Working Title

November 14, 2024

Contents

1	Toy	model: 0d GLSM	1
	1.1	Setup	1
	1.2	Complexification of Real Analytic Manifolds	2
		1.2.1 Example: The N -sphere	:
	1.3	Application to the Model	1

1 Toy model: 0d GLSM

1.1 Setup

We start with a 0d GLSM toy model, i.e. we consider the source manifold $\Sigma = \{pt\}$ to be an abstract point and the target manifold to be

$$X = \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{CP}^{N-1}$$

The space of fields is then simply given by points on the target manifold X, namely

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} \tag{1}$$

As the action of the model we consider

$$S(z,w) = \beta |\langle \bar{z},w\rangle|^2 = \beta \sum_{i,k=0}^{N-1} \bar{z}_j z_k \bar{w}_j w_k \tag{2} \quad \{\texttt{eq:toy_S}\}$$

where $z,w\in\mathbb{C}^N$ subject to the condition

$$|z|^2 = \sum_j |z_j|^2 = 1 \quad , \quad |w|^2 = \sum_k |w_k|^2 = 1 \tag{3} \quad \{ \texttt{eq:toy_constr} \}$$

The action enjoys a $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge freedom (which here is simply a global $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry, acting as

$$e^{i\theta} \times e^{i\varphi} \colon (z, w) \mapsto (e^{i\theta}z, e^{i\varphi}w)$$
 (4)

Under the assumption of the condition (3), the action (2) does define a function on $\mathbb{CP}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{CP}^{N-1}$.

The path integral of the model is defined by

$$Z = \int \prod_j dz_j d\bar{z}_j dw_j d\bar{w}_j \delta(|z|^2 - 1) \delta(|w| - 1) e^{-S(z,w)}$$
 (5) {eq:toy_Z}

In order to evaluate (5), we want to embed the space of fields $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{CP}^{N-1}$ into a higher dimensional complex space such that

- 1. the new action \tilde{S} is holomorphic in the new variables (fields)
- 2. when we restrict to \mathcal{F} , \tilde{S} reduces to S

We think of this embedding as an analytic continuation of the space of fields in an appropriate sense.

1.2 Complexification of Real Analytic Manifolds

Let us first recall a basic construction of complexification of real analytic manifolds due to Bruhat and Whitney [1].

Let M be a real analytic manifold of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = m$. Moreover, let $\{U_i, \phi_i\}$ be a real analytic atlas of M, with $U_i \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and charts $\phi_i \colon U_i \to M$ so that the transition functions

$$\phi_{ij} = \phi_i^{-1} \circ \phi_i \colon U_{ij} \to U_{ij} \tag{6}$$

are real analytic diffeomorphisms. The idea of complexifying M is to find a complex manifold $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M^{\mathbb{C}} = m$ and a (real analytic) isomorphism $f \colon M \to \tilde{M} \subset M^{\mathbb{C}}$ of M onto a submanifold of $M^{\mathbb{C}}$. (Fancy way to say that M should be a real analytic submanifold of $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ up to isomorphism) Now, find opens $U_i^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $U_i^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{R}^m = U_i$ and extend the charts ϕ_i charts $\phi_i^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

(i) the transition functions $\phi_{ij}^{\mathbb{C}} \colon U_{ij}^{\mathbb{C}} \to U_{ij}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are biholomorphic

(ii)
$$\phi_{ji}^{\mathbb{C}} = \left(\phi_{ij}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{-1}$$
 and $\phi_{ii}^{\mathbb{C}} = id$

(iii) the transition functions $\phi_{ij}^{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfy the usual 2-cocycle condition (gluing condition) on $U_{ijk}^{\mathbb{C}}$: $\phi_{ij}^{\mathbb{C}} \circ \phi_{jk}^{\mathbb{C}} = \phi_{ik}^{\mathbb{C}}$

These conditions ensure that we can glue $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ from the local data $\{U_i^{\mathbb{C}}, \phi_i^{\mathbb{C}}\}$:

$$M^{\mathbb{C}} = \coprod_{i} U_{i}^{\mathbb{C}} / \sim \quad , \quad z_{i} \sim z_{j} \text{ iff } z_{j} = \phi_{ji}^{\mathbb{C}}(z_{i}) \text{ on } U_{ij}^{\mathbb{C}}$$
 (7)

For more details on this construction see Cieliebak and Eliashberga's book [2]

1.2.1 Example: The N-sphere

Consider the N-sphere $S^N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. First, consider the following atlas: let $p_{\pm} = (0, \dots, 0, \pm 1) \in S^N$ be the north and south pole respectively. We denote points on the sphere by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{N+1})$, $||x||^2 = 1$ and points in \mathbb{R}^N by $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$. The atlas we consider is given by steoreographic projection through p_{\pm} : Let $U_{\pm} = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $V_{\pm} = S^N - \{p_{\pm}\}$. Then define charts

$$\phi_{\pm} \colon U_{\pm} \to V_{\pm} \subset S^N \quad , \quad X \mapsto \left(\frac{2X}{1 + \|X\|^2}, \pm \frac{\|X\|^2 - 1}{\|X\|^2 + 1}\right)$$
 (8)

with inverse

$$\phi_{\pm}^{-1} \colon x \mapsto \left\{ \frac{x_i}{1 \mp x_{N+1}} \right\} \tag{9}$$

From this, one finds the transition functions

$$\phi_{+-} = (\phi_{-+})^{-1} = \phi_{-}^{-1} \circ \phi_{+} \colon X \mapsto \frac{X}{\|X\|^{2}}$$
(10)

Remark 1. There is a nice geometric interpretation of these transition functions. Note that the map

$$X \mapsto \frac{X}{\|X\|^2} \tag{11}$$

describes an involution at the unit sphere S^{N-1} . On the sphere, the maps differ merely by a sign switch in the x_{N+1} component and indeed, if one computes the transition functions directly on the embedded sphere (that is as maps from $\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$) one finds

$$\phi_{+} \circ \phi_{-} \colon (x_i, x_{N+1}) \mapsto (x_i, -x_{N+1})$$
 (12)

which corresponds to a reflection of x about the equator! (It helps visualising this for the case 2-sphere) But under stereographic projection, a reflection about the equator becomes an inversion on the unit sphere S^{N-1} in \mathbb{R}^N (again, it helps working this out in the case N=2).

Now, since $U_{\pm} = \mathbb{R}^N$ there exist obvious candidates for a complexification, namely $U_{\pm}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^N$. We thus promote every X to a complex variable Z = X + iY. Conversely, we can promote any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ satisfying $||x||^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 = 1$ to complex variables z satisfying

$$\sum_{j} z_{j}^{2} = 1 \tag{13} \quad \{\texttt{eq:toy_quadric}\}$$

The above equation defines a hypersurface (so-called quadric) inside \mathbb{C}^{N+1} .

There is a very interesting observation I found in this stackexchange post: the quadric Q defined by (13) is diffeomorphic to the tangent space TS^N . The diffeomorphism is realised by the following map:

$$\Psi \colon TS^N \to Q$$
 , $(x,y) \mapsto z = \Psi(x,y) = x\sqrt{1 + ||y||^2} + iy$ (14)

with inverse

$$\Psi^{-1}(x+iy) = \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+\|y\|^2}}, y\right)$$
 (15)

where $||y||^2 = \sum_i y_i^2$.

Remark 2. Verification that Ψ does indeed define a diffeomorphism goes by a straight forward calculation. (Recall in particular that the tangent space TS^N can be described by pairs $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ such that $\langle x,y \rangle = \sum_i x_i y_i = 0$)

There exists another very interesting diffeomorphism (which I have discovered in this stackexchange post

$$\Phi \colon S^N S^N / \Delta \to T S^N \quad , \quad (u,v) \mapsto \left(u, \frac{v - \langle u,v \rangle \, u}{1 - \langle u,v \rangle} \right) \tag{16} \quad \{ \texttt{eq:diff_SNSN_TSN} \}$$

Its inverse is given by

$$\Phi^{-1} : TS^N \to S^N \times S^N / \Delta \quad , \quad (x,y) \mapsto \left(x, \frac{x(\|y\|^2 - 1) + 2y}{\|y\|^2 + 1} \right)$$
 (17)

Remark 3. The map (16) is the sterepgraphic projection of $v \in S^N$ through the "pole" $u \in S^N$.

Finally we have the following commutative diagram

Remark 4. The original $S^N \subset Q$ was located at $S^N = Q \cap \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. If we follow this through, we see that this S^N corresponds to the zero section $\{(x,0) \in TS^N\}$ inside TS^N and consequently is defined by $\Phi(u,v) = (u,0)$ inside $S^N \times S^N/\Delta$. Note that this is precisely the anti-diagonal

$$\bar{\Delta} = \{(u, -u) \mid u \in S^N\} \subset S^N \times S^N \tag{19}$$

Indeed, the stereographic projection of -u ("south pole") through u ("north pole") gives zero:

$$-u \mapsto \frac{(-u) - \langle (-u), u \rangle u}{1 - \langle (-u), u \rangle} = \frac{-u + ||u||^2 u}{1 + ||u||^2} = 0$$
 (20)

since
$$||u||^2 = 1$$
. Hence
$$\Phi(u, -u) = (u, 0) \tag{21}$$

and the original S^N is located along the anti-diagonal $\bar{\Delta}$.

1.3 Application to the Model

References

- [1] F. Bruhat and H. Whitney, Quelques propriétés fondamentales des ensembles analytiques-réels, Comment. Math. Helv. 33, 132-160 (1959).
- [2] K. Cieliebak and Y. Eliashberg. From Stein to Weinstein and back: symplectic geometry of affine complex manifolds. Vol. 59. American Mathematical Soc., 2012.