

Erdmann-Wildon Lie Algebras - Solvable Lie Algebras and a Rough Classification

Aaron Lou

July 2018

1 Notes

1.1 Solvable Lie Algebras

Lemma 4.1 Suppose that I is an ideal of L . Then L/I is abelian iff I contains the derived algebra L' .

We note that the smallest such ideal is L' where L/I is abelian. We denote $L^{(i)}$ as

$$L^{(1)} = L' \quad L^{(k)} = [L^{(k-1)}, L^{(k-1)}]$$

and notice $L \supseteq L^{(1)} \supseteq L^{(2)} \dots$

□

Def 4.2 L is solvable if for $m \geq 1$, $L^{(m)} = 0$.

Lemma 4.3 If L is a Lie algebra with ideals

$$L = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_{m-1} \supseteq I_m = 0$$

s.t. I_{k-1}/I_k is abelian for $1 \leq k \leq m$, then L is solvable.

□

The derived series is the fastest descending sequence.

Lemma 4.4 Let L be a Lie Algebra.

- (a) If L is solvable, then every subalgebra and homomorphic image of L are solvable.
- (b) With ideal I s.t. I and L/I are solvable, L must be solvable.
- (c) I, J solvable ideals of L implies $I + J$ is a solvable ideal of L .

□

Corollary 4.5 Let L be a finite-dimensional Lie Algebra. There is a unique solvable ideal of L containing every solvable ideal of L . This is the *radical* of L is denoted $\text{rad}L$.

Def 4.6 A non-zero Lie Algebra L is said to be *semisimple* if it has no non-zero solvable ideals or equivalently if $\text{rad}L = 0$.

Lemma 4.7 If L is a Lie algebra, then the factor algebra $L/\text{rad}L$ is semisimple.

1.2 Nilpotent Lie Algebras

The *lower central series* of a Lie algebra L is

$$L^1 = L' \quad L^k = [L, L^{k-1}]$$

Then $L \supseteq L^1 \supseteq \dots$ and L^k is an ideal of L , and L^k/L^{k+1} is contained in the centre of L/L^{k+1} .

Def 4.8 L is said to be nilpotent if some $m \geq 1$ has $L^m = 0$.

Lemma 4.9 L is a Lie algebra

- (a) If L is nilpotent, then any subalgebra is nilpotent.
- (b) $L/Z(L)$ is nilpotent implies L is nilpotent.

Remark 4.10 The analogue of 4.4(b) doesn't hold. If L/I and I are nilpotent, then L isn't necessarily.

1.3 A Look Ahead

We note that $\text{rad}L$ is solvable, $L/\text{rad}L$ is semisimple. To understand L it is necessary to understand

- (i) an arbitrary solvable Lie algebra
- (ii) an arbitrary semisimple Lie algebra

In \mathbb{C} , (i) results in Lie's Theorem (every solvable Lie algebra appears as a subalgebra of a Lie algebras of upper triangular matrices). (ii) is the direct sum of *simple* Lie algebras.

Def 4.11 L is *simple* if it has no ideals other than 0 and L and is not abelian.

Thm 4.12(Simple Lie Algebras) With 5 exceptions, every finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} is isomorphic to one of the *classical Lie Algebras*

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \quad \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C}) \quad \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$$

and the special ones are e_6, e_7, e_8, f_4, g_2 . We recall that by deining

$$\mathfrak{gl}_S(n, \mathbb{C}) := \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) : x^t S = -Sx\}$$

then $\mathfrak{so}(2\ell, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{gl}_S(2\ell, \mathbb{C})$ where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_\ell \\ I_\ell & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\mathfrak{so}(2\ell + 1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{gl}_S(2\ell + 1, \mathbb{C})$ where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_\ell \\ 0 & I_\ell & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and these are called the *orthogonal Lie algebras*. $\mathfrak{sp}(2\ell, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{gl}_S(2\ell, \mathbb{C})$ where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_\ell \\ -I_\ell & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and these are called the *symplectic Lie algebras* and are only defined for even dimensions.

2 Exercise

Exercise 4.1 We note that $\varphi(L_1) = L_2$, and proceed by induction where we assume $\varphi(L_1^{(k)}) = L_2^{(k)}$. We see that $\varphi([L_1^{(k)}, L_1^{(k)}]) = [\varphi(L_1)^{(k)}, \varphi(L_1)^{(k)}] = [L_2^{(k)}, L_2^{(k)}] = L_2^{(k+1)}$ and this proves our result.

Exercise 4.2 We note that, if we take some element which is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

where a, b, c, d have dimension $n/2$, then note that, through calculation

$$\begin{pmatrix} -c^t & a^t \\ -d^t & b^t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -c & -d \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}$$

so it follows that $a = -d^t$ and b, c are symmetric. Therefore, the form

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} m & p \\ q & -m^t \end{pmatrix}$$

where p, q are symmetric, as desired.

Exercise 4.3 We note that $\text{ad}x$ is homomorphic to x . By Lemma 4.4 (a), it follows that L is solvable implies that $\text{ad}L$ is also solvable. Furthermore, taking a subalgebra implies that we can have an inverse of ad with image L , so $\text{ad}L$ is solvable implies L is as well.

This result also holds for being nilpotent since homomorphic images of L are also nilpotent, and we use the same process.

Exercise 4.4 This is obvious since each application of the Lie Bracket with L and L^{k-1} results in one fewer diagonal. It follows that for a sufficiently large $k = n$, then $j > n$, so this is impossible.

Exercise 4.5

- (i) For some basis e_i and upper triangular mappings μ, λ , we notice that $[\lambda, \mu]e_i$ has no coefficient for e_i or higher. However, a simple surjectivity proof shows that $L' = \mathfrak{n}(n, \mathbb{F})$.
- (ii) This is apparent as we note that, if we are n off from the diagonal (including that value), we double the distance after applying the Lie Bracket. This can be shown through induction or
- (iii) For $k : 2^{k-1} > n$, then we notice that $L^{(k)} = 0$.
- (iv) Note that the adjoint of $\mathfrak{b}(n, \mathbb{F})$ maps to itself.

Exercise 4.6 We prove the contrapositive (if it has some non-zero solvable ideal iff it has some non-zero abelian ideal).

If we have a solvable ideal I , then we let $I^{(m)} = 0, I^{(m-1)} \neq 0$. Then notice that $I^{(m-1)}$ is an abelian ideal that is not 0.

If we have an abelian ideal I , then we notice that $I^{(1)} = 0$ and this is our non-zero solvable ideal.

Exercise 4.7 We note that, for $i \neq j$, we have ade_{ij} maps to all values e_{ik} and e_{kj} for some value k and $e_{ii} - e_{jj}$. Comparing these values notes that they map to all values in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Examining values for $\text{ad}(e_{ii} - e_{jj})$ notes that we have a range of values e_{ik} and e_{kj} and $e_{ij} - e_{ji}$. Lastly, for ade_{nn} , maps to values of e_{nk} and e_{kn} . This similarly shows that we can't have a non-zero ideal, and furthermore $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is non abelian, so it is simple.

Exercise 4.8

- (i) We note that, since $[[a, b + c], b + c] = 0$ implies

$$[[a, b], c] = -[[a, c], b]$$

so it follows that, for $[[x, y], z]$ we note that

$$\begin{aligned} [[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] &= 0 \\ \implies 3[[x, y], z] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and, since \mathbb{F} doesn't have a characteristic of 3, it follows that

$$[[x, y], z] = 0$$

and therefore $L^3 = 0$.

(ii) We note that

$$[[a, b], c] = -[[a, c], b]$$

Furthermore, we note that

$$[[a, b], c] = -[[b, a], c]$$

relatively simply. We note that

$$[[x, y], [z, y]] = [[[x, y], z], t] - [[[x, z], t], z] = 2[[[x, y], z], t] = -[[[x, y], z], t]$$

But, we also note that $[[[z, t], x], y] = [[[x, y], z], t]$ and this implies $2[[[x, y], z], t] = 0$ and therefore, if F has characteristic 3, then $L^4 = 0$.

Exercise 4.9

(i) We note that $\det(I + \epsilon A) = \exp \operatorname{tr} \log(I + \epsilon A)$. Therefore, we notice that (after expanding $I + \epsilon A$ with a log Taylor series), that

$$\det(I + \epsilon]A) = 1 + \operatorname{tr}(A)\epsilon + \dots$$

Therefore, note that, if we ignore the later terms, then

$$I + \epsilon X \in \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \iff X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

(ii) (a) This is in fact a group as I is int his group under multiplication

(b) We note that, if we have $I + \epsilon X \in V$, then

$$((I + \epsilon X)v, (I + \epsilon X)v) = (v, v) + ((Xv, v) + (v, Xv))\epsilon + (Xv, Xv)\epsilon^2$$

and if we ignore the ϵ^2 term, then we note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (Xv, v) + (v, Xv) = v^t X^t S v + v^t S X v \\ &\iff X^t S = -S X \iff X \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(n, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) (a) Similarly, we note that $I \in G_I(n, \mathbb{C})$, and furthermore that this is a group under matrix multiplication as $A, B \in G_I(n, \mathbb{C})$ implies that

$$(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1} = B^t A^t = (AB)^t$$

We note that, if $I + \epsilon A \in G_I(n, \mathbb{C})$, then $(I + \epsilon A)^{-1} = I - \epsilon A + \dots$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (I + \epsilon A)^t &= (I + \epsilon A)^{-1} \\ \iff I + \epsilon A^t &= I - \epsilon A \end{aligned}$$

and so it follows that $A^t = -A$, so A is antisymmetric. The associated Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}_I(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the space of antisymmetric matrices.

(b) Note that $\mathfrak{g}_I(n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{gl}_I(n, \mathbb{C})$ since that would imply that $x^t = -x$. The mapping $e_i \rightarrow e_{n+1-i}$ is the mapping. for even n and $e_i \rightarrow e_{n-i}$ and $e_1 \rightarrow e_1$ for odd n . By 2.11, $\mathfrak{g}_I(n, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

(iv) Under our $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_\ell \\ -I_\ell & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ our value of $v = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ gives (v, v) as

$$v^t S v = \begin{pmatrix} a^t c - c^t a & a^t d - c^t b \\ b^t c - d^t a & b^t d - d^t b \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

This forms a group, since $I \in V$ and A, B in our group implies that

$$(AB)^t S (AB) = B^t A^t S A B = 0 \implies AB \text{ is in the group}$$

Notice $((I + \epsilon X), (I + \epsilon X)) = (I, I) + ((I, X) + (X, I))\epsilon + \dots$. We finalize by noting that we must have $X^t S + S X = 0$, so the associated Lie algebra is clearly $\mathfrak{gl}_S(2\ell, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{sp}(2\ell, \mathbb{C})$.

Exercise 4.10 T is a change of basis for each $x \rightarrow y$ where $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $y \in \mathfrak{gl}_T(n, \mathbb{C})$.