

# Rudin Real and Complex Analysis - Elementary Properties of Holomorphic functions

Aaron Lou

August 2018

## 1 Notes

### 1.1 Complex Differentiation

**10.1 Def** Let

$$D(a, r) = \{z : |z - a| < r\}$$

be the open circular disc with center at  $a$  with radius  $r$ .  $\overline{D}(a, r)$  is the closure of  $D(a, r)$ , and

$$D'(a, r) = \{z : 0 < |z - a| < r\}$$

be the punctured disc. A set  $E$  in a topological space  $X$  is said to be *not connected* if  $E$  is the union of two nonempty sets  $A$  and  $B$  s.t.

$$\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap \overline{B}$$

If we have  $V$  and  $W$  the complements of  $\overline{A}$  and  $\overline{B}$ , then

$$A \subset W \quad B \subset V$$

So

$$E \subset V \cup W \quad E \cap V = \emptyset \quad E \cap W = \emptyset \quad E \cap V \cap W = \emptyset$$

If  $E$  is closed and not connected, then we see that  $E$  is the union of two disjoint nonempty closed sets. If  $E$  is open and not connected, then  $E$  is the union of two disjoint nonempty open sets. If  $x \in E$ , the family  $\Phi_x$  of all connected subsets of  $E$  that contain  $x$  is therefore not empty. The union of all members of  $\Phi_x$  is connected and is *the maximal connected subset* of  $E$ . The elements of  $\Phi_x$  are the *components* of  $E$ . Any two components of  $E$  are disjoint and  $E$  is the union of its components.

A *region* is a nonempty connected open subset of the complex plane. Since each open  $\Omega$  in the plane is a union of discs, and since all discs are connected, each component of  $\Omega$  is open.  $\Omega$  will now be a plane open set.

**10.2 Def** Suppose  $f$  is a complex function defined in  $\Omega$ . if  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and if

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0}$$

exists and we call this limit  $f'(z_0)$  and is the derivative of  $f$  at  $z_0$ . If  $f'(z_0)$  exists for every  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , we say that  $f$  is *holomorphic* (or *analytic*) in  $\Omega$ . The class of all holomorphic functions in  $\Omega$  is  $H(\Omega)$ . In particular,

$$\left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f'(z_0) \right| < \epsilon \quad z \in D'(z_0, \delta)$$

Thus  $f'(z_0)$  is a complex number. Note that  $f$  is a mapping of  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and by 7.22 the other mapping of this kind is the linear operator that is multiplication by  $f'(z_0)$ .

**10.3 Remarks** If  $f \in H(\Omega)$  and  $g \in H(\Omega)$  then  $f + g \in H(\Omega)$  and  $fg \in H(\Omega)$ . The usual differentiation applies. Furthermore,  $h = g \circ f$  is holomorphic and

$$h'(z_0) = g'(f(z_0))f'(z_0)$$

**10.4 Ex** For  $n = 0, 1, \dots, z^n$  is holomorphic in the whole plane and the same is true of every polynomial in  $z$ . One easily verifies directly that  $\frac{1}{z}$  is holomorphic in  $\{z : z \neq 0\}$ . Hence, taking  $g(w) = \frac{1}{w}$  in the chain rule, we see that if  $f_1, f_2 \in H(\Omega)$  and  $\Omega_0$  is an open subset of  $\Omega$  in which  $f_2$  has no zero, then  $f_1/f_2 \in H(\Omega_0)$ . A function that is holomorphic in the whole plane (such functions are called *entire*) is the exponential function defined in the Prologue.

**10.5 Power Series** From the theory of power series we shall assume only one fact as known, namely, that to each power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(z - a)^n$$

There corresponds a number  $R \in [0, \infty]$  s.t. the series converges absolutely and uniformly in  $\overline{D}(a, r)$  for every  $r < R$  and diverges if  $z \notin \overline{D}(a, R)$  the “radius of convergence”  $R$  is given by the root test:

$$\frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n|^{1/n}$$

A function  $f$  in  $\Omega$  is *representable by power series* in  $\Omega$  if to every disc  $D(a, r) \subset \Omega$  there corresponds a series as above that converges to  $f(z)$  for all  $z \in D(a, r)$ .

**10.6 Thm** If  $f$  is representable by power series in  $\Omega$ , then  $f \in H(\Omega)$  and  $f'$  is also representable by power series in  $\Omega$ . For  $z \in D(a, r)$ ,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(z-a)^n \implies f'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n c_n(z-a)^{n-1}$$

**10.7 Thm** Suppose  $\mu$  is a complex (finite) measure on a measurable space  $X$ ,  $\varphi$  is a complex measurable function on  $X$ ,  $\Omega$  is an open set in the plane which does not intersect  $\varphi(X)$ , and

$$f(z) = \int_X \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{\varphi(\zeta) - z}$$

Then  $f$  is representable by power series in  $\Omega$ .

## 1.2 Integration over Paths

**10.8 Def** If  $X$  is a topological space, a *curve* in  $X$  is a continuous mapping  $\gamma$  of a compact interval  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}^1$  into  $X$ . We call  $[\alpha, \beta]$  the *parameter interval* of  $\gamma$  and denote the range of  $\gamma$  by  $\gamma^*$ . Thus  $\gamma$  is a mapping, and  $\gamma^*$  is the set of all points  $\gamma(t)$  for  $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta$ . If  $\gamma(\alpha) = \gamma(\beta)$  then  $\gamma$  is a *closed curve*. A *path* is a piecewise continuously differentiable curve in the plane. More explicitly, a path with parameter interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  is a continuous complex function  $\gamma$  on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  s.t. the following holds:

There are finitely many points  $s_j, \alpha = s_0 < \dots < s_n = \beta$  and the restriction of  $\gamma$  to each interval  $[s_{j-1}, s_j]$  has a continuous derivative on  $[s_{j-1}, s_j]$ . However, at the points  $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$  the left and right hand derivatives of  $\gamma$  may differ. A *closed path* is a closed curve which is also a path. Now suppose  $\gamma$  is a path, and  $f$  is a continuous function on  $\gamma^*$ . The integral of  $f$  over  $\gamma$  is defined as an integral over the parameter interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  of  $\gamma$ :

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt$$

Let  $\varphi$  be a continuously differentiable one-to-one mapping of an interval  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1]$  onto  $[\alpha, \beta]$  s.t.  $\varphi(\alpha_1) = \alpha$  and  $\varphi(\beta_1) = \beta$  and but  $\gamma_1 = \gamma \circ \varphi$ . Then  $\gamma_1$  is a path with parameter interval  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1]$  and the integral of  $f$  over  $\gamma_1$  is

$$\int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} f(\gamma_1(t)) \gamma_1'(t) dt = \int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} f(\gamma(\varphi(t))) \gamma'(\varphi(t)) \varphi'(t) dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\gamma(s)) \gamma'(s) ds$$

So, in particular, the reparametrization does not change the integral.

$$\int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$$

and we regard  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_1$  equivalent. We can also split up the interval to  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  s.t. they form one path  $\gamma$  and get that

$$\int_{\gamma} f = \int_{\gamma_1} f + \int_{\gamma_2} f$$

for every continuous  $f$  on  $\gamma^* = \gamma_1^* \cup \gamma_2^*$ . If we have a path  $\gamma_1$  that is the opposite of  $\gamma$  ie  $\gamma(t) = \gamma_1(1-t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$  then  $f$  continuous on  $\gamma^*$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f(\gamma_1(t))\gamma_1'(t)dt &= - \int_0^1 f(\gamma(s))\gamma'(s)ds \\ \implies \int_{\gamma_1} f &= - \int_{\gamma} f \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have an inequality relating  $L^\infty$  norm and the path integral

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz \right| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |\gamma'(t)| dt$$

### 10.9 Special Cases

(i) If  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $r > 0$ , the path defined by

$$\gamma(t) = a + re^{it} (0 \leq t \leq 2\pi)$$

is called the *partially oriented circle* with center at  $a$  and radius  $r$ , we have

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = ir \int_0^{2\pi} f(a + re^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}d\theta$$

(ii) If  $a$  and  $b$  are complex numbers, the path  $\gamma$  given by

$$\gamma(t) = a + (b-a)t \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1)$$

is the *oriented interval*  $[a, b]$ . The length is  $|b-a|$  and

$$\int_{[a,b]} f(z)dz = (b-a) \int_0^1 f[a + (b-a)t]dt$$

If

$$\gamma_1(t) = \frac{\alpha(\beta-t) + b(t-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}$$

we obtain an equivalent path, which we still denote by  $[a, b]$ . The path opposite to  $[a, b]$  is  $[b, a]$ .

(iii) Let  $\{a, b, c\}$  be an ordered triple of complex numbers, let

$$\Delta = \Delta(a, b, c)$$

be the triangle with vertices  $a, b$  and  $c$  ( $\Delta$  is the smallest convex set which contains  $a, b$  and  $c$ ) and define

$$\int_{\partial\Delta} f = \int_{[a,b]} f + \int_{[b,c]} f + \int_{[c,a]} f$$

for any  $f$  continuous on the boundary of  $\Delta$ . We may regard this as the definition of its left side, or  $\partial\Delta$  is a path from  $[a, b]$  to  $[b, c]$  to  $[c, a]$ . If  $\{a, b, c\}$  is permuted cyclically, we see that the left side is unaffected. If  $\{a, b, c\}$  is replaced by  $\{a, c, b\}$  then the left side changes sign.

**10.10 Thm** Let  $\gamma$  be a closed path, let  $\Omega$  be the complement of  $\gamma^*$  (relative to the plane), and define

$$\text{Ind}_\gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - z}$$

Then  $\text{Ind}_\gamma$  is an integer-valued function on  $\Omega$  which is constant in each component of  $\Omega$  and which is 0 in the unbounded component of  $\Omega$ .

We call  $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z)$  the index of  $z$  with respect to  $\gamma$ . Note that  $\gamma^*$  is compact, hence  $\gamma^*$  lies in a bounded disc  $DD$  whose complement  $D^c$  is connected. Thus  $D^c$  lies in some component of  $\Omega$ , which means that  $\Omega$  has precisely one unbounded component.

**10.11 Thm** If  $\gamma$  is the positively oriented circle with center at  $a$  and radius  $r$ , then

$$\text{Ind}_\gamma(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & |z - a| < r \\ 0 & |z - a| > r \end{cases}$$

### 1.3 The Local Cauchy Theorem

**10.12 Cauchy's Thm** Suppose  $F \in H(\Omega)$  and  $F'$  is continuous in  $\Omega$ . Then

$$\int_\gamma F'(z) dz = 0$$

for every closed path  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$ .

**10.13 Cauchy's Thm for a Triangle** Suppose  $\Delta$  is a closed triangle in a plane open set  $\Omega, p \in \Omega, f$  is continuous on  $\Omega$  and  $f \in H(\Omega - \{p\})$ . Then

$$\int_{\partial\Delta} f(z)dz = 0$$

**10.14 Cauchy's Thm on Convex Set** Suppose  $\Omega$  is a convex open set,  $p \in \Omega$ ,  $f$  is continuous on  $\Omega$ , and  $f \in H(\Omega - \{p\})$ . Then  $f = F'$  for some  $F \in H(\Omega)$ . Hence

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$$

for every closed path  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$ .

**10.15 Cauchy's Formula in a Convex Set** Suppose  $\gamma$  is a closed path in a convex open set  $\Omega$  and  $f \in H(\Omega)$ . If  $z \in \Omega$  and  $z \notin \gamma^*$ , then

$$f(z) \cdot \text{Ind}_{\gamma}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi$$

The case of greatest interest is, of course,  $\text{Ind}_{\gamma}(z) = 1$ .

**10.16 Thm** For every open set  $\Omega$  in the plane, every  $f \in H(\Omega)$  is representable by power series in  $\Omega$ .

**Corr**  $f \in H(\Omega) \implies f' \in H(\Omega)$ .

**10.17 Morera's Thm** Suppose  $f$  is a continuous complex function in an open set  $\Omega$  s.t.

$$\int_{\partial\Delta} f(z)dz = 0$$

for every closed triangle  $\Delta \subset \Omega$ . Then  $f \in H(\Omega)$ .

## 1.4 The Power Series Representation

**10.18 Thm** Suppose  $\Omega$  is a region,  $f \in H(\Omega)$ , and

$$Z(f) = \{a \in \Omega : f(a) = 0\}$$

Then either  $Z(f) = \Omega$  or  $Z(f)$  has no limit point in  $\Omega$ . In the latter case there corresponds to each  $a \in Z(f)$  a unique positive integer  $m = m(a)$  s.t.

$$f(z) = (z - a)^m g(z)$$

where  $g \in H(\Omega)$  and  $g(a) \neq 0$ . Furthermore,  $Z(f)$  is at most countable. The integer  $m$  is called the *order* of the zero which  $f$  has at the point  $a$ . Clearly  $Z(f) = \Omega$  iff  $f$  is identically 0 in  $\Omega$ . We call  $Z(f)$  the *zero set* of  $f$ . Analogous results hold of course for the set of  $\alpha$ -points of  $f$ , ie, the zero set of  $f - \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is any complex number.

**Corr:** if  $f$  and  $g$  are holomorphic functions in a region  $\Omega$  and if  $f(z) = g(z)$  for all  $z$  in some set which has a limit point in  $\Omega$ , then  $f(z) = g(z)$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ .

**10.19 Def** If  $a \in \Omega$  and  $f \in H(\Omega - \{a\})$  then  $f$  is said to have an *isolated singularity* at the point  $a$ . If  $f$  can be so defined at  $a$  that the extended function is holomorphic in  $\Omega$ , the singularity is said to be *removable*.

**10.20 Thm** Suppose  $f \in H(\Omega - \{a\})$  and  $f$  is bounded in  $D'(a, r)$  for some  $r > 0$ . Then  $f$  has a removable singularity at  $a$ .

**10.21 Thm** If  $a \in \Omega$  and  $f \in H(\Omega - \{a\})$  then one of the following three cases must occur:

- (a)  $f$  has a removable singularity at  $a$ .
- (b) There are complex numbers  $c_1, \dots, c_m$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer and  $c_m \neq 0$ , s.t.

$$f(z) - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{c_k}{(z-a)^k}$$

has a removable singularity at  $a$ .

- (c) If  $r > 0$  and  $D(a, r) \subset \Omega$ , then  $f(D'(a, r))$  is dense in the plane.

In case (b),  $f$  is said to have a *pole of order  $m$*  at  $a$ . The function

$$\sum_{k=1}^m c_k (z-a)^{-k}$$

a polynomial in  $(z-a)^{-1}$  is called the principal part of  $f$  at  $a$ . It is clear that  $|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $z \rightarrow a$ . In case (c),  $f$  is said to have an *essential singularity* at  $a$ . A statement equivalent to 9c) is that to each complex number  $w$  there corresponds a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  s.t.  $z_n \rightarrow a$  and  $f(z_n) \rightarrow w$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**10.22 Thm** If

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z-a)^n \quad (z \in D(a, R))$$

and if  $0 < r < R$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 r^{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(a + re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta$$

**10.23 Liouville's Thm** Every bounded entire function is constant.

**10.24 The Maximum Modulus Thm** Suppose  $\Omega$  is a region,  $f \in H(\Omega)$  and  $\overline{D}(a, r) \subset \Omega$ . Then

$$|f(a)| \leq \max_{\theta} |f(a + re^{i\theta})|$$

Equality occurs in (1) iff  $f$  is constant in  $\Omega$ .

**Corr** Under the same hypothesis,

$$|f(a)| \geq \min_{\theta} |f(a + re^{i\theta})|$$

if  $f$  has no zero in  $D(a, r)$ .

**10.25 Thm (FTA)** If  $n$  is a positive integer, and

$$P(z) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1z + a_0$$

where  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$  are complex numbers, then  $P$  has precisely  $n$  zeros in the plane.

**10.26 Thm (Cauchy's Thm)** If  $f \in H(D(a, R))$  and  $|f(z)| \leq M$  for all  $z \in D(a, R)$ , then

$$|f^{(n)}(a)| \leq \frac{n!M}{R^n} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

**10.27 Def** A sequence  $\{f_j\}$  of functions in  $\Omega$  is said to converge to  $f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$  if to every compact  $K \subset \Omega$  and to every  $\epsilon > 0$  there corresponds an  $N = N(K, \epsilon)$  s.t.  $|f_j(z) - f(z)| < \epsilon$  for all  $z \in K$  if  $j > N$ .

**10.28 Thm** Suppose  $f_j \in H(\Omega)$ , for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $f_j \rightarrow f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . Then  $f \in H(\Omega)$ , and  $f'_j \rightarrow f'$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ .

## 1.5 The Open Mapping Theorem

**10.29 Lemma** If  $f \in H(\Omega)$  and  $g$  is defined in  $\Omega \times \Omega$  by

$$g(z, w) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} & w \neq z \\ f'(z) & w = z \end{cases}$$

then  $g$  is continuous in  $\Omega \times \Omega$ .

**10.30 Thm** Suppose  $\varphi \in H(\Omega)$ ,  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , and  $\varphi'(z_0) \neq 0$ . Then  $\Omega$  contains a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z_0$  s.t.

(a)  $\varphi$  is one-to-one in  $V$ .



- (b)  $W = \varphi(V)$  is an open set and
- (c) If  $\psi : W \rightarrow V$  is defined by  $\psi(\varphi(z)) = z$  then  $\psi \in H(W)$ .

and  $\psi$  is the holomorphic inverse of  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ .

**10.31 Def** For  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  we denote the “ $m^{th}$  power function”  $z \rightarrow z^m$  by  $\pi_m$ .

**10.32 Thm** Suppose  $\Omega$  is a region,  $f \in H(\Omega)$ ,  $f$  is not constant,  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , and  $w_0 = f(z_0)$ . Let  $m$  be the order of the zero which the function  $f - w_0$  has at  $z_0$ . Then there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z_0$ ,  $V \subset \Omega$  and there exists  $\varphi \in H(V)$ , s.t.

- (a)  $f(z) = w_0 + [\varphi(z)]^m$  for all  $z \in V$ .
- (b)  $\varphi'$  has no zero in  $V$  and  $\varphi$  is an invertible mapping of  $V$  onto a disc  $D(0, r)$ .

Thus  $f - w_0 = \pi_m \circ \varphi$  in  $V$ . It follows that  $f$  is an exactly  $m$ -to-1 mapping of  $V - \{z_0\}$  onto  $D'(w_0, r^m)$ , and that each  $w_0 \in f(\Omega)$  is an interior point of  $f(\Omega)$ . Hence  $f(\Omega)$  is open.

**10.33 Thm** Suppose  $\Omega$  is a region,  $f \in H(\Omega)$ , and  $f$  is one-to-one in  $\Omega$ . Then  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for every  $z \in \Omega$ , and the inverse of  $f$  is holomorphic.

## 1.6 The Global Cauchy Theorem

**10.34 Chains and Cycles** Suppose  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  are paths in the plane, and put  $K = \gamma_1^* \cup \dots \cup \gamma_n^*$ . Each  $\gamma_i$  induces a linear functional  $\tilde{\gamma}_i$  on the vector space  $C(K)$ , by using the formula

$$\tilde{\gamma}_i(f) = \int_{\gamma_i} f(z) dz$$

Define

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\gamma}_n$$

We introduce a “formal sum”

$$\Gamma = \gamma_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} \gamma_n$$

and define

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\gamma_i} f(z) dz = \tilde{\Gamma}(f)$$

$\Gamma$  is a *chains*. If each  $\gamma_j$  is a closed path, then  $\Gamma$  is a *cycle*. If each  $\gamma_j$  is a path in some open set  $\Omega$ , we say that  $\Gamma$  is a *chain* in  $\Omega$ . We define

$$\Gamma^* = \gamma_1^* \cup \dots \cup \gamma_n^*$$

If  $\Gamma$  is a cycle and  $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$ , we define the *index* of  $\alpha$  w.r.t  $\Gamma$  by

$$\text{Ind}_\Gamma(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{dz}{z - \alpha}$$

Which implies

$$\text{Ind}_\Gamma(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{ind}_{\gamma_i}(\alpha)$$

If each  $\gamma_i$  is replaced by its negative, then we have  $-\Gamma$ . In particular

$$\int_{-\Gamma} f(z)dz = - \int_\Gamma f(z)dz$$

in particular  $\text{Ind}_{-\Gamma}(\alpha) = -\text{Ind}_\Gamma(\alpha)$  if  $\Gamma$  is a cycle and  $\alpha \notin \Gamma^*$ . Chains can be added and subtracted in the obvious way,

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \text{ means } \int_\Gamma f(z)dz = \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z)dz + \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z)dz$$

Finally, note that a chain may be represented as a sum of paths in many ways. Note that

$$\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n = \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_k$$

means that

$$\sum_i \int_{\gamma_i} f(z)dz = \sum_j \int_{\delta_j} f(z)dz$$

**Cauchy's Theorem** Suppose that  $f \in H(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is an arbitrary open set in the complex plane. If  $\Gamma$  is a cycle in  $\Omega$  that satisfies

$$\text{Ind}_\Gamma(\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \notin \Omega$$

Then

$$f(z) \cdot \text{Ind}_\Gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw \quad z \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma^*$$

and

$$\int_\Gamma f(z)dz = 0$$

If  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  are cycles in  $\Omega$  s.t.

$$\text{Ind}_{\Gamma_0}(\alpha) = \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_1}(\alpha) \quad \forall \alpha \notin \Omega$$

then

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} f(z)dz = \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z)dz$$

### 10.36 Remarks

- (a) If  $\gamma$  is a closed path in a convex region  $\Omega$  and if  $\alpha \notin \Omega$ , an application of Theorem 10.14 to  $f(z) = (z - \alpha)^{-1}$  shows that  $\text{Ind}_\gamma(\alpha) = 0$ . Hypothesis (1) of Thm 10.35 is satisfied by every cycle in  $\Omega$  if  $\Omega$  is convex.
- (b) The last part of Thm 10.35 shows under what circumstances integration over one cycle can be replaced by integration over another, without changing the integral value. If  $\Omega$  is the plane with three disjoint closed discs  $D_i$  removed,  $\Gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$  are positively oriented circles in  $\Omega$  that  $\Gamma$  surrounds  $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$ , and  $\gamma_i$  surrounds  $D_i$ , then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z)dz = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\gamma_i} f(z)dz$$

- (c) In order to apply Cauchy's Theorem, we must have a reasonably efficient method of find the index of a point w.r.t a closed path. This is the following theorem, which states that the Ind increases by 1 when the path is crossed "from right to left".

**10.37 Thm** Suppose  $\gamma$  is a closed path in the plane, with parameter interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . Suppose  $\alpha < u < v < \beta$ ,  $a, b$  complex numbers,  $|b| = r > 0$ , and

- (i)  $\gamma(u) = a - b, \gamma(v) = a + b$
- (ii)  $|\gamma(s) - a| < r$  iff  $u < s < v$
- (iii)  $|\gamma(s) - a| = r$  iff  $s = u$  or  $s = v$

Assume furthermore that  $D(a, r) \setminus \gamma^*$  is the union of two regions,  $D_+$  and  $D_-$ , labeled so that  $a + bi \in \overline{D}_+$  and  $a - bi \in \overline{D}_-$ . Then

$$\text{Ind}_\gamma(s) = 1 + \text{Ind}_\gamma(w)$$

if  $x \in D_+$  and  $w \in D_-$ . As  $\gamma(t)$  traverses  $D(a, r)$  from  $a - b$  to  $a + b$ ,  $D_-$  is "on the right" and  $D_+$  is "on the left" of the path.

**10.38 Homotopy** Suppose  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$  are closed curves in a topological space  $X$ , both with parameter interval  $I = [0, 1]$ . We say that  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$  are *X-homotopic* if there is a continuous mapping  $H$  of the unit square  $I^2 = I \times I$  into  $X$  s.t.

$$H(s, 0) = \gamma_0(s) \quad H(s, 1) = \gamma_1(s) \quad H(0, t) = H(1, t)$$

If  $\gamma_0$  is *X-homotopic* to a constant mapping  $\gamma_1$  ( $\gamma_1^*$  is one point), we say that  $\gamma_0$  is *null-homotopic* in  $X$ . If  $X$  is connected and every closed curve in  $X$  is

null-homotopic,  $X$  is said to be simply connected. An example is every convex region  $\Omega$  is simply connected as, for  $\gamma_0$  closed curve

$$H(s, t) = (1 - t)\gamma_0(s) + tz_1$$

**10.39 Lemma** If  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$  are closed paths with parameter interval  $[0, 1]$  if  $\alpha$  is a complex number, and if

$$|\gamma_1(s) - \gamma_0(s)| < |\alpha - \gamma_0(s)|$$

then  $\text{Ind}_{\gamma_1}(\alpha) = \text{Ind}_{\gamma_0}(\alpha)$ .

**10.40 Thm** If  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  are  $\Omega$ -homotopic closed paths in region  $\Omega$ , and if  $\alpha \notin \Omega$ , then

$$\text{Ind}_{\Gamma_1}(\alpha) = \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_0}(\alpha)$$

## 1.7 The Calculus of Residues

**10.41 Def** A function  $f$  is said to meromorphic in an open set  $\Omega$  if there is a set  $A \subset \Omega$  s.t.

- (a)  $A$  has no limit point in  $\Omega$
- (b)  $f \in H(\Omega - A)$
- (c)  $f$  has a pole at each point of  $A$

Note that  $f \in H(\Omega)$  means that it is meromorphic in  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, we have

$$Q(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m c_k(z - a)^{-k}$$

is the principal part of  $f$  at  $a$ , then  $c_1$  is called the *residue* of  $f$  at  $a$ :  $c_1 = \text{Res}(f, a)$ . In particular, if  $\Gamma$  is a cycle and  $a \notin \Gamma^*$  then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} Q(z) dz = c_1 \text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(a) = \text{Res}(Q, a) \text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(a)$$

**10.42 The Residue Theorem** Suppose  $f$  is a meromorphic function in  $\Omega$ . Let  $A$  be the set of points in  $\Omega$  for which  $f$  has poles. If  $\Gamma$  is a cycle in  $\Omega \setminus A$  s.t.

$$\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \notin \Omega$$

then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{a \in A} \text{Res}(f, a) \text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(a)$$

**10.43 Thm** Suppose  $\gamma$  is a closed path in a region  $\Omega$ , such that  $\text{Ind}_{\gamma}(\alpha) = 0$  for every  $\alpha$  not in  $\Omega$ . Suppose also that  $\text{Ind}_{\gamma}(\alpha) = 0$  or  $1$  for every  $\alpha \in \Omega - \gamma^*$ ,

and let  $\Omega_1$  be the set of all  $\alpha$  with  $\text{Ind}_\gamma(\alpha) = 1$ . For any  $f \in H(\Omega)$  let  $N_f$  be the number of zeros of  $f$  in  $\Omega_1$ , counted according to their multiplicities.

(a) If  $f \in H(\Omega)$  and  $f$  has no zeros on  $\gamma^*$  then

$$N_f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \text{Ind}_\Gamma(0)$$

where  $\Gamma = f \circ \gamma$ .

(b) If also  $g \in H(\Omega)$  and

$$|f(z) - g(z)| < |f(z)| \quad \forall z \in \gamma^*$$

then  $N_g = N_f$ .

Part (b) is usually called Rouché's theorem. It says that two holomorphic functions have the same number of zeros in  $\Omega_1$ , if they are close together on the boundary of  $\Omega_1$ .

**10.44 Problem** For real  $t$ , find the limit, as  $A \rightarrow \infty$ , of

$$\int_{-A}^A \frac{\sin x}{x} e^{ixt} dx$$

Notice that  $z^{-1} \cdot \sin z \cdot e^{itz}$  is entire, its integral over  $[-A, A]$  equals that over the path  $\Gamma_A$  from  $-A$  to  $-1$  along real,  $-1$  to  $1$  along the lower half of the unit circle, and from  $1$  to  $A$  along the real axis from Cauchy's Thm.  $\Gamma_A$  avoid the origin, and therefore

$$2i \sin z = e^{iz} - e^{-iz}$$

and we see the original equation is equal to  $\varphi_A(t+1) - \varphi_A(t-1)$ , where

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \varphi_A(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_A} \frac{e^{isz}}{z} dz$$

Complete  $\Gamma_A$  to a closed path in two ways: first by the semicircle from  $A$  to  $-Ai$  to  $-A$  or the one from up to  $Ai$ . The function  $\frac{e^{isz}}{z}$  has a single pole,  $z = 0$ , where the residue is 1. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \varphi_A(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^0 \exp(isAe^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \varphi_A(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \exp(isAe^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

Note that

$$|\exp(isAe^{i\theta})| = \exp(-As \sin \theta)$$

and that this is  $< 1$  and tends to 0 as  $A \rightarrow \infty$  if  $s$  and  $\sin \theta$  have the same sign. The dominated convergence theorem shows therefore that the integral in (3) tends to 0 if  $s < 0$  and the one in (4) tends to 0 if  $s > 0$ . Thus

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_A(s) = \begin{cases} \pi & s > 0 \\ 0 & s < 0 \end{cases}$$

and if we reapply the above to  $s = t + 1$  and  $t - 1$  we get

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-A}^A \frac{\sin x}{x} e^{itx} dx = \begin{cases} \pi & -1 < t < 1 \\ 0 & |t| > 1 \end{cases}$$

Since  $\varphi_A(0) = \pi/2$ , the above limit is  $\pi/2$  when  $t = \pm 1$ .

## 2 Exercises

**Problem 1** Suppose not for sake of contradiction. Then suppose we have  $\alpha \in A, \beta_n \in B$  s.t.  $|\alpha - \beta_n| \rightarrow 0$ . Then we note that  $\beta_n \rightarrow \alpha$  which means that  $\alpha \in B$  since  $B$  is closed. But, this contradicts the assumption that  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint.

**Problem 3** No conclusions can be drawn on the derivative. For example, if  $g(z)$  is constant 1 and  $f(z)$  has some slope. On the other hand, if  $f(z) = 0$  and  $g(z)$  is some other slope, then we have the opposite on the derivative.

**Problem 5** Let  $K \subset \Omega$  be compact. We can rewrite

$$f_n(x) - f_m(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f_n(z) - f_m(z)}{z - x} dz$$

But note that this value of  $f_n - f_m$  is holomorphic so we can expand this to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f_n(z) - f_m(z)}{(z - a)^{n+1}} dz \cdot (x - a)^n$$

These integrals converge to 0 through dominated convergence. However, for the sum to converge, we note that we can cover  $K$  with an open covering of balls of radius  $r < 1$ . Take a finite subcover (Heine-Borel) and we have an  $n$  s.t.

$$\|f_n(x) - f_m(x)\| < \epsilon \quad \forall x \in B$$

for all balls  $B$  in our compact set  $K$ .

**Problem 7** If we assume that  $\Gamma$  is closed and  $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z) = 1$ ,  $z \in \Omega, z \notin \Gamma^*$ . If this is true, then we integrate by parts multiple times (as  $\Gamma(\alpha) = \Gamma(\beta)$ ), and the results show relatively simply.

**Problem 8** This is a result of the residue theorem and taking an semicircle large enough such that it contains all zeros of  $Q$ . For the actual question, we note that the residues above 0 are  $e^{k\pi/4}$  for  $k \in \{1, 3\}$ . We calculate the residues for these values by noting that these are poles of order 1 and the residues are

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow e^{k\pi/4}} \frac{x^2}{1+x^4} (x - e^{k\pi/4})$$

or, in particular,

$$-\frac{i\sqrt{i}}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{i}}{4}$$

This gives us a total integral value of

$$\frac{\pi\sqrt{i}}{2} - \frac{\pi i\sqrt{i}}{2}$$

If we consider the poles below 0, then it is the value of  $-2\pi i$  times the sum of these residues.

**Problem 9** The poles are  $\pm i$ , but the only pole above the plane is  $i$ . We also calculate the poles

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow i} \frac{e^{itx}}{1+x^2} (x-i) = \frac{e^{-t}}{2i}$$

and so the integral is  $\frac{\pi}{e^t}$ . We can see this through fourier inversion.

**Problem 11**

Note that we are integrating the holomorphic function

$$(\alpha - z)^{-1}(\alpha - 1/z)^{-1}$$

over the path given by the unit circle. Note that this is 0 by Cauchy's Thm.

**Problem 13**

We integrate over the curve given by the circle from  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow Re^{2\pi i/n} \rightarrow 0$ . Note that this is a curve, so the integral of this  $\Gamma$  is 0. The integral is given by

$$\int_0^R \frac{dx}{1+x^n} + \int_0^{2\pi/n} \frac{Rie^{it}}{1+(Re^{it})^n} dt + \int_R^0 \frac{e^{2\pi i/n} dx}{1+(e^{2\pi i/n} x)^n} = 0$$

Note that therefore

$$\int_0^R \frac{dx}{1+x^n} = - \int_0^{2\pi/n} \frac{Re^{it}}{1+(Re^{it})^n} dt + \int_0^R \frac{e^{2\pi i/n} dx}{1+(e^{2\pi i/n} x)^n}$$

and calculating we get that the integral is  $\frac{\pi \sin(\pi/n)}{n}$ .

**Problem 15** The result for zeros of order  $m$  comes from the fact that  $\varphi$  has no zeros, and repeatedly differentiating the value gives this as a result. If  $\varphi'$  has a zero of order  $k$  at  $z_0$ , then we note that

$$g'(x) = f'(\varphi(x))\varphi'(x)$$

and so if  $g$  has  $m$  zeros,  $\varphi'$  has  $k$  zeros, then  $f'$  has  $m - k$  zeros.

### Problem 17

Note that the first function is

$$f(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{1+tz} = \frac{1}{z} \ln(1+tz) \Big|_0^1 = \frac{\ln(1+z)}{z}$$

and this is holomorphic in  $\Omega$  as  $\ln$  and  $\frac{1}{z}$  are holomorphic. The second function is defined and holomorphic when  $t$  has a real value  $< 0$ . The third function is defined when the real value of  $z > 0$  and it is also holomorphic here.

### Problem 19

Note that this means that the derivatives of  $\ln(f)$  and  $\ln(g)$  are the same on  $\frac{1}{n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Therefore, it follows that  $\ln(f/g) = 0$  on these same values, or that  $f = g$  on these values.

### Problem 21

There is no limit on the number of points. Notice that for  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  we have an uncountable number of points. However, we will prove that there always exists at least one solution. This is because we must have  $|f(z) - z| > 0$  for all  $z$ , but we note that  $|f(z)| < |z|$  for  $|z| = 1$ . But, we must have  $|f(0)| \geq |0| = 0$  so by intermediate value theorem, they must cross and we will always have a solution  $f(z) = z$  as  $|f(z) - z| = 0$ .

### Problem 22

Assume  $f$  has no zeros in  $D$ , then we examine  $1/f$  as a holomorphic function. Note that  $\left|\frac{1}{f}\right|$  is the value of 1 at 0 and is  $< \frac{1}{2}$  at 1. Therefore, there must be a maximal value somewhere in the disc, since the disc is compact and the value at 0 is greater than the edge values. But, this violated the maximum modulus principle.



**Problem 23**

For large  $n$  the function  $P_n(z)$  approaches  $e^z$ . This has no zeros but has a low value for extremely small  $z$ . Therefore, the zeros of  $P_n(z)$  approach  $-\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand,  $Q_n(z)$  approaches  $e^z - 1$ , which has zeros near 0.

**Problem 29** Note that the integral is given by

$$\int \frac{d\theta}{re^{i\theta} + z} = \frac{\theta + i \ln(re^{i\theta} + z)}{z}$$

For  $r < |z|$ , we note that the integral from  $-\pi$  to  $\pi$  is given by

$$\frac{\theta + i \ln(re^{i\theta} + z)}{z} \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} = \frac{2\pi}{z}$$

as  $z - r \neq 0$ . For  $r > |z|$ , we note that the integral from  $-\pi$  to  $\pi$  is given by 0 since we incorporate the  $\theta = \pi$  term into our value to give us  $i \log(z - r)$  since otherwise it will be negative. Therefore, we see that we simply must integrate the part where  $r > |z|$  and we have two separate cases for  $|z|$ . For  $|z| < 1$  we have

$$\int_0^{|z|} \frac{2r}{z} dr = \frac{|z|^2}{z} = \bar{z}$$

since we integrate up  $|z|$  and for  $|z| \geq 1$  we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{2r}{z} dr = \frac{1}{z}$$

as we only need to integrate to 1 every time.