Analysis IV

David Wiedemann

Table des matières

List of Theorems

Lecture 1: Measure theory

Wed 23 Feb

1 Lebesgue Measure

Motivation

Given a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is it possible to integrate f over Ω.
For $n = 1$ and $\Omega = [a, b]$ riemann-integral works, at least for continuous functions.
However, it is not fully satisfactory

1. Extends badly to $\mathbb{R}^n$
2. Stability with limits Take $f_n : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ continuous and pointwise decreasing, define $f(x) = \lim f_n(x)$, then the integral over f might not exist.
3. Differentiation and integration.
   What is the biggest class of functions for which the fundamental theorem works ?
   For sure in C_1 but that is not the biggest class.
4. Consider $C^0([0, 1])$ with L^1-distance.
   Then C^0 is not complete, what is the completion of $\bar{C^0}^d$

We want to find a satisfactory theory of integration.
How can we define the length/volume of a subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$?
Ideally to $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ associate $m(\Omega) = 0$ with

$$0 \leq m(\Omega) \leq \infty \quad m((0,1)^m) = 1 \quad m(A \cup B) = m(A) + m(B) \text{ if } A \text{ and } B \text{ disjoint.}$$

$$m(A) \leq m(B) \quad m(A + x) = m(A)$$

This is impossible !

1.1 Measurable sets

We can ask that
— (Borel Property) Open and closed are measurable
— Ω measurable $\implies$ Ω^c measurable
— (σ-algebra) We want to take countable intersection of measurable sets

Definition 1 (Lebesgue Measure)
The lebesgue measure $m(\Omega)$ of any measurable set will obey
— $m(\emptyset) = 0$
— $\infty \geq m(\Omega) \geq 0$
— *Monotonicity* $m(\Omega_1) \leq m(\Omega_2)$ *if* $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$

— *If* $\Omega_1, \dots$ *are measurable and disjoint, then we want*

$$m(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(\Omega_i)$$

and with $\leq$ *if they are not disjoint.*

— *(Normalisation)*

$$m((0,1)^n) = 1$$

— *(Translation invariance)*

$$m(\Omega + x) = m(\Omega) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Remarque

— *From countable subadditivity, finite subadditivity follows*

— *Monotonicity is redundant because, given* $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$

$$m(\Omega_2) = m(\Omega_1 \cup (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1)) = m(\Omega_1) + m(\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$$

— *The sums above might be infinite*

Remarque

m *is a positive measure if the first four conditions above are satisfied*

Theorème 3 (Existence of Lebesgue Measure)
There exists a notion of measurable set obeying the conditions of measurable sets and a measure obeying the conditions.

1.2 Outer Measure

We first want to describe a cube and associate a measure to these boxes. Then we will take a more general set, cover it with boxes and define it's measure by the smallest possible covering by boxes.

Definition 2 (Box)
A open box $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *is*

$$B = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (a_i, b_i)$$

and define the volume of a box

Definition 3 (Volume of a box)
Given $B = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(a_i, b_i)$, *we define*

$$volB = \prod_i (b_i - a_i)$$

Now, how can we cover $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$?

Definition 4 (Covered set)
Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *is covered by* $\{B_j\}_{j\in J}$ *if* $\Omega \subset \bigcup B_j$

Remarque
If m *(the lebesgue measure) exists and* J *is countable, then*

$$m(\Omega) \leq m(\bigcup B_j) \leq \sum m(B_j)$$

Definition 5 (Outer-Measure)
The outer measure of a set Ω *is defined as*

$$m^*(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \sum volB_j : \{B_j\} \text{ is a countable cover of } \Omega \right\}$$

Remarque
For every Ω *there exists at least one countable cover*

Lemme 6
The outer measure obeys

1. $m^*(\emptyset) = 0$
2. $0 \leq m^*(\Omega) \leq \infty$
3. $m^*(\Omega_1) \leq m^*(\Omega_2)$ *if* $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$
4. $m^*(\Omega + x) = m^*(\Omega)$
5. *Countable subadditivity :* $m^*(\bigcup \Omega_j) \leq \sum m^*(\Omega_j)$

Preuve

— $m^*(\emptyset) = 0$ *because* $\emptyset, \{0\} \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)^n \forall \epsilon > 0$
— *All good*
— *Any cover of* Ω_2 *also covers* Ω_1
— *For any cover of* Ω *we can translate it over to* $\Omega + x$
— *For every* $J \in \mathbb{N}$, *let* $\left\{B_i^J\right\}_{i\in I_J}$ *cover* Ω_J, *then* $\Omega_j \subset \bigcup_{i\in I_J} B_i^J$, *then*

we can choose the B_i^J in such a way that

$$\sum_i vol(B_i^J) \leq m^*(\Omega_J) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^J}$$

and since $\left\{B_i^J\right\}_{i,J}$ covers $\bigcup_J \Omega_J$

$$m^*(\bigcup \Omega_J) \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{i\in I_J} vol(B_i^J) \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} (m^*(\Omega_J) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^J}) = \epsilon + \sum m^*(\Omega_J)$$

$\square$

Proposition 7
For a closed box $\overline{B}$

$$m^*(\overline{B}) = vol(B)$$

Preuve
Clearly $\overline{B}$ is covered by $\prod(a_i + \epsilon, b_i + \epsilon)$ Hence

$$m^*(\overline{B}) \leq vol(\prod(a_i + \epsilon, b_i + \epsilon)) \to \prod(b_i - a_i)$$

Hence $m^*(\overline{B}) \leq vol(B)$
Now we show that $vol(B) \leq m^*(\overline{B})$.
By Heine-Borel, $\overline{B}$ is compact.
Hence we only need to show the result with a finite cover.
In dimension 1, we are given $(a_1, b_1), \ldots$ covering $[a, b]$.
Remark that

$$1_{[a,b]} \leq \sum_i 1_{(a_i,b_i)}$$

Integrating (Riemann-integral), we get

$$(b - a) \leq \sum(b_i - a_i)$$

Now, we use induction

$$B_J = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(a_i^s, b_i^s) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(a_i^s, b_i^s) \times (a_n^s, b_n^s)$$

Define

$$f_J(x_m) = vol(A_J)1_{(a_n,b_m)}(x_m)$$

For every x_m, we get

$$\left\{A^J : j \in J, x_n \in (a_n^J, b_n^J)\right\} \text{ is a cover of } \overline{A}$$

$$\sum f_j(x_m) = sum_{j\in J,x_n} vol(A_j)1_{(a_n,b_n)} \geq vol\overline{A}$$

$\square$

Lecture 2: Existence of Lebesgue Measure

Thu 24 Feb

Corollaire 8

$m^*(B) = vol(B)$ for every open box B.

Preuve

For one direction, we use monotonicity, $m^*(B) \leq m^*(\overline{B}) = vol(B)$.
Furthermore, set $B = \prod (a_i, b_i)$, then for $\epsilon > 0$, we get

$$\prod [a_i + \epsilon, b_i - \epsilon] \subset \prod_i (a_i, b_i) \implies m^*(\prod [a_i + \epsilon, b_i - \epsilon]) \leq \prod_i (b_i - a_i)$$

$\square$

Exemple

- $m^*(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$ *since by monotonicity, we get* $m^*(\mathbb{R}) \geq m^*([0, N]) > N$
- $m^*(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ *since*

$$m^*(\mathbb{Q}) \leq m^*(\{q\}) = 0$$

Which proves that the reals are uncountable.

1.3 Measurable sets (again)

We want to know whether $\forall A, E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, the inequality

$$m^*(A) \leq m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \setminus E)$$

generalises to an equality ?
The inequality follows directly from countable subadditivity. In fact equality does not hold in general.

Definition 6 (Lebesgue Measurable set)

A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is Lebesgue measurable if

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \setminus E) \forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

Then the lebesgue measure of E is defined as

$$m(E) := m^*(E)$$

Note that, according to this definition, $\emptyset, \mathbb{R}^n$ are both measurable.

Lemme 10

Half-spaces are measurable

The proof is given as an exercise.
We now establish a few basic facts about measurable sets.

Lemme 11

- *The complement of a measurable set is measurable*
- *The translation of a measurable set is measurable, ie. E measurable, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ implies $E + x$ measurable*
- *Finite unions of measurable sets is measurable. (as well as the intersection)*
- *Open (as well as closed) boxes are measurable.*
- *If the outer measure of a set is 0, then E is measurable.*

Preuve

- $$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E^{c^c}) + m^*(A \cap E^c)$$
- *Given A a set and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we get*
$$m^*(A - x) = m^*(A - x \cap E) + m^*((A - x) \cap E^c) = m^*(A \cap E + x) + m^*(A \cap E^c + x) = m^*(A)$$
- $$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) + m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)^c)$$
- *Consider the union of two setsWe now bound $m^*(A)$ by below (the upper bound is always true)*
$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E_1) + m^*(A \cap E_1^c)$$
$$= m^*(A \cap E_1 \cap E_2) + m^*(A \cap E_1 \cap E_2^c) + m^*(A \cap E_1^c \cap E_2) + m^*(A \cap E_1^c \cap E_2^c)$$
$$\geq m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) + m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)^c)$$
The general result follows immediatly by induction on the number of sets.
- *We get that*
$$m^*(A) \geq m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \cap E^c)$$ □
- *We write boxes as intersections of halfspaces*

Now we want to show that the lebesgue measure is countably additive.

Proposition 12
If $(E_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are measurable disjoint sets, then $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i$ is measurable and

$$m^*(\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m^*(E_j)$$

The proof depends on a lemma

Lemme 13
Let $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ be measurable disjoint sets, $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$,then

$$m^*(A \cap (\bigcup E_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m^*(A \cap E_j)$$

As a consequence of this, we get finite additivity.

Preuve
For $n = 2$, we get

$$m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) = m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2) \cap E_1) + m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2) \cap E_1^c)$$
$$= m^*(A \cap E_1) + m^*(A \cap E_2) \qquad \square$$

and the general case follows by induction.

Corollaire 14
$E \subset F$ measurable implies $F \setminus E$ is measurable and

$$m^*(F \setminus E) = m(F) - m(E)$$

Preuve
The set is trivially measurable since $F \setminus E = F \cap E^c$ Using the lemma above, we get

$$m^*(F) = m^*(E) + m^*(F \setminus E) \qquad \square$$

We can now prove countable additivity

Preuve
Let $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$.
We claim that $\forall A$

$$m^*(A) \geq m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \setminus E)$$

Indeed note that

$$m^*(A \cap E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m^*(A \cap E_J) = \sup_N \sum_{j=1}^{N} m^*(A \cap E_j)$$

Set $F_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} E_j$, by the lemma, the finite sum above is

$$\sup_N \sum_{j=1}^{N} m^*(A \cap E_j) = m^*(A \cap F_N)$$

Since $F_N \subset E$,
$$m^*(A \setminus E) \leq m^*(A \setminus F_N)$$
Then
$$m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \setminus E) < \sup_N m^*(A \cap F_N) + \underbrace{m^*(A \setminus E)}_{\leq m^*(A \setminus F_N)} < \sup_N m^*(A)$$
□

This proves that $m(E) \geq \sup_N m(F_N) = \sup_N \sum_{j=1}^N m(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty m(E_J)$

Lemme 15 (Lebesgues sets are a sigma-algebra)
If $(E_J)_J \in \mathbb{N}$ *are measurable, then* $\bigcup E_j$ *and* $\bigcap E_j$ *are measurable.*

Preuve

$$E_1 \cup \ldots = E_1 \cup (E_2 \setminus E_1) \cup (E_3 \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)) \ldots$$

and the property about intersections follows from $\bigcap E_J = (\bigcup E_J^c)^c$ □

Lemme 16 (Open sets are measurable)
Every open set is measurable

Preuve
By an exercise, every open set is a countable union of open boxes and a countable union of measurable sets is countable by the lemma above. □

1.4 A glimps on abstract measure theory and theoretical foundations of probability

The idea of Lebesgue was to fix the measure of boxes and then extend the measure to the sigma algebra of measurable sets.

Theorème 17 (Caratheodory theorem)
Given a set Ω, $\mathcal{G}$ *an algebra (finite union of boxes),* A *the smallest algebra containing* $\mathcal{G}$.
Let $m_0 : \mathcal{G} \to [0, \infty]$ *be a function s.t.* $m(\emptyset) = 0, m_0(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty m_0(A_m)$ *if* $A_m \in \mathcal{G}, A_m$ *disjoint and* $\bigcup A_m \in \mathcal{G}$
Then $\exists$ *a measure on* A *such that* $m|_\mathcal{G} = m_0$ *and, if the measure of* $m_0(\Omega) < \infty \implies m$ *is unique.*

Furthermore

Theorème 18
Every probability $\mathbb{P}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ gives rise to a cumulative distribution function, conversely, every cdf gives rise to a (unique) probability measure.

1.5 The cantor set

Definition 7 (Cantor set)
Consider $[1,1]$, define $P_0 = [0,1]$, $P_1 = [0, \frac{1}{3},] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ and keep going. By definition $P_0 \supset P_1 \ldots$, the cantor set is the intersection of all of them.

There are a few nice properties of the cantor set

Theorème 19

1. *P is compact*
2. *$m^*(P) = 0$*
3. *P is uncountable*
4. *P is perfect[a] and has empty interior.*

a. No point in p is isolated.

Lecture 3: Measurable functions

Thu 03 Mar

1.6 Measurable functions

Definition 8 (Measurable functions)
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ measurable, $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is measurable if $\forall V$ open, $f^{-1}(V)$ is measurable.

Remarque
Any function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is measurable $\iff$ $f^{-1}(B)$ is measurable $\forall B$ open boxes.

Preuve
Indeed, the implication $\implies$ is immediate.
For the other direction, note that any open set V is a countable union of boxes

$$V = \bigcup_i B_i$$

and $f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_i f^{-1}(B_i)$ which is measurable. □

Remarque
Let $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable $\iff$ $f^{-1}((a, \infty))$ are measurable.

Preuve

By the remark above, it is enough to show that $f^{-1}((a,\infty))$ *are measurable* $\forall a, b$

$$f^{-1}((a,b)) = f^{-1}((-\infty,b) \cap (a,\infty)) = f^{-1}(a,\infty) \cap f^{-1}([b,\infty))^c$$

Now, rewrite $f^{-1}([b,\infty)) = \bigcap_i f^{-1}((b-\frac{1}{i},\infty))$ □

Definition 9

$f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ *is measurable if* $f^{-1}((a,\infty])$ *is measurable* $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$

Using the remark above, the definition is compatible with the definition of measurable functions.

Remarque

Consider $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, f *is measurable* $\iff$ *all projections of* f *are measurable.*

Preuve

To prove this, recall that f *is measurable* $\iff$ $f^{-1}(B)$ *are measurable, we may write* $B = B_1 \times \ldots \times B_n$*, hence,* $f^{-1}(B) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n f_i^{-1}(B_i)$*.*
Hence the right to left implication follows.
$\implies$ *Consider* $B = \mathbb{R} \times \ldots \times B_i \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}$*, then* $f^{-1}(B) = f_i^{-1}(B_i)$ *is measurable* □

Remarque

Let $f : \Omega \to W$ *and* $g : W \to \mathbb{R}^p$*, then* $g \circ f$ *is measurable if* g *is continuous and* f *measurable.*

Lemme 24

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *measurable,* $f_m : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^*$ *measurable, then the functions*

$$\sup f_m, \inf f_m, \limsup f_m, \liminf f_m$$

are measurable.
In particular, if $f_m \to f$ *pointwise, then* f *is measurable.*

Preuve

Call $F = \sup f_n$*, we want to prove that*

$$F^{-1}((a,\infty]) = \bigcup f_m^{-1}((a,\infty])$$ □

Lecture 4: Lebesgue Integration

Wed 09 Mar

1.7 Lebesgue integration

Definition 10 (Simple functions)
A measurable function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is simple if (Ω is measurable)

1. *$f(\Omega)$ is a finite set*
2. *$\exists c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $E_1, \ldots, E_n \subset \Omega$ measurable s.t.*

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i 1_{E_i}$$

Preuve
Clearly $\{c_1, \ldots, c_n\} = f(\Omega)$, conversely, if $f(\Omega) = \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$, define $E_i = f^{-1}(c_i)$ □

Remarque
Note that simple functions are vector spaces

Lemme 26
Let $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be measurable. Then $\exists$ an increasing sequence $\{f_n\}$ converging pointwise to f

Preuve
Define $f_n(x) = \sup_j \{2^{-n} J \leq \min(f(x), 2^n)\}$.

Definition 11
Let $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a simple function, then the lebesgue integral of f is

$$\int_\Omega f dx = \sum_{\lambda \in f(\Omega), \lambda \geq 0} \lambda \mu \{x \in \Omega : f(x) = \lambda\}$$

Note this definition works for general measures.

Remarque
Let $f = \sum_i c_i 1_{E_i}$, then

$$\int_\Omega f dx = \sum_i c_i \mu(E_i)$$

The integral may be infinite.

Definition 12 (Almost everywhere)
A property $P(x)$ holds almost everywhere if $P(x)$ holds for every x except a set of measure 0.

Proposition 28 (Properties of simple functions)
Let $f, g : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be simple functions

1. $0 \leq \int_\Omega f \leq \infty$ *and* $\int_\Omega f = 0 \iff f \equiv 0$ *almost everywhere.*
2. $\int_\Omega f + g d\mu = \int_\Omega f d\mu + \int_\Omega g d\mu$
3. $\lambda \int_\Omega f d\mu = c \int_\Omega f$
4. *if* $f \leq g$, *then* $\int_\Omega f + \int_\Omega g$

Definition 13 (Lebesgue Integral of non-negative function)
Let $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be measurable, we define

$$\int_\Omega f := \sup \left\{ \int_\Omega s dx : s \leq f, s \text{ simple} \right\}$$

Remarque
In fact, if f is simple both definitions are compatible.

Proposition 30
Let $f, g : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be measurable

— $0 \leq \int_\Omega f \leq \infty$ *and* $\int_\Omega f = 0 \iff f = 0$ *a.e.*
— $\int_\Omega cf = c \int_\Omega f$
— *If* $f \leq g$ *then* $\int_\Omega f \leq \int_\Omega g$
— *If* $f = g$ *a.e. then* $\int_\Omega f = \int_\Omega g$
— *if* $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, *then* $\int_{\Omega'} f = \int_\Omega (f 1_{\Omega'})$

We will prove additivity later on

Theorème 31 (Lebesgue Monotone convergence theorem)
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set and take f_n an increasing sequence of functions converging pointwise to f.
Then

$$\int_\Omega f = \lim_{m \to +\infty} \int_\Omega f_n$$

Preuve
By definition $f(x) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} f_n(x) = \sup_n f_n(x)$ (since the f_n are increasing).

Using the propositions above, we have that

$$\int_\Omega \sup_m f_m \geq \int_\Omega f_m \quad \forall m$$

Hence $\int_\Omega f \geq \sup \int_\Omega f_m$.
We claim $\int_\Omega \sup f_m \leq \sup \int_\Omega f_m$.
It suffices to show that $\forall \epsilon$

$$(1-\epsilon)\int_\Omega s \leq \sup_m \int_\Omega f_m \quad \forall s \leq \sup f_m \text{ simple}$$

Indeed, note that $\forall x \in \Omega \exists N \coloneqq N(x)$ *s.t.* $f_N(x) \geq (1-\epsilon)s(x)$.
Let $E_n = \{x \in \Omega : f_n \geq (1-\epsilon)s\}$.
Since f_n *is increasing,* $E_1 \subset E_2 \ldots$ *and* $\bigcup E_i = \Omega$, *hence we get*

$$(1-\epsilon)\int_{E_m} s = \int_{E_m} (1-\epsilon)s \leq \int_{E_m} f_N \leq \int_\Omega f_n$$

Taking the sup yields

$$\sup_n (1-\epsilon)\int_{E_n} s \leq \sup_n \int_\Omega f_n$$

Hence, we only need to show that the left hand side equals $(1-\epsilon)\int_\Omega s$.
Indeed, the inequality $\sup_n (1-\epsilon)\int_{E_n} s \leq (1-\epsilon)\int_\Omega s$.
For the other inequality, write $s = \sum 1_{F_j} c_j$, *then*

$$\int_{E_n} s = \int_\Omega \sum c_j 1_{E_n \cap F_j}$$

□

Lecture 5: Monotone Convergence theorem

Thu 10 Mar

Corollaire 32
$f, g : \Omega \to [0, \infty)$ *measurable, then*

$$\int_\Omega f + g = \int_\Omega f + \int_\Omega g$$

Preuve
Let s_n, t_n *be simple functions converging pointwise to* f *respectively* g, *then* $s_n + t_n$ *converges pointwise to* $f + g$.
Then

$$\int_\Omega f + g = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_\Omega s_n + t_n = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_\Omega s_n + \int_\Omega t_n = \int f + \int g$$

□

Corollaire 33

Let $g_1, \ldots : \Omega \to [0, \infty)$ *be measurable functions, then*

$$\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_\Omega g_i$$

Preuve

Let $G_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i$*, this is a sequence of functions converging to* G *(from below)*

$$\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i = \int_\Omega G = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_\Omega G_n = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_\Omega g_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_\Omega g_i \qquad \square$$

1.8 Fatou's lemma

Theorème 34 (Fatou's lemma)

Let f_i *be a sequence of measurable functions* $\Omega \to [0, \infty)$*, then*

$$\int_\Omega \liminf_{m\to\infty} f_m \leq \liminf_{m\to\infty} \int_\Omega f_m$$

Preuve

By definition

$$\liminf f_m = \sup_n \inf_{m\geq n} f_m$$

By monotone convergence theorem

$$\int_\Omega \liminf_n f_n = \sup_n \int_\Omega \inf_{m\geq n} f_m$$

Since $\int_\Omega \inf_{m\geq n} f_m \leq \int_\Omega f_J \forall J \geq m$*, hence*

$$\int_\Omega \inf_{m\geq n} f_m \leq \inf_{J\geq m} \int_\Omega f_J$$

And finally

$$\int_\Omega \liminf f_m \leq \sup_m \inf_{J\geq m} \int_\Omega f_J = \liminf_{J\to+\infty} \int_\Omega f_J \qquad \square$$

Lemme 35

Let $f : \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ *be a measurable function, if* $\int_\Omega f < \infty$*, then*

$$\mu \{x \in \Omega : f(x) = \infty\} = 0$$

Preuve
Suppose not, let E be this set, then $\forall n$

$$n1_E \leq f \implies n\mu(E) \leq \int_\Omega f$$

□

Exemple (Borel-Cantelli)
Let $\{\Omega_i\}$ be measurable sets such that $\sum \mu(\Omega_i) < \infty$, then

$$\limsup \Omega_i = \{x \in \Omega : x \in \Omega_i \textit{ for infinitely many values } \}$$

has measure 0.

Preuve
We claim that $\int_\Omega \sum_i 1_{\Omega_i} < \infty$,, then by the lemma, $f < \infty$ almost everywhere, hence $x \in \Omega_i$ only for finitely many i, hence $x \notin \limsup \Omega_i$.
The proof of the claim follows from the corollary to fatou's lemma :

$$\int_\Omega \sum_i 1_{\Omega_i} = \sum_i \int_\Omega 1_{\Omega_i} = \sum \mu(\Omega_i) < \infty$$

□

Lecture 6: Dominated Convergence Theorem

Wed 16 Mar

1.9 Integration of signed functions

Definition 14
$f : \Omega \to [-\infty, \infty]$ is absolutely integrable if

$$\int_\Omega |f| < \infty$$

Definition 15 (Integral of a function)
Let f be an absolutely integrable function, then

$$\int_\Omega f = \int_\Omega f^+ - \int_\Omega f^-$$

Remarque

$$|\int_\Omega f| \leq \int_\Omega |f|$$

Proposition 38 (Basic properties)
Let f, g be absolutely integrable functions
— *$\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$, cf is absolutely integrable and $\int_\Omega cf = c\int_\Omega f$*

— *$f+g$ is absolutely integrable and* $\int_\Omega f+g = \int_\Omega f + \int_\Omega g$
— *If* $f=g$ *almost everywhere then* $\int_\Omega f = \int_\Omega g$

Theorème 39 (Dominated Convergence Theorem)
Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots : \Omega \to [-\infty, \infty]$ *be measurable functions. Assume* $f_n \to f$ *almost everywhere and such that* $|f_m(x)| \leq F(x) \forall m, x \in \Omega$ *where* F *is absolutely integrable.*
Then

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int f_n = \int f$$

Remarque
With the same assumptions, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int |f_n - f| = 0$$

Indeed, apply the theorem to $g_n = |f_n - f|$.
Then $|g_m| \leq |f_n| + |f| \leq 2F$.
Similarly, let f_m *be such that the above condition holds, then* $\int f_n \to \int f$, *since*

$$|\int f_n - \int f| = |\int f_n - f| \leq \int |f_n - f| \to 0$$

Preuve
By assumption $|f_n| \leq F$, *hence* $|f| \leq F$.
Apply Fatou to $F(x) + f_n(x)$, *we get*

$$\int_\Omega F + f \leq \liminf \int F + f_n \leq \liminf \int f_m + \int f_n$$

Now we apply Fatou to $F - f_n \geq 0$, *we get*

$$\int_\Omega F - \int_\Omega f \leq \liminf \int_\Omega F - f_n$$

Which in turn implies that

$$\int_\Omega f \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega f_n$$

We now apply the same trick to $F - f_n$, *noticing again this family of functions is non-negative*

$$\int_\Omega F - f \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega F - f_n$$
$$\int_\Omega f \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega f_n$$

Which implies the limit $\int f_n$ *exists and is equal to* $\int f$ $\square$

Remarque (Differentiation under the integral)
Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ *be measurable such that*

- $\partial_t f(x,t)$ *for almost every* x *and every* t
- $|\partial_t f(x,t)| \leq h(x)$ *where* $h(x)$ *is an absolutely integrable function, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int f(x,t)dx = \int \partial_t f(x,t)$$

Preuve
Indeed

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int f(x,t) = \lim_{h\to 0}\int \underbrace{\frac{f(x,t+h)-f(x,t)}{h}}_{\to \partial_t f(x,t)}$$

Now notice that

$$|\frac{f(x,t+h)-f(x,t)}{h}| \leq |\int \partial_t f(x,t+hs)ds| \leq h(x)$$

□

Definition 16
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, f *a function (not necessarily measurable).*
The upper and lower Lebesgue integrals

$$\overline{\int_\Omega} f = \inf\left\{\int g : g \text{ measurable }, g \geq f\right\}$$

and similarly the lower integral.

$$\underline{\int_\Omega} f = \inf\left\{\int g : g \text{ measurable }, g \leq f\right\}$$

1.10 Comparison with Riemann Integral

Theorème 42 (Lebesgue generalizes Riemann)
Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ *be an interval,* $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *be Riemann integralble, then* f *is absolutely integrable and*

$$\int_I f dx = \text{ Riemann integral of } f \text{ on } I$$

Preuve
f *is Riemann integrable if* $\forall \epsilon > 0$ *there exists* p *a partition of* I *such that*

$$A - \epsilon \leq \sum |J| \inf_{x\in J} f \leq \sum_{J\in P} |J| \sup f \leq A + \epsilon$$

Since $f_\epsilon^- \leq f \leq f_\epsilon^+$

$$A - \epsilon \leq \int f_\epsilon^- \leq \underline{\int} f \leq \overline{\int} f \leq \int f_\epsilon^+ \leq A + \epsilon$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ yields the result.
Indeed let $f_m^\pm$ be such that $f_m^- \leq f \leq f_m^+$

$$\underline{\int} f - \frac{1}{m} \leq \int f_m^+ \leq \overline{\int} f + m$$

□

Setting $F^- = \sup f_m^-, F^+ = \inf f_m^+$ are measurable.
$F^- \leq f \leq F^+$

1.11 Fubini's Theorem

Theorème 43 (Fubini-Tonelli)
Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume $f \geq 0$ or f absolutely integrable, then

— *for almost every x , $f(x, \cdot)$ is measurable and*

$$x \mapsto \int f(x,y)dy$$

is measurable

— *For almost every y , $f(\cdot, y)$ is measurable and*

$$y \mapsto \int f(x,y)dy$$

is measurable

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m} f dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x,y)dy \right) dx$$

Lecture 7: Fubini's Theorem

Thu 17 Mar

Remarque
Tonelli is used on $|f|$ and to show that f is absolutely integrable, then we can apply Fubini.

Preuve
We prove the result under the additional assumptions that $m = n = 1$ and that every function appearing is measurable.
We will prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x,y)dydx$$

It is enough to prove the above equality when $f \geq 0$.
If not, $f = f^+ - f^-$, then we may apply the above result to f^+ and f^-.

Notice also that it is sufficient to prove the result for f such that $\operatorname{Supp} f \subset [-N,N]^2$.
Indeed, write $f_n = f 1_{[-n,n]^2}$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n$$

Now since f_n is monotone, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_n \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f$ the left hand side yields (again using monotone convergence)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dydx$$

We may now reduce the problem even further to simple functions with bounded support.
Indeed for every $f \geq 0$, f is a sup *of simple functions so we can apply monotone convergence.*
Now since every simple function is the sum of indicator functions, we only need to prove the result for indicator functions :

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum c_i 1_{E_i} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f dxdy &= \sum_i c_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 1_{E_i} dxdy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_i c_i 1_{E_i} dxdy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dydx \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_E(x,y) dydx \leq m(E) \quad E \subset [-N,N]^2$$

Indeed if the above holds, we may apply it to $[-N,N]^2 \setminus E$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{[-N,N]^2 \setminus E} dydx \leq m([-N,N]^2 \setminus E)$$

Summing both inequalities yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_E + 1_{[-N,N] \setminus E} dydx \leq m(E) + m([-N,N]^2 \setminus E) = m([-N,N]^2)$$

Hence all inequalities above are in fact equalities.
So we only need to prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_E(x,y) dydx \leq m(E) \quad E \subset [-N,N]^2$$

Consider a covering $\{B_j\}$ of E s.t. $\sum \operatorname{Vol}(B_j) \leq m(E) + \epsilon$, but this is just

$$\sum \operatorname{Vol}(B_j) = \sum \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B_j} dydx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{\sum 1_{B_j}}_{\geq 1_E} dydx \qquad \square$$

Which concludes the proof.

Lecture 8: Lp spaces

Wed 23 Mar

2 L_p spaces

Definition 17 (Lp space)
Let $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$, we define

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$\left\{ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\} \mid \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)} < \infty \right\}$$

Remarque
If $p = 1$, then $L^1(\Omega)$ are absolutely integrable functions.
We hope the definition above is a norm, but we need

$$\|f\| = 0 \iff f = 0$$

so we need to ask that $f = 0$ almost everywhere.
We wish to identify in L^p functions that coincide almost everywhere, so we need to identify as follows

$$(L^p(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{L_p}) = \{f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\} : \|f\| < \infty\} / \sim$$

where $f \sim g \iff f = g$ ae.

Definition 18 (L infinity)
Define

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} |f| = \inf \{\alpha : f < \alpha \text{ almost everywhere }\}$$

If f is continuous, the sup and ess sup coincide.
Then $L^\infty(\Omega)$ is then defined as above.

Proposition 46
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be measurable and $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, then

- *$L^p(\Omega)$ is a vector space*

— *If* $m(\Omega) < \infty$, *then* $\|f\|_{L_q} \leq K \|f\|_{L_q} \forall f$ *where* K *depends on* $m(\Omega), p$ *and* q.
— *if* $m(\Omega) < \infty$, *then* $\lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} = \|f\|_{L^\infty}$
— *Minkowski inequality*

$$\|f+g\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} + \|g\|_{L^p}$$

In particular $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ *is a norm.*

Theorème 47 (Hoelder inequality)
Let Ω *be measurable,* $p \in [1, \infty]$, *then*

$$\|fg\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}}$$

where p' *satisfies* $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$

Preuve
The inequality holds iff $\|\lambda_1 f \lambda_2 g\|_{L^p} \leq \|\lambda_1 f\|_{L^p} \|\lambda_2 g\|_{L^{p'}}$ *for all* $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. *So we may reduce ourselves to the case*

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \|g\|_{L^{p'}} = 1$$

Now

$$\int |fg| \leq \int \frac{|f|^p}{p} + \frac{|f|^{p'}}{p'} = 1$$

□

Preuve (Of second point above)

$$\|F\|_{L^P} = \|F^p\|_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{P}} \leq (\int F^{P\frac{Q}{P}})^{\frac{1}{Q}} (\int 1^{p'})^{\frac{1}{P} - \frac{1}{Q}}$$

□

Preuve (Of fourth point)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f+g\|_{L^p}^p &= \int |f+g|^p \\
&\leq \int (|f| + |g|)|f+g|^{p-1} \\
&= \int |f||f+g|^{p-1} + \int |g||f+g|^{p-1} \\
&\leq (\int |f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\int |f+g|^p)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&= (\|f\| + \|g\|) \|f+g\|^{p-1}
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.1 Completeness of L^p

Theorème 48 (Lp spaces are complete)
Let Ω be measurable, $p \in [1, \infty]$, then $L^p(\Omega)$ is complete, namely if

$$\lim_{m,n \to +\infty} \|f_n - f_m\|_{L^p} = 0$$

then $\exists f \in L^p$ s.t. $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|f_n - f\|_{L^p} = 0$.
Moreover, if the above holds then $\exists$ a subsequence $\{m_k\}$ s.t.

$$f_{m_k}(x) \to f(x)$$

Almost everywhere.

Remarque
Taking the subsequence above is important, see exercises.

Preuve
We prove the result for $p < \infty$, the case $p = \infty$ is an exercise.
We want to prove that $\{f_m\}$ is cauchy in L^p implies there is a subsequence $f_m \to f$ in L^p pointwise.
We look for a speedy converging subsequence.
Indeed, we know from hypothesis that there exists a subsequence $\{m_k\}$ st.

$$\left\|f_{m_k} - f_{m_{k+1}}\right\| \leq 2^{-k}$$

Now consider

$$f(x) = f_{m_1}(x) + \sum_k f_{m_{k+1}} - f_{m_k}(x)$$

This is a reasonable definition, but is it well defined.
Namely is the series absolutely converging for almost every x ?
Consider

$$g_h(x) = |f_{m_1}(x)| + \sum_{k=1}^{h} |f_{m_{k+1}}(x) - f_{m_k}(x)|$$

Is $\lim_{h \to +\infty} g_h < \infty$ ae. ? If yes, f is well defined.
Indeed,

$$\|g_j\|_{L^p} \leq \|f_{m_1}\|_{L^p} + \sum \left\|f_{m_{k+1}} - f_{m_k}\right\| \leq \|f_{m_1}\| + 1$$

But now

$$\int |g|^p = \lim_{h \to +\infty} \int |g_h|^p < \infty$$

Hence g is finite a.e. and

$$f(x) = \lim f_{m_1}(x) + \sum_k f_{m_{k+1}} - f_m = \lim f_{m_k}(x)$$

And the convergence is dominated by g.
To prove L^p convergence

$$\|f_{m_k} - f\|_{L^p}^p = \int |f_{m_k} - f|^p \to 0$$ □

Lecture 9: Smooth functions are dense

Thu 24 Mar

2.2 Approximation of L^p functions with $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$

Definition 19 (Compactly supported)
If $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$, then $\mathrm{Supp}\, f = \{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$

$$C_c^0(\Omega) = \left\{f \in C^0(\Omega) : \mathrm{Supp}\, f \subset\subset \Omega\right\}$$

Where we require Supp f *to be compact. And then we define*

$$C_c^k(\Omega) = C_c^0(\Omega) \cap C^k(\Omega)$$

Theorème 50
Let Ω be an open set, $1 \leq p < \infty, f \in L^p(\Omega)$ then $\exists g_k \in C_k^\infty(\Omega)$ st. $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \|g_k - f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$

Preuve
We prove the result for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, we first find $g_k \in C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$
We prove the result for $f = 1_B$, B a box.
Define $g_\epsilon(x) = \min(1 - \frac{d(x,B)}{\epsilon}, 1)$
Now we want to go from indicator of boxes to indicators of measurable sets.
So assume $f = 1_E, E$ measurable and $\overline{E}$ is compact.
Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\{B_i\}$ be a cover of E st. $\sum m(B_i) \leq m(E) + \epsilon$.
This implies that

$$\int |1_E - \sum 1_{B_i}| = \sum \int |1_{B_i} - 1_E| = \sum m(B_i) - m(E) \leq \epsilon$$

Take N st. $\sum_{i=N+1}^\infty m(B_i) < \epsilon$
Using step 1, we find $h^i \in C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ st. $\left\|h^i - 1_{B_i}\right\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{N}$.
Take $h = \sum_{i=1}^N h^i \in C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
Now for $p = 1$, we want to estimate

$$\|1_E - h\|_{L^1} \leq \left\|1_E - \sum_{i=1}^N 1_{B_i}\right\|_{L^1} + \left\|\sum (1_{B_i} - h^i)\right\|_{L^1} \leq \epsilon + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\epsilon}{N} = 2\epsilon$$

If $p > 1$, take $\hat{h} = \max(\min(h,1),0)$, now

$$\left\|1_E - \hat{h}\right\|_{L^p}^p = \int |1_E - \hat{h}|^p \leq \int |1_E - \hat{h}| \to 0$$

Now we prove the statement for f simple, this means that $f = \sum c_i \frac{1}{E_i}$ where the E_i are bounded (by hypothesis on f).
By the step above, take a sequence

$$h_k^i \to 1_{E_i}$$

And look at

$$\left\|\sum c_i h_k^i - f\right\|_{L^p} = \left\|\sum c_i h_k^i - 1_{E_i}\right\| \to 0$$

Now suppose $f \geq 0$ be measurable, then let

$$1_{B_k}\phi_k \to f$$

from below.
Then there exist $g_k \in C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|g_k 1_{B_k}\phi_k\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{K}$$

then

$$\|g_k - f\|_{L^p} \to 0$$

□

Lecture 10: density of continuous functions

Wed 30 Mar

2.3 How to approximate a C_c^0 with C_c^∞ in L^p ?

We will use convolutions.
Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi \geq 0, \phi = 0$ outside B_1 such that $\int \phi = 1$.
For instance, we can take $\phi(x) = ce^{\frac{1}{|x|-1}}$ if $|x| < 1$.
The standard convolution kernel is

$$\phi_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-n}\phi(\frac{1}{\epsilon}x) \quad \epsilon > 0$$

so that

$$\int \phi_\epsilon(x) = \int \epsilon^{-n}\phi(\epsilon^{-1}x) = 1$$

Now, let $f \in C_c^0$ and define the convolution of f and ϕ_ϵ as

$$f_\epsilon(x) = f * \phi_\epsilon(x) = \int f(x-y)\phi_\epsilon(y)dy$$

Lemme 51
$\forall \epsilon$ smal, we have that

1. $\operatorname{Supp} f_\epsilon \subset \operatorname{Supp} F + B_\epsilon$
2. *f_ϵ is smooth*
3. *$\|f_\epsilon\| \le \|f\|$ in L^1*
4. *$f_\epsilon \to f$ uniformly.*

Preuve

1. $f_\epsilon(x) = \int_{B_\epsilon} \underbrace{f(x-y)}_{=0} \phi_\epsilon(y)dy = 0$
2. *Observe that*

$$f_\epsilon(x) = \int f(y)\phi_\epsilon(x-y)dy$$

Now we compute

$$\frac{1}{h}(f_\epsilon(x+hv) - f_\epsilon(x) = \int f(y)\frac{\phi_\epsilon(x+hv-y) - \phi_\epsilon(x-y)}{h})$$

But now note that

$$\partial_v\phi_\epsilon(x-y) = \frac{\phi_\epsilon(x+hv-y) - \phi_\epsilon(x-y)}{h}$$

And this is dominated by $\|\nabla\phi_\epsilon\|$.
Hence the whole integral above is dominated and we get

$$= \int f(y)\partial_v\phi_\epsilon(x-y)$$

Hence $\nabla f_\epsilon = f * \nabla\phi_\epsilon$ and we conclude by induction on the degree of the derivative.

3. *By definition*

$$\begin{aligned}\int |f_\epsilon| &\le \iint |f(x)|\phi_\epsilon(x-y)dydx\\ &= \int |f(y)| \underbrace{\int \phi_\epsilon(x-y)dx}_{=1} dy = \|f\|\end{aligned}$$

4. *Since f is uniformly continuous implies that $\forall\epsilon > 0 \exists\delta > 0$ such that $|x-y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$*

$$\begin{aligned}|f(x) - f_\epsilon(x)| &= |f(x) - \int f(x-y)\phi_\epsilon(y)dxdy|\\ &= |\int (f(x) - f(x-y))\phi_\epsilon(y)dxdy|\\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon} |f(x) - f(x-y)|\phi_\epsilon(y)dy \le \epsilon \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

Remarque

L^2 has a Hilbert Structure.
Define for $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a scalar product

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_\Omega f(x)g(\bar{x})dx$$

It has a few properties

- $\langle f, f\rangle = \int |f|^2$
- *Hermitian property :* $\langle f, g\rangle = \langle g, \bar{f}\rangle$
- *It is linear in its first component and anti linear in the second one.*
- *Pythagoras theorem : if* $\langle f, g\rangle = 0$*, then*

$$\|f + g\|_{L^2}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2}^2$$

Theorème 53 (Egorov theorem)
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable, $m(\Omega) < \infty$, then, if

$$f_k : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow f \text{ ae.}$$

Given $\epsilon > 0, \exists C_\epsilon$ closed contained in Ω such that

$$m(\Omega \setminus C_\epsilon) < \epsilon$$

and $f_k \to f$ uniformly in C_ϵ

Preuve
Without loss of generality $f_k(x) \to f(x) \forall x \in \Omega$ (up to throwing away a set of measure 0).
$\forall m, k$ define

$$E_k^m = \left\{ x \in \Omega : |f_j(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m} \forall j \geq K \right\}$$

For fixed m , we have that

$$E_k^m \subset E_{k+1}^m$$

and $E_k^n \to \Omega$ as $k \to \infty$.
Then

$$m(\Omega \setminus E_k^m) \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty$$

This means that $\forall n$ we can fix k_n

$$m(\Omega \setminus E_{k_n}^n) \leq 2^{-n}$$

Fix ϵ as in the statement, there exists N such that

$$\sum_N^\infty 2^{-n} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Define $C_\epsilon = \bigcap_{n\geq N} E^n_{k_n}$.
In $C_\epsilon, f_j \to f$ *uniformly, indeed*

$$\forall \delta > 0 \text{ let } n \text{ such that } \frac{1}{n} < \delta$$

$$|f_j(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{n} < \delta$$

□

Remarque
$\forall E$ *measurable* $\exists C \subset E$ *such that* C *is closed and* $m(E \setminus C) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

"Littlehood principles"

— Every measurable setis nearly a finite union of balls
— Every pointwise converging sequence of functions is nearly uniformly convergent.
— Every measurable function is nearly continuous.

Lecture 11: Lusin's theorem

Thu 31 Mar

Theorème 55 (Lusin's theorem)
Let Ω *be a measurable set,* $m(\Omega) < \infty$ *and* $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ *measurable/*
Then $\forall \epsilon > 0$ $\exists F_\epsilon \subset \Omega$ *closed s.t.* $m(\Omega \setminus F_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon$ *such that* $f|_{F_\epsilon}$ *is continuous.*

Remarque
F_ϵ *cannot be taken open.*

Preuve
Using approximation of L^1 *functions with smooth functions,* $\exists f_n \to f 1_{\{|f|\leq M\}} \in L^\infty(\Omega) \subset L^1(\Omega)$.
And we choose M *s.t.* $m(\{|f| > M\}) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$.
Now we can apply Egoroov to make the convergence uniform.
Let $C_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}} \subset \Omega$ *s.t.* $f_n \to f$ *uniformly in* C_ϵ *and* $m(\Omega \setminus C_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$.
These functions converge $f_n|_{C_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}} \to f 1_{\{|f|<M\}}$ *uniformly on* $C_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}$, *hence* $f 1_{|f|<M}$ *is continuous on* $C_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}$ *hence* f *is continuous on* $C_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}} \cap \{|f| < M\}$ □

Theorème 57 (Borel sets are strictly included in Measurable sets)
— *There exist non-measurable sets.*
— *There exists a Borel set which is not Borel.*

Preuve
$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ *consider the coset* $x + \mathbb{Q}$.

Note that $x+\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,1]\neq\emptyset$.

$$(x+\mathbb{Q})\cap(y+\mathbb{Q})=\begin{cases}x+\mathbb{Q} \text{ if } x-y\in\mathbb{Q}\\ \emptyset \text{ if not}\end{cases}$$

Consider $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$.
By the axiom of choice, pick $x_A\in A\cap[0,1]\forall A\in\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$.
The Vitali set is $\left\{x_A : A\in\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}\right\}$.
Define

$$X=\bigcup_{q\in[-1,1]\cap\mathbb{Q}} q+V$$

□

Notice that $X\in[-1,2]$ *and* $X\supset[0,1]$.
Indeed, let $y\in[0,1]$ *and let* x_A *be a representative, then* $|y-x_A|$ *is rational and smaller than* 1.
If the Vitalli set was measurable, then X *would be measurable, then* $1\leq m(X)\leq 3$.
If q_1,q_2 *are two different rationals, then* $q_1+V\cap q_2+V=\emptyset$.
Indeed, if there is a point of intersection, then $q_1+v_1=q_2+v_2$ *then* $v_1\sim v_2$ *which is a contradiction as the Vitalli set has one element of each coset.*
Then $m(X)=\sum_q m(q+V)=\sum_q m(V)$ *but then either* $m(V)=0$ *which is a contradicition or* $m(V)>0$, *then* $m(X)=\infty$

Lecture 12: there exist measurable sets which are not Borel

Wed 06 Apr

2.4 $\mathcal{B}\subsetneq M$

Let C be the cantor set.
Let $x\in(0,1)$ and write $x=0.\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\ldots$ where $\epsilon_i\in\{0,1\}$, ie. it's binary expansion.
Write $f(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{2\epsilon_i}{3}$

Lemme 58
$f([0,1])\subset C$, f *is strictly monotone and therefore measurable.*

Preuve
$f(x)$ *in ternary representation has digits* $2\epsilon_k=2$ *or* 0, *hence is in the cantor set.*
Let $\sum\frac{a_n}{2^n}=x<y=\sum\frac{b_n}{2^n}$.
Let $k>1$ *such that* $a_n=b_n\forall n<k$ *and* $a_k\neq b_k$.
Then $f(y)-f(x)=\sum_{n\geq k}\frac{2(b_n-a_n)}{3}=\frac{2}{3^k}+\sum_{n\geq k+1}\frac{2(b_n-a_n)}{3^n}>\frac{2}{3^k}-\sum_{n\geq k+1}\frac{2}{3^n}=0$ □

Lemme 59
Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $f^{-1}(B)$ is measurable.

Preuve
Claim : $A_f = \{B \subset \mathbb{R} | f^{-1}(B)$ is measurable. $\}$ is a σ-algebra containing intervales.
Then, since $\mathcal{B}$ is the smallest σ-algebra containing intervals, we conclude. $\square$

Now we can show that there exist measurable sets which are not Borel.

Preuve
Let $V \subset [0, 1]$ non-measurable and write $B = f(V) \subset f([0, 1]) = C$ where f is the lebesgue function.
We claim B is not Borel.
Let's assume by contradiction that B is Borel.
Then $f^{-1}(B)$ is measurable by the lemma above.
However, $f^{-1}(f(V)) = V$ which is not measurable. $\square$

3 Fourier Analysis

3.1 Derivation of the heat equation

Consider a metal plate $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.
We want to study the temperature $u(t, x, y)$.
Newton's cooling law dictates that heat flows from higher to lower temperatures at a rate proportionale to the difference of temperatures.
Consider S a small square, the heat "in S " is defined as $\int_S u(t, x, y)$ and the heat flow in S is $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_S u(t, x, y) = \int_S \partial_t u(t, x, y) \simeq h^2 \partial_t u(t, x_0, y_0)$
Then the heat flow through the boundary ∂S is

$$kh\partial_x u(t, x_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0) - kh\partial_x u(x_0 - \frac{h}{2}, y_0) + kh\partial_y u(t, x_0, y_0 + \frac{h}{2}) - kh\partial_y(t, x_0, y_0 - \frac{h}{2}2)$$
$$\simeq kh^2 \partial_{xx} u(t, \xi, y_0) + kh\partial_{yy} u(t, x_0, \xi')$$

Now newton's law implies

$$h^2 \partial_t u(t, x_0, y_0) = kh^2(\partial_{xx} u(t, \xi, y_0) + \partial_{yy} u(t, x_0, \xi'))$$

Now we cancel h^2 and find

$$\partial_t u(t, x, y) = k\partial_{xx} u(t, x, y) + k\partial_{yy} u(t, x, y)$$

So now we consider the Dirichlet problem in $D = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ We fix boundary conditions $u(1, \theta) = f(\theta)$ (where we now have polar coordinates).
We now rewrite the pde in polar coordinates.

$$\Delta u = \partial_{rr} u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r u + \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_{\theta\theta} u$$

So our PDE reads

$$\begin{cases} r^2\partial_{rr}u + r\partial_r u = -\partial_{\theta\theta}u \\ u(1,\theta) = f(\theta) \end{cases}$$

For now, we look for solutions of the form

$$u(r,\theta) = F(r)G(\theta)$$

So we get

$$r^2F''(r)G(\theta) + rF'(r)G(\theta) = F(r)G''(\theta)$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{F(r)}(r^2F''(r) + rF'(r)) = -\frac{G''(\theta)}{G(\theta)}$$

So both sides have to be constant, so we get a system

$$\begin{cases} G'' + \lambda G = 0 \\ r^2F'' + rf' - \lambda F = 0 \end{cases}$$

Solutions of the first ODE are $\cos(\sqrt{\lambda}\theta), \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}\theta)$ if $\lambda \geq 0$ or $e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}\theta}$ if not, but the second kind of solutions are not periodic, so we discard them.
The periodicity constraint also implies that $\lambda = m^2, m \in \mathbb{N}$
So

$$G(\theta) = \tilde{A}\cos(m\theta) + \tilde{B}\sin(m\theta) = Ae^{im\theta} + Be^{-im\theta}$$

The solutions to $F(r)$ are of the form

$$\begin{cases} r^m \\ r^{-m} \\ \text{ if } m > 0 \log r \text{ if } m = 0 \end{cases}$$

But we can reject the last two solutions as they blow up in the origin.

Remarque
Note that, if u_1, u_2 are solutions to the equation, then $u_1 + u_2$ is too.

Hence, if $f(\theta) = \sum a_m e^{im\theta}$, then a solution of the heat equation is

$$u(r,\theta) = \sum a_m r^m e^{im\theta}$$

So this motivates the leading question of Fourier analysis, namely :
Given $f : [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}$, when can we write it as above ?

Lecture 13: Fourier Analysis

Wed 13 Apr

3.2 Periodic Functions

Definition 20 (Periodic function)
Let $L > 0$, $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is L-periodic if $f(x+L) = f(x)$.

We look for $f, g \in L^p([0,1])$ and 1-periodic such that

$$\|f - g\|_{L^p} = (\int_0^1 |f-g|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

For $p = 2$ there is an associated scalar product given by

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f\overline{g}dx$$

Definition 21 (Space of periodic functions)
$C^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ is the space of continuous 1-periodic functions.

Lemme 61 (Basic properties)
- *If $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$, then f is bounded.*
- *$\mathbb{C}^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ is a vector space and an algebra.*
- *The space is is closed under uniform limits.*

3.3 Trigonometric polynomials

Definition 22
$\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the character with frequency n is

$$e_n(x) = e^{i2\pi nx}$$

Definition 23 (Trigonometric polynomial)
An element $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ is a trigonometric polynomial if

$$f(x) = \sum_{-N}^{N} c_n e^{i2\pi nx}$$

for some $N \geq 0$.

Lemme 62
The family of $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal system, ie.

$$\langle e_n, e_m\rangle = \delta_{nm}$$

The proof is an exercise.

Corollaire 63
Let $f = \sum_{-N}^{N} c_n e_n$, *then*

$$c_n = \langle f, e_n \rangle$$

and

$$\sum c_n^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2$$

Preuve

$$\langle f, e_m \rangle \langle \sum c_n e_n, e_m \rangle = c_m$$

And thus also

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum |\langle f, e_m \rangle|^2$$

□

Definition 24 (Fourier Coefficients)
Let f *be some periodic function, then the* n*-th fourier coefficient is*

$$\hat{f}(n) = \langle f, e_n \rangle$$

Corollaire 64
Let f *be a trigonometric polynomial, then*

$$f = \sum_{-N}^{N} \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n$$

3.4 Periodic convolutions

Theorème 65 (Weierstrass approximation)
Let $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ *and* $\epsilon > 0$, *then* $\exists P$ *a trigonometric polynomial such that*

$$\|f_n - P\| \leq \epsilon$$

Definition 25 (Convolution of periodic functions)
Let $f, g \in C^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$, then the periodic convolution is

$$f * g(x) = \int_0^1 f(y)g(x-y)dy$$

Remarque
Let $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}) \implies f = 0$

Lemme 67 (basic properties)
Let $f, g \in C^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$, then
- $f * g$ *is closed in* $C^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$
- $f * g = g * f$
- $(f+g) * h = f * h + g * h$

Remarque

$$f * e_n = \hat{f} e_n$$

since

$$f * e_n = \int_0^1 f(y) e^{i2\pi n(x-y)} dy = e^{i2\pi nx} \hat{f}(n)$$

Definition 26
Let $\epsilon > 0, \delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we say that $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ is a periodic $\epsilon - \delta$ approximation of the identity if

1. $f \geq 0, \int_0^1 f = 1$
2. $f(x) < \epsilon \forall x \in [\delta, 1-\delta]$

Lemme 69
$\forall \epsilon - \delta$ there exists a trigonometric polynomial P which is an $\epsilon - \delta$ approximation of the identity.

Preuve
Consider $F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N}(\sum e_n)^2$, and the rest follows from an exercise. □

Preuve (Of Weierstrass)
Let $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\epsilon > 0$.
f is bounded and uniformly continuous hence there exists M such that

$$|f(x)| \leq M$$

$\exists\delta$ such that $|f(x)-f(y)|<\epsilon\forall|x-y|<\delta$.
By lemma, let P be a trigonometric polynomal satisfying the $\epsilon-\delta$ condition.
Then $f*P$ is a trigonometric polynomial.
We claim that $\|f-f*P\|_{L^\infty}<\epsilon$.
Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}|f(x)-f*P(x)| &= |f(x)-\int_0^1 P(y)f(x-y)dy| \\ &= |\int_0^1 (f(x)-f(x-y))P(y)dy| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |f(x)-f(x-y)|P(y)dy \\ &\leq \int_\delta^{1-\delta} 2\max f\epsilon + \int_{[0,\delta]\cup[1-\delta,1]} \epsilon P(y)dy \leq 2M\epsilon+\epsilon \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Lecture 14: Fourier Series

Wed 27 Apr

Recall that $f=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{f}e^{i2\pi nx}$ when f is a trigonometric polynomial. We will show that in fact

Theorème 70
$\forall f\in L^2([0,1])$, the series

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{f}e^{i2\pi nx}$$

converges in L^2 to f.

In particular $f=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{f}e^{i2\pi nx}$ almost everywhere.

Preuve
By weierstrass approximation theoreme $\forall\epsilon\exists P$ a trigonometric polynomial such that

$$\|f-P\|_{L^2}\leq\|f-P\|_{L^\infty}\leq\epsilon$$

In fact we show the following lemma

Lemme 71
$\|f-F_N\|_{L^2}\leq\|f-P\|_{L^2}$

Hence, we prove this lemma.
Indeed,

$$\langle f-F_n(f),e_m\rangle=0\forall m=-N,\dots,N$$

Since

$$\langle f - F_n f, e_m \rangle = \langle f, e_m \rangle - \langle F_n f, e_m \rangle = 0$$

Furthermore

$$\|f - P\|_{L^2}^2 = \|f - F_n f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|F_n f - P\|_{L^2}^2 + \underbrace{2\langle f - F_n f, F_n f - P \rangle}_{=0}$$

To conclude the proof, for $f \in L^2, \epsilon > 0$, since C^0 is dense in L^2, $\exists g \in C^0$ such that

$$\|f - g\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

By the previous part, for N large enough

$$\|g - F_n g\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

But now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - F_n f\|_{L^2} &\leq \|f - F_n g\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|f - g\|_{L^2} + \|g - F_n g\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorème 72 (Parseval)
For $f \in L^2$, 1-periodic

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(m)|$$

Preuve
Let $f \in L^2, \epsilon > 0$, by the previous theorem, there exists a large enough N such that

$$\|f - F_n f\|_{L^2} < \epsilon$$

Note that

$$\|f\|_{L^2} - \|f - F_n f\| \leq \|F_n f\|_{L^2} = \left(\sum_{-N}^{N} |\hat{f}(m)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

We also know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_n f\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \|f - F_n f\| + \|F_n f\| \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 27
Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $2l$-periodic function $L^1(0, 2l)$.
The trigonometric fourier coefficients of f are

$$a_n = \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l f(x) \cos(\frac{2\pi x}{l}) \quad b_n = \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l f(x) sin(\frac{\pi n x}{l})$$

Corollaire 73
Let f be $2l$ periodic, then the trigonometric fourier series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \cos(\frac{\pi n x}{l}) + b_n \sin(\frac{\pi n x}{l})$$

in $L^2(0, 2l)$

Lecture 15: Pointwise convergence of fourier series

Thu 28 Apr

Definition 28
Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and Ω be a bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then the space of Holder continuous functions is

$$\left\{ f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega) : \sup_{x\, y \in \Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\sigma} \right\}$$

Theorème 74 (Dirichlet)

- *If f is 1-periodic and piecewise C^1, then $\forall x$*

$$F_n f(x) \to \frac{1}{2}(f^+(x) + f^-(x))$$

- *If f is 1-periodic and $C^{0,\alpha}(-1, 2)$, then*

$$\forall x F_n f(x) \to f(x)$$

- *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ such that for $a \in \mathbb{R} \exists M(a) > 0$ and $\delta(a) > 0$ such that*

$$|f(t + a) - f^+(a)|, |f(a - t) - f^-(a)| \leq M(a) t^a \forall t \in (0, \delta(a))$$

Then $F_n f(a) \to \frac{f^+(a)+f^-(a)}{2}$

Remarque
Note that point 3 trivially implies point 2.

In fact 3 *implies 1 as well since*

$$f(a+t) = f(a)^+ + f'(a) + +o(t)$$
$$f(a-t) = f(a)^- + f'(a)^- t + o(t)$$

But then

$$|f(t+a) - f(a)^+| \leq (|f'(a)^+| + 1)|t|$$

Kolmogorov showed that

1. $\exists f$ continuous such that $F_n f(x) \not\to f(x)$
2. $\exists f \in L^1$ such that the fourier series diverges everywhere.
3. Carleson also show that if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ then $F_n f(x) \to f(x)$ almost everywhere.

Preuve
Recall that $F_N f(x) = \sum_{-N}^{N} \hat{f}(n) e^{i2\pi nx} = \sum_{-N}^{N} \int f(y) e^{i2\pi n(x-y)} dy$.
Then

$$= \int f(y) \sum_{-N}^{N} e^{i2\pi n(x-y)} dy$$
$$= f * D_N(x)$$

where

$$D_N(y) = \sum_{-N}^{N} ((e^{i2\pi y})^n) \qquad = \frac{\sin(\pi y(2N+1))}{\sin \pi y}$$

Now let $a \in [0,1]$ *and define*

$$M = \begin{cases} f(a) \text{ if continuous at } a \\ \frac{1}{2}(f^+(a) + f^-(a)) \text{ if not} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$F_n f(a) - M = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (f(y) - M) \frac{\sin(\pi y(2N+1))}{\sin \pi y}$$

Lemme 76 (Riemann-Lebesgue)
Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *and*

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) e^{-i2\pi \xi x} dx$$

then $\lim_{\xi \to +\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) = 0$

Indeed, taking the imaginary part in Riemann-Lebesgue

$$\int F(x) \sin(2\pi \xi x) dx \to 0$$

We apply this to Riemann-Lebesgue, we apply it to $F = \phi_a, \xi = N + \frac{1}{2}$ We check $\phi_a \in L^1$, we do it assuming f continuous

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\phi_a| = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{f(a+y) - f(a)}{\sin \pi y} \leq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{My}{cy} = \frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}|_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

□

Lecture 16: truc

Wed 04 May

Theorème 77 (Uniform convergence of Fourier Series)
Let $f \in C^1$ and 1-periodic, then

$$\sum |\hat{f}(n)| < \infty$$

and

$$F_n f \to f$$

uniformly on $[0, 1]$.

Remarque
Informally, given $f(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(m) e^{i\pi nx}$ and

$$f'(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(m) i\pi n e^{i\pi nx}$$

And in fact, it is true that $\hat{f}'(m) = i\pi m \hat{f}(m)$.
Rigourously,

$$\hat{f}'(m) = \int f' e^{-i\pi nx} dx = -\int f(x)(-i\pi n) e^{-i\pi nx} dx = i\pi n \hat{f}(n)$$

Preuve
Let's show $f \in C^1 \implies \sum |\hat{f}(m)| < \infty$.
By Plancherel,

$$\infty > \|f'\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum |\hat{f'}^2(m)| = \sum \pi^2 n^2 |\hat{f}(n)^2|$$

Now we show that that $F_n \to f$ uniformly, we know that

$$|f(x) - F_N(x)| = \sum_{|n| \geq N-1} \hat{f}(n) e^{i\pi nx}$$
$$\leq |\hat{f}(n)| \leq \infty$$

□

3.5 Fourier Series in sin

Given $f : [0, l] \to \mathbb{R}$
We can extend f oddly on $[-l, l]$ and then extend it by periodicity.
Now we write the fourier series of $\tilde{f}$

$$F\tilde{f} = \sum b_n \sin(\frac{\pi}{l} nx)$$

where b_n are the fourier coefficients which we may rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \frac{1}{l} \int_{-l}^{l} \tilde{f}(y) \sin(\frac{\pi n y}{l}) dy \\ &= \frac{2}{l} \int_{0}^{1} f(y) \sin(\frac{\pi n y}{l}) dy \end{aligned}$$

Definition 29
The fourier series in sines of f is

$$F^s f = \sum b_n \sin(\frac{\pi}{l} nx)$$

Corollaire 79
If $f \in L^2(0, l)$, then $F_N^s f \to f$ in L^2

Preuve
Trivial. □

We similarly define the fourier series in cosines

Lecture 17: Fourier Transform

Thu 05 May

4 The Fourier Transform

Definition 30 (Fourier Transform)
Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then the Fourier Transform

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-i2\pi y\xi} dy$$

Remarque
Sometimes, the Fourier transform is defined without a 2π in the exponent and a $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ in front.

Lemme 81 (Basic properties of the Fourier Transform)
Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then

1. $\hat{f}$ *is a continuous function,* $\lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} = 0$ *and* $\left\|\hat{f}\right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|f\|_{L^1}$
2. $\mathcal{F}(af + bg) = a\mathcal{F}f + b\mathcal{F}g$
3. *If f is differentiable and f' is in L^1, then*
$$\hat{f}'(\xi) = 2\pi i\xi\hat{f}(\xi)$$
4. *If $h(x) = xf(x) \in L^1$, then $\hat{f}$ is differentiable*
5. *If $h(x) = f(x + a)$, then $\hat{h}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i\xi a}\hat{f}(\xi)$*
6. *If $h(x) = f(ax)$, then $\hat{h}(\xi) = \frac{1}{a}\hat{f}(\frac{\xi}{a})$.*
7. *Multiplication formula :*
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x)g(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\hat{g}(x)dx$$

Proposition 82 (Gaussians are good kernels)
Let $f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$,, then $\hat{f} = f$

Preuve
$f'(x) = -2\pi x f(x)$ and thus
$$\hat{f}'(\xi) = -2\pi x \hat{f(x)}(\xi)$$
Now applying the lemma above gives
$$2\pi i\xi\hat{f}(\xi) = -i\hat{f}'(\xi)$$
So $\hat{f}$ satisfies the ODE $\hat{f}' = -2\pi\xi\hat{f}$ and since
$$\hat{f}(0) = \int f(y)dy$$
□

Corollaire 83
If $\delta > 0$ and $\kappa_\delta(x) = \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\pi\frac{x^2}{\delta}}$.
Then
$$\hat{\kappa_\delta} = e^{-\pi\xi^2}$$