1 Outline

In this lecture, we study

- Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition based on the Lagrangian dual,
- Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition for binary programs,
- Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition for models with block diagonal structure,
- Column generation for the Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation.

2 Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition

Let us consider a mixed integer program

$$z_I = \max_{s.t.} c^\top x$$

s.t. $Ax \le b$
 $Ex \le f$
 $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d \times \mathbb{R}_+^p$. (MIP)

We will learn the **Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition** framework for solving the mixed-integer program.

2.1 Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition based on the Lagrangian dual

Let Q be defined as

$$Q = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d \times \mathbb{R}_+^p : Ax \le b \right\}.$$

Assume that Q is nonempty and that A, b have rational entries. Let m be the number of rows of E, and take $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Remember that we define the **Lagrangian relaxation** of (MIP) with respect to λ as follows.

$$z_{\text{LR}}(\lambda) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}} c^{\top}x + \lambda^{\top}(f - Ex)$$

s.t. $Ax \leq b$
 $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{p}$. (LR)

Moreover, recall that the **Lagrangian dual** of the mixed integer program (MIP) is defined as

$$z_{\rm LD} = \min \left\{ z_{\rm LR}(\lambda) : \lambda \ge 0 \right\}. \tag{LD}$$

We learned that (MIP) and (LD) are related according to the following characterization of (LD).

$$z_{\text{LD}} = \max \left\{ c^{\top} x : Ex \le f, \ x \in \text{conv}(Q) \right\}.$$

Furthermore, by the Minkowski-Weyl theorem, conv(Q) can be expressed as

$$\operatorname{conv}(Q) = \operatorname{conv}\left\{v^1, \dots, v^n\right\} + \operatorname{cone}\left\{r^1, \dots, r^\ell\right\}$$

where v^1, \ldots, v^n are the extreme points of $\operatorname{conv}(Q)$ and r^1, \ldots, r^ℓ are the extreme rays of $\operatorname{conv}(Q)$. Then any point x in $\operatorname{conv}(Q)$ can be written as

$$x = \sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_k v^k + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} \beta_h r^h$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k_+$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^\ell_+$ such that

$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_k = 1.$$

Based on this, it follows that

$$z_{\text{LD}} = \max \sum_{k \in [n]} \left(c^{\top} v^{k} \right) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} \left(c^{\top} r^{h} \right) \beta_{k}$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \left(E v^{k} \right) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} \left(E r^{h} \right) \beta_{k} \leq f$$

$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{k} = 1$$

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{k}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\ell}.$$
(DW1)

Remember that the Lagrangian dual (LD) is a relaxation of (MIP). Hence, we refer to (DW1) as the **Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation** of (MIP). Moreover, we have

$$z_I = \max \left\{ c^\top x : Ex \le f, \ x \in \text{conv}(Q), \ x_j \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall j \in [d] \right\}.$$

Therefore, we deduce

$$z_{I} = \max \sum_{k \in [n]} \left(c^{\top} v^{k} \right) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} \left(c^{\top} r^{h} \right) \beta_{k}$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \left(E v^{k} \right) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} \left(E r^{h} \right) \beta_{k} \leq f$$

$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{k} = 1$$

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{k}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\ell}$$

$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{k} v_{j}^{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} \beta_{h} r_{j}^{h} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j \in [d].$$
(DW2)

Here, the formulation (DW2) is referred to as the **Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation** of (MIP).

2.2 Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition as the dual of the Lagrangian dual

Recall that the Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition is given by

$$\max \sum_{k \in [n]} (c^{\top} v^k) \alpha_k + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} (c^{\top} r^h) \beta_k$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} (E v^k) \alpha_k + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} (E r^h) \beta_k \le f$$

$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_k = 1$$

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^k, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+^\ell.$$

is the equivalent representation of the Lagrangian dual. Let us take its dual. We use dual variable λ for the inequality constraint and dual variable μ for the equality constraint. Then we deduce

min
$$\lambda^{\top} f + \mu$$

s.t. $\mu + (Ev^k)^{\top} \lambda \ge c^{\top} v^k$, $k \in [n]$
 $(Er^h)^{\top} \lambda \ge c^{\top} r^h$, $h \in [\ell]$
 $\lambda \ge 0$

Note that this is equivalent to

min
$$\lambda^{\top} f + \mu$$

s.t. $\mu \ge \max_{k \in [n]} \left\{ \left(c - E^{\top} \lambda \right)^{\top} v^k \right\}$
 $\lambda \in \text{dom}(z_{\text{LR}})$

because

$$\operatorname{dom}(z_{\operatorname{LR}}) = \left\{ \lambda : \ \left(c - E^{\top} \lambda \right)^{\top} r^h \le 0 \ \forall h \in [\ell], \ \lambda \ge 0 \right\}.$$

Eliminating the variable μ , we obtain

min
$$\lambda^{\top} f + \max_{k \in [n]} \left\{ \left(c - E^{\top} \lambda \right)^{\top} v^k \right\}$$

s.t. $\lambda \in \text{dom}(z_{LR})$.

This is equivalent to

$$\min_{\lambda \in \text{dom}(z_{\text{LR}})} \max_{k \in [n]} \left\{ \lambda^{\top} f + \left(c - E^{\top} \lambda \right)^{\top} v^{k} \right\}$$

$$= \min_{\lambda \in \text{dom}(z_{\text{LR}})} \underbrace{\max_{k \in [n]} \left\{ c^{\top} v^{k} + \lambda^{\top} (f - E v^{k}) \right\}}_{z_{\text{LR}}(\lambda)}$$

$$= \min \left\{ z_{\text{LR}}(\lambda) : \lambda \in \text{dom}(z_{\text{LR}}) \right\}$$

$$= z_{\text{LD}}.$$

2.3 Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition for pure binary programs

Let us consider a pure binary integer program as follows.

$$z_I = \max_{s.t.} c^{\top} x$$

s.t. $Ax \le b$
 $Ex \le f$
 $x \in \{0, 1\}^d$. (BP)

We define Q as

$$Q = \left\{ x \in \{0, 1\}^d : \ Ax \le b \right\}.$$

Since Q is bounded and finite,

$$Q = \{v^1, \dots, v^n\}.$$

Then any point x in Q can be expressed as

$$x = \sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_k v^k, \quad \sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_k = 1, \quad \alpha \in \{0, 1\}^n.$$

Then it follows that

$$z_{I} = \max \sum_{k \in [n]} \left(c^{\top} v^{k} \right) \alpha_{k}$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \left(E v^{k} \right) \alpha_{k} \le f$$

$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{k} = 1$$

$$\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^{n}.$$

This formulation is the Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation of (BP). Then the Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation of (BP) is

$$\max \sum_{k \in [n]} \left(c^{\top} v^k \right) \alpha_k$$

s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \left(E v^k \right) \alpha_k \le f$$

$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_k = 1$$

$$\alpha > 0$$

2.4 Problems with block diagonal structure

We consider the following optimization model

For $j \in [p]$, let Q_j be defined as

$$Q_j = \left\{ x^j \in \{0, 1\}^{d_j} : A^j x^j \le b^j \right\}.$$

Here, Q_j is bounded and finite, so any point x^j in Q_j can be written as

$$x^{j} = \sum_{v \in Q_{j}} \alpha_{v}^{j} v, \quad \sum_{v \in Q_{j}} \alpha_{v}^{j} = 1, \quad \alpha^{j} \in \{0, 1\}^{|Q_{j}|}.$$

Therefore, the Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation of (22.1) is given by

$$\max \sum_{v \in Q_1} \left(c^{1 \top} v \right) \alpha_v^1 + \sum_{v \in Q_2} \left(c^{2 \top} v \right) \alpha_v^2 + \dots + \sum_{v \in Q_p} \left(c^{p \top} v \right) \alpha_v^p$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{v \in Q_1} \left(E^1 v \right) \alpha_v^1 + \sum_{v \in Q_2} \left(E^2 v \right) \alpha_v^2 + \dots + \sum_{v \in Q_p} \left(E^p v \right) \alpha_v^p \le f$$

$$\sum_{v \in Q_j} \alpha_v^j = 1, \quad j \in [p]$$

$$\alpha^j \in \{0, 1\}^{|Q_j|}, \quad j \in [p].$$

Then the Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation of (22.1) is given by

$$\max \sum_{v \in Q_1} \left(c^{1\top} v \right) \alpha_v^1 + \sum_{v \in Q_2} \left(c^{2\top} v \right) \alpha_v^2 + \dots + \sum_{v \in Q_p} \left(c^{p\top} v \right) \alpha_v^p$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{v \in Q_1} \left(E^1 v \right) \alpha_v^1 + \sum_{v \in Q_2} \left(E^2 v \right) \alpha_v^2 + \dots + \sum_{v \in Q_p} \left(E^p v \right) \alpha_v^p \le f$$

$$\sum_{v \in Q_j} \alpha_v^j = 1, \quad j \in [p]$$

$$\alpha^j \ge 0, \quad j \in [p].$$

Let us consider the special case where

$$\bullet \ c^1 = \dots = c^p = c,$$

•
$$E^1 = \cdots = E^p = E$$
,

$$Q^1 = \dots = Q^p = Q.$$

Then in the Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation, we may set

$$\alpha = \alpha^1 + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^p.$$

As a result, the Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation becomes

$$\max \sum_{v \in Q} (c^{\top}v) \alpha_v$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{v \in Q} (Ev) \alpha_v \le f$$

$$\sum_{v \in Q} \alpha_v = p$$

$$\alpha \ge 0.$$

3 Column generation for solving the Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation

The Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation (DW2) has variables $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ for the extreme points of Q and variables $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell$ for the extreme rays of Q. Therefore, n and ℓ are potentially very large. In this case, we may apply the column generation technique. Recall that the dual of (DW2) is given by

min
$$\lambda^{\top} f + \mu$$

s.t. $\mu + (Ev^k)^{\top} \lambda \ge c^{\top} v^k$, $k \in [n]$
 $(Er^h)^{\top} \lambda \ge c^{\top} r^h$, $h \in [\ell]$
 $\lambda \ge 0$.

The column generation procedure works as follows. We start with $N \subseteq [N]$ and $L \subseteq [\ell]$. Then we have the master problem

$$\max \sum_{k \in N} (c^{\top} v^k) \alpha_k + \sum_{h \in L} (c^{\top} r^h) \beta_k$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in N} (E v^k) \alpha_k + \sum_{h \in L} (E r^h) \beta_k \le f$$

$$\sum_{k \in N} \alpha_k = 1$$

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^k, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+^\ell.$$

Given the corresponding dual solution (λ, μ) , then the associated subproblem is given by

$$\max \left\{ \max_{k \in [n]} \left\{ (c - E^{\top} \lambda)^{\top} v^k - \mu \right\}, \ \max_{h \in [\ell]} \left\{ (c - E^{\top} \lambda)^{\top} r^h \right\} \right\}.$$

If the value of the subproblem is strictly positive, then there exists $k \in [n] \setminus N$ or $h \in [\ell] \setminus L$ whose associated constraint in the dual is violated. Then we can add the corresponding variable. In fact, the subproblem can be equivalently solved by

$$\max\left\{(c - E^{\top}\lambda)^{\top}x - \mu : \ x \in \operatorname{conv}(Q)\right\} = \max\left\{(c - E^{\top}\lambda)^{\top}x - \mu : \ x \in \operatorname{conv}(Q)\right\}.$$

If this optimization problem is unbounded, then there must exist an extreme ray r^h for some $h \in [\ell] \setminus L$ such that $(Er^h)^\top \lambda < c^\top r^h$. If it has a strictly positive finite optimum, then there exists an extreme point v^k for some $k \in [n] \setminus N$ such that $\mu + (Ev^k)^\top \lambda < c^\top v^k$.