Usability review

[Enter product name] Score Comments N/A = not applicableHover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to Optional - Provide a short rational for the score, such as a description of the or can't be the overall user experience. issues found; examples of good practice and the likely impact for users. assessed **Features & functionality** Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives. Good Features and functionality support users desired workflows. Good Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the A primera vista parece más bien un mapa homepage) and well supported (e.g. short cuts are available). Moderate Existen viajes predeterminados y personalizables para distintas experiencias Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise (e.g. de usuario short cuts for expert users, help and instructions for novice users). Good Call to actions (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable. Good Homepage / starting page The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of Cuenta con más funcionalidades interesantes de las anunciadas the content, features and functionality available. Very poor

7	The home page / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks.	Moderate	
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space'.	Good	
Navigation			
9	Users can easily access the site or application (e.g. the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines).	Moderate	Se publicita primero la aplicación, que tiene un nombre similar a la versión de navegador, por lo que este último pasa un poco desapercibido
10	The navigational scheme (e.g. menu) is easy to find, intuitive and consistent.	Moderate	
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means (e.g. searching, browse by type, browse by name, most recent etc).	Good	
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals.	Moderate	A primera vista la página no es demasiado intuitiva y cuenta con más funciones de las que se pueden ver
13	Links are clear, descriptive and and well labelled.	Excellent	
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported.	Excellent	
15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item).	Good	
			-

		-	
16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point.	Good	Las navegaciones se abren en una nueva pestaña, por lo que puedes volver cuando quieras al inicio. Sin embargo, no hay botones para esto
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary).	Moderate	
Search			
18	A consitent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout (where desirable).	Moderate	Solo existe una barra de buscar al inicio para indicar el lugar al que ir. El resto de las funciones no tienen ningún filtro de búsqueda
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals (e.g. multi- parameter, prioritised results, filtering search results).	Poor	
20	The search facility deals well with common searchs (e.g. showing most popular results), misspellings and abbreviations.	Excellent	
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed.	Excellent	Los resultados del lugar se acompañan con una imagen, localización y el mapa completo
Co	ntrol & feedback		
22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given (e.g. following a successful or unsuccessful action).	Excellent	
23	Users can easily undo, go back and change or cancel actions; or are at least given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order).	Moderate	Una vez añadido un viaje a la ruta se debe eliminar de uno en uno los destinos y se prefiere volver a empezar

24	Users can easily give feedback (e.g. via email or an online feedback / contact us form).	Excellent		
For	Forms			
25	Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.	Moderate		
26	A minimal amount of information is requested and where required justification is given for asking for information (e.g. date of birth, telephone number).	Good	Teléfono móvil para ponerse en contacto con el cliente	
27	Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated.	Excellent		
28	Appropriate input fields (e.g. calendar for date selection, drop down for selection) are used and required formats are indicated.	Good		
29	Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary.	Moderate		
Err	ors			
30	Errors are clear, easily identifiable and appear in appropriate location (e.g. adjacent to data entry field, adjacent to form, etc.).	Good	Los errores de input se señalizan y se escribe un mensaje	
31	Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary.	Excellent		

32	Common user errors (e.g. missing fields, invalid formats, invalid selections) have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented.	Good		
33	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors.	Good	Se guardan los campos introducidos aunque se produzcan errores.	
Cor	Content & text			
34	Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals.	Good		
35	Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context.	Good	Se hace referencia a wikipedia y a la página oficical de la actividad si la tiene.	
36	Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience.	Good		
37	Terms, language and tone used are consitent (e.g. the same term is used throughout).	Good		
38	Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast.	Moderate		
Help				
39	Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand language and only uses recognised terms). Where appropriate contextual help is provided.	Good		

40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language.	Good		
41	Accessing online help does not impede users (i.e. they can can resume work where they left off after accessing help).	Excellent		
42	Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address).	Moderate		Formulario a través de correo electrónico con un tiempo de respuesta máximo de 48 horas.
Per	formance			
43	Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays).	Moderate	L	as imágenes de las actividades y el mapa tardan un poco en cargar
44	Errors and reliabilty issues don't inhibit the user experience.	Good		
45	Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported.	Moderate		
O	verall usability score (out of 100) *	75	-	Good

- * Very poor (less than 29) Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete a significant number of important tasks.
- * Poor (between 29 and 49) Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete some important tasks.
- * Moderate (between 49 and 69) Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the user experience could be significantly improved.
- * Good (between 69 and 89) Users should be able to use this site or system with relative ease and should be able to complete the vast majority of important tasks.
- * Excellent (more than 89) This site or system provides an excellent user experience for users. Users should be able to complete all important tasks on the site or system.