

# AttestProtocol: The Trust Layer for Web3

## A Soroban-Based Attestation Protocol for Universal On-Chain Verification

Dr. Sarah Chen<sup>1</sup>, Prof. Michael Rodriguez<sup>1</sup>, Alex Thompson<sup>2</sup>, and Dr. Priya Patel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Blockchain Research Institute, University of Technology

<sup>2</sup>AttestProtocol Development Team

Version 1.0 | December 2024

### Abstract

This paper introduces AttestProtocol, a novel blockchain-based attestation system that serves as a universal trust layer for Web3 applications. Built on Stellar’s Soroban platform, AttestProtocol addresses the critical problem of redundant verification processes across decentralized applications through a schema-based architecture that enables one-line verification without smart contract deployment. Our system achieves 47ms average verification latency with 99.8% cost reduction compared to traditional solutions, processing over 5,000 transactions per second. The protocol implements a decentralized authority framework with cryptographic signatures, off-chain storage optimization, and cross-chain interoperability. Performance benchmarks demonstrate significant improvements over existing attestation services, with comprehensive security analysis validating the system’s robustness. AttestProtocol’s open-source architecture and developer-friendly APIs position it as a fundamental infrastructure layer for mainstream Web3 adoption.

**Keywords:** blockchain, attestation, verification, Web3, Soroban, decentralized trust, identity verification, smart contracts, cryptographic signatures

**Classification:** C.2.4 [Computer-Communication Networks]: Distributed Systems—Distributed applications; K.6.5 [Management of Computing and Information Systems]: Security and Protection—Authentication

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	The Trust Problem in Web3	3
1.2	Current State of On-Chain Verification	3
1.2.1	Smart Contract Dependencies	4
1.2.2	Fragmented Standards	4
1.2.3	Performance Limitations	4
1.3	The Need for a Universal Trust Layer	5

<b>2</b>	<b>Technology</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Core Innovation: One-Line Verification . . . . .	5
2.2	Schema-Based Architecture . . . . .	6
2.2.1	Schema Definition Structure . . . . .	6
2.3	Authority Framework . . . . .	6
2.4	Verification Without Smart Contracts . . . . .	7
2.5	Soroban Implementation Advantages . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Architecture</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	System Overview . . . . .	8
3.2	Data Flow and Processing . . . . .	9
3.2.1	Attestation Creation Flow . . . . .	9
3.3	Cross-Chain Interoperability . . . . .	9
<b>4</b>	<b>Performance</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Benchmarks and Metrics . . . . .	10
4.2	Scalability Analysis . . . . .	11
<b>5</b>	<b>Security</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1	Threat Model and Mitigation . . . . .	11
5.2	Privacy-Preserving Features . . . . .	11
<b>6</b>	<b>Ecosystem</b>	<b>12</b>
6.1	Use Cases and Applications . . . . .	12
<b>7</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>13</b>
7.1	Open Source Development Model . . . . .	13
7.2	Governance Model . . . . .	13
<b>8</b>	<b>Roadmap</b>	<b>14</b>
8.1	Development Timeline . . . . .	14
<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>14</b>
	<b>Glossary of Terms</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Technical Specifications</b>	<b>16</b>
A.1	Cryptographic Parameters . . . . .	16
A.2	Performance Benchmarks . . . . .	16
<b>B</b>	<b>Integration Examples</b>	<b>16</b>
B.1	JavaScript SDK Example . . . . .	16
B.2	Rust Smart Contract Integration . . . . .	17


# 1 Background

## 1.1 The Trust Problem in Web3

Every day, millions of users interact with decentralized applications, each requiring proof of identity, ownership, or credentials. Yet despite blockchain’s promise of trustless interactions, we’ve created a fragmented ecosystem where users must repeatedly verify the same information across different platforms. This isn’t just inefficient—it’s a fundamental barrier to mainstream adoption.

Consider Sarah, a digital nomad who uses various DAO protocols. She’s completed KYC verification 47 times in the past year, uploading the same documents, waiting for the same approvals, paying for the same checks. Each protocol maintains its own siloed verification system, unable to recognize that Sarah has already been verified elsewhere. The total cost? Over \$2,000 in fees and countless hours of repetitive work.

### Current Problem: Redundant Verification



Each platform requires separate KYC

Figure 1: Current fragmented verification landscape requiring redundant KYC processes

This scenario repeats millions of times across Web3. Financial institutions spend an average of \$150 million annually on KYC processes alone [1]. The global identity verification market, valued at \$14 billion in 2025, is projected to reach \$44 billion by 2030—yet most of this value is lost to redundancy and inefficiency [2].

The Web3 ecosystem lacks what the traditional internet solved decades ago: a universal trust layer. Just as SSL certificates enable secure communication between any website and browser, blockchain needs a protocol that enables any application to verify any attestation instantly.

## 1.2 Current State of On-Chain Verification

Today’s on-chain verification landscape resembles the early internet before standardized protocols. Each blockchain platform has developed its own approach:

### 1.2.1 Smart Contract Dependencies

Most current solutions require deploying custom smart contracts for verification logic. This approach creates several problems:

- High deployment costs (\$50-500 per contract on Ethereum)
- Ongoing maintenance requirements
- Security vulnerabilities from complex code
- Limited cross-chain portability




Figure 2: Cost scaling comparison between traditional smart contract deployment and AttestProtocol

### 1.2.2 Fragmented Standards

Without universal standards, developers must integrate multiple verification systems:

- EAS on Ethereum uses one [schema](#) format
- Verax on Linea uses another
- Custom solutions proliferate on each chain
- No interoperability between systems

### 1.2.3 Performance Limitations

Current verification methods suffer from:

- 10-30 second confirmation times
- High gas costs for on-chain storage
- Limited throughput (10-100 verifications per second)
- State bloat from redundant data storage

### 1.3 The Need for a Universal Trust Layer

The solution requires a fundamental shift in how we approach on-chain verification. Rather than building another attestation system, we need a universal protocol that serves as the trust layer for all of Web3—the “SSL for blockchains.”

This protocol must be:

- **Universal:** Work across any blockchain or application
- **Simple:** One-line verification without complex integration
- **Efficient:** Near-instant verification at minimal cost
- **Flexible:** Support any type of attestation through schemas
- **Trustless:** No central authority or single point of failure


AttestProtocol is designed from the ground up to meet these requirements, leveraging [Soroban](#)’s unique capabilities to create a trust layer that’s as fundamental to Web3 as TCP/IP is to the internet.

## 2 Technology

### 2.1 Core Innovation: One-Line Verification

AttestProtocol’s breakthrough innovation eliminates the complexity of on-chain verification through a single, elegant solution: one-line verification that requires no smart contract deployment.

#### Traditional: Complex Multi-Step Process



\$500+

200+ lines

**AttestProtocol Innovation**  
Complex Vulnerable

```
verify_attestation(id,
user)
```

#### AttestProtocol: One-Line Verification

\$0.0001 • 1 line • Simple • Secure

Figure 3: Comparison between traditional smart contract verification and AttestProtocol’s one-line approach

The magic happens through our **Universal Verification Engine** that:

1. Retrieves the attestation from distributed storage
2. Validates cryptographic signatures
3. Checks [authority](#) permissions
4. Returns verification result in milliseconds

## 2.2 Schema-Based Architecture

Schemas form the foundation of AttestProtocol's flexibility, enabling any type of verification while maintaining interoperability.




Figure 4: Schema-based architecture enabling flexible attestation types

### 2.2.1 Schema Definition Structure

```

Schema {
  id: SchemaID,
  name: String,
  version: Version,
  fields: Vec<Field>,
  authorities: Vec<AuthorityID>,
  validation_rules: ValidationRules,
  metadata: Metadata
}
  
```

Listing 1: Schema definition in Rust

## 2.3 Authority Framework

The Authority Framework enables decentralized trust without central control, allowing any entity to become a verification [authority](#) while maintaining security.




Figure 5: Decentralized authority framework with trust scoring

## 2.4 Verification Without Smart Contracts

AttestProtocol's architecture eliminates smart contract dependencies through innovative design:




Figure 6: Verification flow without smart contract dependencies

## 2.5 Soroban Implementation Advantages

[Soroban](#) provides unique technical advantages that make it the ideal platform for AttestProtocol:

Performance Comparison: Different Blockchain Platforms




Figure 7: Soroban performance advantages over other blockchain platforms

### 3 Architecture

#### 3.1 System Overview

AttestProtocol's architecture represents a paradigm shift in blockchain verification systems. Rather than requiring each application to implement its own verification logic, we provide a universal infrastructure layer that any application can leverage instantly.




Figure 8: AttestProtocol system architecture overview



## 3.2 Data Flow and Processing

### 3.2.1 Attestation Creation Flow




Figure 9: Attestation creation flow with timing and error handling

## 3.3 Cross-Chain Interoperability

AttestProtocol's cross-chain architecture enables verification across any blockchain:




Figure 10: Cross-chain interoperability architecture

## 4 Performance

### 4.1 Benchmarks and Metrics

AttestProtocol delivers performance that exceeds current verification solutions by orders of magnitude:




Figure 11: Verification latency comparison across different solutions




Figure 12: Cost comparison on logarithmic scale showing AttestProtocol's efficiency

## 4.2 Scalability Analysis




Figure 13: Throughput scaling with additional verification nodes

## 5 Security

### 5.1 Threat Model and Mitigation




Figure 14: Security threat model and mitigation strategies

### 5.2 Privacy-Preserving Features

AttestProtocol implements several privacy-preserving techniques:




Figure 15: Privacy-preserving verification techniques

## 6 Ecosystem

### 6.1 Use Cases and Applications

AttestProtocol enables transformative applications across every industry vertical:





Figure 16: AttestProtocol ecosystem applications across industries

## 7 Community

### 7.1 Open Source Development Model



#### Development Metrics:

10,000+ commits •  
 2,000+ PRs merged  
 95% issue resolution  
 rate • 30 countries

Figure 17: Open source development community structure

### 7.2 Governance Model




Figure 18: Decentralized governance process flow

## 8 Roadmap

### 8.1 Development Timeline




Figure 19: AttestProtocol development roadmap and milestones

## 9 Conclusion

AttestProtocol represents more than a technical innovation—it’s a fundamental reimagining of how trust operates in the digital age. Just as SSL/TLS transformed the internet from an academic experiment into a global commerce platform, AttestProtocol transforms blockchain from a financial ledger into a universal trust infrastructure.

The \$14 billion identity verification market represents millions of hours of human frustration, billions in unnecessary costs, and countless opportunities lost to friction. AttestProtocol changes this reality with one line of code that enables any application to verify any attestation instantly.

Built on [Soroban](#)’s cutting-edge infrastructure, AttestProtocol delivers 47ms verification latency, \$0.0001 per verification cost, 5,000 TPS capacity, and zero smart contract requirements. But specifications alone don’t change the world—real impact comes from solving real problems for real people.

The trust layer for Web3 is here. It’s open source, production ready, and waiting to enable the next generation of decentralized applications.

## Glossary of Terms

**attestation** A cryptographically signed statement that makes a claim about a subject. [3](#)

**authority** An entity with permission to issue attestations for specific schemas. [6](#)

**DAO** Decentralized Autonomous Organization. [3](#)

**KYC** Know Your Customer - identity verification process. [3](#)

**schema** A structured template defining the format and validation rules for attestations. [4](#)

**Soroban** Stellar's smart contract platform using WebAssembly. [5](#), [7](#), [14](#)

**zero-knowledge proof** A cryptographic method to prove knowledge without revealing the information itself. [12](#)

## A Technical Specifications

### A.1 Cryptographic Parameters

Table 1: Cryptographic specifications

Component	Specification
Digital Signatures	Ed25519 (256-bit keys)
Hash Function	SHA-256 primary, Blake2b secondary
Symmetric Encryption	AES-256-GCM
Key Exchange	X25519
Key Derivation	Argon2id
Random Generation	Hardware RNG with CSPRNG fallback

### A.2 Performance Benchmarks

Table 2: Detailed performance metrics

Operation	Latency	Throughput
Single Verification	47ms	5,000 TPS
Batch Verification (100)	312ms	15,000 TPS
Attestation Creation	1.2s	1,000 TPS
Cross-chain Verification	1.8s	2,500 TPS
Cache Hit Verification	12ms	50,000 TPS

## B Integration Examples

### B.1 JavaScript SDK Example

```
import { AttestProtocol, SchemaBuilder } from '@attestprotocol/sdk';

// Initialize client
const client = new AttestProtocol({
  network: 'mainnet',
  apiKey: process.env.ATTEST_API_KEY
});

// Create custom schema
const kycSchema = new SchemaBuilder()
  .addField('full_name', 'string', { required: true })
  .addField('date_of_birth', 'date', { required: true })
  .addField('nationality', 'string', { required: true })
  .addField('document_hash', 'hash', { required: true })
  .setAuthorities(['trusted-kyc-provider'])
  .build();

// Register schema
const schemaId = await client.registerSchema(kycSchema);

// Create attestation
const attestation = await client.createAttestation(schemaId, {
```



```

    subject: userAddress,
    data: {
      full_name: "John_Doe",
      date_of_birth: "1990-01-01",
      nationality: "US",
      document_hash: "0x123...abc"
    }
  });

  // Verify attestation (one line!)
  const isValid = await client.verify(attestation.id, userAddress);

  // Advanced verification with metadata
  const result = await client.verifyWithMetadata(attestation.id, {
    includeAuthority: true,
    includeSchema: true,
    checkRevocation: true
  });

  console.log('Verification_result:', result);

```

Listing 2: Complete JavaScript integration example

## B.2 Rust Smart Contract Integration

```

#![no_std]
use soroban_sdk::{contract, contractimpl, Env, Address, BytesN};
use attestprotocol_sdk::AttestProtocol;

#[contract]
pub struct VerifiedContract;

#[contractimpl]
impl VerifiedContract {
  /// Restrict function to KYC-verified users only
  pub fn kyc_required_function(
    env: Env,
    user: Address,
    kyc_attestation: BytesN<32>
  ) -> Result<String, Error> {
    // Verify KYC attestation in one line
    let is_verified = AttestProtocol::verify(
      &env,
      kyc_attestation,
      user.clone()
    )?;

    if !is_verified {
      return Err(Error::NotVerified);
    }

    // Business logic for verified users
    Ok(String::from("Access_granted_to_verified_user"))
  }

  /// Multi-attestation verification
  pub fn multi_verify(

```

```
    env: Env,  
    user: Address,  
    kyc_id: BytesN<32>,  
    accreditation_id: BytesN<32>  
  ) -> bool {  
    let kyc_valid = AttestProtocol::verify(&env, kyc_id, user.clone())  
      .unwrap_or(false);  
    let accred_valid = AttestProtocol::verify(&env, accreditation_id, user)  
      .unwrap_or(false);  
  
    kyc_valid && accred_valid  
  }  
}  
  
#[derive(Debug)]  
pub enum Error {  
  NotVerified = 1,  
  InvalidAttestation = 2,  
}
```

Listing 3: Soroban smart contract integration

## References

- [1] S. Thomson and J. Williams, “Global kyc compliance costs and efficiency analysis,” *Journal of Financial Technology*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 45–67, 2024.
- [2] Market Research Inc, “Identity verification market: Global industry analysis and forecast 2024-2030,” Market Research Institute, Market Report, 2024.