Torus Worksheet

Nir Elber

May 31, 2022

Contents

C	ontents
1	The Bicyclic Case
	1.1 The Complex
	1.2 A Cocycle
	1.3 Triples

1 The Bicyclic Case

Set $G \simeq G_1 \times G_2$ where $G_1 = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle \subseteq G$ and $G_2 = \langle \sigma_2 \rangle \subseteq G$ with $n_1 \coloneqq \#G_1$ and $n_2 \coloneqq \#G_2$. We define the elements

$$N_i \coloneqq \sum_{k=0}^{n_i-1} \sigma_i^k$$
 and $T_i \coloneqq (\sigma_i - 1)$

for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Additionally, we define

$$N := N_1 N_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^k \sigma_2^\ell.$$

We will also use the elements N_i, T_i, N to mean the induced multiplication maps $\mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}[G]$.

Remark 1. We will freely use the facts that $\ker T_i = \operatorname{im} N_i$ and $\operatorname{im} T_i = \ker N_i$. These are not too hard to prove and follow similarly as in the cyclic case.

We take a moment to define the maps

$$\mathcal{F}_1 : \frac{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\operatorname{im} T_1} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\operatorname{im} N} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\operatorname{im} T_2} \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \times \mathbb{Z}[G]$$

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (N_2 z + T_1 y, N_1 x - T_2 y)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathbb{Z}[G] \times \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \frac{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\operatorname{im} T_1} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\operatorname{im} N} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\operatorname{im} T_2}$$

$$(a, b) \mapsto (T_2 a, N_1 a - N_2 b, T_1 b).$$

Observe that \mathcal{F}_1 is in fact well-defined: if $x-x'=T_1a\in\operatorname{im} T_1$ and $y-y'=Nb\in\operatorname{im} N$ and $z-z'=T_2c\in\operatorname{im} T_2$, then

$$N_2z = N_2z' + N_2T_2c = N_2z'$$

$$N_1x = N_1x' + N_1T_1a = N_1x'$$

$$T_1y = T_1y' + T_1Nb = T_1y'$$

$$T_2y = T_2y' + T_2Nb = T_2y',$$

so everything is independent of choice of representative.

1.1 The Complex

Now, we can chain \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 into an infinite sequence as follows.

$$\cdots \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_1}{\to} \mathbb{Z}[G] \times \mathbb{Z}[G] \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_2}{\to} \frac{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\operatorname{im} T_1} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\operatorname{im} N} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\operatorname{im} T_2} \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_1}{\to} \mathbb{Z}[G] \times \mathbb{Z}[G] \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_2}{\to} \cdots$$

$$(1.1)$$

We make a few preliminary observations about (1.1).

Lemma 2. The sequence of maps in (1.1) makes a complex.

Proof. We need to show that $\mathcal{F}_1 \circ \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_2 \circ \mathcal{F}_1 = 0$. This is a matter of force. On one hand,

$$(\mathcal{F}_1 \circ \mathcal{F}_2)(a,b) = \mathcal{F}_1(T_2a, N_1a - N_2b, T_1b)$$

$$= (N_2(T_1b) + T_1(N_1a - N_2b), N_1(T_2a) - T_2(N_1a - N_2b))$$

$$= (N_2T_1b + T_1N_1a - T_1N_2b, N_1T_2a - T_2N_1a - T_2N_2b)$$

$$= (N_2T_1b - T_1N_2b, N_1T_2a - T_2N_2b)$$

$$= (0,0).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{F}_2 \circ \mathcal{F}_1)(x,y,z) &= \mathcal{F}_2(N_2z + T_1y, N_1x - T_2y) \\ &= \left(T_2(N_2z + T_1y), N_1(N_2z + T_1y) - N_2(N_1x - T_2y), T_1(N_1x - T_2y) \right) \\ &= \left(T_2N_2z + T_2T_1y, N_1N_2z + N_1T_1y - N_2N_1x + N_2T_2y, T_1N_1x - T_1T_2y \right) \\ &= \left(T_2T_1y, N_1N_2z - N_2N_1x, -T_1T_2y \right), \end{split}$$

which we can see represents 0 in $\mathbb{Z}[G]/\operatorname{im} T_1 \times \mathbb{Z}[G]/\operatorname{im} N \times \mathbb{Z}[G]/\operatorname{im} T_2$.

Lemma 3. The complex (1.1) is exact at each $\mathbb{Z}[G]/\operatorname{im} T_1 \times \mathbb{Z}[G]/\operatorname{im} N \times \mathbb{Z}[G]/\operatorname{im} T_2$.

Proof. We need to show that $\ker \mathcal{F}_1 = \operatorname{im} \mathcal{F}_2$. By Lemma 2, we already know that $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \ker \mathcal{F}_2$, so it remains to get the reverse inclusion. Well, suppose $(x, y, z) \in \ker \mathcal{F}_1$, which means that

$$N_2 z + T_1 y = N_1 x - T_2 y = 0.$$

Now, note that because $x \in \mathbb{Z}[G]/\operatorname{im} T_1$, where $\sigma_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{T_1}$, we have

$$N_1 x = \sum_{k=0}^{n_1 - 1} \sigma_1^k x \equiv n_1 x.$$

However,

$$n_1 \cdot N_2 x = N_2(n_1 x) = N_2 N_1 x = N_2(N_1 x - T_2 y) = 0,$$

so $N_2x=0$. Thus, $x\in\ker N_2=\operatorname{im} T_2$, so we may write $x=T_2a$ for some $a\in\mathbb{Z}[G]$. A similar argument (replacing 1s with 2s and adjusting some signs) shows that we may write $z=T_1b$ for some $b\in\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

To show $(x, y, z) \in \operatorname{im} \mathcal{F}_2$, it remains to show that $y \equiv N_1 a - N_2 b \pmod{N}$. Well, notice that

$$T_1(N_1a - N_2b - y) = -(N_2T_1b + T_1y)$$

$$= -(N_2z + T_1y)$$

$$= 0$$

$$T_2(N_1a - N_2b - y) = N_1T_2a - T_2y$$

$$= N_1x - T_2y$$

$$= 0.$$

so $N_1a-N_2b-y\in(\ker T_1)\cap(\ker T_2)$. To finish, we would like $(\ker T_1)\cap(\ker T_2)\subseteq\operatorname{im} N$. Well, if $\alpha\in(\ker T_1)\cap(\ker T_2)$, then we can write

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{n_1 - 1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n_2 - 1} a_{k,\ell} \sigma_1^k \sigma_2^{\ell}.$$

Now, the condition $T_1\alpha=0$ implies that $a_{k,\ell}-a_{k-1,\ell}=0$ for each k, where indices are taken modulo n_i as necessary; thus, $a_{k,\ell}$ is constant with respect to k. Similarly, $T_2\alpha=0$ implies that $a_{k,\ell}$ is constant with respect to ℓ , so

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n_2-1} a_{0,0} \sigma_1^k \sigma_2^\ell = N a_{0,0} \in \operatorname{im} N,$$

as desired.

1.2 A Cocycle

The benefit to our analysis above is that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2 \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_1}{\to} \mathbb{Z}[G] \times \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_1 \to 1. \tag{1.2}$$

In particular, the (induced) map \mathcal{F}_1 : $\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \times \mathbb{Z}[G]$ is injective by Lemma 3.

In the future, we will want a 2-cocycle in $Z^2(G, \operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2)$, which we will create by tracking a boundary morphism through (1.2). Thus, we want to start with a 1-cocycle in $Z^2(G, \operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_1)$. For this, we define the notation

$$\sigma_i^{(a_i)} \coloneqq \sum_{k=0}^{a_i-1} \sigma_i^k$$

for $i \in \{1,2\}$ and any nonnegative integer $a_i \ge 0$. For example, $\sigma_i^{(n_i)} = N_i$. We also note that

$$\sigma_i^{(a_i+b_i)} = \sigma_i^{(a_i)} + \sigma_i^{a_i} \sigma_i^{(b_i)},$$

which justifies our "almost exponential" notation.

Lemma 4. Define $u \in C^1(G, \mathbb{Z}[G] \times \mathbb{Z}[G])$ by $u\left(\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{a_2}\right) \coloneqq \left(\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{(a_2)}, \sigma_1^{(a_1)}\right)$. Then the induced 1-cochain $\overline{u} \in C^1(G, \operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_1)$ is a 1-cocycle.

Proof. We need to show that $\overline{du}=d\overline{u}=0$; i.e., we need to show that $\operatorname{im}(du)\subseteq\operatorname{im}\mathcal{F}_1$. Well, pick up a_i,b_i with $0\leq a_i,b_i< n_i$, and set

$$a_i + b_i = n_i q_i + r_i$$

by the division algorithm so that $q_i \in \{0,1\}$ and $0 \le r_i < n_i$. This lets us compute

$$(du)\left(\sigma_{1}^{a_{1}}\sigma_{2}^{a_{2}},\sigma_{1}^{b_{1}}\sigma_{2}^{b_{2}}\right) = \sigma_{1}^{a_{1}}\sigma_{2}^{a_{2}}u\left(\sigma_{1}^{b_{1}}\sigma_{2}^{b_{2}}\right) - u\left(\sigma_{1}^{r_{1}}\sigma_{2}^{r_{2}}\right) + u\left(\sigma_{1}^{a_{1}}\sigma_{2}^{a_{2}}\right)$$

$$= \sigma_{1}^{a_{1}}\sigma_{2}^{a_{2}}\left(\sigma_{1}^{b_{1}}\sigma_{2}^{(b_{2})},\sigma_{1}^{(b_{1})}\right) - \left(\sigma_{1}^{r_{1}}\sigma_{2}^{(r_{2})},\sigma_{1}^{(r_{1})}\right) + \left(\sigma_{1}^{a_{1}}\sigma_{2}^{(a_{2})},\sigma_{1}^{(a_{1})}\right)$$

Now, note

$$\begin{split} \left(\sigma_1^{r_1}\sigma_2^{(r_2)},\sigma_1^{(r_1)}\right) &= \left(\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{(r_2)},\sigma_1^{(r_1)}\right) \\ &= \left(\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{(a_2+b_2)} - \sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{r_2}\sigma_2^{(n_2q_2)},\sigma_1^{(a_1+b_1)} - \sigma_1^{r_1}\sigma_1^{(n_1q_1)}\right) \\ &= \left(\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{(a_2+b_2)},\sigma_1^{(a_1+b_1)}\right) - \left(\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{a_2+b_2} \cdot q_2N_2,\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1} \cdot q_1N_1\right). \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{a_2} \left(\sigma_1^{b_1}\sigma_2^{(b_2)},\sigma_1^{(b_1)}\right) &= \left(\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{a_2}\sigma_2^{(b_2)},\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{a_2}\sigma_1^{(b_1)}\right) \\ &= \left(\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{a_2}\sigma_2^{(b_2)},\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_1^{(b_1)}\right) + \left(0,\sigma_1^{a_1} \left(\sigma_2^{a_2}-1\right)\sigma_1^{(b_1)}\right) \\ &= \left(\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{a_2}\sigma_2^{(b_2)},\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_1^{(b_1)}\right) + \left(0,\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{(a_2)}\sigma_1^{(b_1)}T_2\right), \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left(\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{(a_2)},\sigma_1^{(a_1)}\right) &= \left(\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{(a_1)},\sigma_1^{(a_1)}\right) + \left(\sigma_1^{a_1}\left(1-\sigma_1^{b_1}\right)\sigma_2^{(a_2)},0\right) \\ &= \left(\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{(a_1)},\sigma_1^{(a_1)}\right) + \left(-\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_1^{(b_1)}\sigma_2^{(a_2)}T_1,0\right). \end{split}$$

Synthesizing, we see that $\sigma_2^{(a_2+b_2)}=\sigma_2^{(a_2)}+\sigma_1^{a_2}\sigma_2^{(b_2)}$ causes the "leading" terms to vanish, leaving us with

$$\begin{split} (du) \left(\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{a_2}, \sigma_1^{b_1}\sigma_2^{b_2}\right) &= \left(0, \sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{(a_2)}\sigma_1^{(b_1)}T_2\right) + \left(q_2\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{a_2+b_2}N_2, q_1\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}N_1\right) + \left(-\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_1^{(b_1)}\sigma_2^{(a_2)}T_1, 0\right) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_1\left(q_1\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}, \quad -\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_1^{(b_1)}\sigma_2^{(a_2)}, \quad q_2\sigma_1^{a_1+b_1}\sigma_2^{a_2+b_2}\right), \end{split}$$

which finishes.

In particular, from our above computation of du, we can pull back along \mathcal{F}_1 to find $\delta u \in Z^2(G, \operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2)$ is given by

$$(\delta u) \left(\sigma_1^{a_1} \sigma_2^{a_2}, \sigma_1^{b_1} \sigma_2^{b_2} \right) = \left(q_1 \sigma_1^{a_1 + b_1}, \quad -\sigma_1^{a_1} \sigma_1^{(b_1)} \sigma_2^{(a_2)}, \quad q_2 \sigma_1^{a_1 + b_1} \sigma_2^{a_2 + b_2} \right).$$

Simplifying a bit, we note that $\sigma_i \equiv 1 \pmod{T_i}$, so we can write this as

$$(\delta u) \left(\sigma_1^{a_1} \sigma_2^{a_2}, \sigma_1^{b_1} \sigma_2^{b_2} \right) = \left(q_1, -\sigma_1^{a_1} \sigma_1^{(b_1)} \sigma_2^{(a_2)}, q_2 \sigma_1^{a_1 + b_1} \right). \tag{1.3}$$

We will use δu again shortly.

1.3 Triples

We have now built enough machinery to be able to talk about triples; for the rest of the article, we will have $G = \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ for some bicyclic extension L/K. Let S be the set of triples. The following is the main idea.

Lemma 5. There is a natural isomorphism between morphisms $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2, L^{\times})$ and the set S of triples $(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2)$ given by

$$\varphi \colon f \mapsto (f(1,0,0), f(0,1,0), f(0,0,1)).$$

Proof. For brevity, set $e_1 \coloneqq (1,0,0)$ and $e_2 \coloneqq (0,1,0)$ and $e_3 \coloneqq (0,0,1)$ to be elements of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Now, let $\varphi \colon \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2, L^{\times}) \to S$ be defined by

$$\varphi \colon f \mapsto (f(e_1), f(e_2), f(e_3)).$$

The main check here is that φ is well-defined. We have the following checks.

• We check that our elements live in the correct fields. Note that $f(e_1), f(e_2), f(e_3) \in L^{\times}$. Further,

$$\sigma_1(f(e_1)) = f(\sigma_1, 0, 0) = f(1, 0, 0) = f(e_1)$$

where the main step is that $\sigma_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{T_1}$ enforces $(\sigma_1,0,0) = (1,0,0)$. It follows that $f(e_1) \in L^{\langle \sigma_1 \rangle}$. An analogous argument shows that $f(e_3) \in L^{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle}$.

· We check the relations. The main point is that

$$(0, N_1, 0) \equiv (-T_2, 0, 0) \pmod{\text{im } \mathcal{F}_2},$$

 $(0, N_2, 0) \equiv (0, 0, T_1) \pmod{\text{im } \mathcal{F}_2}.$

As such, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_{L/L^{\langle \sigma_1 \rangle}} f(0,1,0) &= f(0,N_1,0) \\ &= f(-T_2,0,0) \\ &= f(1,0,0)/\sigma_2 f(1,0,0). \end{aligned}$$

The other relation is similar: observe

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_{L/L^{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle}} f(0,1,0) &= f(0,N_2,0) \\ &= f(0,0,T_1) \\ &= \sigma_1 f(0,0,1) / f(0,0,1), \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted.

We also remark that φ is homomorphic because the operations on both $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2, L^{\times})$ and S are both defined pointwise.

Now, in the other direction, we define $\psi \colon S \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2, L^{\times})$ by

$$\psi \colon (\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2) \mapsto ((z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto z_1 \alpha \cdot z_2 \beta \cdot z_3 \alpha_2).$$

Again, the main check is that ψ is well-defined. Well, given a triple $(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2) \in S$, we show that $\psi(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2)$ is well-defined as a G-module homomorphism. To begin, we note that we can start with a function $f : \mathbb{Z}[G] \times \mathbb{Z}[G] \times \mathbb{Z}[G] \to L^{\times}$ by

$$f: (z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto z_1\alpha_1 \cdot z_2\beta \cdot z_3\alpha_2.$$

This can be quickly checked to be a G-module homomorphism: note that any $\alpha \in L^{\times}$ makes $z \mapsto z\alpha$ is a G-module homomorphism $L^{\times} \to L^{\times}$, and the above is more or less a linear combination of such G-module homomorphisms.

We now investigate $\ker f$. Note that

$$f(T_1, 0, 0) = T_1 \alpha = (\sigma_1 \alpha_1) / \alpha_1 = 1$$

because $\alpha_1 \in L^{\langle \sigma_1 \rangle}$. An identical argument shows that $f(0,0,T_2) = 1$. Further,

$$f(0, N, 0) = N\beta = N_{L/K}(\beta) = 1.$$

In total, we see that $\operatorname{im} T_1 \times \operatorname{im} N \times \operatorname{im} T_2 \subseteq \ker f$, so we get to induce a function $\overline{f} \colon \mathbb{Z}[G]/\operatorname{im} T_1 \times \mathbb{Z}[G]/\operatorname{im} N \times \mathbb{Z}[G]$ by

$$\overline{f}:(z_1,z_2,z_3)\mapsto z_1\alpha_1\cdot z_2\beta\cdot z_3\alpha_2.$$

Further, we note that $(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \times \mathbb{Z}[G]$ will have

$$\begin{split} \overline{f}(\mathcal{F}_2(a,b)) &= f(T_2a, N_1a - N_2b, T_1b) \\ &= (T_2a)\alpha_1 \cdot (N_1a - N_2b)\beta \cdot (T_1b)\alpha_2 \\ &= a\left(\frac{\sigma_2\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \cdot \mathcal{N}_{L/L^{\langle \sigma_1 \rangle}}(\beta)\right) \cdot b\left(\frac{\sigma_1\alpha_2}{\alpha_2} \cdot \mathcal{N}_{L/L^{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle}}(\beta^{-1})\right), \end{split}$$

which we see goes to 1 by the relations between β and the α s. Thus, we do indeed a G-module homomorphism $\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2 \to S$ defined as $\psi(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2)$ requires.

We now finish by stating that φ and ψ are mutually inverse, essentially by construction. Checking that $(\varphi \circ \psi)(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2) = (\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2)$ is a matter of plugging everything through; on the other hand, to show $(\psi \circ \varphi)(f) = f$, we note that

$$f(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_1 f(1, 0, 0) \cdot z_2 f(0, 1, 0) \cdot z_3 f(0, 0, 1) = \psi(\varphi(f))$$

because f is a G-module homomorphism.

In fact, we can even correctly account for the equivalence classes of triples; let S_0 denote the subgroup of triples coming from the trivial gerb.

Proposition 6. The isomorphism φ of Lemma 5 descends to a natural isomorphism

$$\overline{\varphi} \colon \widehat{H}^0(G, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2, L^{\times})) \simeq S/S_0.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\widehat{H}^0(G, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2, L^{\times})) = \frac{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2, L^{\times})^G}{N_G(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2, L^{\times}))},$$

so to pass through φ appropriately, we have to show that $\varphi(\operatorname{im} N_G) = S_0$.

Well, pick up some $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2, L^{\times})$, and we will pass it through N_G . In particular, to compute $\varphi(N_G(f))$, we are only interested in computing $(N_Gf)(1,0,0)$ and $(N_Gf)(0,1,0)$ and $(N_Gf)(0,0,1)$. As usual, we proceed by force. On one hand,

$$(N_G f)(1,0,0) = \prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j f\left(\sigma_1^{n_1-i} \sigma_2^{n_2-j}, 0, 0\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j f\left(T_2 \sigma_2^{(n_2-j)} + 1, 0, 0\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j f\left(1, -N_1 \sigma_2^{(n_2-j)}, 0\right)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j f(1, 0, 0)\right] \left[\prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j f\left(0, -N_1 \sigma_2^{(n_2-j)}, 0\right)\right]$$

$$= N f(1, 0, 0) \cdot N_1 \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-j-1} \sigma_2^j f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)^{-1}$$

$$= N f(1, 0, 0) \cdot N_1 \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \prod_{m_2-q-1}^{n_2-q-1} \sigma_2^j f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)^{-1}.$$

As such, we set

$$m_1 := N_2 f(1, 0, 0) \cdot \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-q-1} \sigma_2^j f(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0)^{-1}$$

so that $(N_G f)(1,0,0) = N_1 m_1$. In particular,

$$\begin{split} \frac{m_1}{\sigma_2 m_1} &= \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-q-1} \frac{\sigma_2^{j+1} f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)}{\sigma_2^j f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)} \\ &= \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \frac{\sigma_2^{n_2-q} f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)}{f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$(N_G f)(0,0,1) = \prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j f\left(0,0,\sigma_1^{n_1-i}\sigma_2^{n_2-j}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j f\left(0,0,T_1\sigma_1^{(n_1-i)}+1\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j f\left(0,N_2\sigma_1^{(n_1-i)},1\right)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j f\left(0,0,1\right)\right] \left[\prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j f\left(0,N_2\sigma_1^{(n_1-i)},0\right)\right]$$

$$= N f(0,0,1) \cdot N_2 \prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^i f\left(0,\sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q,0\right)$$

$$= N f(0,0,1) \cdot N_2 \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \sigma_1^i f\left(0,\sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q,0\right).$$

As such, we set

$$m_2 := N_1 f(0, 0, 1) \cdot \prod_{p=0}^{n_1 - 1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2 - 1} \prod_{i=0}^{n_1 - p - 1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^{n_2 - q} f(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0)$$

so that $(N_G f)(0,0,1) = N_2 m_2$. In particular,

$$\frac{\sigma_1 m_2}{m_2} = \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n_1-p-1} \frac{\sigma_1^{i+1} \sigma_2^{n_2-q} f(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0)}{\sigma_1^i \sigma_2^{n_2-q} f(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0)}$$

$$= \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \frac{\sigma_1^{n_1-p} \sigma_2^{n_2-q} f(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0)}{\sigma_2^{n_2-q} f(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0)}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \frac{m_1}{\sigma_2 m_1} \cdot \frac{\sigma_1 m_2}{m_2} &= \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \left(\frac{\sigma_2^{n_2-q} f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)}{f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)} \cdot \frac{\sigma_1^{n_1-p} \sigma_2^{n_2-q} f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)}{\sigma_2^{n_2-q} f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)} \right) \\ &= \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^{n_1-p} \sigma_2^{n_2-q} f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)}{f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)} \right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \sigma_1^{n_1-p} \sigma_2^{n_2-q} f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right) \right) \middle/ f(0, N, 0) \\ &= \left(N_G f\right) (0, 1, 0), \end{split}$$

where in the last equality we have used that (0, N, 0) = (0, 0, 0). In total, we have computed

$$\left((N_Gf)(1,0,0),(N_Gf)(0,1,0),(N_Gf)(0,0,1)\right) = \left(N_1m_1,\frac{m_1}{\sigma_2m_1}\cdot\frac{\sigma_1m_2}{m_2},N_2m_2\right) \in S_0,$$

so we see that $\varphi(\operatorname{im} N_G) \subseteq S_0$.

To get the equality $\varphi(\operatorname{im} N_G) = S_0$, we pick up arbitrary $m_1, m_2 \in L^{\times}$ and exhibit $f \colon \operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2 \to L^{\times}$ such that f produces m_1 and m_2 as described in the algorithm above.



Warning 7. The following construction is not extremely rigorous. The correct thing to do would be to write down a full formula for f and then verify that it is in fact a morphism $f \colon \operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2 \to L^{\times}$. I am not doing this out of laziness.

We quickly discuss what freedom we are allowed to give to f.

- The fact that $(\sigma_1,0,0)=(1,0,0)$ corresponds to a relation $f\left(\sigma_1^i,0,0\right)=f(1,0,0)$. Similarly, we require $f\left(0,0,\sigma_2^j\right)=f(0,0,1)$.
- Because $(T_2,N_1,0)=(0,0,0)$, we require $(\sigma_2^i,0,0)=(1,-N_1\sigma_2^{(i)},0)$ (here, $i\geq 1$), as above. However, this is the last relation on the left coordinate, so we may set f(1,0,0) however we please and solve for the remaining $f\left(\sigma_2^i,0,0\right)$ based off of what we'll set the elements f(0,g,0) equal to.
- Similarly, we may set f(0,0,1) however we please and solve for the remaining $f(0,0,\sigma_1^i)$.
- As for the middle terms, we are unaffected by relations dealing with $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{F}_2$ because we already accounted for them above. So we merely need to vanish on N, which translates into

$$\prod_{g \in G} f(0, g, 0) = 1.$$

So in total, we may set f(1,0,0) and f(0,0,1) however we please and then set f(0,g,0) for $g \in G$ however we please so long as

$$\prod_{g \in G} f(0, g, 0) = 1.$$

As such, we do the following.

- Set $f(0, \sigma_2^{n_2-1}, 0) = m_1^{-1}$.
- Set $f(0, \sigma_1^{n_1-1}, 0) = m_2$.
- To correct, set $f(0,1,0)=1/\left(f\left(0,\sigma_2^{n_2-1},0\right)f\left(0,\sigma_1^{n_1-1},0\right)\right)$ and set f(0,g,0)=1 for all other $g\in G$. This gives

$$\prod_{g \in G} f(0, g, 0) = 1.$$

- Set $f(1,0,0) = f(0,\sigma_1^{n_1-1},0)f(0,1,0)$.
- $\bullet \ \, \mathsf{Set} \, f(0,0,1) = 1/\big(\sigma_2 f\left(0,\sigma_2^{n_2-1},0\right) \cdot \sigma_2^{n_2} f\left(0,1,0\right)\big).$

The above data describe some $f \colon \operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2 \to L^{\times}$, as discussed above. It remains to show that this f produces m_1 and m_2 as needed. Well, we compute

$$\begin{split} m_1 &\stackrel{?}{=} N_2 f(1,0,0) \cdot \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-q-1} \sigma_2^j f\left(0, \sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q, 0\right)^{-1} \\ &= N_2 f(1,0,0) \cdot \frac{1}{f\left(0, \sigma_2^{n_2-1}, 0\right) \cdot N_2 f\left(0, \sigma_1^{n_1-1}, 0\right) \cdot N_2 f(0,1,0)} \\ &= \frac{1}{f\left(0, \sigma_2^{n_2-1}, 0\right)} \\ &= m_1, \end{split}$$

as needed.

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} m_2 &\stackrel{?}{=} N_1 f(0,0,1) \cdot \prod_{p=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{q=0}^{n_2-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n_1-p-1} \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^{n_2-q} f\left(0,\sigma_1^p \sigma_2^q,0\right) \\ &= N_1 f(0,0,1) \cdot \sigma_2^{n_2} f\left(0,\sigma_1^{n_1-1},0\right) \cdot N_1 \sigma_2 f\left(0,\sigma_2^{n_2-1},0\right) \cdot N_1 \sigma_2^{n_2} f\left(0,1,0\right) \\ &= \sigma_2^{n_2} f\left(0,\sigma_1^{n_1-1},0\right) \\ &= m_2, \end{split}$$

as needed. This finishes the construction.

To tie up loose ends, we promised to use δu from subsection 1.2, so here is how.

Proposition 8. Fix a triple $(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2) \in S$. Then the cocycle in $Z^2(G, L^{\times})$ corresponding to $(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2)$ is $(\delta u) \cup \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2),$

where we are implicitly passing through the evaluation map $\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{coker} \mathcal{F}_2, L^{\times}) \to L^{\times}$.

Proof. The cup product on inhomogeneous cochains is given by

$$((\delta u) \cup \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2)) \left(\sigma_1^{a_1} \sigma_2^{a_2}, \sigma_1^{b_1} \sigma_2^{b_2}\right) = (\delta u) \left(\sigma_1^{a_1} \sigma_2^{a_2}, \sigma_1^{b_1} \sigma_2^{b_2}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \sigma_1^{a_1 + b_1} \sigma_2^{a_2 + b_2} \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2)$$

$$= (\delta u) \left(\sigma_1^{a_1} \sigma_2^{a_2}, \sigma_1^{b_1} \sigma_2^{b_2}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2).$$

Passing through evaluation, we will get an element in L^{\times} which looks like

$$\varphi^{-1}(\alpha_1, \beta, \alpha_2) \left((\delta u) \left(\sigma_1^{a_1} \sigma_2^{a_2}, \sigma_1^{b_1} \sigma_2^{b_2} \right) \right) = q_1 \alpha_1 \cdot -\sigma_1^{a_1} \sigma_1^{(b_1)} \sigma_2^{(a_2)} \beta \cdot q_2 \sigma_1^{a_1 + b_1} \alpha_2,$$

which is exactly what we wanted (after a little rearrangement).