+q+1}},$$

which is something we can check by hand by looking at  $aa' + a(b' - b) + b'(a - a') - bb'$ .

**Example 1.6.** We work in  $R := k[[x]]$ , which is local with maximal ideal  $I := (x)$ . Then  $I^n = (x^n)$  gives rise an  $I$ -adic filtration. We can compute

$$I^n/I^{n+1} \cong \{ax^n : a \in k\} \cong kx^n$$

because we are taking (0 or) a very long polynomial with minimal degree  $x^n$  and then killing all higher degree terms. So our filtration reads as

$$\mathrm{gr}_I R = R/I \oplus I/I^2 \oplus I^2/I^3 \oplus \dots = k \oplus kx \oplus kx^2 \oplus \dots = k[x].$$

We can check that the multiplication rule actually matches.

**Example 1.7.** Fix  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $I = (p)$  a prime ideal. Then  $I^n/I^{n+1} = p^n\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z} = p^n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ , so we can represent anyone in  $\text{gr}_I R$  by

$$a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \cdots$$

where  $a_0, a_1, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Checking our ring structure, we can identify this with the “finite-length”  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . What we get at the end is  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , which requires some care with the ring structure: think of  $a_1p \cdot b_1p$  as living in the  $a_1b_1p^2$  coordinate, so this is essentially a polynomial ring.

We have the following warning.



**Warning 1.8.** There is no natural ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow \text{gr}_I R$ .

However, there is a natural map of sets. Explicitly, for our filtration

$$R \supseteq I \supseteq I^2 \supseteq \cdots,$$

we want to find an element of the associated graded ring. In analogy to picking up the “initial” nonzero homogeneous part of a polynomial, we pick up  $f \in R$  and define

$$\text{in } f = f + I^{n+1},$$

where  $n$  is the largest possible such that  $f \in I^n$ . Of course, there is something of a problem when  $f$  lives in all of  $I^n$ , in which case we set  $\text{in } f := 0$ .

Let’s think about how this plays with our ring structure. Taking  $f, g \in R$ , if  $R$  is a domain, then we get that  $\text{in}(fg) = \text{in}(f)\text{in}(g)$ . However, if  $f$  and  $g$  are zero-divisors, then we might be in trouble when  $fg = 0$ .

And now for some examples.

**Example 1.9.** Fix  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  a Zariski closed set with  $X = Z(J)$  such that  $J \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] =: R$  is an ideal. Taking  $p \in X$  to correspond to a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq A(X)$ , we claim that

$$\text{gr}_I R$$

is the ring corresponding to the “tangent cone to  $p$  at  $X$ .”

As an example, consider the curve  $y^2 = x^2(x+1)$ , which splits at 0. At a point which is not  $(0,0)$ , we will have a line and therefore will expect to get a polynomial ring.

However, let’s focus on what happens at  $(0,0)$ . Analytically, we find that

$$\frac{y^2}{x^2} = x + 1.$$

Very close to  $(0,0)$ , we get that

$$\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2 = 1$$

so that the slope is  $\pm 1$ .

Let’s try to think more algebraically. We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.10.** Work in the context of the above example. Then

$$\text{gr}_I(R/J) = (\text{gr}_I R)/\text{in } J.$$

*Proof.* This is on the homework. ■

The point of this lemma is that  $\text{gr}_I R$  we know to be a polynomial ring. With  $I = (x, y)$  as in the example we are working out, we find that  $\text{in}(x)^2 = \text{in}(y)^2$  because our ideal  $J$  is  $y^2 - x^2(x+1)$ . Namely, our associated ring looks like functions generated by the lines  $\text{in } x = \text{in } y$  and  $\text{in } x = -\text{in } y$ , which is what we expected.

In contrast, the cusp  $y^2 = x^3 - x$  will give a double point, generated only at  $\text{in}(x)^2$ . Here, we will be generated by  $(\text{in } y)^2$ , which is what our cusp looks like intuitively.

### 1.1.4 Filtration of Modules

Consider the following construction.

**Definition 1.11** (Hilbert function, rings). Fix  $R$  a local Noetherian ring where  $I$  is the maximal ideal. Then we define

$$\dim_{R/I}(\mathrm{gr}_I R)_n = \dim_{R/I}(I^n/I^{n+1}) = H_R(n).$$

Note that this definition is well-formed because  $R/I$  is a field.

We would like to generalize this to modules. We have the following series of definitions.

**Definition 1.12** (Filtration, modules). Given an  $R$ -module  $M$ , a *filtration* is a descending chain

$$M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots.$$

This is an  $I$ -filtration if and only if  $IM_n \subseteq M_{n+1}$ .

There is no multiplicative condition on the filtration because  $M$  has no multiplication.

**Definition 1.13** (Associated graded module). Fix an  $R$ -module  $M$ , with a filtration  $\mathcal{J}$ , denoted by

$$M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots.$$

Then we define

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{J}} M := M/M_1 \oplus M_1/M_2 \oplus \cdots.$$

We remark that  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{J}} M$  is a graded  $\mathrm{gr}_I R$ -module, which is not too hard to check by hand.

**Definition 1.14** (Stable). An  $I$ -filtration of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , denoted by

$$M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots$$

is  $I$ -stable if and only if  $IM_j = M_{j+1}$  for sufficiently large  $j$ .

It's a math class, so let's try to prove something today.

**Proposition 1.15.** Fix  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal. Further, take  $M$  to be a finitely generated  $R$ -module,  $\mathcal{J}$  to be a stable  $I$ -filtrations by finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Then  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{J}} M$  is a finitely generated  $\mathrm{gr}_I R$ -module.

*Proof.* We definition-chase. Let our filtration be

$$M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots.$$

For sufficiently large  $n$ , we have that  $I^k M_n = M_{n+k}$ . Thus, it suffices to take generators for  $M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n$  to generate the entire associated graded module. ■

This lets us construct our Hilbert function for modules.

**Definition 1.16** (Hilbert function, modules). Fix  $R$  a local Noetherian ring where  $I$  is the maximal ideal with  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then we define

$$H_M(n) = \dim_{R/I}(I^n M / I^{n+1} M).$$

Note that this definition is well-formed because  $M$  is finitely generated.

### 1.1.5 The Artin–Rees Lemma

We are finally ready to provide our main result.

**Theorem 1.17** (Artin–Rees lemma). Fix  $R$  a Noetherian ring and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal with  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module granted a stable  $I$ -filtration  $\mathcal{J}$  denoted by

$$M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots.$$

Then given a submodule  $N \subseteq M$ , the induced filtration by  $N_k := M_k \cap N$  is also a stable  $I$ -filtration.

*Proof.* To prove this, we need to introduce the blow-up ring.

**Definition 1.18** (Blow-up ring). Fix  $R$  a ring and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal. Then we define the *blow-up ring*  $B_I R$  by

$$B_I R := R \oplus I \oplus I^2 \oplus \cdots.$$

Concretely, think about  $B_I R$  as getting its ring structure from  $k[t]$  by something like  $k[It]$ . This also gives us our grading. In particular, is that  $B_I R / I B_I R \cong \text{gr}_I R$  after tracking everything through.

**Example 1.19.** Fix  $R := k[x, y]$  and consider  $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{A}^2(k)$  with associated maximal ideal  $I := (x, y) \subseteq R$ . In this case, our blow-up ring looks like  $k[x, y][tx, ty]$ . To look at points, we need to look at the “graded” spectrum of  $B_I R$ . Here are some ways to do this.

- Look at  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2(k) \times \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  to be points  $(p, \ell)$  such that  $p \in \ell$ . We can project  $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2(k)$  in the natural way. As long as  $p \neq 0$ , there is exactly one pre-image. But if  $p = 0$ , then our pre-image contains all the lines in  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ ! So we have created some “blowing up” at the origin.
- Alternatively, focus on  $k[x, y][tx, ty]$ . Set  $u = tx$  and  $v = ty$  so that we are essentially looking at the ring

$$\frac{k[x, y, u, v]}{(xv - yu)},$$

which correspond to the  $2 \times 2$  singular matrices. Taking the quotient by the “line action” of matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

Most of the time, this quotient process will give us 0, but rarely we will have an entire line after doing the quotient.

We remark that there is also a notion of the blow-up module.

**Definition 1.20** (Blow-up module). Fix  $R$  a ring and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal. Further, fix  $\mathcal{J}$  an  $I$ -filtration. Then we define the *blow-up module*  $B_I M$  by

$$B_I M := M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots,$$

which we can check to be a graded  $B_I R$ -module.

In line with this, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.21.** Fix  $R$  a Noetherian ring and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal with  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module granted an  $I$ -filtration  $\mathcal{J}$  denoted by

$$M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots.$$

Then  $B_{\mathcal{J}} M$  is finitely generated as a  $B_I R$ -module if and only if  $\mathcal{J}$  is  $I$ -stable.

*Proof.* We omit this proof. It is largely definition-chasing. ■

We are now ready to attack the proof of the Artin–Rees lemma. Let  $\mathcal{J}'$  be the induced filtration for  $N$ . From the definition, we see that  $B_{\mathcal{J}'} N \subseteq B_{\mathcal{J}} M$  is a  $B_I R$ -submodule. Now,  $B_{\mathcal{J}'} N$  is a submodule of the finitely generated module  $B_{\mathcal{J}} M$  under the Noetherian ring  $B_I R$ , so we are done. ■

Here is a nice application.

**Theorem 1.22** (Krull intersection). Fix  $R$  a Noetherian ring with an ideal  $I$  and finitely generated module  $M$ . Then

$$N := \bigcap_{s \geq 0} I^s M$$

satisfies that there is some  $x \in I$  such that  $(1 - x)N = 0$ .

*Proof.* By construction, we see that  $IN = N$ , which in particular holds because the standard  $I$ -filtration of  $M$  is stable. Then we showed as a lemma to Nakayama’s lemma back in ?? that there is an element  $r \in I$  with  $(1 - r)N = 0$ . ■

**Corollary 1.23.** Fix  $R$  a Noetherian ring with a proper ideal  $I$ . Further, if  $R$  is local or a domain, then

$$\bigcap_{s \geq 0} I^s = 0.$$

*Proof.* Set

$$J := \bigcap_{s \geq 0} I^s.$$

By the proof of the theorem, we get  $IJ = J$ , which finishes by Nakayama’s lemma. When  $R$  is a domain, then the theorem gives us some  $r \in I$  such that  $(1 - r)J = 0$ , but  $R$  being a domain will force  $J = 0$  from this. ■

**Remark 1.24.** The condition that  $R$  is Noetherian is necessary.

We close with an exercise.

**Exercise 1.25.** Fix  $R$  a local Noetherian ring. If  $\text{gr}_I R$  is a domain, then  $R$  is a domain.

*Proof.* The main idea is that  $\text{in } f = 0$  implies  $f = 0$ , essentially by the corollary above. ■