Dual problem in SVM

Ali Gooya

Primal problem

• Recall that the in linear separable SVM, the constrained optimization is specified as:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b} \qquad f(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w} \tag{1}$$

subject to
$$t_i \left(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b \right) \geq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$
 (2)

Applying the KKT conditions, the primal problem is:

$$L(\mathbf{w}, b, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w} + \sum_{i}^{n} \alpha_{i} (1 - t_{i} (\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{i} + b))$$

$$\alpha_{i} \ge 0 \qquad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_{1} \cdots, \alpha_{n})^{\top}$$

$$1 - t_{i} (\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{i} + b) \le 0$$

$$\alpha_i(1 - t_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b)) = 0$$

Slackness conditions

From primal to dual problems

- The primal problem is a convex optimization problem that can be solved by solvers such as ALGLIB.
- But our SVM will remain linear 🕾
- Moving towards dual problem can be rewarding and can make SVM non-linear © (-- using kernel trick!)
- To define the dual problem, we first eliminate the primal variables.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i t_i = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i t_i \mathbf{x}_i$$

Dual problem

• Substitute the results in the primal:

$$L(\mathbf{w}, b; \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j t_i t_j \mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \mathbf{x}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \left(1 - t_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j t_j \mathbf{x}_j^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i + b \right) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j t_i t_j \mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \mathbf{x}_j$$

Dual problem

We arrive at the following dual problem

$$g(m{lpha}) = \sum_i lpha_i - rac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} t_i t_j lpha_i lpha_j \mathbf{x}_i^ op \mathbf{x}_j$$
 $lpha_i \geq 0$
$$\sum_{i=1}^n lpha_i t_i = 0$$
 Complementary slackness condition

- Note that the dual problem is concave (why?) and should be maximized (see the the previous lecture)
- This can be solved by quadratic programming.

Solution for the dual

• Let $\alpha^* = (\alpha_1^*, \cdots, \alpha_n^*)^{\top}$ be the solution for above then

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* t_i \mathbf{x}_i$$

• To determine b^* , we note that for any $\alpha_i^* > 0$

$$t_i(\mathbf{w^*}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b^*) = 1$$

Sparsity in predication

For any test point such as x the discriminant will be

$$y(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{*\top} \mathbf{x} + b^{*}$$
$$= \sum_{i:\alpha_{i}^{*}>0} \alpha_{i}^{*} t_{i} \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{i} + b^{*}$$

- This shows that prediction uses only a sparse number of x_i 's.
- One interesting points is that only inner products ($\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j$) appear in the dual problem and the prediction!
- We will exploit this observation to make SVMs non-linear.