

Exercise 3: DCM for EEG

28/03/2023 – 18/04/2023

Group D: Clara Kümpel, Damola Agbelese, Yitong Li

3.1 Dynamic equations

$$v(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t h(t-\tau)\sigma(\tau)d\tau$$

With

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} H\kappa t e^{-\kappa t}, & t \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{derive: } \ddot{v}(t) = H\kappa\sigma(t) - 2\kappa\dot{v}(t) - \kappa^2 v(t)$$

According to *Leibniz' rule*:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{a(t)=-\infty}^{b(t)=t} f(t, \tau) d\tau = f(t, b(t)) \frac{d}{dt} b(t) - f(t, a(t)) \frac{d}{dt} a(t) + \int_{a(t)=-\infty}^{b(t)=t} \frac{d}{dt} f(t, \tau) d\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{v}(t) = h(0)\sigma(t) \cdot 1 + \int_{-\infty}^t \dot{h}(t-\tau)\sigma(\tau)d\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{v}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \dot{h}(t-\tau)\sigma(\tau)d\tau$$

$$\dot{h}(t) = H\kappa(1 - \kappa t)e^{-\kappa t} = H\kappa e^{-\kappa t} - \kappa h(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{v}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t H\kappa e^{-\kappa(t-\tau)}\sigma(\tau)d\tau - \int_{-\infty}^t \kappa h(t-\tau)\sigma(\tau)d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^t H\kappa e^{-\kappa(t-\tau)}\sigma(\tau)d\tau - \kappa v(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ddot{v}(t) = H\kappa \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\kappa(t-\tau)}\sigma(\tau)d\tau - \kappa\dot{v}(t)$$

Again, plug term $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\kappa(t-\tau)}\sigma(\tau)d\tau$ into *Leibniz' rule*:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\kappa(t-\tau)}\sigma(\tau)d\tau = H\kappa \left(\sigma(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t \sigma(\tau)e^{\kappa\tau} \frac{d}{dt} e^{-\kappa t} d\tau \right)$$

$$= H\kappa \left(\sigma(t) - \kappa \int_{-\infty}^t \sigma(\tau)e^{-\kappa(t-\tau)} d\tau \right)$$

$$= H\kappa(\sigma(t) - \kappa v(t))$$

$$\Rightarrow \ddot{v}(t) = H\kappa\sigma(t) - 2\kappa\dot{v}(t) - \kappa^2 v(t)$$

Q.E.D.

3.2 Coupled harmonic oscillator

a) Convert the 2nd order ODE of harmonic oscillator (HO) to a 1st order system.

We have

$$\ddot{x} = -f\dot{x} - \kappa^2 x + u(t)$$

Let

$$v = \dot{x}$$

$$\dot{v} = \ddot{x}$$

Then we get a equation set

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = 0 \cdot x + 1 \cdot v \\ \dot{v} = -\kappa^2 x - f v + u(t) \end{cases} \\ \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\kappa^2 & -f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e.,

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x} + \vec{u}(t)$$

Q.E.D.

b) Coupled dynamic system: HO x is driven by a second HO $z(t)$, i.e., $u(t) = a \cdot z(t)$

$$\ddot{z} = -f_z \dot{z} - \kappa_z^2 z + u_z(t)$$

$$\ddot{x} = -f\dot{x} - \kappa^2 x + a \cdot z$$

Similarly, let:

$$v_z = \dot{z}$$

Keeping notation v in (a), we get:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = 0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot z + 1 \cdot v + 0 \cdot v_z \\ \dot{z} = 0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot z + 0 \cdot v + 1 \cdot v_z \\ \dot{v} = -\kappa^2 x + a \cdot z - f v + 0 v_z + a \cdot z \\ \dot{v}_z = 0 \cdot x - \kappa_z^2 z + 0 \cdot v - f_z v_z + u_z(t) \end{cases} \\ \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{z} \\ \dot{v} \\ \dot{v}_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\kappa^2 & 0 & -f & 0 \\ 0 & -\kappa_z^2 & 0 & -f_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ z \\ v \\ v_z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ u_z(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e.,

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x} + \vec{u}(t)$$

Where:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\kappa^2 & 0 & -f & 0 \\ 0 & -\kappa_z^2 & 0 & -f_z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{u}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ u(t) \\ u_z(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

c) Reconsider equation

$$\ddot{v}(t) = H\kappa\sigma(t) - 2\kappa\dot{v}(t) - \kappa^2 v(t)$$

(*)

Assume:

$$\sigma(t) = a \cdot s(v_z(t)) + u(t)$$

$v_z(t)$: dynamics of a different population (also follows Eq. (*))

$$s(v) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-rv)} - \frac{1}{2}$$

Transform Eq. (*) to 1st order linear equation system by linearizing $s(v)$ $v \cong 0$.

Around $v = 0$, with Taylor expansion, we have:

$$s(v) = s(0) + \frac{s'(0)}{1} \cdot v + o(v)$$

$$s(v) = \frac{r}{4} \cdot v + o(v)$$

Then:

$$\sigma(t) = a \cdot \frac{r}{4} v_z(t) + u(t)$$

Eq. (*) becomes:

$$\ddot{v}(t) = H\kappa \left(a \cdot \frac{r}{4} v_z(t) + u(t) \right) - 2\kappa \dot{v}(t) - \kappa^2 v(t)$$

$$\ddot{v} = \frac{H\kappa a r}{4} v_z - 2\kappa \dot{v} - \kappa^2 v + H\kappa u(t)$$

Meanwhile:

$$\ddot{v}_z(t) = H_z \kappa_z \sigma(t) - 2\kappa_z \dot{v}_z(t) - \kappa_z^2 v_z(t)$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} w = \dot{v} \\ w_z = \dot{v}_z \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{w} = \frac{H\kappa a r}{4} v_z - 2\kappa w - \kappa^2 v + H\kappa u(t) \\ \dot{w}_z = -2\kappa w_z - \kappa^2 v_z + H_z \kappa_z \sigma(t) \\ \dot{v} = w \\ \dot{v}_z = w_z \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{v} \\ \dot{v}_z \\ \dot{w} \\ \dot{w}_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\kappa^2 & \frac{H\kappa a r}{4} & 2\kappa & 0 \\ 0 & -\kappa^2 & 0 & -2\kappa \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ v_z \\ w \\ w_z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ u(t) \\ H_z \kappa_z \sigma(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

d)

3.3 Inference on NN structure

a) Integration of the system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Cu$$

$$x(t) = 0, t < 0$$

$$u(t) = \text{normpdf}(t, \mu, \sigma)$$

with A and C as given in the exercise sheet.

The solution for a) and b) is implemented in Matlab and uploaded in Moodle.

The declaration of the parameters and the integration with Euler methods (step size: 0.001) is shown in the code snippet.

```

3  A = [0, 1, 0, 0; -80^2, -50, 3000, 0; 0, 0, 0, 1; 1000, 0, -50^2,
4  C = [0 0 0 1];
5
6  % Euler's Method
7  h = 0.001;
8  t = 0:h:0.2; % range of t
9
10 % workaround to get size of t to create empty x array
11 x_col = size(t);
12 x = zeros(4,x_col(2));
13
14 % start values for x
15 x(:,1) = [0 0 0 0]; % x(t) = 0; t<0
16
17 n = numel(t); % number of x values
18
19 % euler's method
20 for i=1:n-1
21     f = A * x(:,i) + (normpdf(t(i),0.05,0.01) * C)';
22     x(:,i+1) = x(:,i) + h * f;
23 end

```

To verify the integrated states (x) correspond to the data in x_condition_1, we calculated the absolute difference between the single values. The maximal difference $2.7756 \cdot 10^{-17}$.

```
15 diff = abs(x - x_condition_1)
```

```
16 max_error = max(diff(:))
```

```
max_error = 2.7756e-17
```

```
17 % verification for x1 and x3
```

```
18 diff_row1 = abs(x(1,:) - x_condition_1(1,:))
```

```
diff_row1 = 1x201
```

 $10^{-18} \times$
$$0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$$

```
19 max(diff_row1)
```

```
ans = 1.0842e-19
```

```
20 diff_row3 = abs(x(3,:) - x_condition_1(3,:))
```

```
diff_row3 = 1x201
```

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

21	max(diff_row3)
----	----------------

```
ans = 0
```

Specifically, we verified x1 and x3:

The maximum errors between all integrated states and the given data were still negligibly small and for x3 even 0.

b) Parameter Grid search

For the grid search of the 4 parameters we used as a first range \pm the half of the standard value in a reasonable step size.

```
39 K1 = linspace(40,120,80); % step size: 1
```

```
40 K2 = linspace(25,75,50); % step size: 1
```

```
41 Ab = linspace(500,1500,100); % step size: 10
```

```
42 Af = linspace(2000,4000,200); % step size: 10
```

By comparing the maximum

The grid search showed quick that the explained variance is the best for changing the k_1 parameter. Listed below are the maximum sums and mean values of the explained variances for all grid search parameter estimations.

```
max(sum(eXs_Af,1))
```

ans = 3.6192

```
max(mean(eXs_Af,1))
```

ans = 0.9048

$$\max(\text{sum}(\text{eXs_Ab}, 1))$$

```
ans = 0
```

```
max(mean(eXs_Ab,1))
```

ans = 0

```
max(sum(eXs_K1,1))
```

ans = 3.9999

```
max(mean(eXs_K1,1))
```

```
ans = 1.0000
```

```
max(sum(eXs_K2,1))
```

```
ans = 0
```

```
max(mean(eXs_K2,1))
```

```
ans = 0
```

The estimations for x_1 to x_4 are shown in the table by applied grid search for k_1 .

	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68
1	0.9855	0.9917	0.9962	0.9988	1.0000	0.9996	0.9979	0.9950	0.9910	0.9860	0.9801	0.9734	0.9660
2	0.9794	0.9882	0.9945	0.9983	0.9999	0.9994	0.9969	0.9926	0.9866	0.9790	0.9700	0.9597	0.9480
3	0.9997	0.9999	0.9999	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9999	0.9998	0.9997	0.9996	0.9995
4	0.9997	0.9998	0.9999	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9998	0.9997	0.9996	0.9995	0.9994

The estimated k_1 value with the best explained variance was 99.74 (index 60). For this value we got explained variances of 1.0, 0.999, 1.0 and 1.0 for x_1 to x_4 . This means that for all variables the explained variance reached 99%. The implemented matrix A with the parameter estimate is shown below.

```
best_K1 = K1(60)
best_K1 = 99.7468
best_eXs_K1 = eXs_K1(:,60)
best_eXs_K1 = 4x1
    1.0000
    0.9999
    1.0000
    1.0000
A_best = [0, 1, 0, 0; -best_K1^2, -50, af, 0; 0, 0, 0, 1; ab, 0, -k2^2, -50]
A_best = 4x4
10^3 x
    0    0.0010    0    0
   -9.9494   -0.0500    3.0000    0
    0    0    0    0.0010
    1.0000    0   -2.5000   -0.0500
```

When taking the absolute difference between the $x_{\text{condition}_2}$ and the predicted x values, the highest difference was 0.0014.

```
diff = abs(x - x_condition_2)
diff = 4x201
    0    0    0    0    0    0    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000
    0    0    0    0    0    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000
    0    0    0    0    0    0    0    0    0.0000    0.0000
    0    0    0    0    0    0    0    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000
max_error = max(diff(:))
max_error = 0.0014
```

So our final model and the ensuing parameters that best explain the data are:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + Cu \\ x(t) &= 0, t < 0 \\ u(t) &= \text{normpdf}(t, \mu, \sigma)\end{aligned}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -k_1^2 & -f_1 & af & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a_b & 0 & -k_2^2 & -f_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\kappa_1 = 99.74, \kappa_2 = 50$$

$$f_1 = 50, f_2 = 50$$

$$a_f = 3000$$

$$a_b = 1000$$

$$c = 1$$

$$\mu = 0.05$$

$$\sigma = 0.01$$