

# Erdmann-Wildon - Subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$

Aaron Lou

July 2018

## 1 Notes

### 1.1 Nilpotent Maps

$\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  has a special property since we can also exploit  $xy$  of linear maps. We attempt to figure out the nilpotent maps of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

**Lemma 5.1** Let  $x \in L$ . If the linear map  $x : V \rightarrow V$  is nilpotent, then  $\text{adx} : L \rightarrow L$  is also nilpotent.

### 1.2 5.2 Weights

There are similar definitions to eigenvectors and values in Lie Algebras. We say  $v \in V$  is an eigenvector on algebra  $A$  if  $v$  is an eigenvector every element  $a$  ie  $a(v) \in \text{Span}\{v\}$  for every  $a \in A$ .

**Example 5.2** Let  $A = \mathfrak{d}(n, F)$  be the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$  consisting of diagonal matrices. Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be the standard basis of  $F^n$ . Then each  $e_i$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ .

To generalize this, we specify a function  $\lambda : A \rightarrow F$  where  $\lambda(a)$  is an "eigenvector" of  $a$ . Note that the corresponding eigenspace is

$$V_\lambda := \{v \in V : a(v) = \lambda(a)v \text{ for all } a \in A\}$$

If  $V_\lambda$  is a non-zero eigenspace for the function  $\lambda : A \rightarrow F$ . let  $v \in V_\lambda$  be non-zero,  $a, b \in A$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ . Then

$$(\alpha a + \beta b)v = \alpha(av) + \beta(bv) = \alpha\lambda(a)v + \beta\lambda(b)v = (\alpha + \lambda(a) + \beta\lambda(b))v$$

We can see that  $\lambda$  is linear and so  $\lambda \in A^*$ , the dual space of linear maps from  $A$  to  $F$ .

**Def 5.3** A *weight* for a Lie subalgebra  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is a linear map  $\lambda : A \rightarrow F$  s.t.

$$V_\lambda := \{v \in V : a(v) = \lambda(a)v \text{ for all } a \in A\}$$

is a non-zero subspace of  $V$ . The vector space  $V_\lambda$  is the *weight space*. Note that  $V_\lambda$  is non-zero if  $V$  contains a common eigenvector for the elements of  $A$ .

### 1.3 The Invariance Lemma

In linear algebra, we know that if  $a, b : V \rightarrow V$  are commuting linear transformations and  $W$  is the kernel of  $a$ , then  $W$  is  $b$ -invariant. There is a generalization in  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

**Lemma 5.4** Suppose that  $A$  is an ideal of a Lie subalgebra  $L$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Let

$$W = \{v \in V : a(v) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A\}$$

then  $W$  is an  $L$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ .

**Lemma 5.5 (Invariance Lemma)** Assume that  $F$  has characteristic zero. Let  $L$  be a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  and let  $A$  be an ideal of  $L$ . Let  $\lambda : A \rightarrow F$  be a weight of  $A$ . The associated weight space

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V : av = \lambda(a)v \text{ for all } a \in A\}$$

is an  $L$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ .

**Remark 5.6** This proof would be much easier if it were true that the linear maps  $y^r$  belongs to the Lie Algebra  $L$ .

### 1.4 An Application of the Invariance Lemma

**Prop 5.7** Let  $x, y : V \rightarrow V$  be linear maps from a complex vector space  $V$  to itself. Suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  both commute with  $[x, y]$ . Then  $[x, y]$  is a nilpotent map.

## 2 Exercises

### Exercise 5.1

(i) This is a relatively straightforward exercise as

$$a(v_1 + v_2) = a(v_1) + a(v_2) = \lambda(a)v_1 + \lambda(a)v_2 = \lambda(a)(v_1 + v_2)$$

(ii) Letting  $V_{\epsilon_i} := \{v \in V : a(v) = \epsilon_i(a)v\}$ . We quickly note that this only holds for all  $e_i$ , as  $\alpha_i$  could be any value. Clearly, this means that  $V$  decomposes as a direct sum of  $V_{\epsilon_i}$  as  $V$  decomposes of the spans of  $e_i$ .

**Exercise 5.2**  $e_1$  is indeed an eigenvector of  $A$ , as the corresponding mapping is  $\lambda(a) = a_{1,1}$  where  $a_{1,1}$  is the top left hand element of  $a$ .

The weight space is the span of  $e_1$ .

**Exercise 5.3** The application of Lemma 5.4 is when  $A$  is an abelian Lie Algebra containing  $a, b$  (or  $a, y$ ).

**Exercise 5.4**

(i) The isomorphism is a simple change of basis from the standard basis to our specialized basis. This implies that  $L$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{n}(n, F)$  as both are strictly upper triangular matrices. It follows from exercise 4.4 that  $L$  is nilpotent (it is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{n}(n, F)^n = 0$ ).

(ii) The subalgebra is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{b}(n, F)$  and is solvable by exercise 4.5.

**Exercise 5.5**

Skipped because I can't find a reasonable way to do this after seeing the solution to Prop 5.7 (or at least it's not clear how they wish for this solution to diverge from the one given).

**Exercise 5.6**

(i) To see that this is a subalgebra, note that for  $x_1, x_2 \in N_L(A)$  that

$$[[x_1, x_2], a] = -[x_1, [x_2, a]] + [x_2, [x_1, a]]$$

and the two parts of the sum are clearly in  $A$ , so  $[x_1, x_2] \in N_L(A)$ . Furthermore, we can clearly see that  $A \subset N_L(A)$  as all elements of  $A$  satisfy the condition. To see that this is the largest subalgebra in which  $A$  is an ideal, we can prove this by contradiction. If we have some superset  $X$  with element  $x \in X, x \notin N_L(A)$  s.t.  $A$  is an ideal of  $X$ , note that  $[a, x] \in A \implies [x, a] \in A \implies x \in N_L(A)$ , contradiction.

(ii) Note that any weight space for  $A$  is  $N_L(A)$ -invariant. Taking our  $\lambda$  to be the maps from  $a \rightarrow a_{ii}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we note that all spans of  $e_i$  are  $N_L(A)$ -invariant which implies that all elements of  $N_L(A)$  are diagonal.

**Exercise 5.7** We note that  $a_{k+1} = a_k y - y a_k \implies a_k y = y a_k + a_{k+1}$ . We now prove the problem through induction. It is trivial to check the base cases for  $m = 0$  or  $m = 1$ . The inductive step is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a y^{m+1} &= (a y^m) y = (y^m a + \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} y^{m-k} a_k) y = y^m a y + \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} y^{m-k} a_k y \\ &= y^m (y a + [a, y]) + \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} y^{m-k+1} a_k + y^{m-k} a_{k+1} = y^{m+1} a + \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \binom{m+1}{k} y^{m-k+1} a_k \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Note that  $a_k = (-1)^k (\text{ad} y)^k a$  (this can be proven again through basic induction) and so we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
y^m a - a y^m &= - \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} y^{m-k} a_k \\
\implies \text{ad} y^m a &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} \binom{m}{k} y^{m-k} (\text{ad} y)^k a \\
\implies \text{ad} y^m &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} \binom{m}{k} y^{m-k} (\text{ad} y)^k
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.