

Serie 5  
Analysis IV, Spring semester  
EPFL, Mathematics section, Prof. Dr. Maria Colombo

- The exercise series are published every Monday morning on the moodle page of the course. The exercises can be handed in until the following Monday, midnight, via moodle (with the exception of the first exercise which can be handed in until Thursday March 3). They will be marked with 0, 1 or 2 points.
- Starred exercises (★) are either more difficult than other problems or focus on non-core materials, and as such they are non-examinable.

**Exercise 1.** Compute the following limits and justify your computations.

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty n^2 e^{-nx} \arctan(x) dx$ .
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 n^2 (1-x)^n \sin(\pi x) dx$ .
- (iii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \frac{n^{3/2} x}{1+n^2 x^2} dx$ .

**Exercise 2.** For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  consider

$$f_a(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^a} e^{xy} & \text{if } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [x - e^{-x^2}, x], \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Determine for which values of  $a$  it holds  $f_a \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Then compute (and justify your computation)  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_a(x, y) dx dy$ .

**Exercise 3.** Integrability of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  does not necessarily imply the convergence of  $f(x)$  to 0 as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Prove the following statements:

- (i) There exists a positive continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  which is absolutely integrable and yet  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ .
- (ii) If  $f$  is absolutely integrable and  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$  exists, then necessarily  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$ .
- (iii) Show that if  $f$  is uniformly continuous and absolutely integrable, then  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$ .

**Exercise 4.** Prove or disprove the following statement: Let  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be absolutely integrable such that

$$\int_a^x f(y) dy = 0 \quad \forall x \in (a, b).$$

Then  $f = 0$  almost everywhere.

**Exercise 5.** In this exercise we will construct a famous example of a continuous function, the Cantor function, whose range is  $[0, 1]$  despite being constant almost everywhere. Recall the notation introduced in the construction of the Cantor set  $P = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} P_n$  of Exercise 4 in Serie 4. We define recursively a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}}$  of functions on  $[0, 1]$  by

$$f_0(x) = x \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

$$f_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}f_n(3x) & 0 \leq x < 1/3, \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1/3 \leq x < 2/3, \\ \frac{1}{2}f_n(3x - 2) + \frac{1}{2} & 2/3 \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

- (i) Draw the graph of  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  and  $f_4$ . Prove by induction that each  $f_n$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f_n(0) = 0$  and  $f_n(1) = 1$ , monotonically increasing and constant on  $[0, 1] \setminus P_n$ .
- (ii) Prove that

$$|f_{n+1}(x) - f_n(x)| < 2^{-n} \quad \forall x \in [0, 1].$$

Deduce that  $f_n$  converges uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  to a limit  $f$  which is continuous. We call  $f$  the Cantor function.

- (iii) Prove that  $f$  is monotonically increasing on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1$  and that  $f$  is piecewise constant on  $[0, 1] \setminus P$ .
- (iv) Deduce that  $f$  induces a bijection between  $P$  and  $[0, 1]$ . In particular, the Cantor set  $P$ , despite being a Lebesgue null set, has the cardinality of the continuum.

**Exercise 6.** Show that there exists  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  continuous and two subsets  $A, B \subseteq [0, 1]$  such that

- (i)  $A$  is measurable and  $f(A)$  is not,
- (ii)  $B$  is a null set and  $f(B)$  has positive Lebesgue measure.

*Hint:* You can assume (without proof) that there exists a non-measurable subset  $V \subset [0, 1]$ . An example of such a subset will be constructed explicitly in the lecture.

**Exercise 7.** Recall from Serie 3 that if  $\varphi$  is measurable and  $f$  is continuous, then  $f \circ \varphi$  is measurable. In general however, the composition of measurable functions is not measurable.

To see this, we define the function of Lebesgue. For  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we consider its binary expansion

$$x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^n}$$

with  $a_n \in \{0, 1\}$ . As in Exercise 6 of Serie 3, this binary expansion is unique, if we identify the expansions

$$0.a_1 \cdots a_{k-1}01 \cdots 1 \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad 0.a_1 \cdots a_{k-1}10 \cdots 0 \cdots \quad (3)$$

We will in the sequel always assume that the expansions are of the first form, i.e. that all but finitely many  $a_n$  are equal to 1 (except for  $x = 0$ , where  $a_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ). With this convention, we then define  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2a_n}{3^n}. \quad (4)$$

- (i) Prove that  $f$  is strictly increasing, measurable and  $f([0, 1]) \subseteq P$ , where  $P \subset [0, 1]$  is the Cantor set.
- (ii) Let  $V \subset [0, 1]$  be a non-measurable set (which you can assume to exist, see hint of Exercise 4) and define  $B := f(V)$ . Show that both  $\mathbf{1}_B$  and  $f$  are measurable and yet, that their composition  $\mathbf{1}_B \circ f$  is not measurable.

*Remark:* The Lebesgue function would not be well-defined without the identification (3) (Why?). Moreover, it is not true that  $f([0, 1]) = P$ : Indeed,  $\frac{2}{3} \in P$  has the ternary expansions  $0.20 \cdots 0 \cdots$  and  $0.12 \cdots 2 \cdots$ . For the second expansion, we do not have a preimage, and for the first expansion, we would have the preimage  $0.10 \cdots 0 \cdots$ ; however *with our convention*, this is not the binary expansion of a number on  $[0, 1]$ .