

# 250B: Commutative Algebra

## For the Morbidly Curious

Nir Elber

Spring 2022

# CONTENTS

---

Contents	2
1 Introduction to Dimension	3
1.1 April 12 . . . . .	3
List of Definitions	9

# THEME 1

## INTRODUCTION TO DIMENSION

---

*In this sense the algebraic geometers have never left paradise: There is no snake (that is, Peano curve) in the garden.*

—David Eisenbud

### 1.1 April 12

We continue.

#### 1.1.1 The Hilbert Function

Today we are discussing the Hilbert–Samuel function and its relation to dimension. Here is the main result for today.

**Definition 1.1** (Hilbert function). Fix a local Noetherian ring  $R$  with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\kappa := R/\mathfrak{m}$  be the residue class field. Then the function

$$H_R(n) := \dim_{\kappa} \mathfrak{m}^n / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$$

is called the *Hilbert function* of  $R$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Fix a local Noetherian ring  $R$  with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\kappa := R/\mathfrak{m}$  be the residue class field. Then the Hilbert function of  $R$  agrees with a polynomial  $P_R(n)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , and  $\dim R = 1 + \deg P_R$ .

As such, we have the following definition.

**Definition 1.3** (Hilbert polynomial). Fix a local Noetherian ring  $R$ . Then the polynomial  $P_R$  which agrees with the Hilbert function  $H_R$  is called the *Hilbert polynomial*.

**Remark 1.4.** If  $R$  is not local, then we could get different dimensions out of  $H_R(M)$ , but we want to talk about  $\dim R$  “globally.” As such, we need the ring to be local.

**Example 1.5.** Fix  $R := k[x_1, \dots, x_r]_{(x_1, \dots, x_r)}$  which has maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} := (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ . Then

$$H_R(n) = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}^n / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$$

Another way to view this as is  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R$  is isomorphic to  $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ . As such, we are counting the number of monomials of degree  $n$  in  $r$  variables, which is

$$P_R(n) := \binom{n+r-1}{r-1}$$

by a counting argument: from  $n+r-1$  slots, choose  $r-1$  dividers, which uniquely determines a tuple of nonnegative integers which sum to  $n$ . As such, we see that  $\deg P_R(n) = r-1 = \dim R - 1$ , which is what we wanted.

**Example 1.6.** If  $\dim R = 0$ , then  $R$  is Artinian, so the filtration

$$\mathfrak{m} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^2 \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^3 \supseteq \dots$$

must stabilize, so  $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} = \mathfrak{m}^n$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . As such, we see that  $H_R(n) = 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , so  $P_R \equiv 0$ . As such, we set by convention  $\deg P_R = \deg 0 = -1$  to agree with  $\dim R = 0$ .

### 1.1.2 The Hilbert–Samuel Function

To prove [Theorem 1.2](#), we work in higher generality. First, we will replace  $R$  with a finitely generated module; second, we will replace  $\mathfrak{m}$  by a more arbitrary ideal. To start, recall the following definitions.

**Definition 1.7** (Krull dimension, modules). Fix a finitely generated module  $M$  over a Noetherian ring  $R$ . Then we define the *dimension*

$$\dim M := \dim R / \text{Ann } M.$$

**Definition 1.8** (Finite colength). Fix a finitely generated module  $M$  over a Noetherian ring  $R$ . Then an ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq R$  is of *finite colength* if and only if  $\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}M) < \infty$ .

For example, if  $M$  is a faithful module (i.e., with trivial annihilator), then there exists  $d$  such that

$$\mathfrak{m}^d \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m},$$

where  $\mathfrak{q}$  can be generated by  $\dim M$  total elements, by the Principal ideal theorem. More generally, if we first mod out  $R$  by  $\text{Ann } M$ , we can say that

$$\mathfrak{m}^d \subseteq \mathfrak{q} + \text{Ann } M \subseteq \mathfrak{m}.$$

As such, we take the following definition.

**Definition 1.9** (Hilbert–Samuel function). Fix a local Noetherian ring  $R$  and a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $\mathfrak{q}$  some prime of finite colength. Then we define the *Hilbert–Samuel function* by

$$H_{\mathfrak{q}, M}(n) := \ell(\mathfrak{q}^n M / \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} M).$$

We start by checking that this is well-defined.

**Lemma 1.10.** The value  $\ell(\mathfrak{q}^n M / \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} M)$  is finite.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we know immediately that  $M$  is faithful (by first modding out by  $\text{Ann } M$ ). We start by noting that  $M/\mathfrak{q}M$  has finite length by hypothesis on  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Now,  $R/\mathfrak{q}$  embeds into  $\text{End}_R(M/\mathfrak{q}M)$ , the latter of which is finite length because  $M/\mathfrak{q}M$  is of finite length, so we conclude that  $R/\mathfrak{q}$  is of finite length and in particular Artinian. It follows that  $\mathfrak{q}^n M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M$ , which is finitely generated over  $R/\mathfrak{q}$ , must also be Artinian and in particular of finite length because everything involved is Noetherian. ■

The point is that, provided that our module is faithful, we see that we get to replace  $\mathfrak{m}$  with any ideal containing some power of  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Remark 1.11.** We can replace  $R$  with  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{q}} R$  and  $M$  with  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{q}} M$ .

### 1.1.3 Finite Differences

We now have a short digression into finite differences.

**Definition 1.12** (Discrete derivative). Given a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we define the *discrete derivative*

$$\delta(f) := f(n+1) - f(n).$$

We have the following result.

**Lemma 1.13.** Suppose that we have some  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\delta(f)$  is a polynomial of degree  $d$ , for sufficiently large  $n$ . Then  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $d+1$ , for sufficiently large  $n$ .

*Proof.* By shifting, we may assume that  $\delta(f)$  is a polynomial of degree  $d$ . Now, note that the functions

$$\binom{n}{k}$$

form a basis of the set of polynomials  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . In fact,

$$\delta \left( \binom{n}{k} \right) = \binom{n+1}{k} - \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{k-1},$$

so  $\delta$  is very well-behaved here. As such, writing

$$\delta(f)(n) = \sum_{k=0}^d a_k \binom{n}{k},$$

we can use our evaluation of  $\delta$  on the binomials to read back the coefficients of  $f$ . ■

### 1.1.4 The Hilbert–Samuel Polynomial

And so ends our intermission. Here is a proposition.

**Proposition 1.14.** Fix a finitely generated module  $M$  over a local Noetherian ring  $R$ . Given an ideal  $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$  of finite colength on  $M$ , we have the following.

- (a) The function  $H_{\mathfrak{q},M}(n)$  agrees with a polynomial  $P_{\mathfrak{q},M}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .
- (b)  $\deg P_{\mathfrak{q},M} \leq r$ .

*Proof.* We induct on  $r$ . The point is that we can apply an inductive hypothesis to  $M/x_1M$  so that  $\mathfrak{q}' := (x_2, \dots, x_r)$  has finite colength on  $M/x_1M$ . As such, we have the following exact sequence.

$$0 \rightarrow \ker x_1 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} M(1) \rightarrow (\operatorname{coker} x_1)(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Notably, we are using  $M(1)$  (which is the twist of  $M$  by  $M(1)_n := M_{n+1}$ ) by reducing to the graded case where  $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathfrak{q}} M \mapsto M$  and  $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathfrak{q}} R \mapsto R$ . Taking the length everywhere in the  $n$ th component, we find that

$$H_{\mathfrak{q}, \ker x_1}(n) - H_{\mathfrak{q}, M}(n) + H_{\mathfrak{q}, M(1)}(n) - H_{\mathfrak{q}, \operatorname{coker} x_1(1)}(n) = 0.$$

Applying the shifting, we see that

$$\delta(H_{\mathfrak{q}, M})(n) = H_{\mathfrak{q}, M}(n+1) - H_{\mathfrak{q}, M}(n) = H_{\mathfrak{q}, \operatorname{coker} x_1}(n+1) - H_{\mathfrak{q}, \ker x_1}(n).$$

Now, both  $\operatorname{coker} x_1 = M/x_1M$  and  $H_{\mathfrak{q}, \ker x_1}$  will have degree at most  $r-1$  by the inductive hypothesis, so we are done by [Lemma 1.13](#). ■

To prove [Theorem 1.2](#), we will need to be a little more careful in the above argument. We start by keeping track of the degree in short exact sequences.

**Lemma 1.15.** Fix a local Noetherian ring  $R$ . Given a short exact sequence of finitely generated modules

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

Then

$$P_{\mathfrak{q}, B}(n) = P_{\mathfrak{q}, A}(n) + P_{\mathfrak{q}, C}(n) - F,$$

where  $F$  is some polynomial of degree strictly less than  $\deg P_{\mathfrak{q}, A}(n)$ . In fact, the coefficients of  $F$  are all positive.

**Remark 1.16.** The main idea here is to generalize the fact that we get an exact equality when we are looking at just lengths.

*Proof.* We construct an auxiliary function

$$L_{\mathfrak{q}, M}(n) := \ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^n M) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} H_{\mathfrak{q}, M}(i)$$

to more easily keep track of the length in our filtration. In particular,  $\delta(L_{\mathfrak{q}, M}) = H_{\mathfrak{q}, M}$ , so  $\deg L_{\mathfrak{q}, M} = 1 + \deg H_{\mathfrak{q}, M}$ , assuming things are nonzero. Now, we would like to quotient our short exact sequence by  $\mathfrak{q}^n B$ , but we cannot do that because that doesn't preserve exactness. So we instead write

$$0 \rightarrow (A \cap \mathfrak{q}^n B)/\mathfrak{q}^n A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{q}^n A \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{q}^n B \rightarrow C/\mathfrak{q}^n C \rightarrow 0.$$

As such, we see that

$$L_{\mathfrak{q}, B}(n) = L_{\mathfrak{q}, A}(n) + L_{\mathfrak{q}, C}(n) - \ell\left(\frac{A \cap \mathfrak{q}^n M}{\mathfrak{q}^n A}\right).$$

We would like to understand the object  $\frac{A \cap \mathfrak{q}^n M}{\mathfrak{q}^n A}$ , for which we use the Artin–Rees lemma. Recall the statement.

**Theorem 1.17.** Fix  $R$  a Noetherian ring and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal with  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module granted a stable  $I$ -filtration  $\mathcal{J}$  denoted by

$$M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \dots$$

Then given a submodule  $M' \subseteq M$ , the induced filtration by  $M'_k := M_k \cap M'$  is also a stable  $I$ -filtration.

In particular, we see that the  $\mathfrak{q}$ -filtration on  $B$  induces a  $\mathfrak{q}$ -stable filtration on  $A$ . In other words, there is an  $m$  so that  $n \geq m$  will have

$$A \cap \mathfrak{q}^n B = \mathfrak{q}^{n-m} (A \cap \mathfrak{q}^m B) = \mathfrak{q}^{n-m} A,$$

so the length

$$\ell \left( \frac{A \cap \mathfrak{q}^n M}{\mathfrak{q}^n A} \right) \leq L_{\mathfrak{q},A}(n) - L_{\mathfrak{q},A}(n-m),$$

which agrees with a polynomial of smaller degree, so we are done because  $F$  is a polynomial for free as it is the difference of polynomials. ■

And here is our theorem.

**Theorem 1.18.** Fix a local Noetherian ring  $R$  with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Further, take a finitely generated module  $M$  and an ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of finite colength on  $M$ . Then

$$\dim M = 1 + \deg P_{\mathfrak{q},M}.$$

*Proof.* The proof, like the original Star Wars, comes in three parts.

1. We show that  $\deg P_{\mathfrak{q},M}$  does not depend on  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Being finite colength means that we can write

$$\mathfrak{m}^d \subseteq \mathfrak{q} + \text{Ann } M \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$$

for each  $d$ . This implies that

$$H\mathfrak{m}, M(n) \leq H_{\mathfrak{q},M}(n) \leq H\mathfrak{m}, M(dn),$$

but the Hilbert polynomials on the left and right have the same degree.

2. We show  $1 + \deg P_{\mathfrak{q},M} \leq \dim M$ . By modding out by  $\text{Ann } M$  everywhere, we may assume that  $M$  is faithful, meaning  $\dim M = \dim R$ . For brevity, set  $\dim M := r$  so that we can choose  $\mathfrak{q}$  so that

$$\mathfrak{m}^d \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$$

to have  $r$  generators, by the Principal ideal theorem. So we are done by [Proposition 1.14](#).

3. We show  $1 + \deg P_{\mathfrak{q},M} \geq \dim M$ . Again, modding out by  $\text{Ann } M$  everywhere lets us assume that  $M$  is faithful, giving  $\dim M = \dim R$ .

Now, choose  $\mathfrak{p}$  to be a prime associated to  $M$  so that  $\dim M = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ . In practice, this means that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal over  $(0)$  to minimize  $\dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ . Now, if  $M$  has dimension zero, then we are done by [Example 1.6](#). Otherwise,  $\mathfrak{q} \supsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ , so we may find  $x \in \mathfrak{q} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ .

Further, note that  $x$  can be chosen to not be a zero-divisor, yielding

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, [Lemma 1.15](#) tells us that

$$P_{\mathfrak{q},M} = P_{\mathfrak{q},M} + P_{\mathfrak{q},M/xM} - F.$$

We now appeal to the following lemma to give  $\deg P_{\mathfrak{q},M/xM} < \deg P_{\mathfrak{q},M} \leq \dim M$  exactly.

**Lemma 1.19.** If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module with  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ , then

$$\dim M/xM \geq \dim M - 1.$$

Thus, we get  $\deg P_{\mathfrak{q},M/xM} = \dim M - 1$ , so we are done by an induction on  $M$ , from this last statement.

The above steps finish the proof. ■

**Corollary 1.20.** Fix a local Noetherian ring  $R$  and a finitely generated module  $M$ . Then  $\dim M = \dim \widehat{M}$ . In particular,  $\dim R = \dim \widehat{R}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $P_R = P_{\widehat{R}}$  because  $H_R = H_{\widehat{R}}$  because

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R = \mathrm{gr}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}} \widehat{R},$$

so we are done. ■

### 1.1.5 An Example

We close class with an example.

**Exercise 1.21** (Eisenbud 12.2). Consider the ideal  $I \subseteq k[x, y, z, w]$  generated by the  $2 \times 2$  minors of

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & w \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular,  $I := (xz - y^2, yw - z^2, xw - yz)$ . We work out the Hilbert polynomial  $P_{R, \mathfrak{q}}$  for  $R = k[x, y, z, w]_{\mathfrak{m}}/I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z, w)$  and  $\mathfrak{q} = (x, w)$ .

*Proof.* We start by checking that  $\mathfrak{q}$  is in fact of finite colength on  $R$ . Indeed, we are computing  $\ell(R/\mathfrak{q})$ , in which case (after taking the completion), we find

$$\ell(R/\mathfrak{q}) = \ell\left(\widehat{k[y, z]} / (y^2, z^2, yz)\right)$$

by sending  $z$  and  $w$  to 0. Because we can make three monomials, we see that this length is  $3 < \infty$ .

So we do indeed have a legitimate Hilbert function  $H_{\mathfrak{q}, R}$ . The trick is to inject

$$R \rightarrow \widehat{k[s, t]}$$

by  $x \mapsto s^3$  and  $y \mapsto s^2t$  and  $z \mapsto st^2$  and  $w \mapsto t^3$ . We can check that this is an embedding. It follows that the image is all polynomials of degree divisible by 3, which for sufficiently large  $n$  agrees with a polynomial of degree 2 because we can compute directly as  $3m + 1$  different monomials of prescribed degree. So our dimension comes out to be 2. ■



# LIST OF DEFINITIONS

---

Discrete derivative, [5](#)

Finite colength, [4](#)

Hilbert–Samuel function, [4](#)

Hilbert function, [3](#)

Hilbert polynomial, [3](#)

Krull dimension, modules, [4](#)