

# The Steenrod Algebra and Its Dual

David Wiedemann

October 28, 2023

## Abstract

These are notes for the seminar "Advanced Topics in Homotopy Theory" given by Prof. Stefan Schwede and Dr. Jack Davies in Bonn during the WS2023/24. Our goal is to present the main results of Milnor's paper "The Steenrod Algebra and its Dual" [Mil58]. The text in blue was not presented during the talk.

## Contents

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| <b>1 The Steenrod Algebra</b>                         | <b>1</b> |
| 1.1 Steenrod Powers . . . . .                         | 2        |
| <b>2 Hopf Algebras</b>                                | <b>3</b> |
| 2.1 Bi-Algebras . . . . .                             | 3        |
| 2.2 Antipode maps . . . . .                           | 5        |
| <b>3 The Diagonal Morphism</b>                        | <b>6</b> |
| <b>4 The dual Steenrod Algebra</b>                    | <b>8</b> |
| 4.1 The coaction of $\mathcal{A}_*$ . . . . .         | 8        |
| 4.2 Generators for $\mathcal{A}_*$ . . . . .          | 9        |
| 4.3 The comultiplication in $\mathcal{A}_*$ . . . . . | 11       |

## 1 The Steenrod Algebra

Let  $p$  be a prime.

**Definition 1 (Stable Cohomology operation)** A *stable mod  $p$  cohomology operation*  $\theta$  of type  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a family of natural transformations  $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ <sup>1</sup>

$$\theta_n: H^n(-, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^{n+r}(-, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We view mod  $p$  cohomology as a functor  $\text{Top}^2 \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  where  $\text{Top}^2$  denotes pairs of pointed topological spaces, we won't need the spaces to be Hausdorff or CW-complexes.

such that the following diagram commutes for every space  $X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(X, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\theta_n} & H^{n+r}(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{n+1}(\Sigma X, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\theta_{n+1}} & H^{n+r+1}(\Sigma X, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

We can trivially compose two cohomology operations  $\theta, \theta'$  of type  $r$  (resp.  $r'$ ) to obtain a cohomology operation of type  $r + r'$ , this motivates the following definition.

**Definition 2 (Steenrod Algebra)** *The mod  $p$  Steenrod Algebra  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is the ring freely generated by the stable cohomology operations. This ring comes with a natural grading coming from the type of the cohomology operation.*

For those familiar with (maps of) spectra, the most natural way to define the Steenrod algebra is by the formula  $\mathcal{A}_p = H\mathbb{F}_p^*(H\mathbb{F}) = \bigoplus_n H\mathbb{F}_p^n(H\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**Remark 1** Notice that if  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  are two cohomology operations of different types, their sum  $\theta + \theta'$  in  $\mathcal{A}_p$  does **not** define a cohomology operation in any natural way. Despite this,  $\mathcal{A}_p$  still naturally acts on the **full** cohomology  $H^*(X)$  of a space, when viewed as an abelian group.

As we will establish,  $\mathcal{A}_p$  carries a Hopf algebra structure which makes  $H^*(X)$  into a (Hopf-)module. Before showing this, we present structural results about the Steenrod algebra.

## 1.1 Steenrod Powers

**Definition 3 (Steenrod Powers)** *Suppose  $p > 2$ , the Steenrod powers are the stable cohomology operations*

$$P^i: H^q(-, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^{q+2i(p-1)}(-, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

*uniquely determined by the following properties*

1.  $P^0 = \text{Id}$
2. if  $x \in H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ , then  $P^n x = x^p$
3. if  $x \in H^n(X, \mathbb{A})$ , then  $P^i x = 0$  for all  $2i > n$
4.  $\delta P^i = P^i \delta$  where  $\delta$  is the boundary homomorphism
5.  $P^i(xy) = \sum_{j+k=i} P^j x P^k y$

**Definition 4 (Steenrod Squares)** *The Steenrod squares are the unique stable mod 2 cohomology operations*

$$\text{Sq}^i: H^q(-, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^{q+i}(-, \mathbb{F}_2)$$

*uniquely determined by*

1.  $P^0 = \text{Id}$
2. if  $x \in H^n(X, A, \mathbb{F}_2)$ , then  $Sq^n(x) = x^2$
3. if  $x \in H^n(X, A, \mathbb{F}_2)$ , then  $Sq^i x = 0$  for all  $i > n$
4.  $Sq^n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} Sq^i x Sq^j y$
5.  $\delta Sq^i = Sq^i \delta$

For any prime, we can also define the Bockstein morphism:

**Definition 5 (Bockstein)** *The natural transformations*

$$\delta_n: H^n(-, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(-, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

associated to the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$  define a stable cohomology operation  $\delta = (\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  called the **Bockstein morphism**.

For  $p = 2$ , the Bockstein coincides with  $Sq^1$ . It is a famed result of Steenrod that these operations generate the Steenrod algebra.

**Theorem 2 (Structure of the Steenrod Algebra)** [SE62, Ch. VI, Sec. 2] *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Call a sequence  $I = (\epsilon_0, s_1, \epsilon_1, s_2, \dots)$  **admissible** if it is finite,  $s_i \geq 1, \epsilon = 0, 1$  and  $s_i \geq p s_{i+1} + \epsilon_i$ . The set*

$$P^I := \beta^{\epsilon_0} P^{s_1} \beta^{\epsilon_1} P^{s_2}, \quad I \text{ admissible}$$

*is a basis for the Steenrod algebra.*

There is a similar result for  $p = 2$ , which we do not make explicit.

The algebra structure of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is extremely complex, as is made apparent by the Adem relations, we will show that the dual of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  (as a vector space) also inherits an algebra structure that is comparatively simple: it is a graded polynomial algebra.

## 2 Hopf Algebras

The first goal of this talk is to show that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is a Hopf algebra over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , so we need to define what a Hopf algebra is.

### 2.1 Bi-Algebras

We start by studying Hopf algebras independently. Throughout, let  $k$  be a field.

**Definition 6 (Algebra)** An *Algebra* is a triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \eta)$  with  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $k$ -vector space together with two maps  $\mu: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  (multiplication),  $\eta: k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  (unit) making the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes \mu} & \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \\ \mu \otimes \text{Id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} k \otimes \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{i \otimes \eta} & \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} & \xleftarrow{\eta \otimes i} & \mathcal{A} \otimes k \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \mu & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathcal{A} & & \end{array}$$

Dualizing these definitions, we unsurprisingly obtain

**Definition 7 (Coalgebra)** A *coalgebra* is a triple  $(C, \Delta, \epsilon)$  where  $C$  is a  $k$ -vector space together with two maps  $\Delta: C \rightarrow C \otimes C$  (comultiplication) and  $\epsilon: C \rightarrow k$  (augmentation) making the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C \otimes C \\ \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Id} \otimes \Delta \\ C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes \text{Id}} & C \otimes C \otimes C \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \Delta & \searrow & \\ k \otimes C & \xleftarrow{\epsilon \otimes \text{Id}} & C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes \epsilon} & C \otimes k \end{array}$$

Since taking duals commutes with tensor products, notice that the dual  $C^\vee$  naturally gets an algebra structure. We define (co-)algebra morphisms in the obvious way.

**Definition 8 (Bialgebra)** A *bialgebra* is a tuple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon)$  where

- $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $k$ -vector space
- $\mu: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$
- $\eta: k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$
- $\Delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$
- $\epsilon: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow k$

such that  $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \eta)$  is an algebra,  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \Delta^*, \epsilon^*)$  is an algebra and such that  $\Delta$  and  $\epsilon$  are algebra morphisms

Equivalently, one can also require  $\mu$  and  $\epsilon$  to be coalgebra morphisms.

If  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$  is a graded algebra, we define the **dual algebra** by

$$\mathcal{A}^* := \mathcal{A}_n^*, \text{ with } \mathcal{A}_n^* = \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}_n, k)$$

We call a graded algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  **graded commutative** if for all homogeneous elements  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\alpha\beta = (-1)^{\dim \alpha \dim \beta} \beta\alpha$ . (omitting  $\mu$  for sanity reasons) The graded algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is **connected** if  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is generated by 1, equivalently  $\eta: k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$  is an isomorphism.

We can similarly define the notion of a graded coalgebra and of a connected coalgebra.

## 2.2 Antipode maps

Though we will not talk about antipode maps again throughout this talk, we still define them to be able to properly define a Hopf algebra.

Let  $C$  be a bi-algebra as above and let  $f, g: C \rightarrow C$  be linear maps, we define the convolution  $f * g$  of  $f$  with  $g$  as the composition

$$C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{\mu} C.$$

**Definition 9 (Antipode)** An antipode  $S: C \rightarrow C$  is an endomorphism such that

$$S * \text{Id} = \text{Id} * S = \eta \circ \epsilon.$$

**Definition 10 (Hopf Algebra)** A Hopf Algebra is a bi-algebra with an antipode.

For specific classes of bialgebras, there is a way of constructing an antipode map.

**Theorem 3 ([MM65, prop 8.2])** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a connected graded bialgebra such that  $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$  with  $\dim a_i, \dim b_i > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  admits an antipode map.

**Proof** Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , to define  $S$ , we proceed inductively on the degree of  $x$ . If  $\dim x = 0$ , we define  $S(x) = x$ .

Inductively, suppose we've defined  $S$  for all  $x$  of degree  $< n$  and write  $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$  as above. Since  $\Delta$  respects the grading, we may suppose that  $\dim b_i < n$ , we let

$$S(x) := -x - \sum_i a_i S(b_i)$$

One now easily checks that  $S$  is an antipode. □

### 3 The Diagonal Morphism

Our first goal is to prove that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  has the structure of a Hopf algebra and to make its structure more explicit.

Throughout, let  $X$  be a space. We start by constructing the diagonal morphism  $\Delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  of our (soon to be) Hopf algebra.

**Proposition 4** *There is a unique diagonal morphism  $\Delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  such that*

1. *For all  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\Delta(\theta) = \sum_i \theta'_i \otimes \theta''_i$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(X)$  we have*

$$\theta(\alpha \smile \beta) = \sum (-1)^{\dim \theta'_i \dim \alpha} \theta'_i(\alpha) \smile \theta''_i(\beta)$$

2. *The morphism  $\Delta$  is a ring morphism.*

**Proof** Let  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  act on  $H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X)$  by

$$(\theta' \otimes \theta'')(\alpha \otimes \beta) = (-1)^{\dim \theta'' \dim \alpha} \theta'(\alpha) \otimes \theta''(\beta) \quad \text{where } \theta', \theta'' \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha, \beta \in H^*(X).$$

We let  $c: H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$  be the cup product.

**$\Delta$  exists**

Let  $R \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the set of all  $\theta$  such that

$$\theta(\alpha \smile \beta) = c\rho(\alpha \otimes \beta)$$

for some  $\rho \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ . We want to show that  $R = \mathcal{A}$ .

Notice that  $R$  is closed under multiplication and addition. If  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in R$ , then

$$\theta_1 \theta_2(\alpha \smile \beta) = c\rho_1 \rho_2(\alpha \otimes \beta) \text{ and } (\theta_1 + \theta_2)(\alpha \smile \beta) = c((\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\alpha \otimes \beta))$$

Hence, it suffices to show that  $R$  contains the Bockstein and the Steenrod powers which follows from the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\alpha \smile \beta) &= \delta\alpha \smile \beta + (-1)^{\dim \alpha} \alpha \smile \delta(\beta) \\ P^n(\alpha \smile \beta) &= \sum_{i+j=n} P^i(\alpha) \smile P^j(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

**$\Delta$  is unique**

Let  $K := K(\mathbb{F}_p, n+1)$  and  $\gamma \in H^{n+1}(K) \simeq [K, K]_*$  correspond to the identity map, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_\gamma: \mathcal{A}_i &\rightarrow H^{n+1+i}(K) \\ \theta &\mapsto \theta\gamma \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism for all  $i \leq n$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} j: (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})_i &\rightarrow H^{2n+2+i}(K \times K) \\ \theta \otimes \theta' &\mapsto (-1)^{\dim \theta' \dim \gamma} \theta(\gamma) \otimes \theta'(\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

is too.

Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_i$ , suppose  $\rho, \rho'$  both satisfy the required equality, then

$$j(\rho) = c\rho((\gamma \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes \gamma)) = c\rho'((\gamma \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes \gamma)) = j(\rho')$$

The unicity of  $\Delta$  implies that it is a ring morphism.  $\square$

**Remark 5** From this proof, we can in particular single out the action of  $\Delta$  on generators, namely, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\delta) &= \delta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta \\ \Delta(p^n) &= \sum_{i+j=n} p^i \otimes p^j.\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 6 (The Steenrod Algebra is a Hopf Algebra)** The maps

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{A},$$

where  $\mu$  is composition, give  $\mathcal{A}$  the structure of a Hopf algebra. Furthermore  $\Delta$  is graded commutative.

**Proof** It suffices to show that  $\Delta$  is associative and commutative.

### Associativity

It suffices to check the identity

$$(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta = (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta$$

This identity clearly holds on generators, namely

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta \otimes 1)(\delta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta) &= \delta \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \delta \\ &= (1 \otimes \Delta)(\delta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta \otimes 1)\left(\sum_{i+j=n} p^i \otimes p^j\right) &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left(\sum_{i'+j'=i} p^{i'} \otimes p^{j'}\right) \otimes p^j \\ &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} p^i \otimes p^j \otimes p^k \\ &= (1 \otimes \Delta)\left(\sum_{i+j=n} p^i \otimes p^j\right).\end{aligned}$$

### (Graded) Commutativity

Let

$$\begin{aligned} T: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \\ \theta \otimes \theta' &\mapsto (-1)^{\dim \theta \dim \theta'} \theta' \otimes \theta. \end{aligned}$$

We have to check that  $\Delta = T\Delta$ , which one can check again on generators:

$$T(1 \otimes \delta + \delta \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes \delta + \delta \otimes 1$$

and

$$T\left(\sum_{i+j=n} p^i \otimes p^j\right) = \sum_{i+j=n} (-1)^{4ij(p-1)^2} p^j \otimes p^i \quad \square$$

## 4 The dual Steenrod Algebra

There are a lot of excellent resources that describe the structure of the mod 2 Steenrod algebra, see [Akhil Matthew's blog](#) or [MT08, Chap. 6]. For the sake of originality, we present the complementary case.

From now on,  $p$  is a prime different from 2 and  $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_p$ , we follow [Mil58, Chap. 3].

For the rest of this talk, we focus on the dual Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{A}_* := \mathcal{A}^\vee$ , whose multiplication is induced by  $\Delta$ . Our goal is to fully determine the structure of  $\mathcal{A}_*$ , more precisely we will sketch the proof of the following theorem:

**Theorem 7** *There is a graded isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{A}_* \simeq \Lambda[\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots] \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]$$

The grading will be determined later.

To single out an appropriate set of generators for  $\mathcal{A}_*$ , we analyze how  $\mathcal{A}_*$  (co-)acts on the cohomology ring of a specific space. We start by describing this co-action formally and then introduce the relevant space.

### 4.1 The coaction of $\mathcal{A}_*$

Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the evaluation pairing on  $H_* \times H^*$ .

Given that we are working over a field, cohomology and homology are dual. Hence, given  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $\mu \in H_n$ , we define  $\theta \cdot \mu \in H_{n-i}$  by the rule

$$\langle \theta \cdot \mu, \alpha \rangle := \langle \mu, \theta \cdot \alpha \rangle \text{ for all } \alpha \in H^{n-i}.$$



This gives a well defined action

$$\lambda_*: \mathcal{A} \otimes H_* \rightarrow H_*.$$

We denote the dual of this action by  $\lambda^*: H^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_* \otimes H^*$ . The restriction of  $\lambda_*$

$$\lambda_i: \mathcal{A} \otimes H^{n+i} \rightarrow H^n$$

also gives rise to dual morphisms  $\lambda^i: H^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_* \otimes H^{n+i}$  which satisfy

$$\lambda^* = \lambda^1 + \lambda^2 + \dots^2$$

We can also understand the action of  $\mathcal{A}$  better in terms of  $\lambda^*$ : if we know  $\lambda^*(\alpha)$ , we know  $\theta \cdot \alpha$  for any  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 8** *Let  $\lambda^*(\alpha) = \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes \omega_i$  and  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$ , then*

$$\theta\alpha = \sum_i (-1)^{\dim \alpha_i \dim \omega_i} \langle \theta, \omega_i \rangle \alpha_i$$

**Proof** By definition of the action, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu, \theta\alpha \rangle &= \langle \mu\theta, \alpha \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu \otimes \theta, \lambda^* \alpha \rangle \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^{\dim \alpha_i \dim \omega_i} \langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle \langle \theta, \omega_i \rangle \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

And the general equality follows.

## 4.2 Generators for $\mathcal{A}_*$

Fix some large integer  $N$  and let  $X = S^{2N+1}/\mathbb{Z}_p = sk_{2N+1}K(\mathbb{F}_p, 1)$ . The  $(\text{mod } p)$  cohomology ring of  $X$  has the following properties

$$H^1(X) = \langle \alpha \rangle, H^2(X) = \langle \beta \rangle, H^{2i}(X) = \langle \beta^i \rangle, H^{2i+1}(X) = \langle \alpha\beta^i \rangle,$$

where  $\beta = \delta\alpha$  and  $i \leq N$ .

**Notation 9** *We define*

$$M^k := p^{p^{k-1}} \dots p^p p^1$$

**Lemma 10** *For all  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$*

$$\theta\beta = \begin{cases} \beta^{p^k} & \text{if } \theta = M_k \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Elements in  $H^*$  are always finite sums, so this sum should be understood as  $\bigoplus_i \lambda^i$

**Proof** Let  $\mathcal{P} = 1 + P^1 + P^2 + \dots$ , from the properties of the Steenrod powers, we notice that

$$\mathcal{P}\beta = \beta + \beta^p \text{ thus } \mathcal{P}(\beta^{p^r}) = \beta^{p^r} + \beta^{p^{r+1}}.$$

Hence  $P^{p^r}(\beta^{p^r}) = \beta^{p^{r+1}}$  and  $P^j(\beta^{p^r})$  for  $j \neq p^r$  and  $j > 0$ . From this, we deduce the statement.  $\square$

We will now explicitly determine a basis for  $\mathcal{A}_*$ .

**Lemma 11** *There exist elements  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_*^{2p^k-1}$  such that*

$$\lambda^* \alpha = \alpha \otimes 1 + \beta \otimes \tau_0 + \dots + \beta^{p^r} \otimes \tau_r.$$

*Similarly, there exist elements  $\xi_i \in \mathcal{A}_*^{2p^i-2}$  with  $\xi_0 = 1$  such that*

$$\lambda^* \beta = \beta \otimes \xi_0 + \beta^p \otimes \xi_1 + \dots + \beta^{p^r} \otimes \xi_r$$

**Proof** From the above, it follows that

$$\lambda^* \beta = \lambda^0 \beta + \lambda^{2p-2} \beta + \dots + \lambda^{2p^k-2} \beta.$$

As the cohomology of  $X$  is one-dimensional in all degrees, we deduce that  $\lambda^{2p^k-2}(\beta) = \beta^{p^k} \otimes \xi^k$ . The exact same argument works for  $\lambda^* \alpha$ .  $\square$

We now study the evaluation pairing  $\mathcal{A}_* \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ . We easily establish the following lemma

**Lemma 12** *We have  $\langle \xi_k, M_k \rangle = 1$  but  $\langle \xi_k, \theta \rangle = 0$  for any other monomial.*

*Furthermore*

$$\langle M_k \delta, \tau_k \rangle = 1$$

*and  $\langle \theta, \tau_k \rangle$  for any other monomial.*

**Proof** We know that

$$M_k \beta = \beta^{p^k} = \sum_i (-1)^{2p^i \dim \xi_i} \langle M_k, \xi_i \rangle \beta^{p^i}$$

*Proving the equality. The second equality follows from the same argument applied to  $\alpha$  and  $M_k \delta$ .*  $\square$

We are ready to prove the main structure theorem for the dual Hopf algebra.

**Theorem 13** *There is a graded isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{A}_* \simeq \Lambda[\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots] \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots], \quad \text{where } \dim \tau_i = 2p^i - 1, \dim \xi_i = 2p^i - 2.$$

*Here  $\Lambda[\tau_0, \dots]$  denotes the exterior algebra and  $\mathbb{F}_p[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]$  is the polynomial algebra. This isomorphism is graded.*

**Proof (Sketch)** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of finite sequences  $(\epsilon_0, r_1, \epsilon_1, \dots)$  with  $\epsilon_i = 0, 1$  and  $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , we define

$$\omega(I) := \tau_0^{\epsilon_0} \xi_1^{r_1} \tau_1^{\epsilon_1} \xi_2^{r_2} \dots$$

We claim it is sufficient to show that the set of  $\omega(I)$  form a basis for  $\mathcal{A}_*$ . Indeed, the  $\tau_i, \xi_j$  then don't observe any additional identities and the graded commutativity gives the desired isomorphism.

We may order the set  $\mathcal{I}$  colexicographically, ie.  $(a_1, \epsilon_1, a_2, \dots) < (b_1, \epsilon'_1, b_2, \dots)$  if  $a_i < b_i$  for the largest  $i$  such that  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  differ (remember that the sequences are finite).

We also associated to a  $J = (\epsilon_0, r_1, \epsilon_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{I}$  an element of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$$\theta(J) = \delta^{\epsilon_0} p^{s_1} \delta^{\epsilon_1} p^{s_2} \dots,$$

where  $s_j = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} (\epsilon_i + r_i) p^{i-k}$ .

One can check that the  $\theta(J)$  are the basic monomials of the Cartan basis for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

To show the isomorphism, we show that the basic monomials in  $\mathcal{A}$  form an “almost dual” basis to the set of  $\omega(I)$ .

For this, we use the following lemma.

Let  $I < J \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\langle \theta(J), \omega(I) \rangle = 0$  if  $I < J$ , furthermore  $\langle \theta(I), \omega(I) \rangle = \pm 1$ . (★)

The proof of (★) is the main technical step in the proof and we skip it. Let  $\mathcal{I}_n \subset \mathcal{I}$  be the set of sequences such that  $\dim \omega(I) = \dim \theta(I) = n$ . The matrix  $(\langle \theta(J), \omega(I) \rangle)_{I, J \in \mathcal{I}_n}$  is upper-triangular with  $\pm 1$  on the diagonal, hence, the pairing is non-degenerate and the  $\omega(I)$  generate the  $n$ -th graded part of  $\mathcal{A}_*$ . □

We also state the case for  $p = 2$  without proof, the proof can be found in the original paper too and proceeds in very similar steps.

**Theorem 14 (The mod 2 dual Steenrod Algebra)** *Let  $\mathcal{A}_2$  be the mod 2 Steenrod algebra and  $\mathcal{A}_{2*}$  its dual. Let  $\xi_i \in \mathcal{A}_{2*}$  be the dual basis of the basis  $Sq^{2^{i-1}} \dots Sq^2 Sq^1 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ , then there is a graded isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{A}_{2*} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots].$$

### 4.3 The comultiplication in $\mathcal{A}_*$

If we want to fully describe  $\mathcal{A}_*$  as a Hopf algebra, we also have to describe the comultiplication  $\mu_* := (\mu)^\vee$ , where  $\mu$  is the usual multiplication in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 15** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_*(\xi_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \xi_{k-i}^i \otimes \xi_i \\ \mu_*(\tau_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \xi_{k-i}^{p^i} \otimes \tau_i + \tau_k \otimes 1\end{aligned}$$

**Proof** We first notice that the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_* \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \phi^*} & H_* \otimes \mathcal{A} \\ \lambda_* \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_* \\ H_* \otimes \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} & H_* \end{array}$$

implies the identity

$$(\lambda^* \otimes 1)\lambda^* = (1 \otimes \mu_*)\lambda^*.$$

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(X)$  with  $X$  as before, then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^*(\beta) &= \sum \beta^{p^j} \otimes \xi_j \\ \lambda^*(\beta^{p^i}) &= \sum \beta^{p^{i+j}} \otimes \xi_j^{p^i}\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the identity above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda^* \otimes 1)\lambda^*(\beta) &= \sum_{i,j} \beta^{p^{i+j}} \otimes \xi_j^{p^i} \otimes \xi_i \\ &= (1 \otimes \mu_*)\lambda^*(\beta) \\ &= \sum \beta^{p^k} \otimes \mu_*(\xi_k)\end{aligned} \quad \square$$

And hence we deduce the identity for  $\mu_*(\xi_k)$ , the identity for  $\mu_*(\tau_k)$  is deduce in the same way.

## References

- [Mil58] John Milnor. “The Steenrod Algebra and its Dual”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* (1958).
- [MM65] John Milnor, John C. Moore. “On the Structure of Hopf Algebras”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* (1965).
- [MT08] R.E. Mosher, M.C. Tangora. *Cohomology Operations and Applications in Homotopy Theory*. Dover Books on Mathematics Series. Dover Publications, 2008.
- [SE62] Norman Earl Steenrod, David Bernard Alper Epstein. “Cohomology Operations”. In: *Ann. of Math. Stud.* (1962).