

# MATH 313 HMWK 4

Daniel Xu

February 21, 2018

## Problem 2.3.4

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}\lim \left( \frac{1 + 2a_n}{1 + 3a_n - 4a_n^2} \right) &= \frac{\lim(1 + 2a_n)}{\lim(1 + 3a_n - 4a_n^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1} \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\lim \left( \frac{(a_n + 2)^2 - 4}{a_n} \right) &= \lim \left( \frac{a_n^2 + 4a_n + 4 - 4}{a_n} \right) \\ &= \lim \left( \frac{a_n^2 + 4a_n}{a_n} \right) \\ &= \lim(a_n + 4) \\ &= \lim(a_n) + 4 \\ &= 0 + 4 \\ &= 4\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}\lim \left( \frac{\frac{2}{a_n} + 3}{\frac{1}{a_n} + 5} \right) &= \lim \left( \frac{\frac{2}{a_n} + 3}{\frac{1}{a_n} + 5} \cdot \frac{a_n}{a_n} \right) \\ &= \lim \left( \frac{2 + 3a_n}{1 + 5a_n} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\lim(2 + 3a_n)}{\lim(1 + 5a_n)} \right) \\ &= 2\end{aligned}$$

## Problem 2.3.9

- (a) If  $(a_n)$  is bounded, then there exists a number  $M > 0$  such that  $|a_n| \leq M$ . If  $\lim b_n = 0$ , then for all  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ , there exists a  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever  $n \geq N_0$  it follows that  $|b_n - 0| < \epsilon_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n - 0| &< \epsilon_0 \\ |b_n| &< \epsilon_0 \\ |a_n| \cdot |b_n| &< M \cdot \epsilon_0 \\ |a_n \cdot b_n| &< M \cdot \epsilon_0 \\ |a_n \cdot b_n - 0| &< M \cdot \epsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

Whatever  $\epsilon$  is chosen, we can find a  $N$  for  $\epsilon$  for the sequence  $(a_n b_n)$  satisfying the definition of convergence in the following way. Divide the  $\epsilon$  by the upper bound  $M$  to get a  $\epsilon_0$  and find a  $N_0$  for that  $\epsilon$  for the case of  $b_n$ . Then, we can use the same  $N_0$  as  $N$  for  $a_n b_n$ . Thus,  $\lim(a_n b_n) = 0$  since a  $N$  can be found for any  $\epsilon$  that satisfies the condition of convergence. We are not allowed to use the Algebraic Limit Theorem because it is not known whether  $a_n$  is convergent.

**Problem 2.3.11** Seeing that  $x_n$  is convergent, we know by **Theorem 2.5.2** that  $x_n$  is also bounded. So there exists a  $M$  such that for all  $x_n$ ,  $x_n \leq M$ . Even though  $M$  may be equal to some  $x_n$ , we can just choose a  $M$  slightly greater than the previous one. We can then add up all of the elements in the sequence from  $x_1$  to  $x_n$ , and they will all be less than  $n \cdot M$ .

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n &< nM \\ x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n - nL &< nM - nL \\ \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n} - L &< M - L \\ |y_n - L| &< M - L \end{aligned}$$

Then, we just use  $M - L$  as  $\epsilon$ .

**Problem 2.4.3** We first show that the limit of the sequence exists using the monotone convergence theorem. We proceed by induction to show that the sequence is monotone; that is,  $\forall n, x_{n+1} > x_n$ . We consider the base case.

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} &\stackrel{?}{\geq} \sqrt{2} \\ 2 + \sqrt{2} &> 2 \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed to the inductive step. We must show that if  $x_{k+1} \geq x_k$  for some particular  $k$ , then it will also be true for  $x_{k+2} \geq x_{k+1}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &> x_k \\ 2 + x_{k+1} &> 2 + x_k \\ \sqrt{2 + x_{k+1}} &> \sqrt{2 + x_k} \\ x_{k+1} &> x_k \end{aligned}$$

Now that we have show that  $(x_n)$  is monotone, we must now show that it is bounded. We show that  $x_k < 16$ . We first consider the base case.  $x_1 = \sqrt{2}$  is indeed less than 16. We can now proceed to the inductive step, where we show that if  $x_k < 16$ , then  $x_{k+1} < 16$ . We first assume to the contrary that  $x_{k+1} \geq 16$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &\geq 16 \\ \sqrt{2+x_k} &\geq 16 \\ 2+x_k &\geq 256 \\ x_k &\geq 254 \end{aligned}$$

However, this contradicts our original assumption that  $x_k < 16$ . Thus, we deduce that  $x_n$  converges to some limit  $L$ . Now we define a sequence  $y_n = x_{n+1}$ .  $y_n$ , which is a subsequence of  $x_n$ , converges to the same limit that  $y_n$  does. So

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &\rightarrow y \\ 2+x_n &\rightarrow 2+y \\ \sqrt{2+x_n} &\rightarrow \sqrt{2+y} \\ y_n &\rightarrow \sqrt{2+y} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \sqrt{2+y} \\ y^2 &= 2+y \\ y^2 - y - 2 &= 0 \\ (y+1)(y-2) &= 0 \\ y &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

#### Problem 2.4.5

(a) We proceed by induction. For the base case,  $x_1^2 = 2^2 = 4 \geq 2$ . Now for the

inductive step. We must now show that if  $x_k^2 \geq 2$ , then  $x_{k+1}^2 \geq 2$ . So

$$\begin{aligned}
x_k^2 &\geq 2 \\
x_k^2 - 2 &\geq 0 \\
(x_k^2 - 2)^2 &\geq 0 \\
x_k^4 - 4x_k^2 + 4 &\geq 0 \\
x_k^2 - 4 + \frac{4}{x_k^2} &\geq 0 \\
x_k^2 + 4 + \frac{4}{x_k^2} &\geq 8 \\
\frac{1}{4} \left( x_k^2 + 4 + \frac{4}{x_k^2} \right) &\geq 2 \\
\frac{1}{4} \left( x_k + \frac{2}{x_k} \right)^2 &\geq 2 \\
x_{k+1}^2 &\geq 2
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we know that  $x_n^2 \geq 2$ . Now, we have to show that  $x_n - x_{n+1} \geq 0$ . So

$$\begin{aligned}
x_n^2 &\geq 2 \\
x_n^2 - 2 &\geq 0 \\
\frac{1}{2x_n} \cdot (x_n^2 - 2) &\geq \frac{1}{2x_n} \cdot 0 \\
\frac{1}{2}x_n - \frac{1}{x_n} &\geq 0 \\
\frac{1}{2}x_n + \frac{1}{2}x_n - \frac{1}{2}x_n - \frac{1}{x_n} &\geq 0 \\
x_n - \frac{1}{2} \left( x_n + \frac{2}{x_n} \right) &\geq 0 \\
x_n - x_{n+1} &\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have to show that  $\lim x_n = \sqrt{2}$ . We proceed in a fashion similar to the last problem. We let  $y_n = x_{n+1}$ , which will converge to the same limit  $y$  as  $x_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
y &= \frac{1}{2} \left( y + \frac{2}{y} \right) \\
2y &= y + \frac{2}{y} \\
2y^2 &= y^2 + 2 \\
y^2 &= 2 \\
y &= \sqrt{2}
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let  $x_1 = c$  and define

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n + \frac{x_n}{c}}{2}$$

**Problem 2.4.8**

- (a) A explicit formula would be  $1 - \frac{1}{2^n}$ . The sequence converges to 1.
- (b) A explicit formula would be  $\frac{n}{n+1}$ . The sequence converges to 1.
- (c) A explicit formula would be  $\log(n+1)$ .

**Problem 2.5.1**

- (a) Impossible. According to the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, every bounded sequence contains a convergent subsequence. Since the sequence has a bounded subsequence, the bounded subsequence contains a subsubsequence that converges which is itself a subsequence of the original sequence.

- (b) Define

$$x_n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^k \frac{k}{k+1}$$

- (c) In Theorem 1.5.6, we saw that the rational numbers were countable, meaning that there exists a bijection from the natural numbers to the rational numbers. Such a bijection would contain a subsequence that converges to all numbers in the sequence  $\{1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/5, \dots\}$
- (d) Impossible. Such a sequence would contain a subsequence that converges to zero, since the sequence  $x_n = 1/n$  itself converges to zero, which is a point outside of the set  $\{1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/5, \dots\}$ .

**Exercise 2.5.2**

- (a) True. Define a proper subsequence  $(y_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $y_n = x_{n+1}$ . It can be easily seen that if  $y_n$  converges, then so will  $x_n$
- (b) True. Consider the contrapositive of the statement, if  $(x_n)$  converges, then  $x_n$  contains a convergent subsequence. Since  $x_n$  is a subsequence of itself, then  $x_n$  will always have a convergent subsequence. Since the contrapositive of the statement is true, the statement is true.
- (c) True.
- (d) True.