## BEFORE THE

## MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY MUMBAI

COMPLAINT NO: CC006000000044445

Vaibhav Sawant	•••	Complainant
Versus		
Aadinath Developers		
MahaRERA Regn. No:		
P51900015259		Respondent

Coram: Shri. Gautam Chatterjee, Chairperson, MahaRERA

Complainant were represented by Mr. Pratik Parmar, Adv i/b Randive and Kadam Associates Respondent represented by Mr. Anwar Landge, Adv i/b Harshad Bhadbhade

## Order

## November 13, 2020

1. The Complainant has stated that he had booked apartments bearing no: 1901 and 1902 on the 19th floor of the Respondent's project 'MILLIONIST 14' situated at Matunga, Mumbai via allotment letters dated June 22, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as the *said allotment letters*) and were assured possession within 30 months. The Complainant has alleged that the Respondent has failed to execute and register the agreement for sale till date. Further, he alleged that due to the slow-paced construction, in February, 2017 the Complainant decided to withdraw from the said project, however the Respondent has till date has neither come forward to execute and register the agreement for sale for the said apartments nor has refunded the amount paid. Therefore, the Complainant has prayed that the Respondent be directed to either execute and register the agreement for sale for the said apartments and pay

them interest for the delay or refund the amount paid along with interest under Section 12

of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016.

2. On the first date of hearing, the learned counsel for the Respondent submitted that as on date

the project has approvals only up to the 18th floor and therefore the project is registered up

to 18th floor only. However, the Respondent is willing to offer apartments to the Complainant

on the lower floors. Accordingly, time was given for amicable settlement.

3. On review of the Respondent's registration webpage it is observed that the Respondent has

registered the said project till 18 slabs of super structure and the Complainants' apartment is

not part of the registered project. Hence, strictly speaking, the Complainant is not an allottee

in the registered project MahaRERA Regn.No. P51900015259. Therefore, the provisions of

Section 12 and 18 which the Complainant has sought relief under will not apply in this case.

4. On the final date of hearing, the learned counsel for the Respondent submitted that the

Respondent is unable to get approval for the 19th floor and they are willing to refund the

amounts paid by the Complainant.

5. Though the Complainant's apartment is not registered with MahaRERA, the act of the

Respondent to take money from the Complainant for a proposed apartment and issue him

an allotment letter, amounts to the promoter being involved in a kind of "unfair practice" by

promoting sale by adopting unfair method like representing that the promoter has approval

which he does not have. Thus the promoter is guilty of violating Section 7(1) (c) of the Real

Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016.

6. In view of the above, the Respondent is directed to refund the amounts paid by the

Complainants, along with interest at the rate of State Bank of India MCLR plus two percent,

within 30 days of this order.

Gautam Chatterjee Digitally signed by Gautam Chatterjee Date: 2020.11.13 15:30:16 +05'30'

(Gautam Chatterjee) Chairperson, MahaRERA

2