Is intend to familiarize … with

Give indication

The argument is vulnerable to what objection

Two question raise when evaluating the …

This is irrelevant. At issue is how…, not how …

The argument is not so much seeking to establish an explanation of its own as …

only if

A conclusion offering an explanation for some occurrence may be weakened when another explanation at least as compelling as the original is offered

The effectiveness of the natural insecticide that maize produces, relative to other

insecticides, is not relevant to determining whether monarch butterflies are being endangered.

Nothing in the passage indicates that lawyers who continue not to advertise will be compelled to lower their fees.

The argument concerns numbers of advertisers rather than types; it remains possible that few lawyers would advertise.

Call dog a dog / call spade a spade 实事求是

Neither fish nor flesh 不伦不类，难以理解

Shoe the goose/wash a tile/ water a (dead) stake 徒劳无功

Say it with flowers 辞藻华丽

It has been proposed according to the memorandum that [CON]. However, this author’s saying fails to provide adequate answers (support/explanation) to [M1]. Although the stated argument seems to be logically completed by the properly identified statement that [CON+/M2], it remains relatively unstable with abuse of assumptions and holes( doubtable assumptions / lack of effective evidence/ quiet a large quantity of questions). As below, further discussion on these problems would be demonstrated.

Based on the article, it was assumed that [A1], whereas question(s) (questionable assumption(s)/ evidence) still require discussing. So, the passage can be easily challenged when it comes to mention/decide whether[M1]by following possibilities. In first, [P1.1] . Questions will raise when evaluating [P1.1+]. Secondly, [p1.2]. Moreover, [p1.2+]. Given these two possible happenings, propositions token into account reflect misleading and meaningless.

Aside from, question(s) would be revealed (groundless evidence/ assumption(s) could be found) for nothing in the passage indicates that [A2]. A supposition concerning [M2] was reasoned to prove the reasonableness of [A2’]. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of [M2’] will be lessened by questioning the safety of the assuming points. For one thing, [ P2.1]. For the other, [P2.2]. (Or perhaps, [P2.3]. As a result, the argument concerns a chain of irrelevant ideas rather than mainly focuses on promoting the precise pronouncements. One may cast doubt by pointing out that [p2.2-]. Yet such hole could be fixed by suggesting [p2.4]. (To wrap up, more prudent inspection, like[S2], should be taken before making such excessively slipshod judgment.) Information about [P/A] could assist the author’s decision on [M2’’’].

Besides the previous discussion, I would like to put my eyes on another crucial question (assumption/fact) relating to the author’s slant. For all we know that [A3]. Therefore, it is of conspicuousness that [M3]. However, whether [ A3 ] is beyond me. In fact, such commonly thinking excludes some exception, such as [ P3]. Furthermore, [ P3+]. At such issue, [P3’], being playing a pivotal role, determines the feasibility of the problem. That is to say, the author's comprehending and exporting of such issue are oversimplified and superficial. Thereby, he/she would consider more about [S3].

For the final evaluation, we can summarily figure out the argument's lack of logical inference and cautious investigation, neither of which becomes separable as forming a convincing and persuading conclusion. To better the article, [S1]. Additionally, [S2] and [S3] should acquire more attention.