

Algebraic Geometry I

David Wiedemann

Table des matières

1	Presheaves and Sheaves	2
1.1	Presheaves	2
1.2	Sheaves	3
1.3	Stalks	5
1.4	Kernels, cokernels, exactness	10

List of Theorems

1	Definition (Presheaf)	2
2	Definition (Morphism of presheaves)	3
3	Definition (Sheaf)	3
4	Definition (Morphisms of sheaves)	4
5	Definition (Natural sheaf on Spec A)	5
6	Definition	5
7	Definition	6
8	Definition (direct limit)	6
9	Definition	7
10	Definition (Sheafification)	7
20	Proposition	8
22	Proposition	8
23	Corollary	9
11	Definition (Subsheaf)	10
12	Definition (Kernel, cokernel of presheaves)	10
24	Lemma	10
13	Definition (Cokernel/image of morphisms of sheaves)	10
26	Lemma (cokernels are cokernels)	11
27	Proposition	11
28	Proposition	11
30	Corollary	12
14	Definition (Exact Sequence of sheaves)	12
31	Corollary	13

Quick Motivation

We study schemes.

These are objects that "look locally" like $(\text{Spec } A, A)$.

Examples include

- A itself
- Varieties in affine or Projective

1 Presheaves and Sheaves

1.1 Presheaves

Let X be a topological space.

Definition 1 (Presheaf)

Let C be a category. A presheaf \mathcal{F} of C on X consists of

- $\forall U \subset X$ open, an object in C $\mathcal{F}(U)$
- $\forall V \subset U \subset X$ open, a morphism $\rho_{U,V} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$

such that

- $\forall U$ open, $\rho_{U,U}$ is the identity on $\mathcal{F}(U)$
- Restriction maps are compatible

$$\forall W \subset V \subset U \subset X$$

open, we have $\rho_{U,V} \circ \rho_{V,W} = \rho_{U,W}$

Remark

Usually, $C = \text{Set}, \text{Ab}, \text{Ring}, \text{etc.}$

In particular, we usually assume the objects in C have elements.

Remark

- Elements of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ are called sections of \mathcal{F} over U .
- $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is called the space of sections of \mathcal{F} over U
- Elements of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ are called global sections.
- There are alternative notations for $\mathcal{F}(U) : \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ or $H_0(U, \mathcal{F})$
- The $\rho_{U,V}$ are called restriction maps, for $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, we write $s|_V := \rho_{U,V}(s)$ and is called restriction of s to V .

Example

- For any object A in C , we define the constant presheaf \underline{A} defined by $\underline{A}(U) = A$ and with restriction maps the identity.

- The presheaf of continuous functions : C^0 .
We define $C^0(U) := \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f \text{ continuous} \}$ and the restriction maps are the natural restrictions.
- More generally, if $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is continuous, we can look at the presheaf of continuous sections of π , here

$$\mathcal{F}_\pi(U) := \{s : U \rightarrow Y | s \text{ continuous } \pi \circ s = \text{Id}\}$$

This example is universal in a certain sense

Remark

Define the category Ouv_X with

- objects $U \subset X$ open subsets
- morphisms $U \rightarrow V$ are either empty or the inclusion $U \rightarrow V$ if $U \subset V$

Then a presheaf of C on X is just a contravariant functor $\text{Ouv}_X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow C$

Definition 2 (Morphism of presheaves)

A morphism $\phi : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ of presheaves on X consists of a collection of morphisms $\rho(U) : \mathcal{F}_1(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2(U)$ which are natural.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_1(U) & \xrightarrow{\rho(U)} & \mathcal{F}_2(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}_1(V) & \xrightarrow{\rho(V)} & \mathcal{F}_2(V) \end{array}$$

Example

- Every morphism of objects $A \rightarrow B$ in C yields a morphism $\underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$
- If $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, let C^∞ be the presheaf of smooth functions, then for every open U , there is an inclusion $C^\infty(U) \rightarrow C^0(U)$ and these inclusions induce a morphism of sheaves $C^\infty \rightarrow C^0$
- If $Y_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} Y_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X$ are continuous, we get $\rho : \mathcal{F}_{\pi_1 \circ \pi_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\pi_1}$ by mapping a section $s \in \mathcal{F}_{\pi_1 \circ \pi_2}(U) \rightarrow \pi_2 \circ s$

Remark

There is an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Presheaves of } C \text{ on } X \simeq \text{Fun}(\text{Ouv}_X^{\text{op}}, C)$$

1.2 Sheaves

Definition 3 (Sheaf)

Let $C = \text{Set}, \text{Ab}, \text{Ring}$.

A sheaf \mathcal{F} of C on X is a presheaf such that $\forall U \subset X$ open and all open covers $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$

- $\forall s, t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, if $s|_{U_i} = t|_{U_i} \forall i \in I$ then $s = t$
- $\forall \{s_i\}$ with $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ and $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} \forall i, j \in I$, then $\exists s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{U_i} = s_i$

Condition 1 is called locality and condition 2 is the gluing condition.

Remark

- The section s of the gluing condition is unique by the locality condition.
- If C has products, then a presheaf is called a sheaf if

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i, j \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is an equalizer diagram Here the first map is induced by the maps $s_i : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_i)$, the two second maps are induced by, for each pair $i, j \in I$ the restrictions $\rho_{U_i, U_i \cap U_j}$ resp. $\rho_{U_j, U_i \cap U_j}$

Example

1. If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, let $U \cap \emptyset \subset X$ and $I = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset)$ contains at most one element
2. C^0 (and C^∞ if $X = \mathbb{R}^n$) are sheaves since $\forall U \subset X$ open
 - Two continuous functions $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that coincide on an open cover are equal
 - Given an open cover $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ and $f_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in the obvious way is continuous (resp. smooth) because continuity (resp. smoothness) is local.

Definition 4 (Morphisms of sheaves)

A morphism of sheaves $\rho : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ is a morphism of the underlying presheaves.

Remark

- $PSh_C(X)$ is the category of presheaves of C on X
 - $Sh_C(X)$ is the category of sheaves of C on X
- If $C = Ab$, we drop the index.

Remark

There is a forgetful functor $Sh_C(X) \rightarrow PSh_C(X)$.

By definition, this functor is fully faithful

Recall

Let A be a commutative ring (with 1), then $\text{Spec } A$ is the set of prime ideals of A .

The closed subsets of the Zariski topology on $\text{Spec } A$ are of the form $V(M) = \{p \in \text{Spec } A \mid M \subset p\}$.

A basis of this topology is given by $D(a) = \{p \in \text{Spec } A \mid a \notin p\}$, here $a \in A$

Definition 5 (Natural sheaf on Spec A)

Let A be a ring and $X = \text{Spec } A$, then the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X on X is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) = \left\{ s : U \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \text{Spec } A} A_p \mid s \text{ satisfies } i \text{ and } ii \right\}$$

where

1. $\forall p \in U, s(p) \in A_p$
2. $\forall p \in U, \exists a, b \in A$ and $V \subset U$ open with $p \in V \subset D(b)$ with $s(q) = \frac{a}{b} \in A_q \forall q \in V$

and ρ_{UV} are simply the (pointwise) restrictions.

Remark

\mathcal{O}_X is a sheaf of rings :

- $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a ring with pointwise multiplication and addition

Lecture 2: Stalks

Fri 14 Oct

1.3 Stalks

Let X be a topological space.

Definition 6

Let (I, \leq) be a pair where I is a set and \leq is a binary relation.

(I, \leq) is called a preorder if \leq is reflexive and transitive.

(I, \leq) is called a poset if it is preordered and \leq is antisymmetric

(I, \leq) is called a directed set if it is preordered and $\forall i, j \in I \exists k \in I$ such that $i, j \leq k$

Example

1. Let $I = \{U \subset X \mid U \text{ open}\}$ and $U \leq V \iff V \subset U$.

Then I is a directed poset.

2. For $x \in X$, let

$$I_x = \{U \subset X \mid U \text{ open } x \in U\}$$

This is a directed poset.

Definition 7

Let (I, \leq) be a directed set and C a category.

A direct system in C indexed by I is a pair $(\{A_i\}, \{\rho_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I, i \leq j})$.

Where the A_i are objects in C , the $\rho_{ij} : A_i \rightarrow A_j$ are morphisms in C such that

1. $\rho_{ii} = \text{Id}_{A_i}$
2. $\rho_{ik} = \rho_{jk} \circ \rho_{ij}$

Example

If \mathcal{F} is a presheaf of C on X and I_X as in the second example above, then

$$(\{\mathcal{F}(U_i)_{U_i \in I_X}\}, \{\rho_{U_i, U_j}\})$$

is a direct system.

Definition 8 (direct limit)

Let (I, \leq) be a directed set, C a category.

Let $(\{A_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\rho_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I})$ be a directed system, then the direct limit is a pair $(\lim_{i \in I} A_i, \{\rho_i\}_{i \in I})$ where $\lim_{i \in I} A_i$ is in C and $\rho_i : A_i \rightarrow \lim_{i \in I} A_i$ such that

1. $\rho_j \circ \rho_{ij} = \rho_i$
2. For all objects A in C and morphisms $f_i : A_i \rightarrow A$ such that

$$f_j \circ \rho_{ij} = f_i \forall i, j \in I, i \leq j$$

$$\exists! f : \lim_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow A \text{ such that } f \circ \rho_i = f_i$$

Remark

The direct limit is unique up to unique isomorphism.

Example

Write $(*) = (\{A_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\rho_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I, i \leq j})$.

Let $*$ be a direct system in Set .

Let $\lim_{i \in I} A_i := A_i / \sim$ where $a_i \simeq a_j \iff \exists k \in I, i, j \leq k$ such that $\rho_{ik}(a_i) = \rho_{jk}(a_j)$.

This is the direct limit of $*$.

If $*$ is a system in Ab , let $\lim_{i \in I} A_i := \bigoplus A_i / N$ with $N = \langle a_i - \rho_{ij}(a_i) \rangle$.

The natural map $\bigcup A_i / \sim \rightarrow \bigoplus A_i / N$ is a bijection

Remark

Taking the direct limits in (Ab) is exact in the following sense :

\forall directed sets I , \forall direct systems $\{M_i\}, \{N_i\}, \{P_i\}$ indexed by I and for all

collections of commutative diagrams, we get

$$0 \rightarrow \lim M_i \rightarrow \lim N_i \rightarrow \lim P_i \rightarrow 0$$

Definition 9

Let C be a category with direct limits. Let $x \in X$ be a point, \mathcal{F} a presheaf of C on X .

Then the stalk of \mathcal{F} at x is

$$\mathcal{F}_x = \lim \mathcal{F}(U)$$

where U runs over all open neighbourhoods of x .

For $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, we write s_x for the image of s in \mathcal{F}_x and call it the germ of s at x .

Remark

A morphism of sheaves $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ induces $\phi_x : \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_x \forall x \in X$

Remark

Let $x \in X, \mathcal{F}$ a presheaf of Set, Ab

1. $\forall U \subset X$ open, $x \in U, s, t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$

$$s_x = t_x \iff \exists V \subset U \text{ open such that } s|_V = t|_V$$

2. $\forall s \in \mathcal{F}_x, \exists x \in U$ open and $t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $t_x = s$.

Definition 10 (Sheafification)

Let \mathcal{F} be a presheaf of sets (...) on X .

The sheafification of \mathcal{F} is the sheaf \mathcal{F}^+ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^+(U) = \left\{ s : U \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x \mid s \text{ satisfies properties 1 and 2} \right\}$$

1. $\forall x \in U, s(x) \in \mathcal{F}_x$
2. $\forall x \in U, \exists V \subset U$ open and $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ such that $t_x = s(y) \forall y \in V$

Remark

1. \mathcal{F}^+ is a sheaf
2. Sheafification is functorial.
For $\rho : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ a morphism of presheaves, the collection $\rho^+(U) : \mathcal{F}^+(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+(U)$ sending $s \rightarrow (\prod_{x \in U} \rho_x) \circ s$
3. \exists a natural morphism $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$ defined by $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}(U)(s) : x \rightarrow s_x$
4. $\forall s \in \mathcal{F}^+(U)$ there is an open cover $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ and $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ such that $s|_{U_i} = \iota_{\mathcal{F}}(U_i)(s_i)$

5. $\forall x \in X$, the map $\iota_{\mathcal{F},x} : \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x^+$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 20

\forall morphisms $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that \mathcal{G} is a sheaf, there exists a unique morphism $\phi^+ : \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $\phi = \phi^+ \circ \iota_{\mathcal{F}}$

Proof

Let $U \subset X$ open, let $s \in \mathcal{F}^+(U) \ni$ an open cover $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ and $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ such that $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}(U_i)(s_i) = s|_{U_i}$.

Since we want $\phi = \phi^+ \circ \iota_{\mathcal{F}}$, we have to set

$$\phi^+(U_i)(s|_{U_i}) = \phi(U_i)(s_i)$$

Since \mathcal{G} is a sheaf and

$$\phi(U_i)(s_i)|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \phi(U_i \cap U_j)(s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j}) = \phi(U_j)(s_i)|_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

there exists a unique $t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ with $t|_{U_i} = \phi(U_i)(s_i)$.

For ϕ^+ to be a morphism, we have to set $\phi^+(U)(s) = t$.

We still have to check that ϕ^+ is compatible with restriction maps. \square

Remark

The proposition above shows that $\text{hom}_{Sh(X)}(\mathcal{F}^+, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{hom}_{Psh(X)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ naturally in the presheaf and the sheaf \mathcal{G} .

Hence $(-)^+$ is left-adjoint to the forgetful functor $Sh(X) \rightarrow Psh(X)$

Proposition 22

$X = \text{Spec } A \forall a \in A$ there exist isomorphisms $\phi_a : A_a \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D(a))$ such that $\forall b \in A$ with $D(b) \subset D(a)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_a & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O}_X(D(a)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_b & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O}_X(D(b)) \end{array}$$

Proof

Define $\phi_a : A_a \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D(a))$ by sending $\frac{s}{a^n} \mapsto (p \rightarrow \frac{s}{a^n} \in A_p)$.

Clearly, these make the diagram commute.

This map is injective, indeed, suppose $\phi_a(\frac{s}{a^n}) = 0$.

Let $I = \text{Ann}(s) = \{r \in A | rs = 0\}$.

Since $\frac{s}{a^n} = 0 \forall p \in D(a)$, we have $I \not\subset p$, hence $V(I) \subset V(a) \implies a \in \sqrt{I}$.

Thus there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $a^m s = 0$, hence $\frac{s}{a^n} = 0$.

To show surjectivity, let $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(D(a))$, by definition of \mathcal{O}_X and because $D(h_i)$ form a basis, we find $a_i, g_i, h_i \in A$ such that

$$D(a) = \bigcup D(h_i), D(h_i) \subset D(g_i)$$

and $s(q) = \frac{a_i}{g_i}$ for all $q \in D(h_i)$.

1. Claim 1 : Can choose $g_i = h_i$
2. Claim 2 : Can choose I finite
3. Claim 3 : Can choose a_i, h_i such that $h_j a_i = h_i a_j$.

Using these claims, since $D(a) = \bigcup D(h_i)$, we find $n > 0, b_j \in A$ such that $a^n = \sum b_j h_j$.

Write $c = \sum a_i b_i$.

Then $h_j = \sum_i a_i b_i h_j = \sum a_j b_i h_i = a^n a_j$.

Thus $\frac{c}{a^n} = \frac{a_j}{h_j} \in A_{h_j} \implies \phi_a(\frac{c}{a^n}) = s$.

We now prove the claims

1. We have $D(h_i) \subset D(g_i)$ thus $V(g_i) \subset V(h_i)$ and thus $h_i \in \sqrt{(g_i)}$.
So there exists $c_i \in A$ and $n > 1$ such that $h_i^n = c_i g_i$.
Now, we replace h_i by h_i^n and a_i by $a_i c_i$. Then

$$\frac{a_i c_i}{h_i^n} = \frac{a_i}{g_i}$$

2. We have $D(a) \subset \bigcup D(h_i) \iff V(\sum h_i) = \bigcap V(h_i) \subset V(a)$.
This is equivalent to saying that $a \in \sqrt{\sum (h_i)}$.
Thus there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $a^n \in \sum_i (h_i)$.
So there exist finitely many $b_i \in A$ such that $a^n = \sum b_j h_j$
3. On $D(h_i) \cap D(h_j) = D(h_i h_j)$, we have

$$\phi_{h_i h_j}(\frac{a_i}{h_i}) = s|_{D(h_i h_j)} = \phi_{h_i h_j}(\frac{a_j}{h_j})$$

Thus

$$\frac{a_i}{h_i} = \frac{a_j}{h_j} \in A_{h_i h_j}$$

Thus, there exists $N_j \geq 1$ such that $(h_i h_j)^{N_j} (h_j a_i - h_i a_j) = 0$.

From claim 2, I is finite, so we can choose N big enough such that N works for all $D(h_i)$.

Now, we replace h_i by h_i^{N+1} and a_i by $h_i^N a_i$ and we get $h_j a_i - h_i a_j = 0 \in A$. \square

Corollary 23

Take $X = \text{Spec } A$, then $\forall p \in \text{Spec } A \exists$ isomorphisms $\phi_p : A_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ such that the appropriate diagram commutes.

Proof

1. Observe $\lim_{a \in A \setminus p} = A_a \simeq A_p$ (check universal property)
2. Observe that $\lim_{p \in D(a)} \mathcal{O}_X(D(a)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$

Lecture 3: Kernels/cokernels of sheaves

Mon 17 Oct

1.4 Kernels, cokernels, exactness

In this chapter, every (pre)-sheaf is a (pre)sheaf of Abelian groups.

Definition 11 (Subsheaf)

Let \mathcal{F} be a (pre)sheaf on X .

Then a sub(pre)sheaf of \mathcal{F} is a (pre)sheaf \mathcal{G} such that $\mathcal{G}(U) \subset \mathcal{F}(U)$ for every open and the restriction maps are induced by \mathcal{F} .

Definition 12 (Kernel, cokernel of presheaves)

Let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves

1. The presheaf kernel of ϕ is the presheaf $\ker^{pre}(\phi)$ defined by $\ker^{pre}(\phi)(U) = \ker(\phi(U))$
2. The presheaf image is defined as $\text{Im}^{pre}(\phi)(U) = \text{Im}(\phi(U))$
3. The presheaf cokernel is $\text{coker}^{pre}(\phi)(U) = \text{coker}(\phi(U))$.

In each case, the restriction maps are induced by those in \mathcal{F} or \mathcal{G} .

Lemma 24

If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are sheaves, then the presheaf kernel is a sheaf.

Proof

Let $U \subset X$ open and $U = \bigcup U_i$ an open cover, $s_i \in \ker^{pre}(\phi)(U_i)$ such that $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$.

Since \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, $\exists s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{U_i} = s_i$.

Since $\ker^{pre}(\phi)(U_i) = \ker(\phi(U_i))$, we have $\phi(U_i)(s_i) = 0$.

Thus

$$\phi(U)(s)|_{U_i} = \phi(U_i)(s|_{U_i}) = 0$$

Since \mathcal{G} is a sheaf, $\phi(U)(s) = 0 \implies s \in \ker^{pre}(\phi)(U)$. \square

Example

By an exercise, the image presheaf and cokernel presheaf are, in general, no sheaves, even if \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are.

Definition 13 (Cokernel/image of morphisms of sheaves)

Let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves

1. sheaf kernel : $\ker^{pre}(\phi)$

2. sheaf image $(\text{Im}^{pre}(\phi))^+$
3. sheaf cokernel $(\text{coker}^{pre}(\phi))^+$

Lemma 26 (cokernels are cokernels)

Let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves

1. $\ker \phi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a categorical kernel in $Sh(X)$
2. $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{coker } \phi$ is a categorical cokernel in $Sh(X)$.

Proof

1. This means that for each commutative diagram with solid arrows, the dotted arrow is unique
"Insert cokernel/kernel diagram here"
This holds for every open U and so the kernel is a sheaf.
2. The appropriate diagram commutes and we use the universal property of sheafification. \square

Proposition 27

Let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves of abelian groups, then the following are equivalent

1. ϕ is a monomorphism in $Sh(X)$
2. $\ker(\phi) = 0$
3. $\ker(\phi(U)) = 0$
4. $\ker(\phi_x) = 0$

Proof

Recall ϕ is a monomorphism if for every $\psi : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, $\phi \circ \psi = 0 \implies \psi = 0$.
The implication $1 \implies 2$ follows by applying the monomorphism property to $\ker \phi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. $2 \implies 1$ If $\phi \circ \psi = 0$, then ψ factors through the kernel $\ker \phi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and so $\psi = 0$.
 $2 \iff 3$ Since $\ker(\phi)(U) = \ker(\phi(U))$
 $3 \implies 4$ Follows because taking direct limits is exact.
 $4 \implies 3$ Let $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ with $\phi(U)(s) = 0$, then $\phi_x(s_x) = (\phi(U)(s))_x = 0$.
So $s_x = 0 \forall x \in U$ and so $s = 0$ \square

Proposition 28

Let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves of abelian groups, then the following are equivalent

1. ϕ is an epimorphism in $Sh(X)$
2. $\text{coker}(\phi) = 0$
3. $\text{coker}(\phi_x) = 0$

Proof

Recall that ϕ is an epimorphism if for every $\psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$, $\psi \circ \phi = 0 \implies \psi = 0$

1 \implies 2 Apply epimorphism property to $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{coker}(\phi)$

2 \implies 3 We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\text{coker } \phi)_x \\ &= (\text{coker}^{pre} \phi)_x = \text{coker}(\phi_x) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3 \implies 1

Let $\psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ such that $\psi \circ \phi = 0$, this implies that $0 = (\psi \circ \phi)_x = \psi_x \circ \phi_x$.

Since ϕ_x is an epimorphism of abelian groups, we get $\psi_x = 0$.

As the hom sheaf is a sheaf, we get that $\psi = 0$

Remark

If $\text{coker}(\phi(U)) = 0 \forall U \subset X \implies \text{coker}(\phi) = 0$ but the converse is not true.

Corollary 30

If $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a morphism of sheaves, then the following are equivalent

1. ϕ is an isomorphism
2. $\phi(U)$ is an isomorphism $\forall U \subset X$ open
3. ϕ_x is an isomorphism $\forall x \in X$

Proof

1 \implies 2 since taking sections is a functor

2 \implies 3 since taking limits is functorial

2 \implies 1 because $(\phi(U))^{-1}$ defines a morphism of sheaves

3 \implies 2 Need to show surjectivity of $\phi(U)$.

Let $t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$, since ϕ_x is an isomorphism $\forall x \in U$, we find $s_x \in \mathcal{F}_x$ such that $\phi_x(s_x) = t_x$.

There exists an open neighbourhood and $s_{V_x} \subset \mathcal{F}(V_x)$ such that $(s_{V_x})_x = s_x$
Since

$$(\phi(V_x)(s_{V_x}))_x = t_x$$

we can choose $V + x$ sufficiently small such that $\phi(V_x)(s_{V_x}) = t|_{V_x}$.

Since $\phi(V_x \cap V_y)$ is injective and $\phi(V_x \cap V_y)(s_{V_x}|_{V_x \cap V_y}) = t|_{V_x \cap V_y} = \phi(V_x \cap V_y)(s_{V_y}|_{V_x \cap V_y})$, we have $s_{V_x}|_{V_x \cap V_y} = s_{V_y}|_{V_x \cap V_y}$.

Thus there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{V_x} = s_{V_x}$ and $\phi(U)(s)|_{V_x} = t|_{V_x}$ and thus $\phi(U)(s) = t$. \square

Definition 14 (Exact Sequence of sheaves)

A sequence of sheaves $\mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathcal{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \mathcal{F}_3$ is called exact if $\ker \phi_2 = \text{Im } \phi_1$

Corollary 31

A sequence of sheaves is exact iff the associated sequence on stalks is exact for all points.