Dear Howard:

Hi Howard, it's yourself from 3 weeks later. I have already finished peer reviewing and is now working on self reflection, as you can see.

I want you to realize that you are doing perfectly well on establishing an audience-friendly writing style, while there are some aspects you need to improve.

When you are writing the overall conclusion, brief introduction to each research question, and limitations for the project, make sure you include connections between these three parts. You need to include a general purpose of the project, and a specific purpose for each research question in your overall conclusion, and emphasize the purpose and value of each question at the start of its slide. When you are working on limitations, you should relate to the previous two parts. Ask yourself, why would this factor restrict our inference about active group members? To what extent does an erroneous assumption affect the bootstrap sampling distribution? After revising these you can see that there is a much clearer organization of the entire project, from which readers can attain more valuable information.

Apart from this, I suggest you read through the project proposal page again to get more familiar with the variables included in the data. It's more important than your imagination. Otherwise when you are doing the second research question using a randomization test, you will find that it's hard to approach your population of interest or use the sample function to acquire the distribution for testing. Go through the data variables and their types again, and you will discover an more appropriate path to access your parameter of interest for this question.

That's all! I hope you enjoy the rest of the project!
Best,
Howard