

# Eight to Late

Sensemaking and Analytics for Organizations

## A gentle introduction to text mining using R

with 23 comments

### Preamble

This article is based on my exploration of the basic text mining capabilities of [R, the open source statistical software](#). It is intended primarily as a tutorial for novices in text mining as well as R. However, unlike conventional tutorials, I spend a good bit of time setting the context by describing the problem that led me to text mining and thence to R. I also talk about the limitations of the techniques I describe, and point out directions for further exploration for those who are interested. Indeed, I'll likely explore some of these myself in future articles.

If you have no time and /or wish to cut to the chase, please go straight to the section entitled, [Preliminaries – installing R and RStudio](#). If you have already installed R and have worked with it, you may want to stop reading as I doubt there's anything I can tell you that you don't already know 😊

A couple of warnings are in order before we proceed. R and the text mining options we explore below are open source software. Version differences in open source can be significant and are not always documented in a way that corporate IT types are used to. Indeed, I was tripped up by such differences in an earlier version of this article (now revised). So, just for the record, the examples below were run on version 3.2.0 of R and version 0.6-1 of the *tm* (text mining) package for R. A second point follows from this: as is evident from its version number, the *tm* package is still in the early stages of its evolution. As a result – and we will see this below – things do not always work as advertised. So assume nothing, and inspect the results in detail at every step. Be warned that I do not always do this below, as my aim is introduction rather than accuracy.

### Background and motivation

Traditional data analysis is based on the [relational model](#) in which data is stored in [tables](#). Within tables, data is stored in rows – each row representing a single record of an entity of interest (such as a customer or an account). The columns represent attributes of the entity. For example, the customer table might consist of columns such as *name*, *street address*, *city*, *postcode*, *telephone number*. Typically these are defined upfront, when the data model is created. It is possible to add columns after the fact, but this tends to be messy because one also has to update existing rows with information pertaining to the added attribute.

As long as one asks for information that is based only on existing attributes – an example being, “give me a list of customers based in Sydney” – a database analyst can [use Structured Query Language](#) (the defacto language of relational databases) to get an answer. A problem arises, however, if one asks for information that is based on attributes that are not included in the database. An example in the above case would be: “give me a list of customers who have made a complaint in the last twelve months.”

As a result of the above, many data modelers will include a “catch-all” free text column that can be used to capture additional information in an ad-hoc way. As one might imagine, this column will often end up containing several lines, or even paragraphs of text that are near impossible to analyse with the tools available in relational databases.

(Note: for completeness I should add that most database vendors have incorporated text mining capabilities into their products. Indeed, many of them now include R...which is another good reason to learn it.)

### My story

Over the last few months, when time permits, I've been doing an in-depth exploration of the data captured by my organisation's [IT service management](#) tool. Such tools capture all support tickets that are logged, and track their progress until they are closed. As it turns out, there are a number of cases where calls are logged against categories that are too broad to be useful – the infamous catch-all category called “Unknown.” In such cases, much of the important information is captured in a free text column, which is difficult to analyse unless one knows what one is looking for. The problem I was grappling with was to identify patterns and hence define sub-categories that would enable support staff to categorise these calls meaningfully.

One way to do this is to guess what the sub-categories might be...and one can sometimes make pretty good guesses if one knows the data well enough. In general, however, guessing is a terrible strategy because one does not know what one does not know. The only sensible way to extract subcategories is to analyse the content of the free text column systematically. This is a classic text mining problem.

Now, I knew a bit about the theory of text mining, but had little practical experience with it. So the logical place for me to start was to look for a suitable text mining tool. Our vendor (who shall remain unnamed) has a “Rolls-Royce” statistical tool that has a good text mining add-on. We don’t have licenses for the tool, but the vendor was willing to give us a trial license for a few months...with the understanding that this was on an intent-to-purchase basis.

I therefore started looking at open source options. While doing so, I stumbled on [an interesting paper](#) by Ingo Feinerer that describes a text mining framework for the R environment. Now, I knew about R, and was vaguely aware that it offered text mining capabilities, but I’d not looked into the details. Anyway, I started reading the paper...and kept going until I finished.

As I read, I realised that this could be the answer to my problems. Even better, it would not require me trade in assorted limbs for a license.

I decided to give it a go.

## Preliminaries – installing R and RStudio

R can be downloaded from the [R Project website](#). There is a Windows version available, which installed painlessly on my laptop. Commonly encountered installation issues are answered in the (very helpful) [R for Windows FAQ](#).

[RStudio](#) is an integrated development environment (IDE) for R. There is a commercial version of the product, but there is also a [free open source version](#). In what follows, I’ve used the free version. Like R, RStudio installs painlessly and also detects your R installation.

RStudio has the following panels:

- A script editor in which you can create R scripts (top left). You can also open a new script editor window by going to *File > New File > RScript*.
- The console where you can execute R commands / scripts (bottom left)
- Environment and history (top right)
- Files in the current working directory, installed R packages, plots and a help display screen (bottom right).

Check out [this](#) short video for a quick introduction to RStudio.

You can access help anytime (within both R and RStudio) by typing a question mark before a command. [Exercise](#): try this by typing `?getwd()` and `?setwd()` in the console.

I should reiterate that the installation process for both products was seriously simple...and seriously impressive. “Rolls-Royce” business intelligence vendors could take a lesson from that...in addition to taking a long hard look at the ridiculous prices they charge.

There is another small step before we move on to the fun stuff. Text mining and certain plotting packages are not installed by default so one has to install them manually. The relevant packages are:

1. **tm** – the text mining package (see [documentation](#)). Also check out [this](#) excellent introductory article on tm.
2. **snowballC** – required for stemming (explained below).
3. **ggplot2** – plotting capabilities (see [documentation](#))
4. **wordcloud** – which is self-explanatory (see [documentation](#)).

(Warning for Windows users: R is case-sensitive so Wordcloud != wordcloud)

The simplest way to install packages is to use RStudio’s built in capabilities (go to *Tools > Install Packages* in the menu). If you’re working on Windows 7 or 8, you might run into a permissions issue when installing packages. If you do, you might find [this advice from the R for Windows FAQ](#) helpful.

## Preliminaries – The example dataset

The data I had from our service management tool isn’t the best dataset to learn with as it is quite messy. But then, I have a reasonable data source in my virtual backyard: this blog. To this end, I converted all posts I’ve written since Dec 2013 into plain text form (30 posts in all). You can download the zip file of these [here](#).

I suggest you create a new folder called – called, say, *TextMining* – and unzip the files in that folder.

That done, we’re good to start...

## Preliminaries – Basic Navigation

A few things to note before we proceed:

- In what follows, I enter the commands directly in the console. However, here’s a little RStudio tip that you may want to consider: you can enter an R command or code fragment in the script editor and then hit Ctrl-Enter (i.e. hit the Enter key while holding down the Control key) to copy the line to the console. This will enable you to save the script as you go along.
- In the code snippets below, the functions / commands to be typed in the R console are in blue font. The output is in black. I will also denote references to functions / commands in the body of the article by italicising them as in “*setwd()*”. Be aware that I’ve omitted the command prompt “>” in the code snippets below!

- It is best not to cut-n-paste commands directly from the article as quotes are sometimes not rendered correctly. A text file of all the code in this article is available [here](#).

The > prompt in the RStudio console indicates that R is ready to process commands.

To see the current working directory type in `getwd()` and hit return. You'll see something like:

```
getwd()
[1] "C:/Users/Documents"
```

The exact output will of course depend on your working directory. Note the forward slashes in the path. This is because of R's Unix heritage (backslash is an escape character in R.). So, here's how would change the working directory to `C:\Users`:

```
setwd("C:/Users")
You can now use getwd() to check that setwd() has done what it should.
```

```
getwd()
[1]"C:/Users"
```

I won't say much more here about R as I want to get on with the main business of the article. Check out this [very short introduction to R](#) for a quick crash course.

## Loading data into R

Start RStudio and open the TextMining project you created earlier.

The next step is to load the *tm* package as this is not loaded by default. This is done using the `library()` function like so:

```
library(tm)
Loading required package: NLP
```

Dependent packages are loaded automatically – in this case the dependency is on the NLP (natural language processing) package.

Next, we need to create a collection of documents (technically referred to as a *Corpus*) in the R environment. This basically involves loading the files created in the *TextMining* folder into a Corpus object. The *tm* package provides the `Corpus()` function to do this. There are several ways to create a Corpus (check out the online help using ? as explained earlier). In a nutshell, the `Corpus()` function can read from various sources including a directory. That's the option we'll use:

```
# Create Corpus
docs <- Corpus(DirSource("C:/Users/Kailash/Documents/TextMining"))
```

At the risk of stating the obvious, you will need to tailor this path as appropriate.

A couple of things to note in the above. Any line that starts with a # is a comment, and the "<-" tells R to assign the result of the command on the right hand side to the variable on the left hand side. In this case the Corpus object created is stored in a variable called *docs*. One can also use the equals sign (=) for assignment if one wants to.

Type in *docs* to see some information about the newly created corpus:

```
docs
<<VCorpus>>
Metadata: corpus specific: 0, document level (indexed): 0
Content: documents: 30
```

The `summary()` function gives more details, including a complete listing of files...but it isn't particularly enlightening. Instead, we'll examine a particular document in the corpus.

```
# inspect a particular document
writeLines(as.character(docs[[30]]))
...output not shown...
```

Which prints the entire content of 30<sup>th</sup> document in the corpus to the console.

## Pre-processing

Data cleansing, though tedious, is perhaps the most important step in text analysis. As we will see, dirty data can play havoc with the results. Furthermore, as we will also see, data cleaning is invariably an iterative process as there are always problems that are overlooked the first time around.

The *tm* package offers a number of transformations that ease the tedium of cleaning data. To see the available transformations type `getTransformations()` at the R prompt:

```
> getTransformations()
[1] "removeNumbers" "removePunctuation" "removeWords" "stemDocument" "stripWhitespace"
```

Most of these are self-explanatory. I'll explain those that aren't as we go along.

There are a few preliminary clean-up steps we need to do before we use these powerful transformations. If you inspect some documents in the corpus (and you know how to do that now), you will notice that I have some quirks in my writing. For example, I often use colons and hyphens without spaces between the words separated by them. Using the *removePunctuation* transform without fixing this will cause the two words on either side of the symbols to be combined. Clearly, we need to fix this *prior* to using the transformations.

To fix the above, one has to create a custom transformation. The *tm* package provides the ability to do this via the *content\_transformer* function. This function takes a function as input, the input function should specify what transformation needs to be done. In this case, the input function would be one that replaces all instances of a character by spaces. As it turns out the *gsub()* function does just that.

Here is the R code to build the content transformer, which we will call *toSpace*:

```
# create the toSpace content transformer
toSpace <- content_transformer(function(x, pattern) {return (gsub(pattern, " ", x))})
Now we can use this content transformer to eliminate colons and hypens like so:
```

```
docs <- tm_map(docs, toSpace, "-")
docs <- tm_map(docs, toSpace, ":")
```

Inspect random sections of corpus to check that the result is what you intend (use *writeLines* as shown earlier). To reiterate something I mentioned in the preamble, it is good practice to inspect a subset of the corpus after each transformation.

If it all looks good, we can now apply the *removePunctuation* transformation. This is done as follows:

```
# Remove punctuation – replace punctuation marks with " "
docs <- tm_map(docs, removePunctuation)
```

Inspecting the corpus reveals that several "non-standard" punctuation marks have *not* been removed. These include the single curly quote marks and a space-hyphen combination. These can be removed using our custom content transformer, *toSpace*. Note that you might want to copy-n-paste these symbols directly from the relevant text file to ensure that they are accurately represented in *toSpace*.

```
docs <- tm_map(docs, toSpace, "'")
docs <- tm_map(docs, toSpace, "'")
docs <- tm_map(docs, toSpace, " -")
```

Inspect the corpus again to ensure that the offenders have been eliminated. This is also a good time to check for any other special symbols that may need to be removed manually.

If all is well, you can move to the next step which is to:

- Convert the corpus to lower case
- Remove all numbers.

Since R is case sensitive, "Text" is not equal to "text" – and hence the rationale for converting to a standard case. However, although there is a *tolower* transformation, it is not a part of the standard *tm* transformations (see the output of *getTransformations()* in the previous section). For this reason, we have to convert *tolower* into a transformation that can handle a corpus object properly. This is done with the help of our new friend, *content\_transformer*.

Here's the relevant code:

```
# Transform to lower case (need to wrap in content_transformer)
docs <- tm_map(docs, content_transformer(tolower))
```

Text analysts are typically not interested in numbers since these do not usually contribute to the meaning of the text. However, this may not always be so. For example, it is definitely not the case if one is interested in getting a count of the number of times a particular year appears in a corpus. This does not need to be wrapped in *content\_transformer* as it is a standard transformation in *tm*.

```
# Strip digits (std transformation, so no need for content_transformer)
docs <- tm_map(docs, removeNumbers)
```

Once again, be sure to inspect the corpus before proceeding.

The next step is to remove common words from the text. These include words such as articles (*a, an, the*), conjunctions (*and, or but* etc.), common verbs (*is*), qualifiers (*yet, however* etc). The *tm* package includes a standard list of such stop words as they are referred to. We remove stop words using the standard *removeWords* transformation like so:

```
# remove stopwords using the standard list in tm
docs <- tm_map(docs, removeWords, stopwords("english"))
```

Finally, we remove all extraneous whitespaces using the *stripWhitespace* transformation:

```
# Strip whitespace (cosmetic?)
docs <- tm_map(docs, stripWhitespace)
```

## Stemming

Typically a large corpus will contain many words that have a common root – for example: *offer*, *offered* and *offering*. Stemming is the process of reducing such related words to their common root, which in this case would be the word *offer*.

Simple stemming algorithms (such as the one in *tm*) are relatively crude: they work by chopping off the ends of words. This can cause problems: for example, the words *mate* and *mating* might be reduced to *mat* instead of *mate*. That said, the overall benefit gained from stemming more than makes up for the downside of such special cases.

To see what stemming does, let's take a look at the last few lines of the corpus before and after stemming. Here's what the last bit looks like prior to stemming (note that this may differ for you, depending on the ordering of the corpus source files in your directory):

```
writeLines(as.character(docs[[30]]))
flexibility eye beholder action increase organisational flexibility say redeploying employees likely seen affected move constrains individual flexibility
dual meaning characteristic many organizational platitudes excellence synergy andgovernance interesting exercise analyse platitudes expose difference
espoused actual meanings sign wishing many hours platitude deconstructing fun
```

Now let's stem the corpus and reinspect it.

```
# load library
library(SnowballC)
# Stem document
docs <- tm_map(docs, stemDocument)
writeLines(as.character(docs[[30]]))
flexibl eye behold action increas organis flexibl say redeploy employe like seen affect move constrain individu flexibl dual mean characterist mani
organiz platitud excel synergi andgovern interest exercis analys platitud expos differ espous actual mean sign wish mani hour platitud deconstruct fun
```

A careful comparison of the two paragraphs reveals the benefits and tradeoff of this relatively crude process.

There is a more sophisticated procedure called lemmatization that takes *grammatical context* into account. Among other things, determining the lemma of a word requires a knowledge of its part of speech (POS) – i.e. whether it is a noun, adjective etc. There are POS taggers that automate the process of tagging terms with their parts of speech. Although POS taggers are available for R (see this one, for example), I will not go into this topic here as it would make a long post even longer.

On another important note, the output of the corpus also shows up a problem or two. First, *organiz* and *organis* are actually variants of the same stem *organ*. Clearly, they should be merged. Second, the word *andgovern* should be separated out into *and* and *govern* (this is an error in the original text). These (and other errors of their ilk) can and should be fixed up before proceeding. This is easily done using *gsub()* wrapped in *content\_transformer*. Here is the code to clean up these and a few other issues that I found:

```
docs <- tm_map(docs, content_transformer(gsub), pattern = "organiz", replacement = "organ")
docs <- tm_map(docs, content_transformer(gsub), pattern = "organis", replacement = "organ")
docs <- tm_map(docs, content_transformer(gsub), pattern = "andgovern", replacement = "govern")
docs <- tm_map(docs, content_transformer(gsub), pattern = "inenterpris", replacement = "enterpris")
docs <- tm_map(docs, content_transformer(gsub), pattern = "team-", replacement = "team")
```

Note that I have removed the stop words *and* and *in* in the 3rd and 4th transforms above.

There are definitely other errors that need to be cleaned up, but I'll leave these for you to detect and remove.

## The document term matrix

The next step in the process is the creation of the document term matrix (DTM) – a matrix that lists all occurrences of words in the corpus, by document. In the DTM, the documents are represented by rows and the terms (or words) by columns. If a word occurs in a particular document, then the matrix entry for corresponding to that row and column is 1, else it is 0 (multiple occurrences within a document are recorded – that is, if a word occurs twice in a document, it is recorded as "2" in the relevant matrix entry).

A simple example might serve to explain the structure of the TDM more clearly. Assume we have a simple corpus consisting of two documents, *Doc1* and *Doc2*, with the following content:

*Doc1*: bananas are good

Doc2: bananas are yellow

The DTM for this corpus would look like:

	<i>bananas</i>	<i>are</i>	<i>yellow</i>	<i>good</i>
<i>Doc1</i>	1	1	1	0
<i>Doc2</i>	1	1	0	1

Clearly there is nothing special about rows and columns – we could just as easily transpose them. If we did so, we’d get a *term document matrix* (TDM) in which the terms are rows and documents columns. One can work with either a DTM or TDM. I’ll use the DTM in what follows.

There are a couple of general points worth making before we proceed. Firstly, DTMs (or TDMs) can be huge – the dimension of the matrix would be number of document x the number of words in the corpus. Secondly, it is clear that the large majority of words will appear only in a few documents. As a result a DTM is invariably *sparse* – that is, a large number of its entries are 0.

The business of creating a DTM (or TDM) in R is as simple as:

```
dtm <- DocumentTermMatrix(docs)
```

This creates a term document matrix from the corpus and stores the result in the variable *dtm*. One can get summary information on the matrix by typing the variable name in the console and hitting return:

```
dtm
<<DocumentTermMatrix (documents: 30, terms: 4209)>>
Non-/sparse entries: 14252/112018
Sparsity : 89%
Maximal term length: 48
Weighting : term frequency (tf)
```

This is a 30 x 4209 dimension matrix in which 89% of the rows are zero.

One can inspect the DTM, and you might want to do so for fun. However, it isn’t particularly illuminating because of the sheer volume of information that will flash up on the console. To limit the information displayed, one can inspect a small section of it like so:

```
inspect(dtm[1:2,1000:1005])
<<DocumentTermMatrix (documents: 2, terms: 6)>>
Non-/sparse entries: 0/12
Sparsity : 100%
Maximal term length: 8
Weighting : term frequency (tf)
Docs      creation creativ credibl credit crimin crinkl
BeyondEntitiesAndRelationships.txt  0  0  0  0  0  0
bigdata.txt      0  0  0  0  0  0
```

This command displays terms 1000 through 1005 in the first two rows of the DTM. Note that your results may differ.

## Mining the corpus

Notice that in constructing the TDM, we have converted a corpus of text into a mathematical object that can be analysed using quantitative techniques of matrix algebra. It should be no surprise, therefore, that the TDM (or DTM) is the starting point for quantitative text analysis.

For example, to get the frequency of occurrence of each word in the corpus, we simply sum over all rows to give column sums:

```
freq <- colSums(as.matrix(dtm))
```

Here we have first converted the TDM into a mathematical matrix using the *as.matrix()* function. We have then summed over all rows to give us the totals for each column (term). The result is stored in the (column matrix) variable *freq*.

Check that the dimension of *freq* equals the number of terms:

```
#length should be total number of terms
length(freq)
[1] 4209
```

Next, we sort *freq* in descending order of term count:

```
#create sort order (descending)
ord <- order(freq,decreasing=TRUE)
```

Then list the most and least frequently occurring terms:

```
# inspect most frequently occurring terms
freq[head(ord)]
one organ can manag work system
314 268 244 222 202 193
# inspect least frequently occurring terms
freq[tail(ord)]
yield yorkshir youtub zeno zero zulli
1 1 1 1 1 1
```

The least frequent terms can be more interesting than one might think. This is because *terms that occur rarely are likely to be more descriptive of specific documents*. Indeed, I can recall the posts in which I have referred to *Yorkshire*, *Zeno's Paradox* and *Mr. Lou Zulli* without having to go back to the corpus, but I'd have a hard time enumerating the posts in which I've used the word *system*.

There are at least a couple of ways to simple ways to strike a balance between frequency and specificity. One way is to use so-called inverse document frequencies. A simpler approach is to eliminate words that occur in a large fraction of corpus documents. The latter addresses another issue that is evident in the above. We deal with this now.

Words like "can" and "one" give us no information about the subject matter of the documents in which they occur. They can therefore be eliminated without loss. Indeed, they ought to have been eliminated by the stopwords removal we did earlier. However, since such words occur very frequently – virtually in all documents – we can remove them by enforcing bounds when creating the DTM, like so:

```
dtmr <- DocumentTermMatrix(docs, control=list(wordLengths=c(4, 20),
bounds = list(global = c(3,27))))
```

Here we have told R to include only those words that occur in 3 to 27 documents. We have also enforced lower and upper limit to length of the words included (between 4 and 20 characters).

Inspecting the new DTM:

```
dtmr
<<DocumentTermMatrix (documents: 30, terms: 1290)>>
Non-/sparse entries: 10002/28698
Sparsity : 74%
Maximal term length: 15
Weighting : term frequency (tf)
The dimension is reduced to 30 x 1290.
```

Let's calculate the cumulative frequencies of words across documents and sort as before:

```
freqr <- colSums(as.matrix(dtmr))
# length should be total number of terms
length(freqr)
[1] 1290
# create sort order (asc)
ordr <- order(freqr,decreasing=TRUE)
# inspect most frequently occurring terms
freqr[head(ordr)]
organ manag work system project problem
268 222 202 193 184 171
# inspect least frequently occurring terms
freqr[tail(ordr)]
wait warehous welcom whiteboard wider widespread
3 3 3 3 3 3
```

The results make sense: the top 6 keywords are pretty good descriptors of what my blogs is about – projects, management and systems. However, not all high frequency words need be significant. What they do, is give you an idea of potential classification terms.

That done, let's take get a list of terms that occur at least a 100 times in the entire corpus. This is easily done using the `findFreqTerms()` function as follows:

```
findFreqTerms(dtmr,lowfreq=80)
[1] "action" "approach" "base" "busi" "chang" "consult" "data" "decis" "design"
[10] "develop" "differ" "discuss" "enterpris" "exempl" "group" "howev" "import" "issu"
[19] "like" "make" "manag" "mani" "model" "often" "organ" "peopl" "point"
[28] "practic" "problem" "process" "project" "question" "said" "system" "thing" "think"
[37] "time" "understand" "view" "well" "will" "work"
```

Here I have asked `findFreqTerms()` to return all terms that occur more than 80 times in the entire corpus. Note, however, that the result is ordered alphabetically, not by frequency.

Now that we have the most frequently occurring terms in hand, we can check for *correlations* between some of these and other terms that occur in the corpus.

In this context, correlation is a quantitative measure of the co-occurrence of words in multiple documents.

The tm package provides the *findAssocs()* function to do this. One needs to specify the DTM, the term of interest and the *correlation limit*. The latter is a number between 0 and 1 that serves as a lower bound for the strength of correlation between the search and result terms. For example, if the correlation limit is 1, *findAssocs()* will return only those words that *always* co-occur with the search term. A correlation limit of 0.5 will return terms that have a search term co-occurrence of at least 50% and so on.

Here are the results of running *findAssocs()* on some of the frequently occurring terms (*system*, *project*, *organis*) at a correlation of 60%.

```
findAssocs(dtmr,"project",0.6)
  project
inher 0.82
handl 0.68
manag 0.68
occurr 0.68
manager' 0.66
findAssocs(dtmr,"enterpris",0.6)
enterpris
agil 0.80
realist 0.78
increment 0.77
upfront 0.77
technolog 0.70
neither 0.69
solv 0.69
adapt 0.67
architectur 0.67
happi 0.67
movement 0.67
architect 0.66
chanc 0.65
fine 0.64
featur 0.63
findAssocs(dtmr,"system",0.6)
  system
design 0.78
subset 0.78
adopt 0.77
user 0.77
involv 0.71
specifi 0.71
function 0.70
intend 0.67
softwar 0.67
step 0.67
compos 0.66
intent 0.66
specif 0.66
depart 0.65
phone 0.63
frequent 0.62
today 0.62
pattern 0.61
cognit 0.60
wherea 0.60
```

An important point to note that the presence of a term in these list is not indicative of its frequency. Rather it is a measure of the frequency with which the two (search and result term) co-occur (or show up together) in documents across. Note also, that it is not an indicator of nearness or contiguity. Indeed, it cannot be because the document term matrix does not store any information on proximity of terms, it is simply a "bag of words."

That said, one can already see that the correlations throw up interesting combinations – for example, *project* and *manag*, or *enterpris* and *agil* or *architect* / *architecture*, or *system* and *design* or *adopt*. These give one further insights into potential classifications.

As it turned out, the very basic techniques listed above were enough for me to get a handle on the original problem that led me to text mining – the analysis of free text problem descriptions in my organisation's service management tool. What I did was to work my way through the top 50 terms and find their associations. These revealed a number of sets of keywords that occurred in multiple problem descriptions, which was good enough for me to define some useful sub-categories. These are currently being reviewed by the service management team. While they're busy with that that, I'm looking into refining these further using techniques such as cluster analysis and tokenization. A simple case of the latter would be to look at two-word combinations in the text (technically referred to as bigrams). As one might imagine, the dimensionality of the DTM will quickly get out of hand as one considers larger multi-word combinations.







7/6/2016

A gentle introduction to text mining using R | Eight to Late

If you liked this piece, you may want to check out the sequel – [a gentle introduction to cluster analysis using R](#).

**Note added on September 29th 2015:**

...and my [introductory piece on topic modeling](#).

**Note added on December 3rd 2015:**

...and my [article on visualizing relationships between documents](#).

Written by K

May 27, 2015 at 8:08 pm

Posted in [Business Intelligence](#), [Data Analytics](#), [Statistics](#), [Text Analytics](#), [Text Mining](#)

## 23 Responses

Subscribe to comments with [RSS](#).

Reblogged this on [Top GP](#) and commented:

Excelente tutorial básico de como realizar text-mining (mineração de textos) usando a linguagem R.

**Marco Alan Rotta**

June 9, 2015 at [4:24 am](#)

[Reply](#)

[...] second part of my introductory series on text analysis using R (the first article can be accessed [here](#)). My aim in the present piece is to provide a practical introduction to cluster analysis. [...]

**[A gentle introduction to cluster analysis using R | Eight to Late](#)**

July 22, 2015 at [8:53 pm](#)

[Reply](#)

[...] that's right, for free! All you need to is the open-source statistical package R (check out this section of my article on text mining for more on installing and using R) and the willingness to roll-up [...]

**[Setting up an internal data analytics practice – some thoughts from a wayfarer | Eight to Late](#)**

September 3, 2015 at [8:28 pm](#)

[Reply](#)

thanks! very useful and clear!

quick note:

perhaps

```
reqr <- colSums(as.matrix(dtmr))
```

#length should be total number of terms

```
length(freqr)
```

should start with

```
freqr <- colSums(as.matrix(dtmr))
```

Keep up the excellent work.

**[fernandoloizides](#)**

September 17, 2015 at [8:59 pm](#)

[Reply](#)

Hi Fernando,

Many thanks for your feedback and for catching the error (fixed now).

Regards,

Kailash.

K

September 17, 2015 at [9:07 pm](#)

[Reply](#)

[...] example which uses the `topicmodels` library in R. As in my previous articles in this series (see this post and this one), I will discuss the steps in detail along with explanations and provide [...]

### **A gentle introduction to topic modeling using R | Eight to Late**

September 29, 2015 at [7:18 pm](#)

#### **Reply**

I would like to pass on a little tip regarding the `tm` package. Suppose you have a term-document matrix (terms=rows, documents=columns) named `tdm`. There are 3 vectors for your `tdm` object that are quite useful; `tdm$i`, `tdm$j`, `tdm$v`. `tdm$i` has, at each position, the term number in your term-document matrix. `tdm$j` contains the document number at that position. `tdm$v` contains the frequency of that term in that document. Suppose you want to know which documents term number 13 appears in, the following command will tell you:

```
>tdm$j[which(tdm$i==13)]
```

To find out the frequency of term 13 in each document, use the following command:

```
>tdm$v[tdm$j[which(tdm$i==13)]]
```

I found this quite useful for quick, ad hoc results on specific terms I was interested in. Hope you may find this useful

#### **Charles Howard**

October 8, 2015 at [11:29 pm](#)

#### **Reply**

[...] I'm going to assume you have R and RStudio installed on your computer. If you need help with this, please follow the instructions here. [...]

### **A gentle introduction to Naïve Bayes classification using R | Eight to Late**

November 6, 2015 at [7:34 am](#)

#### **Reply**

Muy buen tutorial...me encuentro haciendo un proyecto de text mining y tu información me ayudado mucho...cualquier inquietud te la haré llegar y espero me ayudes.

#### **Robert**

November 6, 2015 at [11:13 pm](#)

#### **Reply**

Hi Robert,

I'm glad you found the article useful. Thanks for reading and commenting!

Regards,

Kailash.

K

November 7, 2015 at [8:39 am](#)

#### **Reply**

When I downloaded your text files your single curly came in to my .txt as `í`. So `I'll` is `Iíll` and `don't` is `donít` in `TheDilemmasOfEnterprise.txt`. (26) In R Studio they come up as 92.

What symbol do I enter into this line of code: `docs <- tm_map(docs, toSpace, """)`

Are there any other symbol modifications I should check for in this step?

thanks

#### **Stav**

November 18, 2015 at [12:39 am](#)

#### **Reply**

Hi Stav,

Thanks for reading and trying out the code. Yes, this is a problem from time to time. The quick and dirty way that usually works is to cut and paste the exact symbol from the text file into the relevant `tm_map` argument. As for other symbols, I removed them as I found them, but I haven't maintained a list.

Also, note that the 1) `tm` functions do not always work as advertised and 2) the order of preprocessing steps can make a difference (this point has been noted by others as well).

Hope this helps.

Regards,

Kailash.

K

November 18, 2015 at [7:34 am](#)

Reply

[...] of documents, a process which I have dealt with at length in my introductory pieces on text mining and topic modeling. In fact, the steps are actually identical to those detailed in the second [...]

**A gentle introduction to network graphs using R and Gephi | Eight to Late**

December 2, 2015 at 7:20 am

Reply

Thank you so much! I have been working on my script for two days now and this was such a wonderful help! I would like to note that my R did not like your " ", I have to change the " " when I wrote my script. It might be because of the font changing over or something? The point being is that I had to change the " " manually in order for R to process properly otherwise R just gave me error messages. Hopefully no one else ran into that problem! Thank you so much!!!

**Kurt**

December 12, 2015 at 5:14 am

Reply

This is an awesome tutorial! Thanks. Keep up the good work.

**Fidelis**

December 23, 2015 at 11:05 am

Reply

[...] Preamble This article is based on my exploration of the basic text mining capabilities of R, the open source statistical software. It is intended primarily as a tutorial for novices in text mining as well as R. [...]

**A gentle introduction to text mining using R | ...**

December 23, 2015 at 4:51 pm

Reply

[...] follows, I will use the open source software, R. If you are new to R, you may want to follow this link for more on the basics of setting up and installing it. Note that the R implementation of the [...]

**A gentle introduction to decision trees using R | Eight to Late**

February 16, 2016 at 6:33 pm

Reply

Hi,  
Really nice tutorial. In the preprocessing step, i am not able to remove bullet point. Please suggest what can be done.  
Thank you

**Appurv**

February 19, 2016 at 8:38 pm

Reply

Hi,  
Do you know how much your text is reduced via stemming? (I found a length reduction of about 14% in one of my text mining process)  
As I write here (in french) : <http://data-bzh.fr/text-mining-r-part-3/>, I tend to think that stemming is not the best solution for visualisation purpose, as the words become less readable.  
Anyway, great article  
Best,  
Colin

**Colin Data Bzh**

May 3, 2016 at 3:21 am

Reply

Hi, there! Just a tip for removing some special characters like "\$" or "(" using the 'toSpace' content transformer: Change the function to:

```
toSpace <- content_transformer(function(x, pattern) {return (gsub(pattern, " ", x, fixed = TRUE))})
```

The 'fixed' switch will take your string or character "as is" for evaluation; this avoids the usage of double escapes.  
wedopages

June 3, 2016 at 11:22 am

Reply

Great! Thanks for the tip.

Regards,

7/6/2016

A gentle introduction to text mining using R | Eight to Late

K.

K

June 22, 2016 at [10:53 am](#)

[Reply](#)

[...] A gentle introduction to text mining using R [...]

[R프로그래밍 참고할 만한 사이트 | This Is YNWA](#)

June 19, 2016 at [4:05 pm](#)

[Reply](#)

Reblogged this on [evolvingprogrammer](#) and commented:

An excellent introduction to text mining in R. Very well explained.

[evolvingprogrammer](#)

June 30, 2016 at [7:26 am](#)

[Reply](#)

Blog at WordPress.com. The Journalist v1.9 Theme.