# Assignment 3

#### David Wiedemann

9 mai 2022

### 1 Problem Set 7, Exercise 3

To each edge  $e \in E$ , we associate a number  $a(e) \in \{0,1\}$  We will say that e is in M if a(e) = 1 and that e is not in M if a(e) = 0.

Now, for each vertex  $v \in V$ , we denote by  $E(v) \subset E$  the set of all edges containing v.

The condition for M to be a matching then reads as  $\sum_{e \in E(v)} a(e) \leq 1 \forall v \in V$ . Thus, the problem of finding a matching with a maximal number of edges reads as

$$\max \sum_{e \in E} a(e)$$
 s.t. 
$$\sum_{e \in E(v)} a(e) \le 1 \forall v \in V$$
 
$$a(e) \in \{0, 1\}$$

### 2 Every tree has at least one leaf vertex

Recall that a tree is a connected graph that contains no cycles and that a leaf is a vertex of degree 1.

Let T = (V, E) be a (finite) tree.

Suppose by contradiction that T does not contain any leaf, we construct a path as follows. We pick some vertex  $v_1 \in V$ , as T is connected, there is some vertex  $v_2$  connected to  $v_1$ .

As  $v_2$  is not a leaf it is at least of degree 2, so there is a vertex  $v_3$  different from  $v_1$  which is connected to  $v_2$ .

Proceeding inductively in this way, we get a path  $v_1, v_2, \ldots$ , which always satisfy  $v_{n+1} \neq v_{n-1}$  (ie. we aren't going back and forth).

As the set V is finite, this path must cross itself again at some point, ie. there is some index j and some i < j - 1 satisfying  $v_i = v_j$ .

We may pick j minimal among all indexes with this property, then the walk  $v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{j-1}, v_j = v_i$  is in fact a cycle (as it doesn't intersect itself by our minimality assumption on j).

But this contradicts the fact that T is a tree.

## 3 Problem Set 9, Exercise 2.a)

Let x be a basic solution for  $\mathcal{P}$ , then there exists a basis  $\beta$  of n elements such that

$$A_{\beta}x_{\beta} = b$$

and such that for every index  $i \notin \beta$ ,  $x_i = 0$ .

As the set of collumn vectors of  $A_{\beta}$  is linearly independent, we know that  $A_{\beta}$  is invertible and thus by hypothesis  $\det A_{\beta} = \pm 1$ .

Cramer's rule tells us that for all  $j \in \beta$ , the value of  $x_j$  is given by

$$x_j = \frac{\det A_\beta^j}{\det A_\beta}$$

where  $A^j_{\beta}$  denotes the matrix  $A_{\beta}$  where we've replaced the j-th collumn with the vector b.

But then  $\det A^j_{\beta}$  is an integer and as  $\det A_{\beta} = \pm 1$ , we conclude that in fact x is a vector with integer entries.