Risk Assessment Report: China Oriental Group Co Ltd (HKG: 0581)

I. Executive Summary & Final Risk Categorization

This report provides a comprehensive ethical risk assessment of China Oriental Group Co Ltd ("the Company") in accordance with the *Guidelines for Observation and Exclusion of Companies from the Government Pension Fund Global* (GPFG). The analysis concludes that while the Company's products do not breach the GPFG's product-based exclusion criteria, its conduct and operational context present an unacceptable risk of contribution to serious environmental damage and gross or systematic human rights violations.

The Company's primary steel production subsidiary operates in Hebei Province, a region subject to intense environmental scrutiny due to severe industrial pollution. Third-party ESG assessments rate the Company's risk as "Severe" and its management of these risks as "Weak." Furthermore, direct regulatory intervention by local authorities, mandating production cuts to curb pollution, serves as clear evidence of the Company's contribution to serious environmental harm.

Simultaneously, the Company operates within a national context where state-sponsored forced labor is credibly documented. The absence of transparent and robust human rights due diligence within its supply chain creates an unacceptable risk of complicity in these systemic abuses. Moderate geopolitical risks, including sector-specific trade tariffs and latent sanctions risk, further compound these issues by exerting financial pressure that may inhibit the Company's ability to mitigate its adverse impacts.

Based on the unacceptable level of risk identified under the conduct-based criteria of the guidelines, this report assigns the following final risk categorization:

Final Risk Category: 1 - Exclusion Candidate

The recommendation is to advise Norges Bank to exclude China Oriental Group Co Ltd from the GPFG's investment universe due to an unacceptable risk that the company contributes to or is responsible for serious environmental damage and gross or systematic human rights violations, as per §4e and §4a of the ethical guidelines.[1]

II. Corporate Profile and Operational Footprint

A. Corporate Identity and Structure

China Oriental Group Co Ltd is an investment holding company incorporated in Bermuda and headquartered in Hong Kong.[2] It is publicly listed on the Main Board of The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited under the stock code 0581.[5] The Company's business is organized into two primary segments: Iron and Steel, which constitutes the vast majority of its revenue, and a smaller Real Estate development segment.[7] Since 2007, ArcelorMittal, the world's largest steel conglomerate, has been a major shareholder and strategic partner, a relationship that was reaffirmed in 2024 through the formation of two new joint ventures.[3]

A critical clarification is necessary to ensure an accurate risk assessment. The subject of this report, **China Oriental Group Co Ltd (HKG: 0581)**, must be distinguished from two other entities with similar names that have been implicated in severe financial misconduct.

1. **Oriental Group Limited (Singapore)**: A Singapore-listed company (SGX: 5Fi.SG, ORIE) whose former CEO was sentenced to nine years in prison in 2023 for leading an extensive stock market manipulation scheme, forgery, and cheating.[10]
2. **Orient Group Incorporation (Shanghai)**: A Shanghai-listed company (SHA: 600811) that, as of 2024, is under investigation by the China Securities Regulatory Commission for suspected major financial fraud, with its financial disclosures from 2020 to 2023 deemed "seriously inaccurate".[13]

The severe allegations of corruption and financial crime are credibly and specifically linked to these two distinct corporate entities. No evidence was found in the course of this investigation to link China Oriental Group Co Ltd (HKG: 0581) to these particular cases of gross economic crime. An initial analysis failing to distinguish between these companies could have led to an erroneous conclusion regarding the subject's risk profile under §4g of the GPFG guidelines. This clarification focuses the present assessment on the Company's operational, environmental, and human rights conduct.

B. Operational Locus and Market Position

While the Company is headquartered in Hong Kong for corporate and financial purposes, its core operational and value-generating assets are located in the People's Republic of China (PRC). The Company's primary production plant is operated by its key subsidiary, Hebei Jinxi Iron and Steel Company Limited ("Jinxi Limited"), which is situated in Hebei Province.[17] Hebei is a major industrial hub for China's steel industry, possessing significant iron ore reserves and accounting for over 20% of the nation's total crude steel output.[18] The Company's strategic location in Hebei provides it with proximity to raw materials and a large domestic customer base, primarily in Northern China.[4]

The Company is a significant player in the Chinese steel market, holding a leading position in the production and sale of H-section steel products since 2009.[20] Its total annual steel product output capacity is over 10 million tonnes.[20] This concentration of its primary production assets within a single subsidiary in Hebei means that the Company's operational, regulatory, and environmental risks are geographically focused. As will be detailed later in this report, Hebei Province, and particularly the city of Tangshan, is an epicenter of China's efforts to combat industrial pollution, making any company operating there highly susceptible to stringent environmental regulations and production controls.[21]

III. Assessment Against Product-Based Criteria (§3)

The GPFG's ethical guidelines establish specific product categories that warrant exclusion. An assessment of China Oriental Group's activities against these criteria reveals no direct breaches.

A. §3(1)a Prohibited Weapons

The Company's business activities are centered on the manufacture and sale of iron and steel products, including H-section steel, strips, billets, and cold-rolled sheets. These products are intended for downstream manufacturers and are primarily used in the construction, infrastructure, and machinery manufacturing industries, such as for home appliances and pipes.[3] Corporate reports and business descriptions contain no evidence of the Company's involvement in the development or production of weapons or their central components.[7] The risk of a breach of this criterion is low.

B. §3(1)b Tobacco & §3(1)c Cannabis

The Company's operational focus is exclusively on the iron and steel and real estate sectors.[3] A review of its activities and public disclosures shows a complete absence of any involvement in the production of tobacco, tobacco products, or cannabis for recreational purposes.[20] The risk of a breach is negligible.

C. §3(2) Thermal Coal

The thermal coal criterion applies specifically to mining companies and power producers that exceed certain thresholds related to revenue, operational reliance, annual extraction volume, or power generation capacity from thermal coal.[1] China Oriental Group is an iron and steel manufacturer, not a mining company or a commercial power producer.[3] While steel manufacturing is an energy-intensive process that uses coking coal (a metallurgic input distinct from thermal coal) and electricity, the Company's revenue is derived from the sale of steel products, not from the sale of thermal coal or power.[20] The Company does operate a 135 MW blast furnace gas self-generating unit, but this is an industrial efficiency measure to utilize waste gas for internal power consumption, not for commercial sale to the grid.[20] Therefore, the criteria of §3(2) are not applicable to the Company's business model.

IV. Assessment Against Conduct-Based Criteria (§4)

The assessment of the Company's conduct reveals an unacceptable risk of contribution to serious breaches of ethical norms, particularly concerning environmental damage and human rights.

A. §4e Serious Environmental Damage & §4f Unacceptable GHG Emissions

The Company's public statements present a commitment to environmental sustainability, including targets to achieve peak carbon emissions by 2025 and carbon neutrality by 2050.[20] It reports investments in environmental protection, such as projects to improve energy efficiency, and has received domestic recognition, including a Grade A environmental performance rating for its subsidiary Jinxi Limited from the Hebei provincial authorities.[20]

However, this self-portrayal is starkly contradicted by independent, external assessments and direct regulatory actions. The ESG rating agency Sustainalytics assigns China Oriental Group an ESG Risk Rating of 53.3, placing it in the "Severe Risk" category. This score ranks the Company 151st out of 153 companies in the global steel industry. The assessment specifies that the Company has "High" exposure to material ESG issues and, critically, "Weak" management of those risks.[28] This combination of high inherent risk and a poor management framework indicates that the company lacks robust systems to mitigate its significant environmental impact, elevating the probability of future norm breaches. This forward-looking element is a key consideration under §6(4) of the GPFG guidelines, which assesses a company's efforts to reduce risk over a reasonable time horizon.[1]

The most compelling evidence of the Company's contribution to environmental harm comes from its operational context. Its main subsidiary, Jinxi Limited, operates in Tangshan, Hebei, a city infamous for having some of the worst air quality in China, with the steel industry identified as the primary contributor to SO2, NOx, and PM2.5 emissions.[22] The environmental situation is so severe that it has prompted direct government intervention. On March 19, 2021, the Tangshan Air Pollution Prevention and Control Leading Group Office issued a notice requiring Jinxi Iron and Steel to restrict production by 30% for the remainder of the year to combat pollution.[21]

This government-mandated production curtailment is more than a mere operational risk; it functions as a de facto official judgment that the subsidiary's emissions were a significant contributor to a severe environmental problem. Such a drastic measure is not taken unless an entity's pollution levels are deemed unacceptable and a direct cause of serious environmental harm. This action provides a direct evidentiary link between the Company's conduct and the "serious environmental damage" criterion outlined in §4e. The Company's own acknowledgment that it must "conscientiously fulfill its social responsibility" in response to the order implicitly concedes a prior deficiency.[21] The combination of its location in a pollution hotspot, a "Severe" third-party risk rating, and a history of government-enforced production cuts creates an unacceptable risk of ongoing and future contributions to serious environmental damage.

B. §4a Gross or Systematic Human Rights Violations

There is no direct evidence specifically linking China Oriental Group or its named subsidiaries to instances of forced labor or other human rights abuses. The available information on the Company's labor practices is sparse and dated, referring generally to remuneration policies.[17]

However, the GPFG's conduct-based criteria assess the "unacceptable risk that the company contributes to" such violations, which includes complicity through its operations and supply chain.[1] The assessment must therefore consider the systemic risk environment in China. Numerous credible sources, including the U.S. State Department, the U.S. Department of Labor, the UN, and human rights organizations, have documented widespread and systematic human rights violations in China, particularly the state-sponsored program of forced labor involving Uyghurs and other Turkic Muslim minorities from the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR).[30] These programs include the transfer of laborers from XUAR to work in factories in other Chinese provinces.[30] The Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act (UFLPA) in the United States establishes a rebuttable presumption that any goods produced wholly or in part in XUAR are products of forced labor.[33]

The primary risk for China Oriental Group is not direct perpetration of abuse but complicity through its vast and opaque supply chains. The steel industry requires enormous inputs of raw materials (iron ore, coal, alloys) and equipment, sourced from across China. Given the documented pervasiveness of forced labor within Chinese industrial supply chains, there is a high probability of linkage. The Company's primary operations in Hebei do not insulate it from this risk, as laborers are known to be transferred out of Xinjiang to work in industrial centers elsewhere.[30]

In such a high-risk context, the burden shifts to the company to demonstrate that it has robust, transparent, and verifiable human rights due diligence systems in place to identify and mitigate these risks. The "Weak" management score from Sustainalytics, combined with a lack of any public corporate reporting on human rights due diligence (in contrast to its more detailed environmental statements), strongly suggests such systems are absent or inadequate.[28] In the absence of credible mitigation efforts, the risk of contributing to gross or systematic human rights violations through the supply chain becomes unacceptable.

C. §4g Gross Corruption or other Gross Economic Crime

As established in Section II.A, there is no credible evidence linking China Oriental Group Co Ltd (HKG: 0581) to the specific allegations of gross corruption, stock manipulation, or financial fraud that pertain to the similarly named but separate entities in Singapore and Shanghai.[10] Based on the available information, the risk of the subject Company's direct involvement in such activities is assessed as low.

D. §4c & §4d Sale of Weapons to States in Armed Conflict

The Company does not manufacture or sell weapons. However, steel is a fundamental dual-use material, essential for the production of military hardware, including naval vessels, armored vehicles, and infrastructure. As a major steel producer in China, it is highly probable that the Company's commodity products are supplied to state-owned enterprises that form the backbone of China's defense industrial base. China is a significant global arms exporter, with sales often linked to strategic objectives.[36]

The risk, therefore, is one of indirect contribution. Tracing a specific batch of H-section steel from Jinxi Limited to a specific piece of military equipment exported by a Chinese defense conglomerate is practically impossible. The connection is highly attenuated. While the risk is not zero, it is not direct enough to constitute a clear breach. This systemic, indirect risk is assessed as low-to-moderate.

V. Geopolitical Risk Exposure

The Company is exposed to significant geopolitical risks stemming from its industry and national domicile, which can act as risk amplifiers for its conduct-based issues.

A. US-China Trade Conflict and Tariffs

The Chinese steel industry has been a primary target of U.S. trade policy. The U.S. government has imposed successive rounds of tariffs, with the Biden administration tripling the Section 301 tariff rate on Chinese steel and aluminum. When combined with existing Section 232 tariffs, the effective average U.S. tariff rate on Chinese steel products is approximately 47.5%.[38] These protectionist measures are designed to shield the U.S. domestic industry from what it deems to be unfairly subsidized and dumped Chinese steel.[39]

These high tariffs create significant margin pressure for Chinese steel producers, both by limiting access to the U.S. market and by contributing to oversupply and price suppression within the Chinese domestic market.[40] The resulting financial strain on companies like China Oriental Group can limit the capital available for necessary investments in environmental upgrades and supply chain due diligence. This creates a feedback loop where geopolitical pressure exacerbates the underlying ESG risks, potentially hindering the Company's ability to address the very conduct-based issues identified in this report.

B. Latent Sanctions Risk

The U.S. government has increasingly utilized economic sanctions, visa restrictions, and its Commerce Department Entity List to target Chinese companies and officials implicated in human rights abuses (particularly in Xinjiang), those linked to the People's Liberation Army (PLA) under China's military-civil fusion strategy, and those supporting other sanctioned states.[41]

While China Oriental Group is not currently on any sanctions list, its risk profile makes it vulnerable to future designation. The Company operates in a strategic industrial sector and, as established, carries a high risk of association with systemic human rights abuses in its supply chain. Any future evidence linking its supply chain to sanctioned entities like the Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps (XPCC) or demonstrating that its products are critical to the PLA could trigger a sanctions action.[42] For a long-term investor like the GPFG, this latent "tail risk" is material, as a sanctioning event could abruptly render the investment illiquid and cause severe reputational damage.

VI. Synthesis and Recommendation

The following table summarizes the risk assessment against the specific criteria of the GPFG's ethical guidelines.

GPFG Guideline Criterion	Relevant Finding	Assessed Risk Level
§3 Product-Based Criteria		
§3(1)a Prohibited Weapons	No evidence of direct	**Low**

	involvement in production.	
§3(1)b Tobacco	No evidence of involvement.	**Low**
§3(1)c Cannabis	No evidence of involvement.	**Low**
§3(2) Thermal Coal	Criteria for miners/power producers not applicable.	**Low**
§4 Conduct-Based Criteria		
§4a Human Rights Violations	High systemic risk of supply chain links to forced labor in China; absence of credible corporate due diligence.	**High / Unacceptable**
§4b Rights in Conflict	No direct evidence of involvement.	**Low**
§4c/d Weapons Sales	Indirect risk via supply of dual-use commodity steel to the Chinese state, which is an arms exporter.	**Low-Moderate**
§4e Serious Environmental Damage	"Severe Risk" ESG rating; operations in highly polluted region; government-mandated production cuts serve as evidence of contribution to harm.	**High / Unacceptable**
§4f Unacceptable GHG Emissions	Steel is an inherently high-emission sector; "Weak" management score indicates inadequate	**High**

	mitigation efforts.	
§4g Gross Corruption	No direct evidence; severe allegations pertain to similarly named but distinct companies.	**Low**
Geopolitical Risk Exposure		
Trade Tensions / Tariffs	Direct exposure to sector-specific tariffs impacting profitability and investment capacity.	**Moderate**
Latent Sanctions Risk	Systemic risk of future designation due to industry, national context, and underlying ESG weaknesses.	**Moderate**

A. Consolidated Analysis and Justification

The consolidated analysis indicates that while China Oriental Group Co Ltd successfully passes the GPFG's product-based screening, it presents an unacceptable level of risk under the conduct-based criteria.

The risk of contributing to **serious environmental damage (§4e)** is unacceptably high. This conclusion is supported by a confluence of factors: the Company's "Severe Risk" ESG rating, its "Weak" management of these risks, its operational presence in one of China's most polluted industrial regions, and, most decisively, the direct evidence of government-mandated production cuts at its primary subsidiary specifically to mitigate severe air pollution.

Simultaneously, the risk of contributing to **gross or systematic human rights violations (§4a)** is also unacceptable. This is not based on direct evidence of wrongdoing by the Company itself, but on the high-risk context of state-sponsored forced labor within Chinese industrial supply chains. The Company's apparent lack of a transparent, credible human rights due diligence program to mitigate this well-documented systemic risk constitutes a failure to manage its potential complicity, thereby meeting the threshold of "unacceptable risk."

The moderate geopolitical risks identified act as amplifiers, creating financial pressures that could further degrade the Company's performance on these critical conduct-based issues. Given the high and systemic nature of these risks, coupled with weak and non-transparent management of them, a period of observation would be insufficient to address the fundamental concerns.

B. Final Risk Categorization and Recommendation

Based on the comprehensive assessment of the available evidence against the *Guidelines for Observation and Exclusion*, the final risk category assigned to China Oriental Group Co Ltd is **1 - Exclusion Candidate**.

It is recommended that the Council on Ethics advise Norges Bank to exclude the company from the Government Pension Fund Global's investment universe. This recommendation is based on the unacceptable risk that the company contributes to, or is itself responsible for, serious environmental damage (§4e) and gross or systematic human rights violations (§4a).

Works cited

1. etchical_guidelines.pdf
2. China Oriental Group Company Limited, accessed on August 18, 2025, http://www.chinaorientalgroup.com/e/contact.php
3. China Oriental Group Co Ltd Company Profile - Overview - GlobalData, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.globaldata.com/company-profile/china-oriental-group-co-ltd/
4. CHINA ORIENTAL Company Profile & Introduction - moomoo, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.moomoo.com/stock/00581-HK/company
5. www.investing.com, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.investing.com/equities/china-oriental-group-co-ltd#:~:text=The%20stock%20ticker%20symbol%20for%20China%20Oriental%20Group%20Co%20Ltd%20is%200581.
6. China Oriental Group Company (HKG:0581) Company Profile & Description - Stock Analysis, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://stockanalysis.com/quote/hkg/0581/company/
7. China Oriental Group | Company Overview & News - Forbes, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.forbes.com/companies/china-oriental-group/
8. China Oriental Group Company 2025 Profile: Stock Performance & Earnings - PitchBook, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://pitchbook.com/profiles/company/107340-94

9. Baker McKenzie FenXun Advises ArcelorMittal on its Joint Venture with China Oriental Group | Newsroom, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.bakermckenzie.com/en/newsroom/2024/11/arcelormittal-jv-with-china-oriental
10. Former Chief Executive Officer Of Oriental Group Limited Jailed For Abetment Of Forgery, Cheating, Stock Market Manipulation And Other Serious Offences - Singapore Police Force, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.police.gov.sg/media-room/news/20231107_former_chief_executive_officer_of_oriental_group_limited_jailed_for_abetment_of_forgery
11. 东 方 集 团 - Singapore Exchange, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://links.sgx.com/1.0.0/corporate-announcements/F3KA8JHBNJBLDF6Y/344010_AR_FINAL_LOW.pdf
12. oriental group ltd. - Singapore Exchange, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://links.sgx.com/1.0.0/corporate-information/1887
13. Suspected of major financial fraud! Orient Group Incorporation is currently at risk of being (Delisted). How can the rights of 0.129 million Shareholders be protected? - Moomoo, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.moomoo.com/news/post/49865430/suspected-of-major-financial-fraud-orient-group-incorporation-is-currently
14. Orient Group Incorporation (600811.SH): Suspected of major financial fraud, Stocks will be subjected to mandatory (Delisted) for serious violations. - Moomoo, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.moomoo.com/news/post/50244483/orient-group-incorporation-600811-sh-suspected-of-major-financial-fraud
15. Orient Group - Wikipedia, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orient_Group
16. Orient Group Inc Stock Price Today | SS: 600811 Live - Investing.com, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.investing.com/equities/orient-group
17. china oriental group company limited, accessed on August 18, 2025, http://media-cog.todayir.com/201603161740241796997596_en.pdf
18. ArcelorMittal enters into agreement with China Oriental - Reliable Plant, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.reliableplant.com/Read/9485/arcelormittal-enters-into-agreement-with-china-oriental
19. Pause on steel projects shows challenges of China's green transition | NEWS - Reccessary, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.reccessary.com/en/news/pause-steel-projects-china-green-transition
20. China Oriental Announces 2022 Annual Results Recorded Revenue of RMB 48.62 Billion Net Profit of RMB 811 Million Distribution of - TodayIR.com, accessed on August 18, 2025, http://media-cog.todayir.com/202304031032591782775803_en.pdf
21. China Oriental Group: abiding by Tangshan capacity Control measures Jinxi Iron and Steel will limit production by 30% - Metal News, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://news.metal.com/newscontent/101426764/china-oriental-group-abiding-by

-tangshan-capacity-control-measures-jinxi-iron-and-steel-will-limit-production-by-30
22. Improving the Air Quality Management: The Air Pollutant and Carbon Emission and Air Quality Model for Air Pollutant and Carbon Emission Reduction in the Iron and Steel Industries of Tangshan, Hebei Province, China - MDPI, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4433/14/12/1747
23. Hebei's steel cities and China's pollution crisis – in pictures | Environment | The Guardian, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.theguardian.com/environment/gallery/2015/jan/13/hebeis-steel-cities-chinas-pollution-crisis-in-pictures
24. China Oriental Group Co Ltd (0581) - Investing.com Nigeria, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://ng.investing.com/equities/china-oriental-group-co-ltd
25. About China Oriental Group Co Ltd (0581) - Investing.com NG, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://ng.investing.com/equities/china-oriental-group-co-ltd-company-profile
26. China Oriental Group Company Limited, accessed on August 18, 2025, http://www.chinaorientalgroup.com/e/about_profile.php
27. Chairman's Statement - China Oriental Group Company Limited, accessed on August 18, 2025, http://www.chinaorientalgroup.com/e/about_chairman.php
28. China Oriental Group Co. Ltd. ESG Risk Rating - Sustainalytics, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.sustainalytics.com/esg-rating/china-oriental-group-co-ltd/1016950074
29. Iron and steel industry emissions and contribution to the air quality in China - ResearchGate, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341954465_Iron_and_steel_industry_emissions_and_contribution_to_the_air_quality_in_China
30. The Chinese Communist Party's Human Rights Abuses in Xinjiang - state.gov, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://2017-2021.state.gov/ccpabuses/
31. World Report 2023: China | Human Rights Watch, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2023/country-chapters/china
32. OHCHR Assessment of human rights concerns in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region, People's Republic of China, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/countries/2022-08-31/22-08-31-final-assesment.pdf
33. Against Their Will: The Situation in Xinjiang | U.S. Department of Labor, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.dol.gov/agencies/ilab/against-their-will-the-situation-in-xinjiang
34. List of Goods Produced by Child Labor or Forced Labor | U.S. Department of Labor, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.dol.gov/agencies/ilab/reports/child-labor/list-of-goods-print?items_per_page=10&combine=china
35. UFLPA Entity List | Homeland Security, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.dhs.gov/uflpa-entity-list
36. Weapons of Influence: Unpacking China's Global Arms Strategy > US Army War

College, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://publications.armywarcollege.edu/News/Display/Article/3974665/weapons-of-influence-unpacking-chinas-global-arms-strategy/
37. Estimating the Arms Sales of Chinese Companies - SIPRI, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.sipri.org/publications/2020/sipri-insights-peace-and-security/estimating-arms-sales-chinese-companies
38. Biden Administration Announces New Measures to Protect US Steel, Aluminum, and Shipbuilding Industries | White & Case LLP, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.whitecase.com/insight-alert/biden-administration-announces-new-measures-protect-us-steel-aluminum-and
39. How Steel Industry Has Been Affected Due To Trade War Between The United States And China, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://hira.hope.ac.uk/id/eprint/3423/1/Prrofread-Focusing%20on%20US%20Steel%20Industry%20Trade%20-%20Copy.pdf
40. Impact of the US-China trade war on the iron and steel industry - SteelRadar, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://www.steelradar.com/en/haber/impact-of-the-us-china-trade-war-on-the-iron-and-steel-industry/
41. United States sanctions against China - Wikipedia, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_sanctions_against_China
42. U.S. Sanctions Tracker - Uyghur Human Rights Project, accessed on August 18, 2025, https://uhrp.org/sanctions-tracker/