# PROBA

### David Wiedemann

# Table des matières

1	Son	ne historical models	4	
	1.1	Laplace Model	4	
2	Basic Formalism			
	2.1	Measure spaces : A notion of area	5	
	2.2	Probability spaces	6	
	2.3	Basic properties	6	
	2.4	Measurable and measure preserving maps $\dots \dots \dots$	7	
3	Pro	bability spaces	7	
	3.1	Discrete probability spaces	7	
		3.1.1 Symmetric simple random walk	8	
	3.2	Continuous probability spaces	8	
	3.3	Borel $\sigma$ -algebra	8	
	3.4	Probability Measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$	9	
	3.5	Probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E)$	9	
	3.6	Probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$	11	
	3.7	Product probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$	12	
		3.7.1 Product $\sigma$ -algebra	12	
	3.8	Product measures	12	
	3.9	Infinite product spaces	12	
4	Rar	ndom Variables	13	
	4.1	Independence of RV	16	
	4.2	Example of continuous random variables	16	
	4.3	Transformation of random variables	17	
5	Rar	ndom Vectors	17	
$\mathbf{L}$	ist	of Theorems		
	1	Definition (Laplace Model)	Δ	

1	Proposition	4
2	Proposition	4
2	Definition (Intermediate model)	4
3	Definition (Geometric probability)	5
4	Definition (Measure space)	5
5	Definition (Probability space)	6
3	Lemme	6
6	Definition	7
4	Lemme (Push-Forward measure)	7
5	Proposition	7
6	Lemme	7
7	Proposition	8
7	Definition (Borel sigma-algebra)	8
8	Theorème (Existence of Lebesgue-measure)	9
9	Theorème (Uniforme Measure)	9
8	Definition (Cumulative distribution function)	9
10	Theorème	9
11	Lemme	10
12	Corollaire	11
13	Theorème (Dynkin)	11
9	Definition (Joint c.d.f.)	11
14	Theorème	12
10	Definition (Product algebra )	12
11	Definition	12
16	Lemme	13
17	Proposition	13
12	Definition (Random Variables)	13
13	Definition (Equality of RV)	13
14	Definition	13
19	Proposition	14
20	Lemme	14
15	Definition	14
21	Lemme	14
16	Definition	14
22	Proposition	14
17	Definition	15
23	Proposition	15
24	Proposition	15
18	Definition (Independence of RV) $\dots \dots \dots \dots$	16
25	Proposition	16
19	Definition (Random variables with density)	16

26	Theorème (Version of central limit theorem)	17
27	Lemme	17
28	Proposition	17
20	Definition (Random Vector)	17
30	Lemme	17
31	Proposition	18
32	Lemme	18
33	Proposition	18
34	Corollaire	18
21	Definition (Random vectors with density)	18

### Lecture 1: Introduction

Wed 22 Sep

### 1 Some historical models

### 1.1 Laplace Model

### Definition 1 (Laplace Model)

 $\Omega$  finite set,  $|\Omega| = n$  is the set of outcomes.

We can observe whether  $E \subset \Omega$  happens, and we define it's probability

$$\mathbb{P}(E) = \frac{|E|}{|\Omega|}$$

### Question

Why should this have any meaning/content?

### Proposition 1

Consider laplace model for n coint tosses  $\Rightarrow$  every sequence has probability  $2^{-n}$ 

Denote by  $H_n$  the number of heads in n tosses

$$\mathbb{P}(|\frac{H_n}{n} - \frac{1}{2}| > \epsilon) \to 0$$

More generally

### Proposition 2

If you have a laplace model for some event E, and look at n repetitions, then

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \mathbb{P}(|\frac{E_n}{n} - \mathbb{P}(E)| > \epsilon) \to 0$$

### Limitations of Laplace Model

- All outcomes have equal probability?
- Need  $|\Omega| < \infty$ , so what about infinite sets?

What next?

### Definition 2 (Intermediate model)

Let  $\Omega$  to be any set and  $P: \Omega \to [0,1]$ , s.t.  $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$ 

Event :  $E \subset \Omega$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(E) \coloneqq \sum_{\omega \in E} p(\omega)$$

- More freedom
- If you take  $\Omega$  finite,  $p(\omega) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \Rightarrow$  Laplace model
- Price? How to choose  $p:\Omega\to[0,1]\to \text{collect data, do statistics}$
- keeps many nice properties

- For contable sets, this is equivalent to the standard model.
- For uncountable  $\Omega$ ?
- Problem 1: There is no function s.t.

$$p(\omega) > 0 \forall \omega \in \Omega \text{ and } \sum p(\omega) = 1$$

This intermediate model is in essence only for countable sets.

### What about uncountable sets?

— What about a random point int [0,1] or  $[0,1]^n$ ? Intuitively, consider [0,1], then we can set

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \text{length}(A)$$

### Definition 3 (Geometric probability)

Take  $f: \mathbb{R} \to (0, \infty)$  to be a riemann-integrable function with total mass 1. For any  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , s.t.  $1_A$  riemann-integrable, we set  $\mathbb{P}(A) = \int_A f(x) dx$ 

- In general quite  $\underline{ok}$  BUT
- You would expect there is one framework for uncountable and countable sets.
- What about more complicated spaces (eg. space of continuous functions)
- $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Q})$  is undefined

### 2 Basic Formalism

### 2.1 Measure spaces: A notion of area

- Set + structure
- General setting to talk about area

### Definition 4 (Measure space)

 $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is called a measure space if :

- $\Omega$  is some set
- $\mathcal{F} \subset P(\Omega)$  called a  $\sigma$ -algebra
  - $-\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
  - $\ F \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow F^c \in \mathcal{F}$
  - $-F_1, F_2, \ldots, \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\bigcup_{i>1} F_i \in \mathcal{F}$  each F is called a measurable set.
- $-\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0,\infty)$  called the measure
  - $-\mu(\emptyset) = 0$

— If  $F_1, \ldots$ , are disjoints sets of the  $\sigma$ -algebra, then

$$\mu(\bigcup_{i\geq 1} F_i) = \sum_{i\geq 1} \mu(F_i)$$

— Defined by Borel 1898 and Lebesgue 1901-1903

#### Probability spaces 2.2

Given by Kolmogorov in 1933

### Definition 5 (Probability space)

A triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is called a probability space if it is a measure space and  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) =$ 1

### Interpretation

- $\Omega$  state space/universe
- ${\mathcal F}$  is the set of events you can observe/have access to
- $\mathbb{P}(E)$  is the probability of E

### Lemme 3

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space

- $-F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow F_1 \setminus F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$
- $-F_1,\ldots\in\mathcal{F}\Rightarrow\bigcap F_i\in\mathcal{F}$
- $-F_1, F_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \geq 1} F_i$

Let us compare this definition with the prior ones

- $\Omega$  finite set,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega), \mathbb{P}(F) = \frac{|F|}{|\Omega|}$  this is a probability space and a laplace model.
- For  $\Omega$  countable,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega), \mathbb{P}(E) = \sum_{\omega \in E} \mathbb{P}(\omega)$
- The really new part is  $\mathcal{F}$  which restricts the sets we can measure

Lecture 2: ...

Wed 29 Sep

## 2.3 Basic properties

 $-F_1, F_2, \ldots, \in \mathcal{F}$  disjoint

$$\mu(\bigcup F_i) = \sum \mu(F_i)$$

$$-F_1 \subset F_2 \in \mathcal{F} \ \mu(F_1) \le \mu(F_2)$$
$$-F_1 \subset F_2 \ldots \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$-F_1 \subset F_2 \ldots \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$\mu(F_n) \to \mu(\bigcup F_i)$$

$$-F_1, F_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}$$

$$\mu(\bigcup F_i) \le \sum \mu(F_i)$$

In addition, in probability spaces

$$--\mathcal{P}(F^c) = 1 - \mathcal{P}(F)$$

$$-F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \ldots \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(F_n) \to \mathcal{P}(\bigcap F_i)$$

### 2.4 Measurable and measure preserving maps

#### Definition 6

Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1), (\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  two measure spaces.

 $f: \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$  is called measurable if for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}_2$ ,  $f^{-1}(F) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ 

A measurable function  $f:(\Omega_1,\mathcal{F}_1)\to(\Omega_2,\mathcal{F}_2)$  is called measure preserving if  $\forall F\in\mathcal{F}_2\ \mu_1(f^{-1}(F))=\mu_2(F)$ .

### Lemme 4 (Push-Forward measure)

Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{P}_1), (\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be two measure spaces, and f measurable, then  $\mathbb{P}_2(F) = \mathbb{P}_1(f^{-1}(F))$  is a probability measure.

## 3 Probability spaces

- Discrete probability spaces :  $\Omega$  countable
- Continuous probability spaces :  $\Omega$  uncountable.

### 3.1 Discrete probability spaces

Does introducing a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  enlargen the generality?

### Proposition 5

If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a discret probability space,  $\exists \Omega_2 \text{ countable}, \mathbb{P}_2 : \mathcal{P}(\Omega_2) \to [0, 1]$ s.t.  $(\Omega_2, P(\Omega_2), \mathbb{P}_2)$  is a probability space and  $\exists f : (\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \to (\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$  is measure preserving

Still  $\mathcal{F}$  is useful:

— can sequentially study a model/situation by taking  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \dots$ 

### Lemme 6

There is no shift-invariant probability measure on  $(\mathbb{Z}, P(\mathbb{Z}))$ 

### Preuve

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_n \left\{n\right\}) = \sum \mathbb{P}(\left\{n\right\}) = \infty$$

⇒ cannot treat everyone on an equal ground!

#### 3.1.1 Symmetric simple random walk

A simple walk of length n s.t.  $|s_n - s_{n-1}| = 1$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all walks of length n, and consider  $(\Omega, P(\Omega), \mathbb{P})$ .

What is the probability that S hits 0?

What does it look like, what is it's max?

### 3.2 Continuous probability spaces

Can we define a probability measure on  $S^1$  s.t.  $(S^1, P(S^1))$  that is rotation invariant?

Similarly to the countable case, but not the same as  $\Omega$  is uncountable and setting  $P(\{\omega\}) = 0$  gives no contradiction.

### Proposition 7

You can not.

#### Preuve

Idea: decompose  $S^1$  into countable many sets  $A_n$  st  $\bigcup A_n = S^1$ , they are disjoint and rotations of each other.

$$\forall x \in S^1, define S_x as \left\{ \dots, T^{-2}x, T^{-1}x, x, Tx, \dots \right\}.$$

Note that either  $S_x = S_y$  or  $S_x \cap S_y = \emptyset$ .

### Lecture 3: Measurable maps

Wed 06 Oct

### 3.3 Borel $\sigma$ -algebra

- Cannot define shift-invariant probability measure on  $([0,1], \mathcal{P}([0,1]))$ .
- What  $\sigma$ -algebra to choose on  $(X, \tau)$ ?
- Want to know the siize of all open-sets

### Definition 7 (Borel sigma-algebra)

On  $(X, \tau)$  the borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\tau$ .

This is well defined because, given a collection of  $\sigma$ -algebras, their intersection is too.

### Two nice properties

— Continuous functions on a Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra are also measurable.

#### Preuve

Suffices to check that  $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_1}$  for  $U \in \tau_2$  but this is immediate since f is continuous.

In  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \tau_E)$ , the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_E$  is generated by  $(a_1, b_1) \times ... \times (a_n, b_n)$ .  $\mathcal{F}_E$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing open intervalls.

### 3.4 Probability Measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$

### Theorème 8 (Existence of Lebesgue-measure)

There exists a unique measure  $\lambda$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{F}_E)$  s.t.  $\lambda((a_1 \times b_1) \times \ldots \times (a_n, b_n)) = \prod_i |b_i - a_i|$ 

### Theorème 9 (Uniforme Measure)

There exists a unique  $\mathbb{P}$  measure on  $([0,1]^n, \mathcal{F}_E)$  with the same property.

Both  $\lambda$  and  $\mathbb P$  are shift-invariant in fact only shift invariant measures on  $\mathbb R$  ( up to a constant)

#### Preuve

Consider the case of  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{F}_E)$  and  $f_r: x \to x + \tau, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- $-f_r \ continuous \Rightarrow measurable$
- $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \mathbb{P}(f^{-1}(A))$  is a probability measure
- All boxes have the same measure

### 3.5 Probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E)$

We saw that we can put a uniform measure on [0,1].

All probability measures on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E)$ 

- 1.  $\mathbb{P}: \mathcal{F}_E \to [0,1]$
- 2. These are actually only characterized by  $\mathbb{P}((-\infty, x))$

#### Definition 8 (Cumulative distribution function)

 $F: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$  is called a c.d.f if

- F is non-decreasing
- $-F(x_n) \to 0 \ then \ x_n \to -\infty$
- $-F(x_n) \rightarrow 1 \text{ if } x_n \rightarrow 1$
- F is right-continuous.

### Theorème 10

Given a probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E)$ , then  $f(x) \coloneqq \mathbb{P}((-\infty, x))$  is a c.d.f

Given a c.d.f, there exists a unique probability measure s.t.  $\mathbb{P}(-\infty, x) = F(x)$ 

### Preuve

Given  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E)$ .

Let's show that  $F(x) = \mathbb{P}((-\infty, x))$  is a c.d.f.

$$-x < y$$
  $F(x) = \mathbb{P}((-\infty, x)) \le \mathbb{P}(-\infty, y) = F(y)$ 

$$-x_n \to -\infty$$
  $F(x_n) = \mathbb{P}(-\infty, x_n) \to \mathbb{P}(\bigcap_n (-\infty, x_n)) = 0$ 

$$-x_n \to \infty \Rightarrow F(x_n) \to 1 \text{ is similar}$$

— Also for right continuous  $x_n \to x$ , we have that  $[x_n, \infty) \subset [x_{n+1}, \infty)$ 

How do we construct  $\mathbb{P}$  given F?

Trick using push-forward measure.

Define  $f:(0,1)\to\mathbb{R}$ , define

$$f(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ F(y) \ge x \right\}$$

Define  $\mathbb{P}(A) := \mathbb{P}_U(f^{-1}(A)) \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_E$  Why is f measurable? If f is increasing  $\Rightarrow f$  is measurable

### Lecture 4: ...

Wed 13 Oct

Each c.d.f gives rise to a unique  $\mathbb{P}$ .

A priori  $\mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}_2$  means  $\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_E \mathbb{P}_1(F) = \mathbb{P}_2(F)$ .

We show that it suffices to show that  $\mathbb{P}_1((-\infty, x]) = \mathbb{P}_2((-\infty, x]) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Lemme 11

Given  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E, \mathbb{P})$  then  $\forall B \in \mathcal{F}_E, \forall \epsilon > 0$  one can find disjoint intervals  $I_1, \ldots, I_n$  s.t.  $\mathbb{P}(B\Delta(I_1 \cup \ldots \cup I_n)) < \epsilon$ 

#### Preuve

Consider the collection H of all subsets  $H \in \mathcal{F}_E$  s.t. the property above holds.

We know that H contains all intervalls, hence  $\sigma(H) = \mathcal{F}_E$ .

So we only need to show that H is a  $\sigma$ -algebra

1. 
$$\emptyset \in H : Know that \forall x(-\infty, x] \in H$$

2. If 
$$B \in H \Rightarrow B^C \in H$$
.

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $I_1, \ldots, I_n$  s.t.  $\mathbb{P}(B\Delta(I_1 \cup \ldots)) < \epsilon$ , but  $(B\Delta A) = B^C \Delta A^C$ , hence

$$\mathbb{P}(B^C\Delta(I_1\cup\ldots))<\epsilon$$

3.  $H_1, \ldots \in H$ , we want  $\bigcup_i H_i \in H \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}((\bigcup_{i=0}^{m} H_i)\Delta(\bigcup_{i} H_i)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

 $\forall i = 1, \ldots, m$ , we have disjoint  $I_{i,1}, \ldots, I_{i,m_i}$  s.t.

$$\mathbb{P}(H_i\Delta(I_{i,1}\cup\ldots))<\frac{\epsilon}{2m}$$

Now use that

$$(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} H_i) \Delta(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_i} I_{i,j}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} (H_i \Delta \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_i} I_{i,j})$$

Finally, we can write a finite union of disjoint intervals

#### Corollaire 12

 $\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{P}_2$  probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}_2$  as soon as

$$\mathbb{P}_1((-\infty, x]) = \mathbb{P}_2((-\infty, x])$$

or

$$\mathbb{P}_1(x,y) = \mathbb{P}_2(x,y)$$

#### Preuve

Notice  $(-\infty, x)$  can be written as

$$(-\infty, x) = (\bigcup_n (x, x+n))^C$$

So it suffices to prove the first point.

Observe, for all intervalls  $\mathbb{P}_1(I) = \mathbb{P}_2(I)$  since

$$\mathbb{P}_i(y,x) = \mathbb{P}_i(-\infty,x) - \mathbb{P}_i(-\infty,y)$$

The condition holds for B if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , we can pick  $I_1, \ldots, I_n$  s.t.

$$\mathbb{P}_1(B\Delta(I_1\cup\ldots))<\epsilon$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_2(B\Delta(I_1 \cup \ldots)) < \epsilon$$

So we need to check again that this is a  $\sigma-$  algebra and we are done. Now we can conclude that

$$|\mathbb{P}_1(B) - \mathbb{P}_1(I_1 \cup \ldots)| = |\mathbb{P}_1(B) - \mathbb{P}_2(I_1 \cup \ldots)| < \epsilon$$

and

$$|\mathbb{P}_2(B) - \mathbb{P}_1(I_1 \cup \ldots)| = |\mathbb{P}_2(B) - \mathbb{P}_2(I_1 \cup \ldots)| < \epsilon \qquad \Box$$

An abstract uniqueness result follows from a similar strategy.

### Theorème 13 (Dynkin)

 $\mathbb{P}_1$  and  $\mathbb{P}_2$  two probability measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , suppose  $\mathbb{P}_1(H) = \mathbb{P}_2(H)$  for all  $H \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$  and

$$-\sigma(H) = \mathcal{F}$$

$$- H_1 \in \mathcal{H}, H_2 \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow H_1 \cap H_2 \in \mathcal{H}$$

Then  $\mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}_2$ 

### 3.6 Probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$

### Definition 9 (Joint c.d.f.)

$$F: \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,1]$$

- F non-decreasing in each coordinate
- $F(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \to 1 \text{ if all } x_i \to -\infty$
- right-continuous

### Theorème 14

Joint c.d.f  $\iff$   $\mathbb{P}$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{F}_E)$ 

### 3.7 Product probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$ , $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$

- Related to independence
- Natural mathematically

### 2 steps

- product  $\sigma$ -algebra
- product measure

### 3.7.1 Product $\sigma$ -algebra

### Definition 10 (Product algebra)

Let  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i\geq 1}$  measurable spaces, then the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}$  on  $\prod_i \Omega_i$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by sets  $F = E_1 \times \ldots \times E_n \times \Omega_{n+1} \times \ldots$ ,  $E_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ 

### Remarque

- Projections are measurable
- In fact, product  $\sigma$ -algebra s.t. all projections are measurable

Notice on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we now have two ways to define a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

- Take  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \tau_E)$  and induce a Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra
- Take *n* copies of  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E)$  and consider  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$

### 3.8 Product measures

#### Definition 11

Given  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i)_{i \geq 1}$  probability spaces  $\mathbb{P}_{\pi}$  on  $(\prod_i \Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_{\pi})$  is called the product measure of  $\mathbb{P}_i$ .

If  $\forall n \geq 1$ , all sets  $E = E_1 \times E_2 \times \ldots \times E_n \times \Omega_{n+1} \times \ldots$ 

$$\mathbb{P}_{\pi}(E) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}_{i}(E_{i})$$

### Lecture 5: Conditional probability

Wed 20 Oct

### 3.9 Infinite product spaces

Case of  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E, \mathbb{P}_i)_{i \geq 1}$ .

### Space of infinite faire coin tosses

We want the infinite product of  $(\{0,1\}, P(\{0,1\}), \mathbb{P})$ .

We use the uniform measure ([0, 1],  $\mathcal{F}_E$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ ), for  $x \in [0, 1), x = 0.x_1x_2...$ , we send  $f: x \to (x_1, x_2,...)$ 

#### Lemme 16

f as defined above is measurable

### Preuve

Note that

- $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}$  generated by  $F_1 \times \ldots, F_n \times \{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$  with  $|F_i| = 1$
- $\mathcal{F}_E$  is generated by sets of the forme  $(2^{-n}j, 2^{-n}(j+1))$  .

Moreover,  $(j2^{-n}, (j+1)2^{-n})$  is in correspondence with  $F_1 \times ... \times F_n \times \{0, 1\} \times ...$ 

### Proposition 17

There exists a product probability measure on  $(\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{F}_{\pi})$ 

### Preuve

Consider 
$$f:([0,1],\mathcal{F}_E)\mapsto (\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}},\mathcal{F}_{\pi}).$$
  
We define  $\mathbb{P}_{\pi}$  as the pushforward of  $\mathbb{P}_U$  under  $f$ 

### Lecture 6: Random Variables

Wed 27 Oct

### 4 Random Variables

### Definition 12 (Random Variables)

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space.

Then  $X : \Omega \mapsto t\mathbb{R}$  measurable as a map  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E)$  is called a (real) random variable.

The pushforward measure  $\mathbb{P}_X(F) = \mathbb{P}(X^{-1}(F)) \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_E$  is called the law of X

#### Remarque

There is a more general notion of  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$  valued random variable.

### Definition 13 (Equality of RV)

X, Y two random variables are called equal in law if

$$\mathbb{P}_X(F) = \mathbb{P}_Y(F) \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_E$$

#### **Definition 14**

X is a R.V. we call the c.d.f. of  $\mathbb{P}_X$   $F_X$ 

$$F_X(s) = \mathbb{P}_X(X \le s)$$

### Proposition 19

Each R.V. X gives rise to a unique c.d.f.  $F_X(s) = \mathbb{P}_X(X \leq s)$  and conversely, each c.d.f. gives rise to a unique law of a probability measure

#### Preuve

Follows directly from the proposition relating probability measures and c.d.f.  $\Box$ 

#### Lemme 20

1. 
$$\mathbb{P}_X < s = F(S^-)$$

2. 
$$\mathbb{P}_X(X=s) = F(s) - F(s^-)$$

3. 
$$\mathbb{P}_X(X \in (a,b)) = F(b^-) - F(a)$$

#### Definition 15

 $X \ a \ R. V., \ s \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

If 
$$F(s) - F(s^{-}) > 0 \iff \mathbb{P}_{X}(X = s) > 0$$
, then s is a atom of X

### Lemme 21

A R.V. can have at most countably many atoms or in other words, a c.d.f. can have at most countably many jumps.

### **Definition 16**

 $X \ a \ R. V.$ 

If  $F_X$  increases by jumps, we call X a discrete R.V.

If  $F_X$  is cts, we call X a cts R.V.

### Proposition 22

X a R.V. Then we can write  $F(X) = aF_Y + bF_Z$  s.t. a + b = 1 and Y discrete, Z cts R.V.

#### Preuve

If  $F_X$  is discrete or cts, we are done.

$$\exists S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots\} \text{ s.t. } F_X(s_i) - F_X(s_i^-) > 0 \text{ iff } s_i \in S \text{ .}$$

Consider

$$\hat{F}_Y(s) = \sum 1_{\{S \ge s_i\}} (F(s_i) - F(s_i^-))$$

and

$$\hat{F}_Z(s) = F_X(s) - \hat{F}_Y(s)$$

We now show that  $\hat{F}_Z$  continuous.

Finally, define

$$F_Y(s) = \frac{\hat{F}_Y(s)}{\hat{F}_Y(\infty)}$$

and similarly

$$F_Z(s) = \frac{\hat{F}_Z(s)}{\hat{F}_Z(\infty)}$$

### Lecture 7: Example of RV

Wed 03 Nov

Geometric R.V.

Let  $S = \mathbb{N}$  and 0 .

$$\mathbb{P}(X=k) = (1-p)^{k-1}p$$

Corresponds to first success if success rate is p.

### Definition 17

We call a rv with support  $\mathbb{N}$  memoryless if

$$\mathbb{P}(X > k + l | X > k) = \mathbb{P}(X > l)$$

### Proposition 23

Geo(p) is memoryless and every memoryless RV with support on  $\mathbb N$  is a geometric rv.

#### Preuve

$$\mathbb{P}(X > k + l | X > k) \mathbb{P}(X > k) = \mathbb{P}(X > k + l) = (1 - p)^{k+l}$$

But also  $\mathbb{P}(X > l) = (1 - p)^l$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(X > k + l | X > k) = (1 - p)^{l}$$

Now suppose X is a memoryless RV with  $\mathbb{P}(X > 1) > 0$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(X>l+1|X>1) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X>l+1)}{\mathbb{P}X>1} = \mathbb{P}(X>l)$$

Inductively, it follows that  $\mathbb{P}(X > l) = \mathbb{P}(X > 1)^l$ 

### Poisson RV

Define

$$\mathbb{P}(Poi(\lambda) = k) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}e^{-\lambda}$$

### Proposition 24

$$Ber(n, \frac{\lambda}{n}) \mapsto Poi(\lambda) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

in the sense that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(Ber(n, \frac{\lambda}{n}) = k) \to \mathbb{P}(Poi(\lambda) = k)$$

#### Preuve

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(Bin(n,\frac{\lambda}{n}) = k) &= \binom{n}{k} (\frac{\lambda}{n})^k (1 - \frac{\lambda}{n})^{n-k} = \mathbb{P}(Bin(n,\frac{\lambda}{n}) = k) = \binom{n}{k} (\frac{\lambda}{n})^k (1 - \frac{\lambda}{n})^n (1 - \frac{\lambda}{n})^{-k} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} (\frac{n!}{(n-k!)n^k} (1 - \frac{\lambda}{n})^{-k}) \to \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \end{split}$$

### 4.1 Independence of RV

### Definition 18 (Independence of RV)

 $(X_i)_{i\geq 1}$  RV defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  are called mutually independent if  $\forall J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots\}$  finite ad  $\forall E_j \in \mathcal{F}_E \forall j \in J$ .

$$\mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{j\in J} \{X_j \in E_j\}) = \prod \mathbb{P}(X_j \in E_j)$$

### Proposition 25

 $(X_i)_{i\geq 1}$  RV with laws  $\mathbb{P}_{X_i}$  then we can find a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and RV  $\tilde{X}_i$  s.t.

- $-X_i \simeq \tilde{X}_i$
- $(\tilde{X}_i)$  are mutually independent.h

#### Preuve

Consider the product probability space of  $(\mathbb{P}_{X_i})$  i.e.  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{F}_{\pi}, \mathbb{P}_{\pi})$ .

Let  $\tilde{X}_i$  be the projection on the i-th coordinate.

Are  $(\tilde{X}_i)$  independent?

$$\mathbb{P}_{\pi}(\bigcap_{j \in J} \left\{ \tilde{X}_j \in E_j \right\}) = \mathbb{P}_{\pi}(\bigcap_i F_i)$$

With  $F_i = \mathbb{R}$  if  $i \notin J$  and  $F_i = E_i$  if  $i \in J$ 

### 4.2 Example of continuous random variables

We will mainly work with a subclass of continuous rv :

### Definition 19 (Random variables with density)

We call a continuous rv X with c.d.f.  $F_x$  a r.v. with densite if  $\exists f : R \to [0, \infty)$  which is integrable,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f = 1$  st

$$\mathbb{P}(X \le t) = F_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t f_X(s)ds$$

### Lecture 8: rv with density

Wed 10 Nov

The gaussian random variable describes sums of independent errors

### Theorème 26 (Version of central limit theorem)

Let  $X_1, \ldots$  be iid random variables s.t.  $\mathbb{P}(|X_I| < C) = 1$  for some C > 0 and such that  $-X_i$  and  $X_i$  have the same law.

Then  $S_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\sqrt{n}} \to \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  in the sense that  $\mathbb{P}(S_n \in (a, b)) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \in (a, b))$ 

### 4.3 Transformation of random variables

### Lemme 27

If  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  continuous and r.v. on  $(\omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  then  $\phi(X)$  is also r.v. on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ 

#### Preuve

Need to check that  $\phi \circ X$  is measurable.

- Continuous functions are measurable
- Composition of measurable functions is measurable

### Proposition 28

Let X be a continuous random variable with density  $f_X : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ . Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  bijective, continuously differentiable with  $\phi'(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\phi(X)$  is a r.v. with density given by

$$f_{\phi(X)}(x) = \frac{1}{\phi' \circ \phi^{-1}(X)} f_X(\phi^{-1}(x))$$

### 5 Random Vectors

### Definition 20 (Random Vector)

 $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$   $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  random variables then  $\overline{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  is called a random vector.

#### Remarque

Marginal laws on their own do not describe the behavior of  $\overline{X}$ .

#### Lemme 30

If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_E)$  measurable  $\overline{X}$  is measurable from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{F}_E)$ 

#### Preuve

Suffices to check that  $\overline{X}^{-1}$  of each  $E = F_1 \times \ldots \times F_n$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ 

$$\overline{X}^{-1}(E) = \bigcap X_i^{-1}(F_i) \in \mathcal{F}$$

Hence we can define

$$\mathbb{P}_{\overline{X}}(E) := \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}^{-1}(E)) \forall E \in \mathcal{F}_E$$

Which is a probability law on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{F}_E)$  called the joint law of  $\overline{X}$ 

#### **Proposition 31**

The joint law of a random vector  $\overline{X}$  is uniquely characterised by the joint  $\operatorname{cdf}$ 

#### Preuve

Restatement of probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are in correspondence with joint cdf $\square$ 

### Lemme 32

 $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  random variables  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  are independent if and only if

$$F_{\overline{X}}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \prod_i F_i(x_i)$$

#### Transformations of random vectors

### Proposition 33

 $\overline{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}^n$  valued random vector and  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  continuous then  $\phi(\overline{X})$  is a  $\mathbb{R}^n$  valued random vector with values in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

### Corollaire 34

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be random variables on  $\Omega$  then  $\sum a_i X_i$  is a random variable

### Definition 21 (Random vectors with density)

Let  $\overline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  random vector then

$$f_{\overline{X}}: \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$$

Riemann integrable with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\overline{X}}(y)dy = 1$  if  $\forall [a_0, b_1) \times \dots [a_n, b_n) = B$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\{X_1 \in [a_0, b_1)\} \cap \ldots) = \int_B f_{\overline{X}}(y) dy$$

### Gaussian vectors

Let  $\overline{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and C positive definite  $n \times n$  matrix called covariance matrix then the density

$$f_{\overline{\mu},C}(\overline{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \det C^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-2(\overline{x} - \overline{\mu})^T C^{-1}(\overline{x} - \overline{\mu})\right)$$