



Context

The Rijksmuseum Library thesaurus is currently maintained in the MARC format

- → This is a somewhat **dated format**
- The thesaurus has manual data entry
- → Entries have a lack of quality assurance

How can we assess the quality of such a thesaurus?



Research Questions



- 1. What **changes** are caused **when converting** from MARC to SKOS?
- 2. What are the **quality issues** of the thesaurus expressed in SKOS?
- 3. How well can the Rijksmuseum collection and library thesauri be aligned with each other?



Research Layout



1. Convert MARC to SKOS

2. Analyze SKOS Quality Issues

3. Align Library and Collection Thesauri

SKOS supports tools and standards for quality analysis.

Done by directly mapping XML tags with an XSL Transformations.

With formalized methods defined by previous studies.

Done with standard SKOS tools and custom python scripts.

To see how well the two can be integrated.

Mainly done through string matching.



MARC 550 Tag Errors (N = 14828)



Each error represent a hierarchical relation that cannot be converted to SKOS

	code "w"	code "a"	code "0"
Error count	9	37	875
Correct entry example	h	boekwetenschap	(NL-AmRIJ)126543
	w	NULL	NULL
	9		mariaverering
Entry error examples	(NL-AmRIJ)131820		(NL-AmRIJ)#129341
	hippodromen		(NL-AmRIJ)



Quality Analysis Results (for all: N = 7826)

Quality Issue	Count in MARC	Count in SKOS	After Skosify
Omitted or Invalid Language Tags	0	0	0
Incomplete Language Coverage	7766	7766	7766
No Common Language	0	0	0
Overlapping Labels	29	29	29
Empty Labels	0	0	0
Orphan Concepts	976	1391	1364
Cyclic Hierarchical Relations	unknown	23	0
Valueless Associative Relations	unknown	183	0
Omitted Top Concepts	unknown	2043	0



Library Thesaurus Alignment onto the Collection Thesaurus Using Exact String Matching (N = 7826)



Selected Label Type	Selected Languages	Aligned Concepts	Aligned Concepts after Stemming	Percentage of Aligned Concepts after Stemming
skos:prefLabel, skos:altLabel	nl, en	844	1030	13.16%
	nl	840	1024	13.08%
	en	3	4	0.05%
skos:prefLabel	nl, en	729	894	11.42%
	nl	726	890	11.37%
	en	3	4	0.05%
skos:altLabel	nl, en	13	16	0.20%
	ni	13	16	0.20%
	en	0	0	0.00%



Conclusion

- The mapping from MARC to SKOS tags proved to be a viable conversion method.
- SKOS provided both better insight into quality issues, and was supported by tools to fix them.
- The amount of alignments between the Rijksmuseum thesauri was low.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

(VU - supervision)

Are there any questions?

Follow this project on Github:

(Rijksmuseum - supervision)

Special thanks to:

Jacco van Ossenbruggen

Chris Dijkshoorn

Contact me:

d.a.c.de.ruijter@student.vu.nl

