

Analysis IV

David Wiedemann

Table des matières

1	Lebesgue Measure	3
1.1	Measurable sets	3
1.2	Outer Measure	4
1.3	Measurable sets (again)	7
1.4	A glimps on abstract measure theory and theoretical foundations of probability	10
1.5	The cantor set	11
1.6	Measurable functions	11
1.7	Lebesgue integration	13
1.8	Fatou's lemma	16
1.9	Integration of signed functions	17
1.10	Comparison with Riemann Integral	19
1.11	Fubini's Theorem	20

List of Theorems

1	Definition (Lebesgue Measure)	3
3	Théorème (Existence of Lebesgue Measure)	4
2	Definition (Box)	4
4	Definition (Covered set)	5
5	Definition (Outer-Measure)	5
6	Lemme	5
7	Proposition	6
8	Corollaire	7
6	Definition (Lebesgue Measurable set)	7
10	Lemme	7
11	Lemme	8
12	Proposition	8
13	Lemme	9
14	Corollaire	9
15	Lemme (Lebesgues sets are a sigma-algebra)	10

16	Lemme (Open sets are measurable)	10
17	Theorème (Caratheodory theorem)	10
18	Theorème	11
7	Definition (Cantor set)	11
19	Theorème	11
8	Definition (Measurable functions)	11
9	Definition	12
24	Lemme	12
10	Definition (Simple functions)	13
26	Lemme	13
11	Definition	13
12	Definition (Almost everywhere)	14
28	Proposition (Properties of simple functions)	14
13	Definition (Lebesgue Integral of non-negative function)	14
30	Proposition	14
31	Theorème (Lebesgue Monotone convergence theorem)	14
32	Corollaire	15
33	Corollaire	16
34	Theorème (Fatou's lemma)	16
35	Lemme	16
14	Definition	17
15	Definition (Integral of a function)	17
38	Proposition (Basic properties)	17
39	Theorème (Dominated Convergence Theorem)	18
16	Definition	19
42	Theorème (Lebesgue generalizes Riemann)	19
43	Theorème (Fubini-Tonelli)	20

1 Lebesgue Measure

Motivation

Given a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is it possible to integrate f over Ω .
For $n = 1$ and $\Omega = [a, b]$ riemann-integral works, at least for continuous functions.

However, it is not fully satisfactory

1. Extends badly to \mathbb{R}^n
2. Stability with limits Take $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ continuous and pointwise decreasing, define $f(x) = \lim f_n(x)$, then the integral over f might not exist.
3. Differentiation and integration.
What is the biggest class of functions for which the fundamental theorem works?
For sure in C_1 but that is not the biggest class.
4. Consider $C^0([0, 1])$ with L^1 -distance.
Then C^0 is not complete, what is the completion of \bar{C}^0 ?

We want to find a satisfactory theory of integration.

How can we define the length/volume of a subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$?

Ideally to $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ associate $m(\Omega) = 0$ with

$$0 \leq m(\Omega) \leq \infty \quad m((0, 1)^m) = 1 \quad m(A \cup B) = m(A) + m(B) \text{ if } A \text{ and } B \text{ disjoint.}$$

$$m(A) \leq m(B) \quad m(A + x) = m(A)$$

This is impossible!

1.1 Measurable sets

We can ask that

- (Borel Property) Open and closed are measurable
- Ω measurable $\implies \Omega^c$ measurable
- (σ -algebra) We want to take countable intersection of measurable sets

Definition 1 (Lebesgue Measure)

The lebesgue measure $m(\Omega)$ of any measurable set will obey

- $m(\emptyset) = 0$
- $\infty \geq m(\Omega) \geq 0$
- Monotonicity $m(\Omega_1) \leq m(\Omega_2)$ if $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$

— If Ω_1, \dots are measurable and disjoint, then we want

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(\Omega_i)$$

and with \leq if they are not disjoint.

— (Normalisation)

$$m((0,1)^n) = 1$$

— (Translation invariance)

$$m(\Omega + x) = m(\Omega) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Remarque

- From countable subadditivity, finite subadditivity follows
- Monotonicity is redundant because, given $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$

$$m(\Omega_2) = m(\Omega_1 \cup (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1)) = m(\Omega_1) + m(\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$$

- The sums above might be infinite

Remarque

m is a positive measure if the first four conditions above are satisfied

Theorème 3 (Existence of Lebesgue Measure)

There exists a notion of measurable set obeying the conditions of measurable sets and a measure obeying the conditions.

1.2 Outer Measure

We first want to describe a cube and associate a measure to these boxes. Then we will take a more general set, cover it with boxes and define it's measure by the smallest possible covering by boxes.

Definition 2 (Box)

A open box $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$B = \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i)$$

and define the volume of a box

Definition 3 (Volume of a box)

Given $B = \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i)$, we define

$$\text{vol} B = \prod_i (b_i - a_i)$$

Now, how can we cover $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$?

Definition 4 (Covered set)

Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is covered by $\{B_j\}_{j \in J}$ if $\Omega \subset \bigcup B_j$

Remarque

If m (the lebesgue measure) exists and J is countable, then

$$m(\Omega) \leq m\left(\bigcup B_j\right) \leq \sum m(B_j)$$

Definition 5 (Outer-Measure)

The outer measure of a set Ω is defined as

$$m^*(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \sum \text{vol} B_j : \{B_j\} \text{ is a countable cover of } \Omega \right\}$$

Remarque

For every Ω there exists at least one countable cover

Lemme 6

The outer measure obeys

1. $m^*(\emptyset) = 0$
2. $0 \leq m^*(\Omega) \leq \infty$
3. $m^*(\Omega_1) \leq m^*(\Omega_2)$ if $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$
4. $m^*(\Omega + x) = m^*(\Omega)$
5. Countable subadditivity : $m^*\left(\bigcup \Omega_j\right) \leq \sum m^*(\Omega_j)$

Preuve

- $m^*(\emptyset) = 0$ because $\emptyset, \{0\} \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)^n \forall \epsilon > 0$
- All good
- Any cover of Ω_2 also covers Ω_1
- For any cover of Ω we can translate it over to $\Omega + x$
- For every $J \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\{B_i^J\}_{i \in I_J}$ cover Ω_J , then $\Omega_j \subset \bigcup_{i \in I_J} B_i^J$, then

we can choose the B_i^J in such a way that

$$\sum_i \text{vol}(B_i^J) \leq m^*(\Omega_J) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^J}$$

and since $\{B_i^J\}_{i,J}$ covers $\bigcup_J \Omega_J$

$$m^*\left(\bigcup_J \Omega_J\right) \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in I_J} \text{vol}(B_i^J) \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(m^*(\Omega_J) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^J}\right) = \epsilon + \sum m^*(\Omega_J)$$

□

Proposition 7

For a closed box \overline{B}

$$m^*(\overline{B}) = \text{vol}(B)$$

Preuve

Clearly \overline{B} is covered by $\prod(a_i + \epsilon, b_i + \epsilon)$ Hence

$$m^*(\overline{B}) \leq \text{vol}\left(\prod(a_i + \epsilon, b_i + \epsilon)\right) \rightarrow \prod(b_i - a_i)$$

Hence $m^*(\overline{B}) \leq \text{vol}(B)$

Now we show that $\text{vol}(B) \leq m^*(\overline{B})$.

By Heine-Borel, \overline{B} is compact.

Hence we only need to show the result with a finite cover.

In dimension 1, we are given $(a_1, b_1), \dots$ covering $[a, b]$.

Remark that

$$1_{[a,b]} \leq \sum_i 1_{(a_i, b_i)}$$

Integrating (Riemann-integral), we get

$$(b - a) \leq \sum (b_i - a_i)$$

Now, we use induction

$$B_J = \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i^s, b_i^s) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i^s, b_i^s) \times (a_n^s, b_n^s)$$

Define

$$f_J(x_m) = \text{vol}(A_J) 1_{(a_n, b_n)}(x_m)$$

For every x_m , we get

$$\{A^J : j \in J, x_n \in (a_n^J, b_n^J)\} \text{ is a cover of } \overline{A}$$

$$\sum f_j(x_m) = \sum_{j \in J, x_n \in (a_n, b_n)} \text{vol}(A_j) 1_{(a_n, b_n)} \geq \text{vol} \overline{A}$$

□

Lecture 2: Existence of Lebesgue Measure

Thu 24 Feb

Corollaire 8

$m^*(B) = \text{vol}(B)$ for every open box B .

Preuve

For one direction, we use monotonicity, $m^*(B) \leq m^*(\overline{B}) = \text{vol}(B)$.

Furthermore, set $B = \prod (a_i, b_i)$, then for $\epsilon > 0$, we get

$$\prod [a_i + \epsilon, b_i - \epsilon] \subset \prod (a_i, b_i) \implies m^*\left(\prod [a_i + \epsilon, b_i - \epsilon]\right) \leq \prod (b_i - a_i)$$

□

Exemple

- $m^*(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$ since by monotonicity, we get $m^*(\mathbb{R}) \geq m^*([0, N]) > N$
- $m^*(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ since

$$m^*(\mathbb{Q}) \leq m^*({q}) = 0$$

Which proves that the reals are uncountable.

1.3 Measurable sets (again)

We want to know whether $\forall A, E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, the inequality

$$m^*(A) \leq m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \setminus E)$$

generalises to an equality ?

The inequality follows directly from countable subadditivity. In fact equality does not hold in general.

Definition 6 (Lebesgue Measurable set)

A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is Lebesgue measurable if

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \setminus E) \forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

Then the lebesgue measure of E is defined as

$$m(E) := m^*(E)$$

Note that, according to this definition, \emptyset, \mathbb{R}^n are both measurable.

Lemme 10

Half-spaces are measurable

The proof is given as an exercise.

We now establish a few basic facts about measurable sets.

Lemme 11

- The complement of a measurable set is measurable
- The translation of a measurable set is measurable, ie. E measurable, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ implies $E + x$ measurable
- Finite unions of measurable sets is measurable. (as well as the intersection)
- Open (as well as closed) boxes are measurable.
- If the outer measure of a set is 0, then E is measurable.

Preuve

—

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E^c) + m^*(A \cap E)$$

- Given A a set and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we get

$$m^*(A-x) = m^*(A-x \cap E) + m^*((A-x) \cap E^c) = m^*(A \cap E+x) + m^*(A \cap E^c+x) = m^*(A)$$

—

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) + m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)^c)$$

- Consider the union of two sets We now bound $m^*(A)$ by below (the upper bound is always true)

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(A) &= m^*(A \cap E_1) + m^*(A \cap E_1^c) \\ &= m^*(A \cap E_1 \cap E_2) + m^*(A \cap E_1 \cap E_2^c) + m^*(A \cap E_1^c \cap E_2) + m^*(A \cap E_1^c \cap E_2^c) \\ &\geq m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) + m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)^c) \end{aligned}$$

The general result follows immediatly by induction on the number of sets.

- We get that

$$m^*(A) \geq m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \cap E^c) \quad \square$$

- We write boxes as intersections of halfspaces

Now we want to show that the lebesgue measure is countably additive.

Proposition 12

If $(E_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are measurable disjoint sets, then $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i$ is measurable and

$$m^*\left(\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} E_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m^*(E_j)$$

The proof depends on a lemma

Lemme 13

Let E_1, \dots, E_n be measurable disjoint sets, $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, then

$$m^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^n E_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^n m^*(A \cap E_j)$$

As a consequence of this, we get finite additivity.

Preuve

For $n = 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) &= m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2) \cap E_1) + m^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2) \cap E_1^c) \\ &= m^*(A \cap E_1) + m^*(A \cap E_2) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

and the general case follows by induction.

Corollaire 14

$E \subset F$ measurable implies $F \setminus E$ is measurable and

$$m^*(F \setminus E) = m(F) - m(E)$$

Preuve

The set is trivially measurable since $F \setminus E = F \cap E^c$. Using the lemma above, we get

$$m^*(F) = m^*(E) + m^*(F \setminus E) \quad \square$$

We can now prove countable additivity

Preuve

Let $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$.

We claim that $\forall A$

$$m^*(A) \geq m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \setminus E)$$

Indeed note that

$$m^*(A \cap E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m^*(A \cap E_j) = \sup_N \sum_{j=1}^N m^*(A \cap E_j)$$

Set $F_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^N E_j$, by the lemma, the finite sum above is

$$\sup_N \sum_{j=1}^N m^*(A \cap E_j) = m^*(A \cap F_N)$$

Since $F_N \subset E$,

$$m^*(A \setminus E) \leq m^*(A \setminus F_N)$$

Then

$$m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \setminus E) < \sup_N m^*(A \cap F_N) + \underbrace{m^*(A \setminus E)}_{\leq m^*(A \setminus F_N)} < \sup_N m^*(A) \quad \square$$

This proves that $m(E) \geq \sup_N m(F_N) = \sup_N \sum_{j=1}^N m(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty m(E_j)$

Lemme 15 (Lebesgues sets are a sigma-algebra)

If $(E_j)_j \in \mathbb{N}$ are measurable, then $\bigcup E_j$ and $\bigcap E_j$ are measurable.

Preuve

$$E_1 \cup \dots = E_1 \cup (E_2 \setminus E_1) \cup (E_3 \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)) \dots$$

and the property about intersections follows from $\bigcap E_j = (\bigcup E_j^c)^c$ \square

Lemme 16 (Open sets are measurable)

Every open set is measurable

Preuve

By an exercise, every open set is a countable union of open boxes and a countable union of measurable sets is countable by the lemma above. \square

1.4 A glimps on abstract measure theory and theoretical foundations of probability

The idea of Lebesgue was to fix the measure of boxes and then extend the measure to the sigma algebra of measurable sets.

Theorème 17 (Caratheodory theorem)

Given a set Ω , \mathcal{G} an algebra (finite union of boxes), \mathcal{A} the smallest algebra containing \mathcal{G} .

Let $m_0 : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a function s.t. $m_0(\emptyset) = 0, m_0(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty m_0(A_m)$ if $A_m \in \mathcal{G}, A_m$ disjoint and $\bigcup A_m \in \mathcal{G}$

Then \exists a measure on \mathcal{A} such that $m|_{\mathcal{G}} = m_0$ and, if the measure of $m_0(\Omega) < \infty \implies m$ is unique.

Furthermore

Theorème 18

Every probability \mathbb{P} on \mathbb{R}^n gives rise to a cumulative distribution function, conversely, every cdf gives rise to a (unique) probability measure.

1.5 The cantor set**Definition 7 (Cantor set)**

Consider $[1, 1]$, define $P_0 = [0, 1]$, $P_1 = [0, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ and keep going. By definition $P_0 \supset P_1 \dots$, the cantor set is the intersection of all of them.

There are a few nice properties of the cantor set

Theorème 19

1. P is compact
2. $m^*(P) = 0$
3. P is uncountable
4. P is perfect^a and has empty interior.

a. No point in p is isolated.

Lecture 3: Measurable functions

Thu 03 Mar

1.6 Measurable functions**Definition 8 (Measurable functions)**

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ measurable, $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is measurable if $\forall V$ open, $f^{-1}(V)$ is measurable.

Remarque

Any function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is measurable $\iff f^{-1}(B)$ is measurable $\forall B$ open boxes.

Preuve

Indeed, the implication \implies is immediate.

For the other direction, note that any open set V is a countable union of boxes

$$V = \bigcup_i B_i$$

and $f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_i f^{-1}(B_i)$ which is measurable. □

Remarque

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable $\iff f^{-1}((a, \infty))$ are measurable.

Preuve

By the remark above, it is enough to show that $f^{-1}((a, \infty))$ are measurable $\forall a, b$

$$f^{-1}((a, b)) = f^{-1}((-\infty, b) \cap (a, \infty)) = f^{-1}(a, \infty) \cap f^{-1}([b, \infty))^c$$

Now, rewrite $f^{-1}([b, \infty)) = \bigcap_i f^{-1}((b - \frac{1}{i}, \infty))$ □

Definition 9

$f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ is measurable if $f^{-1}((a, \infty])$ is measurable $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$

Using the remark above, the definition is compatible with the definition of measurable functions.

Remarque

Consider $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, f is measurable \iff all projections of f are measurable.

Preuve

To prove this, recall that f is measurable $\iff f^{-1}(B)$ are measurable, we may write $B = B_1 \times \dots \times B_n$, hence, $f^{-1}(B) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n f_i^{-1}(B_i)$.

Hence the right to left implication follows.

\implies Consider $B = \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times B_i \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}$, then $f^{-1}(B) = f_i^{-1}(B_i)$ is measurable □

Remarque

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow W$ and $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$, then $g \circ f$ is measurable if g is continuous and f measurable.

Lemme 24

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable, $f_m : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$ measurable, then the functions

$$\sup f_m, \inf f_m, \limsup f_m, \liminf f_m$$

are measurable.

In particular, if $f_m \rightarrow f$ pointwise, then f is measurable.

Preuve

Call $F = \sup f_n$, we want to prove that

$$F^{-1}((a, \infty]) = \bigcup f_m^{-1}((a, \infty])$$
 □

Lecture 4: Lebesgue Integration

Wed 09 Mar

1.7 Lebesgue integration

Definition 10 (Simple functions)

A measurable function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is simple if (Ω is measurable)

1. $f(\Omega)$ is a finite set
2. $\exists c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $E_1, \dots, E_n \subset \Omega$ measurable s.t.

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i 1_{E_i}$$

Preuve

Clearly $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\} = f(\Omega)$, conversely, if $f(\Omega) = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$, define $E_i = f^{-1}(c_i)$ \square

Remarque

Note that simple functions are vector spaces

Lemme 26

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be measurable. Then \exists an increasing sequence $\{f_n\}$ converging pointwise to f

Preuve

Define $f_n(x) = \sup_j \{2^{-n} j \leq \min(f(x), 2^n)\}$.

Definition 11

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a simple function, then the lebesgue integral of f is

$$\int_{\Omega} f dx = \sum_{\lambda \in f(\Omega), \lambda \geq 0} \lambda \mu \{x \in \Omega : f(x) = \lambda\}$$

Note this definition works for general measures.

Remarque

Let $f = \sum_i c_i 1_{E_i}$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} f dx = \sum_i c_i \mu(E_i)$$

The integral may be infinite.

Definition 12 (Almost everywhere)

A property $P(x)$ holds almost everywhere if $P(x)$ holds for every x except a set of measure 0.

Proposition 28 (Properties of simple functions)

Let $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be simple functions

1. $0 \leq \int_{\Omega} f \leq \infty$ and $\int_{\Omega} f = 0 \iff f \equiv 0$ almost everywhere.
2. $\int_{\Omega} f + g d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu + \int_{\Omega} g d\mu$
3. $\lambda \int_{\Omega} f d\mu = c \int_{\Omega} f$
4. if $f \leq g$, then $\int_{\Omega} f + \int_{\Omega} g$

Definition 13 (Lebesgue Integral of non-negative function)

Let $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be measurable, we define

$$\int_{\Omega} f := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} s dx : s \leq f, s \text{ simple} \right\}$$

Remarque

In fact, if f is simple both definitions are compatible.

Proposition 30

Let $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be measurable

- $0 \leq \int_{\Omega} f \leq \infty$ and $\int_{\Omega} f = 0 \iff f = 0$ a.e.
- $\int_{\Omega} cf = c \int_{\Omega} f$
- If $f \leq g$ then $\int_{\Omega} f \leq \int_{\Omega} g$
- If $f = g$ a.e. then $\int_{\Omega} f = \int_{\Omega} g$
- if $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, then $\int_{\Omega'} f = \int_{\Omega} (f 1_{\Omega'})$

We will prove additivity later on

Théorème 31 (Lebesgue Monotone convergence theorem)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set and take f_n an increasing sequence of functions converging pointwise to f .

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} f = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n$$

Preuve

By definition $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(x) = \sup_n f_n(x)$ (since the f_n are increasing).

Using the propositions above, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} \sup_m f_m \geq \int_{\Omega} f_m \quad \forall m$$

Hence $\int_{\Omega} f \geq \sup \int_{\Omega} f_m$.

We claim $\int_{\Omega} \sup f_m \leq \sup \int_{\Omega} f_m$.

It suffices to show that $\forall \epsilon$

$$(1 - \epsilon) \int_{\Omega} s \leq \sup_m \int_{\Omega} f_m \quad \forall s \leq \sup f_m \text{ simple}$$

Indeed, note that $\forall x \in \Omega \exists N := N(x)$ s.t. $f_N(x) \geq (1 - \epsilon)s(x)$.

Let $E_n = \{x \in \Omega : f_n \geq (1 - \epsilon)s\}$.

Since f_n is increasing, $E_1 \subset E_2 \dots$ and $\bigcup E_i = \Omega$, hence we get

$$(1 - \epsilon) \int_{E_m} s = \int_{E_m} (1 - \epsilon)s \leq \int_{E_m} f_N \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n$$

Taking the sup yields

$$\sup_n (1 - \epsilon) \int_{E_n} s \leq \sup_n \int_{\Omega} f_n$$

Hence, we only need to show that the left hand side equals $(1 - \epsilon) \int_{\Omega} s$.

Indeed, the inequality $\sup_n (1 - \epsilon) \int_{E_n} s \leq (1 - \epsilon) \int_{\Omega} s$.

For the other inequality, write $s = \sum 1_{F_j} c_j$, then

$$\int_{E_n} s = \int_{\Omega} \sum c_j 1_{E_n \cap F_j} \quad \square$$

Lecture 5: Monotone Convergence theorem

Thu 10 Mar

Corollaire 32

$f, g : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ measurable, then

$$\int_{\Omega} f + g = \int_{\Omega} f + \int_{\Omega} g$$

Preuve

Let s_n, t_n be simple functions converging pointwise to f respectively g , then $s_n + t_n$ converges pointwise to $f + g$.

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} f + g = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} s_n + t_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} s_n + \int_{\Omega} t_n = \int_{\Omega} f + \int_{\Omega} g \quad \square$$

Corollaire 33

Let $g_1, \dots : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be measurable functions, then

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g_i$$

Preuve

Let $G_n = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i$, this is a sequence of functions converging to G (from below)

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i = \int_{\Omega} G = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} G_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} g_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g_i \quad \square$$

1.8 Fatou's lemma**Theorème 34 (Fatou's lemma)**

Let f_i be a sequence of measurable functions $\Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_m$$

Preuve

By definition

$$\liminf f_m = \sup_n \inf_{m \geq n} f_m$$

By monotone convergence theorem

$$\int_{\Omega} \liminf_n f_n = \sup_n \int_{\Omega} \inf_{m \geq n} f_m$$

Since $\int_{\Omega} \inf_{m \geq n} f_m \leq \int_{\Omega} f_J \forall J \geq n$, hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \inf_{m \geq n} f_m \leq \inf_{J \geq n} \int_{\Omega} f_J$$

And finally

$$\int_{\Omega} \liminf f_m \leq \sup_m \inf_{J \geq m} \int_{\Omega} f_J = \liminf_{J \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f_J \quad \square$$

Lemme 35

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a measurable function, if $\int_{\Omega} f < \infty$, then

$$\mu \{x \in \Omega : f(x) = \infty\} = 0$$

Preuve

Suppose not, let E be this set, then $\forall n$

$$n1_E \leq f \implies n\mu(E) \leq \int_{\Omega} f \quad \square$$

Example (Borel-Cantelli)

Let $\{\Omega_i\}$ be measurable sets such that $\sum \mu(\Omega_i) < \infty$, then

$$\limsup \Omega_i = \{x \in \Omega : x \in \Omega_i \text{ for infinitely many values } i\}$$

has measure 0.

Preuve

We claim that $\int_{\Omega} \sum_i 1_{\Omega_i} < \infty$, then by the lemma, $f < \infty$ almost everywhere, hence $x \in \Omega_i$ only for finitely many i , hence $x \notin \limsup \Omega_i$.

The proof of the claim follows from the corollary to Fatou's lemma :

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_i 1_{\Omega_i} = \sum_i \int_{\Omega} 1_{\Omega_i} = \sum \mu(\Omega_i) < \infty \quad \square$$

Lecture 6: Dominated Convergence Theorem

Wed 16 Mar

1.9 Integration of signed functions

Definition 14

$f : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is absolutely integrable if

$$\int_{\Omega} |f| < \infty$$

Definition 15 (Integral of a function)

Let f be an absolutely integrable function, then

$$\int_{\Omega} f = \int_{\Omega} f^+ - \int_{\Omega} f^-$$

Remarque

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |f|$$

Proposition 38 (Basic properties)

Let f, g be absolutely integrable functions

— $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$, cf is absolutely integrable and $\int_{\Omega} cf = c \int_{\Omega} f$

- $f + g$ is absolutely integrable and $\int_{\Omega} f + g = \int_{\Omega} f + \int_{\Omega} g$
- If $f = g$ almost everywhere then $\int_{\Omega} f = \int_{\Omega} g$

Theorème 39 (Dominated Convergence Theorem)

Let $f_1, f_2, \dots : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be measurable functions. Assume $f_n \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere and such that $|f_m(x)| \leq F(x) \forall m, x \in \Omega$ where F is absolutely integrable.

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int f_n = \int f$$

Remarque

With the same assumptions, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int |f_n - f| = 0$$

Indeed, apply the theorem to $g_n = |f_n - f|$.

Then $|g_m| \leq |f_n| + |f| \leq 2F$.

Similarly, let f_m be such that the above condition holds, then $\int f_n \rightarrow \int f$, since

$$\left| \int f_n - \int f \right| = \left| \int f_n - f \right| \leq \int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$$

Preuve

By assumption $|f_n| \leq F$, hence $|f| \leq F$.

Apply Fatou to $F(x) + f_n(x)$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} F + f \leq \liminf \int F + f_n \leq \liminf \int f_m + \int f_n$$

Now we apply Fatou to $F - f_n \geq 0$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} F - \int_{\Omega} f \leq \liminf \int_{\Omega} F - f_n$$

Which in turn implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} f \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n$$

We now apply the same trick to $F - f_n$, noticing again this family of functions is non-negative

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} F - f &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F - f_n \\ \int_{\Omega} f &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n \end{aligned}$$

Which implies the limit $\int f_n$ exists and is equal to $\int f$

□

Remarque (Differentiation under the integral)

Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ be measurable such that

- $\partial_t f(x, t)$ for almost every x and every t
- $|\partial_t f(x, t)| \leq h(x)$ where $h(x)$ is an absolutely integrable function, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int f(x, t) dx = \int \partial_t f(x, t)$$

Preuve

Indeed

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int f(x, t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int \underbrace{\frac{f(x, t+h) - f(x, t)}{h}}_{\rightarrow \partial_t f(x, t)}$$

Now notice that

$$\left| \frac{f(x, t+h) - f(x, t)}{h} \right| \leq \left| \int \partial_t f(x, t+hs) ds \right| \leq h(x)$$

□

Definition 16

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, f a function (not necessarily measurable).

The upper and lower Lebesgue integrals

$$\overline{\int}_{\Omega} f = \inf \left\{ \int g : g \text{ measurable}, g \geq f \right\}$$

and similarly the lower integral.

$$\underline{\int}_{\Omega} f = \inf \left\{ \int g : g \text{ measurable}, g \leq f \right\}$$

1.10 Comparison with Riemann Integral**Theorème 42 (Lebesgue generalizes Riemann)**

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Riemann integrable, then f is absolutely integrable and

$$\int_I f dx = \text{Riemann integral of } f \text{ on } I$$

Preuve

f is Riemann integrable if $\forall \epsilon > 0$ there exists p a partition of I such that

$$A - \epsilon \leq \sum |J| \inf_{x \in J} f \leq \sum_{J \in P} |J| \sup f \leq A + \epsilon$$

Since $f_\epsilon^- \leq f \leq f_\epsilon^+$

$$A - \epsilon \leq \int f_\epsilon^- \leq \int f \leq \int f_\epsilon^+ \leq A + \epsilon$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields the result.

Indeed let f_m^\pm be such that $f_m^- \leq f \leq f_m^+$

$$\int f - \frac{1}{m} \leq \int f_m^+ \leq \int f + m$$

□

Setting $F^- = \sup f_m^-$, $F^+ = \inf f_m^+$ are measurable.

$F^- \leq f \leq F^+$

1.11 Fubini's Theorem

Theorème 43 (Fubini-Tonelli)

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume $f \geq 0$ or f absolutely integrable, then

— for almost every x , $f(x, \cdot)$ is measurable and

$$x \mapsto \int f(x, y) dy$$

is measurable

— For almost every y , $f(\cdot, y)$ is measurable and

$$y \mapsto \int f(x, y) dx$$

is measurable

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m} f dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x, y) dy \right) dx$$

Lecture 7: Fubini's Theorem

Thu 17 Mar

Remarque

Tonelli is used on $|f|$ and to show that f is absolutely integrable, then we can apply Fubini.

Preuve

We prove the result under the additional assumptions that $m = n = 1$ and that every function appearing is measurable.

We will prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, y) dy dx$$

It is enough to prove the above equality when $f \geq 0$.

If not, $f = f^+ - f^-$, then we may apply the above result to f^+ and f^- .

Notice also that it is sufficient to prove the result for f such that $\text{Supp } f \subset [-N, N]^2$.

Indeed, write $f_n = f1_{[-n, n]^2}$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n$$

Now since f_n is monotone, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f$ the left hand side yields (again using monotone convergence)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dy dx$$

We may now reduce the problem even further to simple functions with bounded support.

Indeed for every $f \geq 0$, f is a sup of simple functions so we can apply monotone convergence.

Now since every simple function is the sum of indicator functions, we only need to prove the result for indicator functions :

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum c_i 1_{E_i} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f dx dy &= \sum_i c_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 1_{E_i} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_i c_i 1_{E_i} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dy dx \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_E(x, y) dy dx \leq m(E) \quad E \subset [-N, N]^2$$

Indeed if the above holds, we may apply it to $[-N, N]^2 \setminus E$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{[-N, N]^2 \setminus E} dy dx \leq m([-N, N]^2 \setminus E)$$

Summing both inequalities yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_E + 1_{[-N, N]^2 \setminus E} dy dx \leq m(E) + m([-N, N]^2 \setminus E) = m([-N, N]^2)$$

Hence all inequalities above are in fact equalities.

So we only need to prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_E(x, y) dy dx \leq m(E) \quad E \subset [-N, N]^2 \quad \square$$

Consider a covering $\{B_j\}$ of E s.t. $\sum \text{Vol}(B_j) \leq m(E) + \epsilon$, but this is just

$$\sum \text{Vol}(B_j) = \sum \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B_j} dy dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{\sum 1_{B_j}}_{\geq 1_E} dy dx$$

Which concludes the proof.