

1 Outline

In this lecture, we cover

- the two-stage optimization framework,
- two-stage optimization models with various risk measures.

2 Two-stage optimization models

Let us describe general two-stage optimization models. The workflow proceeds as follows.

1. Implement the first-stage decision x_1 , e.g., fixed testing center locations.
2. Observe information ξ .
3. Implement the second-stage decision x_2 based on x_1 and ξ , e.g., mobile testing center locations and test case allocations.

Here, we use the following terminologies.

- x_1 is called the **here-and-now decision** since they must be executed up-front before observing ξ .
- x_2 is called the **wait-and-see decision** or the **recourse decision** since they can be executed after information ξ is revealed.

Note that the realized information ξ can **change the course of action**.

Let $Q(x_1, \xi)$ be the cost of the first-stage decision x_1 associated with information ξ , given that the second-stage decision x_2 is chosen optimally with respect to x_1 and ξ . Formally, $Q(x_1, \xi)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x_1, \xi) &:= \min c_2(\xi)^\top x_2 \\ \text{s.t. } &A_2(\xi)x_1 + B_2(\xi)x_2 \geq b_2(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Again, the second-decision decision optimizes the second-stage problem that is specified after the first-stage decision is made and the information ξ is realized. Here, the objective vector $c_2(\xi)$, constraint matrices $A_2(\xi)$, $B_2(\xi)$, and the right-hand side vector $b_2(\xi)$ depend on the information ξ . Assuming that x_2 is always chosen as an optimal second-stage decision, $Q(x_1, \xi)$ encodes the value of the first-stage decision x_1 . Then, we choose x_1 by minimizing the overall **expected cost**.

$$\begin{aligned} \min & c_1^\top x_1 + \mathbb{E}[Q(x_1, \xi)] \\ \text{s.t. } & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we may use other risk measures instead of expectation.

Then the next question is, how do we solve this? As before, we assume that we are given N scenarios about the information ξ . We are given

$$\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N.$$

Assume that

$$\mathbb{P}[\xi = \xi_i] = p_i, \quad i \in [N]$$

with $\sum_{i \in [N]} p_i = 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Q(x_1, \xi)] = \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i Q(x_1, \xi_i).$$

Plugging this to the two-stage optimization model, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i Q(x_1, \xi_i) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1. \end{aligned}$$

By adding some auxiliary variables, we can rewrite the optimization model as

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i t_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1 \\ & Q(x_1, \xi_i) \leq t_i, \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we can handle constraint

$$Q(x_1, \xi_i) \leq t_i$$

by the procedure called **lifting**. In fact, we have already used the procedure without specifying the terminology. Recall that $Q(x_1, \xi_i)$ is given by the second stage optimization problem with $\xi = \xi_i$.

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x_1, \xi_i) &= \min \quad c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_2(\xi)x_1 + B_2(\xi)x_2^i \geq b_2(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used variable x_2^i to indicate that $Q(x_1, \xi_i)$ corresponds to scenario i . Note that the minimum value of $c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i$ over x_2^i satisfying $A_2(\xi)x_1 + B_2(\xi)x_2^i \geq b_2(\xi)$ is less than or equal to t_i if and only if **there exists** some x_2^i satisfying $A_2(\xi)x_1 + B_2(\xi)x_2^i \geq b_2(\xi)$ such that $c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i \leq t_i$. Then constraint $Q(x_1, \xi_i) \leq t_i$ is equivalent to the condition that there exists x_2^i such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i &\leq t_i \\ A_2(\xi)x_1 + B_2(\xi)x_2^i &\geq b_2(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following formulation.

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i t_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1 \\ & c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i \leq t_i, \quad i \in [N] \\ & A_2(\xi)x_1 + B_2(\xi)x_2^i \geq b_2(\xi), \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, it is not necessary to use the auxiliary variables t_i for $i \in [N]$. We can simply write

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1 \\ & A_2(\xi) x_1 + B_2(\xi) x_2^i \geq b_2(\xi), \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

3 Two-stage optimization models with different risk measures

For the second-stage value, we considered the expectation of function $Q(x, \xi)$. In general, we may consider

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + \rho(Q(x_1, \xi_1), \dots, Q(x_1, \xi_N)) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1. \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is some risk measure.

3.1 Worst-case value

Consider the case when

$$\rho(Q(x_1, \xi_1), \dots, Q(x_1, \xi_N)) = \max \{Q(x_1, \xi_1), \dots, Q(x_1, \xi_N)\}.$$

Then the optimization model is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + t \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1 \\ & \max \{Q(x_1, \xi_1), \dots, Q(x_1, \xi_N)\} \leq t, \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + t \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1 \\ & Q(x_1, \xi_i) \leq t, \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the lifting procedure,

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + t \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1 \\ & c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i \leq t, \quad i \in [N] \\ & A_2(\xi) x_1 + B_2(\xi) x_2^i \geq b_2(\xi), \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Conditional-value at risk

Next, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + \text{CVaR}_\alpha \left(Q(x_1, \xi); \hat{P}_N \right) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1. \end{aligned}$$

The model is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + v \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1 \\ & \text{CVaR}_\alpha \left(Q(x_1, \xi); \hat{P}_N \right) \leq v. \end{aligned}$$

We may rewrite constraint $\text{CVaR}_\alpha \left(Q(x_1, \xi); \hat{P}_N \right) \leq v$ as

$$\text{CVaR}_\alpha \left(Q(x_1, \xi) - v; \hat{P}_N \right) \leq 0.$$

Recall that $\text{CVaR}_\alpha \left(Q(x_1, \xi) - v; \hat{P}_N \right) \leq 0$ is equivalent to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} t + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i r_i &\leq 0 \\ r &\geq 0 \\ t + r_i &\geq Q(x_1, \xi_i) - v, \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$Q(x_1, \xi_i) \leq v + t + r_i$$

can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i &\leq v + t + r_i, \quad i \in [N] \\ A_2(\xi)x_1 + B_2(\xi)x_2^i &\geq b_2(\xi), \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can replace

$$\text{CVaR}_\alpha \left(Q(x_1, \xi); \hat{P}_N \right) \leq v$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} t + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i r_i &\leq 0 \\ r &\geq 0 \\ c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i &\leq v + t + r_i, \quad i \in [N] \\ A_2(\xi)x_1 + B_2(\xi)x_2^i &\geq b_2(\xi), \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the final equivalent reformulation is

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + v \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1 \\ & t + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i r_i \leq 0 \\ & r \geq 0 \\ & c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i \leq v + t + r_i, \quad i \in [N] \\ & A_2(\xi)x_1 + B_2(\xi)x_2^i \geq b_2(\xi), \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Value at risk

Next, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + \text{VaR}_\alpha \left(Q(x_1, \xi); \hat{P}_N \right) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1. \end{aligned}$$

The model is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + v \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1 \\ & \text{VaR}_\alpha \left(Q(x_1, \xi) - v; \hat{P}_N \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\text{VaR}_\alpha \left(Q(x_1, \xi) - v; \hat{P}_N \right) \leq 0$ is equivalent to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x_1, \xi_i) - v &\leq M z_i, \quad i \in [N] \\ \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i z_i &\leq 1 - \alpha \\ z &\in \{0, 1\}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$Q(x_1, \xi_i) \leq v + M z_i$$

can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i &\leq v + M z_i, \quad i \in [N] \\ A_2(\xi) x_1 + B_2(\xi) x_2^i &\geq b_2(\xi), \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the final equivalent reformulation is

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_1^\top x_1 + v \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_1 x_1 \geq b_1 \\ & \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i z_i \leq 1 - \alpha \\ & z \in \{0, 1\}^N \\ & c_2(\xi)^\top x_2^i \leq v + M z_i, \quad i \in [N] \\ & A_2(\xi) x_1 + B_2(\xi) x_2^i \geq b_2(\xi), \quad i \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$